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Dear Editor in Chief,

We recently published a tutorial on sensitivity analysis for missing data in cost-effectiveness analysis[1]. We would like to inform the interested readers about the publication of the Stata[2] programme and data files to complement this tutorial[3]. These files should help the reader to better understand and apply the proposed sensitivity analysis approach. The folder contains four Stata ‘do-files’, corresponding to the different sections of the article, and one illustrative dataset, based on the 10 Top Tips (10TT) trial considered in the tutorial. We hope this will be a useful addition to the tutorial.

2. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
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