

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Pollara, G; Bali, S; Marks, M; Bates, I; Collier, S; Balakrishnan, I; (2017) Time efficiency assessment of antimicrobial stewardship strategies. *Clinical infectious diseases*. ISSN 1058-4838 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix220>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/3682745/>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix220>

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>

Table 1: Number and proportion of stewardship interventions.

Stewardship Approach	Number of Prescriptions Reviewed	Stewardship Interventions			Rate of Intervention per hour of Stewardship (95% CI)	Hazard Ratio* (95% CI)
		n (%)	Odds Ratio* (95% CI)			
Telephone	691	30 (4.34%)	–		0.48 (0.34 – 0.69)	–
Medical Round	802	75 (9.35%)	2.27 (1.46-3.52)		2.26 (1.8 – 2.83)	4.69 (3.07 – 7.17)
Surgical Round	435	162 (37.24%)	13.07 (8.64 – 19.79)		1.70 (1.36 – 1.98)	3.53 (2.39 – 5.21)