

Verbal autopsy-assigned causes of death among adults being investigated for TB in South Africa

Noriah Maraba^{a,b,*}, Aaron S Karat^c, Kerrigan McCarthy^d, Gavin J Churchyard^{a,b,c,e}, Salome Charalambous^{a,b}, Kathleen Kahn^{f,g,h}, Alison D Grant^{b,c,i} and Violet Chihota^{a,b}

^aThe Aurum Institute, Parktown, Johannesburg, South Africa; ^bSchool of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ^cLondon School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK; ^dDivision of Public Health Surveillance and Response, National Institute for Communicable diseases of the National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa; ^eAdvancing Treatment and Care for TB and HIV, South African Medical Research Council Collaborating Centre for HIV/TB; ^fMRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt); School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ^gUmeå Centre for Global Health Research, Division of Epidemiology and Global Health, Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå 90187, Sweden; ^hINDEPTH Network, Accra, Ghana; ⁱSchool of Nursing, Public Health, Africa Center for Population Health, University of Kwa-Zulu Natal

*Corresponding author: Present address: Aurum Institute, Post Net Suite 300, Private Bag X30500, Houghton 2041, South Africa; Tel: +2710 590 1300; E-mail: nmaraba@auruminstitute.org

Received 13 May 2016; revised 12 August 2016; accepted 6 September 2016

Background: Adults being investigated for TB in South Africa experience high mortality, yet causes of death (CoD) are not well defined. We determined CoD in this population using verbal autopsy (VA), and compared HIV- and TB-associated CoD using physician-certified verbal autopsy (PCVA) and InterVA-4 software.

Methods: All contactable consenting caregivers of participants who died during a trial comparing Xpert MTB/ RIF to smear microscopy were interviewed using the WHO VA tool. CoD were assigned using PCVA and InterVA-4. Kappa statistic (K) and concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) were calculated for comparison.

Results: Among 231 deaths, relatives of 137 deceased were interviewed. Of the 137 deceased 76 (55.4%) were males, median age 41 years (IQR 33–50). PCVA assigned 70 (51.1%) TB immediate CoD (44 [62.8%] pulmonary TB; 26 [37.1%] extra-pulmonary TB); 21 (15.3%) HIV/AIDS-related; and 46 (33.5%) other CoD. InterVA-4 assigned 48 (35.0%) TB deaths; 49 (35.7%) HIV/AIDS-related deaths; and 40 (29.1%) other CoD. Agreement between PCVA and InterVA-4 CoD was slight at individual level (K=0.20; 95% CI 0.10–0.30) and poor at population level (CCC 0.67; 95% CI 0.38–0.99).

Conclusions: TB and HIV are leading CoD among adults being investigated for TB. PCVA and InterVA agreement at individual level was slight and poor at population level. VA methodology needs further development where TB and HIV are common.

Keywords: Causes of death, InterVA, Physician assigned verbal autopsy, Tuberculosis, Verbal autopsy

Introduction

TB is a leading cause of death in South Africa¹ and is a public health priority, with an estimated 380 000² cases in 2013, among whom 62% were also living with HIV.³ WHO has set global targets to reduce TB mortality by 75% in 2025 compared to 2015 figures as a baseline.² To track the reduction in TB mortality, accurate data on numbers of TB deaths are needed. Cause of death is most accurately assigned using pathological autopsy.⁴

However, pathological autopsies are logistically difficult and rarely performed. In areas where not all deaths occur in health facilities and causes of death are not determined, poor vital statistics, as well as the need to better understand the distribution of cause of death at population level, have led to verbal autopsy (VA) being used to estimate cause of death. This involves interviewing caregivers about the signs, symptoms, medical history and circumstances surrounding an individual's death. VA interview data can be interpreted to estimate cause of death using methods such as

physician-certified verbal autopsy (PCVA) and computer-coded verbal autopsy (CCVA), with PCVA being the most widely used method. CCVA uses software that employs algorithms and probabilistic methods (including InterVA-4 [http://www.interva.net/]), while the PCVA method involves at least two physicians examining each record and attempting to reach a consensus on cause of death using codes from the 10th version of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10).^{6,7}

The XTEND trial, a pragmatic cluster-randomised trial embedded in the South African national roll-out of Xpert MTB/RIF, compared mortality over six months among adults investigated for TB using Xpert MTB/RIF vs smear microscopy as the initial diagnostic test. XTEND found high mortality in adults being investigated for TB, with no difference in mortality at 6 months between the study arms. The cohort of XTEND participants who died, presented a unique opportunity to evaluate VA methodologies in a cohort with high TB/HIV prevalence. The aim of this paper was to use VA to assign cause of death, among adults being investigated for TB with a particular interest in TB, and to compare cause of death assigned by PCVA to that assigned by a CCVA method (InterVA-4).

Methods

XTEND study

The parent XTEND trial is described in detail elsewhere.⁸ Between June and November 2012, a representative sample of 4656 consenting participants who were ≥18 years, planning to live in the study catchment area for more than 8 months, identified by clinic staff as needing investigation for TB and providing sputum for TB testing were enrolled into the study. At enrolment, participants provided contact details and those of close relatives or friends. Vital status was ascertained by contacting participants, their next of kin or friends by telephone and, if necessary, conducting home visits. Vital status of participants was further ascertained by reviewing the Department of Home Affairs vital statistics register.

Verbal autopsy

All XTEND participants who died during the study were eligible for the VA sub-study, including some who died more than 6 months post-enrolment and hence did not contribute to the XTEND primary outcome. Demographic details and past medical history of deceased participants were extracted from the XTEND database, but were not available to staff doing VA interviews nor to physicians assigning cause of death. The XTEND database also included case note reviews where TB treatment start dates and antiretroviral treatment (ART) start dates were obtained. Caregivers, defined as a relative or friend closely associated with the participant at time of death, were invited to participate by telephonic contact, or if unsuccessful, home visit. Lay counsellors, trained in administering the standardized 2012 WHO VA tool and in grief counselling, administered the guestionnaire. The tool comprised of closed guestions with 'yes', 'no', or 'don't know' responses and a narrative section. The caregiver recounted the events leading up to the participant's death, detailing information about the deceased's signs, symptoms, medical history, and circumstances preceding death in the narrative section.⁵ Time from enrolment to death was defined as period from enrolment to date of death. Time from enrolment to TB treatment initiation was the period from enrolment to date of TB treatment initiation and time from enrolment to ART initiation was the period from enrolment to date of ART initiation.

Interpretation of verbal autopsies using PCVA

PCVA involved two physicians independently assigning immediate and underlying cause of death using ICD-10 guidelines, based on VA data, including the caregiver's narrative for each decedent. Where different immediate or underlying causes of death were assigned by the physicians, a consensus meeting was held; if no consensus was reached, the case was referred to a third independent physician. If still no consensus was reached, the case was classified 'indeterminate'. Physicians were aware that all decedents were participants in the XTEND trial and had been investigated for TB. For individual cause of death analysis, the following ICD-10 codes were considered to be HIV-related deaths: B20 (HIV resulting in infectious and parasitic diseases), B21 (HIV disease resulting in malignant neoplasms), B22 (HIV disease resulting in other specified diseases), B23 (other conditions associated with HIV) and B24 (unspecified HIV disease). ICD-10 codes A15 (respiratory TB, bacteriologically and histologically confirmed) and A16 (respiratory TB, not confirmed bacteriologically or histologically) were categorised as pulmonary TB (PTB) while A17 (TB of nervous system), A18 (TB of other organs) and A19 (miliary TB) as extra-pulmonary TB (EPTB).9 Individual cause of death assigned by PCVA were processed further using mortality medical data system (MMDS) software, which generates underlying cause of death from multiple causes of death. 10 The output generated by MMDS was categorised into WHO 2012 VA causes of death groups; cause specific mortality fractions were calculated by dividing the number of deaths assigned to a group by the total number of deaths.^{5,10}

Interpretation of verbal autopsies using InterVA-4

InterVA version 4.03 RC1 is software that uses Bayesian probabilistic theory to assign cause of death. The software generates up to three probable causes of death for each case based on a predetermined algorithm with the cause of death assigned the highest likelihood being referred to as the most probable cause of death. VA data were imported into InterVA-4, set at high HIV and low malaria prevalence for our study setting. InterVA-4 assigns only PTB as a TB-related cause of death, classifying all EPTB as 'other unspecified infectious diseases'. Specificity of InterVA-4 in assigning HIV-related cause of death has previously been reported to be 90.1% (95% CI 88.7–91.4%). The probable cause of death generated for each individual were further processed to generate population cause specific mortality fractions.

All respondents gave written informed consent, or witnessed verbal consent if unable to read and write.

Comparing InterVA-4 and PCVA

The two methods assign a wide range of cause of death but for this study we concentrated on HIV/AIDS- and TB-related deaths. At individual level, we focused on the most probable cause of death assigned by InterVA-4, compared with underlying cause of death generated by MMDS for PCVA. For TB deaths, we compared PTB deaths assigned by PCVA and by InterVA-4. For HIV

deaths, ICD-10 codes (B20–24) assigned by physicians were grouped together and compared with HIV/AIDS-related deaths assigned by InterVA-4. For individual causes of death assigned, the level of agreement between the two methods was estimated using Cohen's kappa (K) statistic with a 95% CI. K=1 would indicate perfect agreement, and K=0 would indicate agreement no better than chance. Cause specific mortality fractions generated by the two methods were compared using Lin's concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) which ranges from -1 to +1 with +1 being perfect agreement and 0 showing no agreement. All analyses were done using Stata (version 13, Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

A total of 231 XTEND participants died between 8 June 2012 and 31 June 2013. From 1 August to 29 November 2013, 231 interviews were attempted with caregivers. Of these 138

(59.8%) were completed; 68 (29.4%) caregivers could not be traced and 25 (10.8%) refused to be interviewed. Interviews were conducted within a median time of 11 months (IQR 8-12) months) after deaths had occurred. One interview was excluded due to a missing narrative section leaving 137 for analysis. Among the 137 decedents, 76 (55.4%) were male and the median age was 41 years (IQR 33-50). Ninety-seven (70.8%) had self-reported being HIV-positive with median self-reported CD4 count 118 (IQR 52-290) cells/µL. Baseline characteristics of those who were included were similar to those who were not included except for number of TB symptoms reported at enrolment (Table 1). Furthermore there was no difference in time from enrolment to death between the two groups. A total of 41 participants were started on TB treatment and 28 (20.4%) had a VA done. Median time from enrolment to TB treatment was 11 days (IQR 7-33) in those with a VA vs 15 days (IQR 5-30) in those without a VA; this did not differ between the two groups. A total of 162 participants self-reported being HIV-positive in

Table 1. Characteristics of deceased participants who had verbal autopsy vs those who did not

Variables	Verbal autopsy done	Verbal autopsy not done	p-value	
Characteristics at baseline	n=137	n=94		
Male gender, n (%)	76 (55.4)	57 (60.6)	NS	
Age, years (median, IQR)	41 (33-50)	37 (31–48)	NS	
Country of origin, n (%) ^a				
South Africa	128 (93.4)	84 (89.4)		
Non-South African	9 (6.5)	9 (9.6)	NS	
Self-reported HIV status at enrolment, n (%) ^a				
Positive	97 (70.8)	65 (69.1)		
Negative	15 (10.9)	11 (11.7)		
Unknown	25 (18.2)	17 (18.1)	NS	
Self-reported CD4 count (median, IQR) ^a	118 (52-290)	199 (103-253)	NS	
No. of TB symptoms reported at enrolment, n (%) ^a				
0	0 (0)	2 (2.1)		
1	15 (10.9)	4 (4.3)		
2	34 (24.8)	16 (17.0)		
3	38 (27.7)	36 (38.3)		
4	50 (36.5)	35 (37.2)	0.05	
Body mass index (kg/m2) ^a				
<18.5	26 (18.9)	22 (23.4)		
18.5-24.9	74 (54.0)	56 (59.5)		
25-29.9	20 (14.6)	8 (8.5)		
30+	17 (12.4)	7 (7.4)	NS	
HIV and TB treatment initiation, death				
Time from enrolment to death, days (median, IQR) ^b	64 (31–115)	57 (28–113)	NS	
No. started on TB treatment, n (%)	,			
Overall n=41 (17.7%)	28 (20.4)	13 (13.8)	NS	
Time from enrolment to TB treatment initiation, days (median, IQR)	11 (7–33)	15 (5–30)	NS	
No. started on ART after enrolment, n=35 (%)	23 (65.7)	12 (34.2)	NS	
Time from enrolment to ART initiation, days (median, IQR)	25 (9–48)	15 (8–40)	NS	

ART: antiretroviral therapy; NS: not significant.

^a Data missing for one participant in the group without a verbal autopsy.

^b Data missing for 12 participants.

the study and 61 (37.6%) had evidence of being initiated on ART. Of the 61, 35 (57%) started ART after enrolment into XTEND. Median time from enrolment to ART initiation was not different between the groups (Table 1).

PCVA assigned cause of death

PCVA assigned immediate and underlying cause of death for 134 (98.0%) VAs; three (2.2%) VAs were indeterminate (Table 2). Of the 134 with a cause of death, 95 (70.9%) were assigned without requiring a consensus meeting and 39 (28.5%) after a consensus meeting. Among the 137 decedents, the most common immediate cause of death assigned was TB (70 decedents, 51.1%). Of these 70 TB deaths, 44 (63%) were attributed to PTB, of which eight (18%) were classified as bacteriologically confirmed as reported on VA. EPTB was assigned as cause of death in 26/70 (37%) TB deaths. Of these, 11 (42%) were TB of the nervous system, 10 (38%) miliary TB and 5 (19%) TB of other organs; 57/70 (81%) of those assigned an immediate TB cause of death were assigned an underlying HIV cause of death by the physicians. HIV/AIDS-related immediate cause of death were assigned in 21/137 (15.3%) decedents; gastrointestinal diseases in seven (5.1%) decedents; pneumonia in five (3.6%); cardiac disease and respiratory ailments in four (3.0%) each; renal failure and malignant neoplasm in three (2.0%) each; while liver disease and intentional self-harm were in two (1.5%) each (Table 2). Cause specific mortality fractions were calculated after PCVA causes of death were processed by MMDS software: HIV/AIDS-related deaths accounted for 66.4% of PCVA deaths; PTB for 9.5%; cardiac disease for 2.9%; and malignant neoplasms, gastrointestinal diseases and accident/self harm for 2.2% each (Table 3).

InterVA-4 assigned cause of death

InterVA-4 assigned cause of death to 136 (99.2%) decedents; one (0.7%) was classified as indeterminate. Of the 137 decedents. 48 (35.0%) were assigned a most probable cause of death of PTB, 49 (35.7%) an HIV/AIDS-related cause, and 14 (10.2.%) a malignancy-related cause of death. Only one decedent was assigned an 'other unspecified infectious diseases' cause of death by InterVA-4 (Table 2). InterVA-4 assigned 16 decedents with more than one cause of death. 13 were assigned two, and three were assigned three causes of death. Of the 16 with more than one cause of death, three (18.7%) had HIV as a less probable cause and four (25.0%) had TB as less probable cause of death. Only one decedent with a most probable cause of death of PTB had HIV assigned as a less probable cause and also one with HIV as a most probable cause of death had PTB assigned as a less probable cause. InterVA-4 cause specific mortality fractions were calculated using all assigned causes of death: PTB accounted for 33.2% of deaths; HIV/AIDS for 32.8%; malignant neoplasms for 9.6%; and respiratory ailments for 4.9% (Table 3).

Table 2. Immediate cause of death assigned by physician-certified verbal autopsy compared with 'most probable' cause of death assigned by InterVA-4 (n=137)

	Physician-certified verbal autopsy	InterVA-4	
Cause of death	n (%)	n (%)	
Pulmonary TB	44 (32.1)	48 (35.0	
Not bacteriologically-confirmed	36 (26.0)	NA	
Bacteriologically-confirmed	8 (6.0)	NA	
Extrapulmonary TB	26 (19.0)	NA	
TB of nervous system	11 (8.0)	NA	
Miliary TB	10 (7.0)	NA	
TB of other organs	5 (4.0)	NA	
HIV/AIDS-related deaths	21 (15.3)	49 (35.7	
Gastrointestinal diseases	7 (5.1)	4 (3.0)	
Pneumonia	5 (3.6)	4 (3.0)	
Cardiac disease/failure	4 (3.0)	5 (3.6)	
Respiratory ailments	4 (3.0)	2 (1.5)	
Renal failure	3 (2.0)	1 (0.7)	
Malignant neoplasm	3 (2.0)	14 (10.2	
Liver diseases	2 (1.5)	1 (0.7)	
Intentional self-harm/poisoning	2 (1.5)	1 (0.7)	
Meningitis	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	
Indeterminate/unspecified	4 (3.0)	1 (0.7)	
Other .	11 (8.0)	7 (5.1)	
Total	137	137	

513

Comparing InterVA-4 and PCVA cause of death

A direct comparison of the single underlying cause of death assigned by MMDS for PCVA and the most probable cause of death assigned by InterVA-4 showed agreement in 65/137 (47.4%) decedents with kappa statistic 0.20 (95% CI 0.10–0.30); representing slight agreement (Table 4). Comparison of cause specific mortality fractions assigned by PCVA and InterVA showed a CCC of 0.67 (95% CI 0.38–0.97), which was poor (Table 3).

Discussion

The XTEND study is the largest cohort to date of adults being investigated for TB. In this sub-study, we used VA to investigate cause of death, which showed that about half (51% based on

Table 3. Comparison of cause specific mortality fractions as assigned by physician certified verbal autopsy and mortality medical data system and InterVA-4

Cause of death	PCVA CSMF (%)	InterVA-4 CSMF (%)
HIV/AIDS related	66.4	32.8
Pulmonary TB	9.5	33.2
Cardiac diseases	2.9	2.9
Malignant neoplasm	2.2	9.6
Gastrointestinal diseases	2.2	0.5
Accident/self-harm	2.2	0.7
Diabetes mellitus	1.5	1.0
Respiratory ailments	1.5	4.9
Liver diseases	1.5	1.0
Other diseases	8.0	6.2
Unknown	2.2	7.4
Total	100	100

CSMF: cause specific mortality fractions; PCVA: physician-certified verbal autopsv.

Concordance correlation coefficient: 0.67 (95% CI 0.38-0.97).

Table 4. Agreement between 'most probable' cause of death assigned by InterVA-4 and single underlying cause of death assigned by physician-certified verbal autopsy and mortality medical data system for 137 deceased XTEND participants

Physician-certified verbal autopsy							
InterVA-4	TB HIV Other Total	TB 10 1 2 13	HIV 32 38 21 91	Other 6 10 17 33	Total 48 49 40 137		

Cohen's kappa: 0.20; 95% CI 0.10-0.30.

PCVA and 35% based on InterVA-4) the deaths were attributed to TB. These findings might be surprising as these were people accessing health care who had investigation for TB initiated and who had submitted at least one sputum specimen for smear microscopy or Xpert MTB/RIF. Though one might hope that people who had TB investigations initiated should not die of TB, some patients may have already been very sick at the time TB investigation was initiated, as illustrated by the 18.9% with BMI <18.5 and the 64.2% reporting three or four TB symptoms. If these cause of death data are correct, it suggests that persons are presenting for care too late, or that the current health system is failing to identify and treat persons who have TB, or both. It could also be that the health system is too slow to diagnose TB, resulting in delays in TB treatment initiation. This latter possibility was suggested by data from the XTEND trial which showed median time to starting TB treatment of 7 days⁸ which is a little longer than the Department of Health recommended 2-5 days. 17 HIV-related disease was the second most common cause of death, consistent with absence of ART at enrolment being a risk factor for death among XTEND participants.8

Adults being investigated for TB have been studied far less often than those starting TB treatment, and where cause of death data exist, they are generally from hospitals rather than primary health care clinics. The high proportion of deaths attributable to TB was similar to studies using pathological autopsy to assign cause of death. An autopsy study in South Africa among hospitalised HIV-positive adults either on, or eligible for, ART showed that 66% died of TB, while only 27% were on TB treatment at time of death and 33% had never been treated for TB prior to death. ¹⁸ In Zambia, a study amongst hospitalised adults, mostly HIV-positive (81%), reported that 62% of deaths were attributed to TB and 26% were never treated for TB prior to death.¹⁹ A recent systematic review among HIV-positive adults and children reported that TB was a primary cause of death in 91.4% (95% CI 85.5-97) of those diagnosed at autopsy.²⁰ Another study in South Africa seeking to understand cause of death among ART initiators dying in hospital using VA and hospital case reviews also reported similar findings where mortality attributable to TB was 44.3%.²¹

Our study compared PCVA and InterVA-4 methods of assigning cause of death at individual and population level. The majority of studies comparing these methodologies have been done in community settings in health and demographic surveillance system sites where VAs are done for all persons who die. In our study, when comparing immediate and most probable cause of death, PCVA assigned more deaths to TB than InterVA-4. This could be because InterVA-4 can only assign PTB as a TB-related death and assigns EPTB to other infectious diseases, while PCVA allows deaths to be assigned as either PTB or EPTB, so EPTB deaths are not misclassified. PCVA and InterVA-4 models have been compared in other populations and have shown fair to moderate agreement. 22-24 A study conducted in a health and demographic surveillance system in Kenya, collecting data on cause of death over a 6 year period amongst children <5 years and adults aged ≥18 years, showed that PCVA assigned 9.9% of deaths to PTB and 34% to HIV/AIDS while InterVA assigned 31% to PTB and 16% to HIV/AIDS (K=0.27, 95% CI 0.25-0.30).²² In Ethiopia, data on cause of death collected over a 2 year period from adults aged ≥14 years in a health and demographic surveillance system showed that PCVA assigned 23% to PTB and InterVA-3 assigned 36% deaths to PTB (K=0.5, 95% CI 0.4–0.6).²³ When comparing cause specific mortality fractions assigned by PCVA and InterVA, InterVA assigned more TB than PCVA. This is largely because ICD-10 coding rules require that assignment of a single cause of death in individuals with immediate cause of death of TB and underlying cause of death of HIV be classified as an HIV/AIDS-related death.⁹ This resulted in 57 (81%) of immediate TB deaths assigned at individual level being classified as HIV/AIDS-related deaths after processing by the MMDS software. A recent study done in Asia and Africa comparing VA cause of death as assigned by PCVA and InterVA-4 also reported a CCC of 0.83 (95% CI 0.75–0.91) between the methods which was higher than the 0.67 found in our study.²⁵

PCVA assigned more immediate PTB cause of death in our study compared to health and demographic surveillance system studies, most likely because our study included people with symptoms suggestive of TB who were identified by clinic staff as needing TB investigation. It is also possible that, because the physicians who interpreted the VAs knew that all study participants were being investigated for TB, this could have biased them to choose TB as a cause of death.

A major strength of our study is that our study population was a large systematic sample of adults being investigated for TB in a real-world setting. Our results can be generalised to adults being investigated for TB in similar settings and also to people with TB symptoms severe enough to need investigation. We also managed to perform the majority of VA interviews within one year of death which made it easier for respondents to recall exact events leading to a participant's death.

A study limitation is that we could not conduct a VA for everyone who died, and could thus have missed other causes of death which has implications on the profile of causes reported in this population. A higher proportion of decedents who had VA done had started TB treatment compared to those who died but did not have a VA done. Those who were on TB treatment would have been easier to contact because they were already in care, therefore overestimating TB as a cause of death in the study.

Our findings show that when interpreting VA data using either PCVA or InterVA-4 for adults being investigated for TB, TB is a leading cause of death. These results also suggest that the current TB diagnostic pathway is inadequate as people with TB disease may still be missed or commenced on treatment too late. The fact that HIV was identified as the second most common cause of death points to the importance of integrated TB and HIV care and linkage into care for both diseases. The health service through implementation science research needs to find ways to facilitate access to TB and HIV care for persons who need to navigate both services. We recommend that adults being investigated for TB need to be aware of their HIV status, as data from XTEND also showed that people were more likely to die if they were HIV-positive and not on ART or if their HIV status was unknown.8 Intensified TB screening also needs to be improved as the sensitivity of the current screening tool is suboptimal and a diagnostic test for TB with high sensitivity and specificity that could be used in primary health care settings is a priority. We also recommend that current CCVA methods should

be improved to include EPTB. Research has shown that 88% of HIV-positive individuals with autopsy evidence of TB have disseminated disease²⁰; if VA methods do not classify EPTB as TB, a large number of TB deaths will be missed.

Authors' contribution: The study was designed by ADG, KM, GJC, SC, KK and VC. NM, KM, SC, VC, ADG and ASK were responsible for data collection. NM and ASK were responsible for the analysis. NM drafted the first draft and all other authors provided guidance on revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. NM is the quarantor of the paper.

Acknowledgements: We thank all the participants who consented to taking part in the study. We appreciate the commitment of the study team in interviewing next of kin of deceased participants, Drs Evan Shoul and Sarah Stacey for assigning the cause of death from the verbal autopsies, and Sizzy Ngobeni for training the fieldworkers who conducted the verbal autopsy interviews.

Funding: This work was supported by Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation [Grant Number: OPP1034523] for funding the study.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the ethics committees of the University of Witwatersrand and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine.

References

- 1 Statistics South Africa. Mortality and causes of death in South Africa 2013: Findings from death notification. Pretoria: Statistics South Africa; 2014. http://beta2.statssa.gov.za/publications/P03093/P0309 32013.pdf [accessed 2 April 2015].
- 2 WHO. Global Tuberculosis Report 2014. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/137094/1/9789241564809_eng.pdf [accessed 2 April 2015].
- 3 Shisana O, Rehle T, Simbayi LC et al. South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012. Cape Town: HSRC Press; 2014.
- 4 Burton JL, Underwood J. Clinical, educational and epidemiological value of autopsy. Lancet 2007;369:1471–80.
- 5 WHO. Verbal autopsy standards: ascertaining and attributing causes of death. The 2014 WHO verbal autopsy instrument. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. http://www.who.int/healthinfo/statistics/verbalautopsystandards/en/ [accessed 10 August 2014].
- 6 Leitao J, Desai N, Aleksandrowicz L et al. Comparison of physiciancertified verbal autopsy with computer-coded verbal autopsy for cause of death assignment in hospitalized patients in low- and middle-income countries: systematic review. BMC Med 2014;12:22.
- 7 Fottrell E, Byass P. Verbal autopsy: methods in transition. Epidemiol Rev 2010;32:38–55.
- 8 Churchyard G, Stevens W, Mametja LD et al. Xpert MTB/RIF versus sputum microscopy as the initial diagnostic test for tuberculosis: a cluster-randomized trial embedded in South Africa roll-out of Xpert MTB/RIF. Lancet Glob Health 2015;3:e450–7.

- 9 WHO. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems [ICD-10]. 10th Revision. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015. http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2015/en/ [accessed 26 July 2016].
- 10 CDC/National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System. Mortality Medical Data System. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2012. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/mmds. htm [accessed 20 July 2016].
- 11 Byass P, Huong DL, Minh HV. A probabilistic approach to interpreting verbal autopsies: methodology and preliminary validation in Vietnam. Scand J Public Health 2003;31(Suppl 62):32–7.
- 12 Byass P, Fottrell E, Huong DL et al. Refining a probabilistic model for interpreting verbal autopsy data. Scand Public Health 2006;34: 26–31.
- 13 Fantahun M, Fottrell E, Berhane Y et al. Assessing a new approach to verbal autopsy interpretation in a rural Ethiopian community: the InterVA model. Bull World Health Organ 2006;84:204–10.
- 14 Byass P, Calvert C, Miiro-Nakiyingi J et al. InterVA-4 as a public health tool for measuring HIV/AIDS mortality: a validation study from five African countries. Glob Health Action 2013;6:22448.
- 15 Viera AJ, Garrett JM. Understanding interobserver agreement: The Kappa statistic. Fam Med 2005;37:360–3.
- 16 Lin L I-Kuei. A concordance correlation coefficient to evaluate reproducibility. Biometrics 1989;45:255–68.
- 17 South Africa. National Department of Health. National Tuberculosis Management Guidelines 2014. Pretoria: Department of Health 2014. http://sahivsoc.org/Files/NTCP_Adult_TB%20Guidelines%2027.5.2014. pdf [accessed 21 January 2016].

- 18 Wong EB, Omar T, Setlhako GJ et al. Causes of death on antiretroviral therapy: a post-mortem study from South Africa. PLoS One 2012;7: e47542.
- 19 Bates M, Mudenda V, Shibemba A et al. Burden of tuberculosis at post mortem in patients at a tertiary referral centre in sub-Saharan Africa: a prospective descriptive autopsy study. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15:544–51.
- 20 Gupta RK, Lucas SB, Fielding KL, Lawn SD. Prevalence of tuberculosis in post-mortem studies of HIV-infected adults and children in resourcelimited settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS 2015;29: doi:10.1097/QAD.00000000000000000000000000.
- 21 MacPherson P, Moshabela M, Martinson N, Pronyk P. Mortality and loss to follow-up among HAART initiators in rural South Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2009;103:588–93.
- 22 Oti OS, Kyobutungi C. Verbal autopsy interpretation: a comparative analysis of the InterVA model versus physician review in determining causes of death in the Nairobi DSS. Popul Health Metr 2010;8:21.
- 23 Tadesse S , Tadesse T. Evaluating the performance of interpreting Verbal Autopsy 3.2 model for establishing pulmonary tuberculosis as a cause of death in Ethiopia: a population-based cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2012;12:1039.
- 24 Byass P, Kahn K, Fottrell E et al. Moving from data on deaths to public health policy in Agincourt, South Africa: approaches to analyzing and understanding verbal autopsy findings. PLoS Med 2010;8:e10003251–8.
- 25 Byass P, Herbst K, Fottrell E et al. Comparing verbal autopsy cause of death findings as determined by physician coding and probabilistic modelling: a public health analysis of 54 000 deaths in Africa and Asia. J Glob Health 2015;5:010402.