HIV prevalence, spatial distribution and risk factors for HIV infection in the Kenyan fishing communities of Lake Victoria
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Abstract

Introduction
Global efforts to end HIV by 2030 focus on reducing and eventually eliminating new infections in priority populations. Identifying these populations and characterizing their vulnerability factors helps in guiding investment of scarce HIV prevention resources to achieve maximum impact. We sought to establish HIV prevalence, spatial distribution and risk factors for HIV infection in the Kenyan fishing communities of Lake Victoria.

Methods
We conducted a cross-sectional survey of 2637 people from all the 308 fish-landing beaches on the Kenyan shore of Lake Victoria. The number of participants enrolled at each beach were weighted based on the size of the beach, determined by the number of functional registered boats. We used simple random sampling to select those to be approached for study participation. Consenting participants were privately interviewed about their socioeconomic and demographic characteristics and sexual behavior, and were invited for HIV test using the Kenya rapid HIV testing protocol. We used descriptive statistics and multivariate logistic and linear regression for analysis.

Results
We found high HIV prevalence of 32% with significant differences between men (29%) and women (38%). Among men, having an HIV negative sexual partner, being circumcised, increasing number of condom protected sex acts in the preceding month, being younger and being a resident of Homa Bay, Kisumu, Siaya and Busia counties compared to Migori County reduced the risk of HIV infection. For women, being married, having more children with the current spouse, having an HIV negative sexual partner and being a resident of
Busia compared to Migori County reduced the risk of HIV infection. We also found that longer distance from the beaches to the nearest public health facilities was associated with increasing cumulative HIV prevalence at the beaches.

**Conclusion**

Fishing communities have high HIV prevalence and may greatly benefit from interventions such as wider ART coverage, couple HIV risk reduction counseling, PrEP use for HIV negative partner at substantial continuous risk, alongside other HIV prevention services that the Kenyan government is currently rolling out. This will additionally require adequate plans to synchronize the provision of these services with the population’s routine schedules for all these options to be reasonably accessible to them.

**Introduction**

Achieving the UNAIDS goal of ending HIV by 2030 requires identifying HIV hotspots for targeted interventions to prevent new infections[1]. The current HIV prevention approaches advocate for geographic and subpopulation targeting in investing available resources for maximum impact[2]. Sub-Saharan Africa bears the greatest burden of the HIV epidemic described as generalized; but with substantial regional and subpopulation differences. The most affected are countries in southern and eastern Africa, such as Kenya, as well as occupational subpopulations of migrant workers, sex workers, long distance truck drivers and others like injection drug users (IDU) and men who have sex with men (MSM)[3–5]. These populations, characterized by high HIV prevalence may sometimes act as important sources of new HIV infections to the general population.

The UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets outline that at least 90% of population should be aware of their HIV status as an entry point into care that acts both as prevention and treatment[1]. This is more urgent in HIV hotspots to reduce transmission within the key populations and to the general population. To achieve these targets and the vision of an AIDS-free generation, it is essential to identify all most-at-risk subpopulations and provide services to increase awareness of their HIV status. Available literature from studies in small localized fishing communities from Kenya and Uganda show that Lake Victoria fishing communities, who comprise fishermen, fish traders/processors, boat owners and other traders selling assorted fishing commodities, as well as restaurant/bar workers and sex workers at the fish-landing beaches, are at a much higher risk of HIV infection compared to the general population[6–10]. HIV prevalence in the fish-landing beaches, defined as designated areas where fishing boats land with fish for sale, has been shown to range from anywhere between 12 to 32%[8, 11].

The high risk of HIV infection among fishing communities has been attributed to many factors that are both behavioral and structural in nature[12–16]. For instance, fisherfolk in many places, especially in sub-Saharan Africa including Kenya, are described as being highly mobile in pursuit of fish. As such, they are often away from homes and their families for long periods and interact a lot with women fish traders in the course of their work[17–20]. In the process of these interactions, fishermen end up forming casual sexual relationships known as *jaboya (fish-for-sex)* with women fish traders, which take place within the context of perpetual low condom use and high consumption of alcohol and drugs in the fishing villages[21–23]. Historically, fisherfolk on Lake Victoria tend to be socially marginalized and stigmatized, often with limited access to health and other social services. This is mainly because of the remote...
places with undeveloped road network and other infrastructure they live and work in. Health facilities are spatially inaccessible and the few within reach have working hours that are not favorable to fisherfolk[24, 25]. For instance, some fishermen leave for work offshore early in the morning and return in the late afternoon when most public health facilities are closed. Conversely, those who work offshore overnight return in the morning and spend most of their day sleeping; making them unavailable to access health services.

Given the prevailing social and contextual HIV risk environments in the fishing communities, it is important to obtain accurate estimates of the HIV prevalence in the Kenyan fishing communities and characterize their vulnerability to guide in the investment of scarce HIV prevention resources to achieve maximum impact in reducing new infections. Thus, we sought to establish HIV prevalence, spatial distribution and risk factors for HIV infection among men and women in the Kenyan fish-landing beaches on Lake Victoria.

**Methods**

**Study design**

We conducted a cross-sectional survey of a total of 2637 fisherfolk aged 18 to 76, randomly selected from all 308 Kenyan fish-landing beaches to establish HIV prevalence, spatial distribution and risk factors for HIV infection.

**Study site and population**

The study area, located around the Kenyan shores of Lake Victoria, covers five counties of Migori, Homa Bay, Kisumu, Siaya and Busia. Other than parts of Busia County; the region is predominantly inhabited by the Luo community who traditionally do not practice male circumcision. Our study population consisted of fishermen, fish traders, boat makers/repairers, beach business people as well as other groups that included restaurant/bar workers and sex workers, residing and/or working in the fish-landing beaches[26].

**Study recruitment procedures**

This study used existing organizational structures to enroll participants registered at the respective fish-landing beaches. Each fish-landing beach is managed by a Beach Management Unit (BMU) with elected officials. The BMUs keep registers of all fishing boats and the crew working on each. In addition, they also keep a record of all other people working at the beaches. We used the number of registered functional boats to estimate the size of the beach and determine the number of participants from our sample size to be recruited from each beach. This ensured that more participants were recruited from bigger beaches relative to smaller ones. To identify individual participants for interviews, we conducted a stratified random sampling; stratified by the occupational groups mentioned above. The number selected from each group to participate in the study was proportional to the number of people registered in each occupational group on each beach. The identified persons were approached, informed about the study and those interested referred to a specially pre-arranged venue close to the beaches where consenting and other study procedures were taking place.

**Data collection**

This survey was conducted in November and December 2013 with our main outcome variable being HIV status. Arriving participants for the study were given written informed consent forms in one of the three languages commonly spoken in the study area, that is, English (official language), Kiswahili (national language) or Dholuo (local language); depending on which
of the three they were comfortable with. They were then given sufficient time to read the consent forms before meeting a trained interviewer who went through the consent forms with them emphasizing key aspects of the consent form. Participants were allowed time to ask questions before interviewer confirmed their understanding of the consent form and asking them to sign if they were still interested in participating in the study. After consenting, every participant undergoing testing had blood collected by a finger stick for rapid HIV antibody testing. For each specimen, we used two test kits, Determine (Abbott Laboratories, Abbot Park, IL) and Bioline (Standard Diagnostics, Giheung-gu, Korea) approved by the Kenyan Ministry of Health. Determine was the first test used on all participants with Bioline only used on those testing positive on the first test. For discordant results, a third rapid test, Uni-gold (Trinity Biotech PLC, Bray, Ireland) was processed as a tiebreaker to determine the final result. Those testing positive were counseled and referred for further counseling, care and treatment. In addition to HIV status data, we also collected geo-spatial (GSP coordinates) as well as socio-demographic (gender, age, education, income), behavioral (alcohol consumption, multiple sexual partnerships, condom use) and mobility (number of beaches worked, months spent away from home) data. This study was approved KEMRI’s Ethics Review Committee and adhered to international human subjects ethics standards.

Data analysis

Data from CSPro was exported to SPSS version 21 for final cleaning and analysis. We used descriptive statistics to summarize socio-demographic and behavioral data. As a follow up to the descriptive analyses, we carried out a series of bivariate analyses testing the relationship between one independent variable at a time with our outcome variable of interest which was HIV infection. The explanatory variables were picked based on biological plausibility, clinical and intuitive relationship to outcome variable. This bivariate testing helped us in short listing variables for multivariate analysis at a cutoff significance level of \( p \leq 0.05 \). We then directly entered the shortlisted variables into the multivariate logistic model and reported the resultant adjusted odds ratios with their 95% confidence intervals. To establish distance between fishing landing beaches and nearest health facilities, we obtained Shapefiles Kenya administrative locations, health facilities, Lake Victoria which we plotted using QGIS software. We then overlaid the beach geocodes we collected during the survey. Using MMQGIS function within QGIS, we created hub line distances that helped us determine distance from each beach to the nearest public health facility. We used linear regression to establish the relationship between cumulative HIV prevalence in beaches, within an administrative location, and distances to the nearest public health facilities in those respective locations.

Results

Table 1 shows socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of enrolled participants. Overall, two thirds were male and the median age was 34 (IQR, 28–44). The majority (84%) were married, of whom 34% were in a polygynous relationship with a median of 3 children (IQR, 2–5). Overall, participants reported to earn a median monthly income of USD 90 (IQR, 60–150). In terms of occupation, half (51%) were fishermen; 28% identified themselves as fish traders, a majority (78%) of whom were women. The participants had been working in the fishing industry for a median of 8 years (IQR, 4–15). Half of the participants (49%) reported that they lived away from their spouse part of the year when traveling for work. Participants reporting sex in the preceding 30 days had a median of 3 (IQR, 0–6) occasions of unprotected sex acts. Of participants who knew their partners’ HIV status, one quarter reported that their partners were HIV positive. One third of participants tested HIV positive during the study;
Table 1. Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of enrolled participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic (Categorical)</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th>p-value (Chi-square)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>2637</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>2199</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1554</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single (never married)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygynous marriage</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary not completed</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed primary but not secondary</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed secondary</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing boatcrew</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish trader/agent</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat owner</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business person</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other occupations</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migori</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homa Bay</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kisumu</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siaya</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busia</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorest</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorer</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richer</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richest</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of recruitment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainland</td>
<td>2214</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumcision status</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current contraceptive use</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live away from spouse part of year</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sexual partners in preceding 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three and more</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condom use with marital partners</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condom use with non-marital partners</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported sexual partner’s HIV positivity</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positivity during survey</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV positivity of fisherfolk with reported HIV+partners</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
29% of men and 38% of women. Overall, 84% of participants who reported their partners to be HIV positive tested HIV positive themselves. There were significant differences between men and women in all socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics assessed apart from the place of recruitment (whether mainland or island) (p = 0.14), number of children with the current spouse (p = 0.06) and HIV positivity of participants with reported HIV positive partners (p = 0.48) (Table 1).

The fish-landing beaches were a median of 2.6 kilometers (IQR 1.4–3.9) from the nearest public health facility. The beach with the longest distance to health facility was 11.7 kilometers away and accessing some facilities required crossing over either to the mainland or island. HIV prevalence was slightly lower in administrative locations in Busia and Kisumu counties compared to the other three counties of Migori, Homa Bay and Siaya.

Table 2 shows factors that were significantly associated with HIV infections at bivariate level. The factors that were significant for combined data (both men and women) were: gender, age, level of education, wealth index, marital status, number of children with current spouse, county of residence, place of recruitment (whether mainland or island) and sexual partner’s HIV status. For men, the significant factors were: age, level of education, marital status, county of residence, place of recruitment, sexual partner’s HIV status and, circumcision status. For women, the significant factors were: wealth index, marital status, number of children with current spouse, county of residence, involvement in transactional sex and, main sexual partner’s HIV status (Table 2).

Table 3 shows results of multivariate analysis of factors that were significant at bivariate level. For combined data, the only factor that was independently associated with increased odds of HIV infection was increasing age (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 1.03; 95% confidence interval [95%CI] 1.01–1.04). Those that were associated with reduced odds of HIV infections were: being in the poorer wealth index category (aOR, 0.51; 95%CI 0.32–0.80), being in the richest wealth index category (aOR, 0.62; 95%CI 0.40–0.96), every one additional child with current spouse (aOR, 0.89; 95%CI 0.83–0.95), being married (aOR, 0.35; 95%CI 0.18–0.68), county of residence being Kisumu (aOR, 0.48; 95%CI 0.25–0.90) or Busia (aOR, 0.21; 95%CI 0.11–0.39). We also found that reported main sexual partner’s HIV status being negative (aOR, 0.04; 95%CI 0.03–0.06) was associated with reduced odds of HIV infection.

Among men, factors that were independently associated with reduced odds of HIV infections were: county of residence being Kisumu (aOR, 0.34; 95%CI 0.15–0.76) or Busia (aOR, 0.26; 95%CI 0.13–0.55), reported main sexual partner’s HIV status being negative (aOR, 0.04;
95%CI 0.03–0.06) and being circumcised (aOR, 0.65 95%CI 0.47–0.91) (Table 3). For women, the factors that were independently associated with reduced odds of HIV infections were: being married (aOR, 0.14; 95%CI 0.06–0.36), being single (never married) (aOR, 0.11; 95%CI 0.01–0.97), increasing number of children with current spouse (aOR, 0.74; 95%CI 0.64–0.86), county of residence being Busia (aOR, 0.23; 95%CI 0.06–0.88) and, reported main sexual partner’s HIV status being negative (aOR, 0.04; 95%CI 0.02–0.08) (Table 3). Spatial distance to the nearest public health facilities at the beach was associated with increasing cumulative HIV prevalence at the beaches in the administrative locations. One kilometer longer distance to
The nearest public health facility was associated with 17% higher HIV prevalence at the beaches in the locations.

### Discussion

This was one of the first cross-sectional surveys conducting HIV testing in a single health facility and at the same time obtaining geographic location of all fish-landing beaches on the Kenyan side of Lake Victoria with a goal of establishing the prevalence of HIV and the risk factors for infection. We found high HIV prevalence of 32% with significantly higher prevalence among women (38%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.92(0.66–1.27)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Age       | 1.03(1.01–1.04) | <0.01 | 1.01(0.99–1.03) | 0.14
| Education level |     |      |        |
| Primary not completed | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Completed primary not secondary | 1.06(0.77–1.45) | 0.73 | 0.95(0.64–1.40) | 0.81
| Completed secondary | 0.88(0.59–1.31) | 0.53 | 0.80(0.51–1.24) | 0.31
| Wealth index |     |      |        |
| Poorest | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Poorer | 0.51(0.32–0.80) | <0.01 | N/A    |
| Middle | 0.79(0.52–1.22) | 0.29 | N/A    |
| Richer | 0.69(0.45–1.07) | 0.10 | N/A    |
| Richest | 0.62(0.40–0.96) | 0.03 | N/A    |
| Marital status |     |      |        |
| Separated/widowed | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Married | 0.35(0.18–0.68) | <0.01 | 1.70(0.43–6.66) | 0.45
| Single(never married) | 0.39(0.10–1.50) | 0.17 | 0.75(0.14–3.99) | 0.73
| Number of children with current spouse | 0.89(0.83–0.95) | <0.01 | N/A    |
| County of recruitment |     |      |        |
| Migori | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Homa Bay | 0.66(0.41–1.06) | 0.09 | 0.60(0.34–1.03) | 0.06
| Kisumu | 0.48(0.25–0.90) | 0.02 | 0.34(0.15–0.76) | 0.01
| Siaya | 0.63(0.39–1.03) | 0.06 | 0.60(0.34–1.05) | 0.07
| Busia | 0.21(0.11–0.39) | <0.01 | 0.26(0.13–0.55) | <0.01
| Place recruited |     |      |        |
| Mainland | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Island | 1.27(0.87–1.84) | 0.22 | 1.42(0.93–2.19) | 0.10
| Involvement in transactional sex |     |      |        |
| No | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Yes | N/A | N/A  | 0.79(0.35–1.79) | 0.58
| Reported sexual partner’s HIV status |     |      |        |
| Positive | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Negative | 0.04(0.03–0.06) | <0.01 | 0.04(0.03–0.06) | <0.01
| Circumcision status |     |      |        |
| Not circumcised | 1.00 | N/A  | N/A    |
| Circumcised | 0.65(0.47–0.91) | <0.01 | N/A    |
compared to men (29%). Overall, reduced odds of HIV infection was independently associated with being younger in age, being in the poorer and in the richest wealth index category compared to poorest, increasing number of children with current spouse, being currently married compared to being widowed or separated, county of residence being Kisumu or Busia compared to Migori and, reporting main sexual partner’s HIV status being negative. The level of education, length of time away from spouse and involvement in transactional sex were not significantly associated with HIV infection.

Identifying HIV hotspots such as these fishing communities is one of the significant gaps that remain that are crucial to reaching the UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets by 2020 and ending HIV by 2030. To achieve 90-90-90 goal in Kenya, for instance, an additional 500,000 people living with HIV (PLHIV) need to be identified and initiated on ART, leading to over 400,000 PLHIV achieving viral load suppression[27]. Thus, with Kenyan fishing communities identified as one of the HIV hotspots, it is critically essential to put in place mechanisms to control new infections[28]. As Rousseau[29] argues, the basis for controlling new infections is understanding a population’s structural as well as socio-economic vulnerabilities to develop tailor-made HIV prevention responses instead of applying a standard template everywhere and on everyone. Many sub-Saharan countries, including Kenya, are in the process of mapping out HIV hotspots to help in zooming in and appropriately directing available resources for maximum impact[30]. Additionally, identifying and zooming into hotspots helps to reveal whether certain geographic areas or key populations are being missed, neglected or inadequately serviced[31]. In fact, a modeling based on Kenya’s HIV epidemic clearly shows that tailoring interventions such as VMMC and couples’ PrEP to the various specific patterns of HIV risk across hotspots has the potential to prevent up to 600,000 HIV infections by 2030 on the same budget[32].

Our findings show that HIV transmission within marriage is a major issue that needs to be urgently addressed as a HIV prevention strategy. This is because over 84% of men and women who reported their sexual partners to be HIV positive tested positive for HIV. These findings are consistent with data from other studies in the same region that show HIV serodiscordance of between 15–17%[8, 33]. This is especially true in the context of low marital and even extramarital condom use that is common in the fishing communities[23, 34]. For instance, a study enrolling married couples to determine the prevalence of HIV and correlates of HIV infection found that 17% of the partners within a couple were serodiscordant[8]. Currently, there exist various biomedical as well as socio-behavioral prevention options such as treatment as prevention, PrEP and, couple counseling that can benefit couples at risk of HIV infections. For instance, despite challenges in getting men to accompany their spouses to receive counseling together[35], couple counseling in the context of HIV testing has shown tremendous results in promoting safe sex within and even outside marital unions[36, 37]. A Zambian study among couples demonstrated substantial and sustained longitudinal reductions in self-reported unprotected sex after couple HIV counseling and testing[37].

The benefits of rapid ART scale-up and wider coverage that gave rise to undetectable = untransmittable (U = U) campaigns cannot be emphasized enough especially in serodiscordant relationships[38]. A paper presented at IAS 2018 conference by Rodger and colleagues delivered the last piece of evidence to validate U = U campaigns[38]. However, these benefits may not be available in areas where ART coverage and, more importantly, retention and adherence to medication at individual level cannot be achieved. Although we did not measure directly ART coverage in the Kenyan fishing communities where this study was conducted, a recent study from the neighboring Ugandan fishing communities show low uptake of 13% in men and 18% in women compared to trading and agrarian communities[39]. According to Kenya HIV county profile report, average ART coverage in the general population in counties that border Lake Victoria is reported to be in the nineties[40]. Despite this seemingly
impressive coverage, the challenge has always been retention in care, especially in highly mobile fishing communities[41–43]. Thus, with limited of data in ART coverage in these fishing communities and potential of poor retention in HIV, it is important to emphasize use of the other HIV prevention options such as PrEP alongside continued scale up of ART coverage as well as retention in care programs.

Use of PrEP could also be an alternative intervention to couples and other individuals at high risk to prevent HIV transmission. PrEP that has been shown to dramatically reduce the risk of HIV acquisition[44, 45] and currently being rolled out in Kenya, holds potential to benefit individuals who are at a continuous heightened risk of HIV infections such as those in serodiscordant relationships including HIV negative but at risk of infection[46]. Public health challenge for serodiscordant couples, in settings such as fishing communities with serodiscordance rate as high as 17%[8], is how to prevent the HIV negative individuals from seroconverting. Using combined delivery of antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV-positive partners and time-limited PrEP for negative partners can virtually eliminate HIV transmission to the negative partner[47]. Despite reservations about the circumstances of combined PrEP and ART use[48], Ying et al. show, through mathematical modeling, that using PrEP and ART for high-risk persons have the potential for synergistic action and are cost-effective in preventing HIV infections in high prevalence settings[49]. This needs substantial counseling of couples and even individuals coupled with providing information about HIV serodiscordancy as they work to have the infected partner on ART and suppressed.

Even though PrEP may seem like an ideal prevention strategy for this population with low condom use, its effectiveness largely depends on whether it is rolled out in a way that recognizes and deliberately plans for how to overcome the traditional health access barriers in the fishing communities. The major access barriers to health services in the fishing communities have been identified as distance to health public health facilities and unsynchronized and uncoordinated timings between the fisherfolk’s availability and the opening and closing hours of the health facilities[24]. These barriers partly explain the suboptimal uptake of many promising interventions such as ART and VMMC[50] which initially received overwhelming political and financial support. Although we have had some substantial increase in both ART and VMMC coverage in the recent past, retention in ART programs among adolescents and young people as well as VMMC coverage of older men aged above 24 has been a challenge[51–53]. With fishing industry attracting relatively young people (with an average age of about 35) coupled with challenges of health service provision in the fishing communities[54], ART and VMMC coverage may be much lower than in the general population such as in Gem sub-county of Siaya County where Bordorff and colleagues conducted their surveys that showed a drop in HIV incidences relative to ART and VMMC coverage[55].

Indeed, our findings show that longer distance to nearest public health facilities was associated with increase in cumulative HIV prevalence at the beaches. Although intervening factors may not be obvious, it is possible that the relationship could be as a result of challenges in accessing HIV prevention services such as condoms, STI screening and, HIV testing and enrolment and retention in care for those diagnosed with HIV. While HIV testing empowers people to engage in safe sex and is an entry point to HIV care[56–58], failure to initiate care in a timely manner compromises viral suppression and reduction of infectiousness[59]. This perpetuates HIV circulation within the community resulting in high prevalence. Remote locations, high mobility and general neglect by governments have hampered fishing communities’ access to health services[24]. Several other studies have similarly shown that distance to health facilities hampers access to health services[60, 61].

The Kenyan side of Lake Victoria has five counties; four of which (Migori, Homa Bay, Kisumu, Siaya) are inhabited by the Luo community who are traditionally non-circumcising
while Busia is inhabited by the traditionally circumcising Luhya community. We have shown that the county of residence was an important factor in HIV infection. The ethnic composition of these counties and its relationship with circumcision may explain the differences in HIV prevalence between beaches in Migori (39%) Homa Bay (37%), Siaya (32%) and Kisumu (28%) counties compared to those in Busia County (14%). While ethnicity may not be a modifiable factor that can inform intervention design, it nonetheless points to the areas where we need to invest more resources as well as offering lessons about cultural differences that might be important in HIV prevention. Interestingly, despite the government’s efforts to scale up VMMC in the four counties predominantly inhabited by the Luo community, the coverage in the fishing communities is still low at 46% compared to the regional coverage of 71% and desired program target of 80%[62, 63]. Recent studies conducted by Akullian and colleagues in 2014 and Odoyo-June and colleagues in 2015 show less than five percentage point increase in VMMC coverage in the general population in Nyanza region compared to the data we obtained in this study[64, 65]. Even then, the larger part of this increase was accounted for by in-school youth who are thought to be relatively easy to mobilize and are likely to accept the service. It is likely that due to infrastructural and logistical challenges of providing health services in the fishing communities, the percent increase would be much smaller had they targeted men in these communities.

Our findings show that people reporting being widowed or separated had higher odds of being HIV infected compared to those in marriage. This is consistent with other findings elsewhere in sub-Saharan Africa[66, 67]. For instance, Tenkorang using data from Demographic and Health Surveys combined with AIDS Indicator Survey from seven East and South African countries show that widowed people, especially women, are at a much higher risk of infection compared to married or never-married. Their vulnerability to HIV infection could be due to cultural rituals surrounding death of a spouse in many parts of sub-Saharan Africa as well as the high probability that a widow’s spouse may have died of AIDS[68, 69]. This is because many people are unaware of their own and/or even their partner’s HIV status hence they may have been exposed without knowing.

This study had several limitations. This study was conducted in 2013 and there is a possibility that, due to rapidly advancing HIV field in terms of ART and VMMC coverage, HIV prevalence and even the risk factors could have changed and may not accurately represent the current scenario if we go by the lessons learnt from VMMC and ART scale-up in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa[70] and closer home in Gem sub-County[55]. However, we believe the rate of VMMC and ART coverage in the fishing communities may be lower than in the general population in rural KwaZulu-Natal and Gem because of challenges in health service provision in fishing communities. Thus, we believe these data is still worth sharing to consciously inform current HIV intervention efforts, especially in the fishing communities that often receive less attention. Given our overall study sample size, we selected the number of fisherfolk to be enrolled in each beach proportional to the size of the beach. Thus, in some beaches and even administrative locations in the counties, the numbers enrolled were so small to be representative of the fisherfolk at the beaches or administrative locations. Although we ensured that selection of participants was conducted in a random manner, we could not completely rule out selection biases among those who accepted to participate relative to the few who declined. This study was partly designed to retrospectively collect information about participants, some of which was sensitive sexual activities including—extra-marital partnerships. Thus, it was not possible to completely eliminate social desirability biases associated with self-report as well as recall biases. It is conceivable that fisherfolk in these prevailing contexts might have under-reported certain behaviors to conform to community norms[71]. Despite the reassurances about confidentiality we gave to our participants, some might still
have been uncomfortable discussing sensitive information with us for fear of it leaking back to their communities. This fear might have inadvertently exacerbated the social desirability biases in responding to sensitive questions. As inherent in all cross-sectional studies, we were limited to establishing existence of relationships between variables but not causality. For example, we could not definitively tell whether circumcision that is associated with HIV infection in this study occurred before or after HIV infection which can only be establish longitudinal studies. These limitations notwithstanding, the study contributes to identifying fishing communities as HIV priority populations that need tailored interventions to shut down new infections. This is in support of UNAIDS targets of achieving 90-90-90 by 2020 and the vision of ending HIV epidemic by 2030.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we found high HIV prevalence of 32% with gender differences in terms of HIV prevalence and associated risk factors. Overall, HIV infections seemed to more frequently occur in beaches with poor access to health services due to distance and among serodiscordant marital and stable partnerships. Fishing communities can greatly benefit from wide ART coverage that aim at achieving high retention and viral suppression in addition to targeted prevention efforts such as couple risk-reduction counseling and PrEP roll out. However, there is need to ensure that deliberate plans are put in place to synchronize the provision of these services with fisherfolk’s availability.
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