# Dietary patterns and risk of asthma: results from three countries in European Community Respiratory Health Survey-II

Richard Hooper<sup>1</sup>\*, Joachim Heinrich<sup>2</sup>, Ernst Omenaas<sup>3</sup>, Stefanie Sausenthaler<sup>2</sup>, Vanessa Garcia-Larsen<sup>1</sup>, Ioannis Bakolis<sup>1</sup> and Peter Burney<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health Group, Imperial College, National Heart and Lung Institute, Emmanuel Kaye Building, Manresa Road, London SW3 6LR, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Clinical Research, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

(Received 15 May 2009 - Revised 14 October 2009 - Accepted 21 October 2009 - First published online 9 December 2009)

Dietary patterns offer an alternative to the analysis of individual foods or nutrients in nutritional epidemiological studies. The aim of the present study was to identify dietary patterns common to different European countries and examine their associations with asthma. In five study centres (two in Germany, two in the UK and one in Norway), 1174 adults aged 29-55 years completed a FFQ and respiratory symptoms questionnaire. A meta-analytic approach was used to identify the dietary patterns and analyse them in relation to current asthma, asthma symptoms and bronchial responsiveness (BHR). Two patterns emerged, generally correlating with the same foods at different centres: one associated with intake of meats and potatoes; the other with fish, fruits and vegetables. There was no evidence that the fish, fruits and vegetables pattern was associated with asthma (OR 1·11 (95 % CI 0·93, 1·33)), symptom score (ratio of means 1·07 (0·98, 1·17)) or BHR (regression coefficient -0.01 (-0.12, 0.10)), though these CI appeared to rule out large protective effects of consuming these foods. There was no overall evidence that the meat and potato pattern was associated with asthma (OR 1·02 (0·79, 1·31)), symptom score (ratio of means 1·07 (0·84, 1·36)) or BHR (regression coefficient -0.08 (-0.27, 0.10)), but there was heterogeneity between centres in the association with symptom score: a negative association at the two German centres; a positive association at the others. Heterogeneity in a multi-centre observational study of diet could suggest alternative explanations for apparent effects of diet, such as uncontrolled confounding.

Asthma: Bronchial responsiveness: Dietary patterns: Meta-analysis

There is accumulating evidence that diet affects the prevalence of asthma, for example via the protective effects of dietary antioxidants<sup>(1)</sup> and n-3 PUFA in oily fish<sup>(2)</sup>. Interpreting the evidence from observational nutritional studies is difficult, however, because of the wide potential for confounding and effect modification. The results of trials are often inconclusive or contradictory<sup>(3)</sup>. Statistical analysis can deal with questions of confounding and interaction of dietary exposures to some extent, but the sheer number of features of diet that can be measured will often defeat comprehensive investigation. An alternative approach is to extract a small number of dietary 'patterns' using data-analytic methods, such as principal components analysis (PCA)<sup>(4)</sup>. This approach is now routinely applied to FFQ data, though applications in respiratory epidemiology have so far been scarce. Prospective cohort studies of US men and women have found that a diet rich in refined grains, cured and red meats, desserts and French fries is positively associated with risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and a diet rich in fruits, vegetables and fish is negatively associated<sup>(5,6)</sup>. Dietary

patterns were not associated with adult-onset asthma in these cohorts. A study of Chinese Singaporeans found that a diet rich in meats. Na and refined carbohydrates was positively associated with habitual cough and phlegm<sup>(7)</sup>, while a study of Japanese female students found that a butter and rapeseed oil dietary pattern, and a fast-food, soft drink and juice dietary pattern were both positively associated with wheeze<sup>(8)</sup>. In France, a study of female teachers found that a pastry, processed meat and dessert pattern was positively associated with reporting frequent asthma attacks, and a nut and wine pattern was negatively associated<sup>(9)</sup>. One problem with the PCA approach is that it does not lend itself well to the synthesis of evidence from different studies. Indeed, there is no *a priori* guarantee that the same patterns will be seen in different countries with differing culinary traditions and sources for foods.

In the present study, we looked at dietary patterns in three countries participating in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) and used these to investigate relationships between diet and asthma in adults.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, on 13 Feb 2018 at 09:44:11, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114509993266

Abbreviations: BHR, bronchial responsiveness; ECRHS, European Community Respiratory Health Survey; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; PCA, principal components analysis.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Dr Richard Hooper, fax +44 020 7351 8322, email richard.hooper2@imperial.ac.uk

We derived the dietary patterns using a meta-analytic approach to PCA which, to our knowledge, has not previously been used in the analysis of FFQ data.

#### Material and methods

# Study design and population

The design of ECRHS has been described in detail elsewhere<sup>(10)</sup>. ECRHS-I ran from 1990 to 1995. At each centre, a random sample of at least 3000 adults aged 20–44 years was selected using a local sampling frame. From those who responded, a random sample of at least 600 adults was selected to undergo a detailed clinical examination. Eight to ten years later, these subjects were contacted to take part in a follow-up study (ECRHS-II) and invited to a local clinic for further assessments, including an interviewer-administered questionnaire. The sample size at each centre was sufficient for comparing changes in prevalence of wheezing, asthma and atopy between centres<sup>(10)</sup>.

Dietary assessments were included in ECRHS-II at some centres, though the method and protocol differed between countries. In the present paper, we report results from five centres in three countries in ECRHS-II, where FFQ were administered: Hamburg and Erfurt in Germany; Ipswich and Norwich in the UK; Bergen in Norway. Three thousand three hundred and eighty-seven adults at these centres were contacted to take part in ECRHS-II.

#### Respiratory outcomes

Questions on asthma and respiratory symptoms were taken from the bronchial symptoms questions of the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease questionnaire<sup>(10)</sup>. Current asthma was defined as an attack of asthma or being woken by an attack of shortness of breath in the last 12 months or currently taking any medicines for asthma. Five questions on symptoms in the last 12 months (breathless when wheezing, woken with tightness in chest, shortness of breath while at rest, shortness of breath after exercise, woken by shortness of breath) were used to construct an asthma symptom score on a five-point scale<sup>(11)</sup>.

Bronchial responsiveness (BHR) was assessed using a methacholine challenge. For safety reasons, the challenge was not carried out on participants whose forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>) was <70% of their predicted value or <1.5 litres. The outcome referred to here as BHR 'slope' is 100/(log slope + 10), where log slope is calculated by regressing percentage fall in FEV<sub>1</sub> on log<sub>10</sub> dose<sup>(12)</sup>. A low 'slope' is indicative of high BHR. To help to assess the impact of having missing slope for low FEV<sub>1</sub> values, FEV<sub>1</sub> was also analysed as a separate outcome.

# FFQ

The German FFQ was developed for use in the German part of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Heidelberg)<sup>(13)</sup>. It recorded a consumption of 158 different foods over the last 12 months as frequencies from never to five portions a day or more. Portion size was selected from a multiple choice, sometimes with reference photos. Supplementary questions covered aspects of diet, such as the preparation and fat content of foods. The FFQ was distributed after the clinical and questionnaire assessments, and the participants were asked to return the completed FFQ by mail.

The UK FFQ was adapted from one developed for EPIC-UK. It recorded a consumption of 198 different foods over the last 12 months as frequencies (from never to 7 d a week) and number of portions consumed on each of these days (portions being defined on the questionnaire). As on the German FFQ, there were also supplementary questions. The Norwegian FFQ was a translation of the UK FFQ, but contained six additional foods not appearing on the UK questionnaire. In Norway, the FFQ was administered at the same clinic visit as the other assessments in ECRHS-II; while in the UK, participants were invited to attend the clinic on a separate occasion to complete the FFQ. In each case, the FFQ was self-completed and checked in the clinic by one of the local research team to cut down on missing data.

Food frequencies were converted to intakes in g/d. In the UK and Norway, this used portion weights from the standard UK reference<sup>(14)</sup>, while in Germany portion sizes were those used with the EPIC  $FFQ^{(13)}$ . For a few foods, such as butter, intake was calculated both from the reported food frequency and the supplementary questions on food preparation and cooking.

#### Nutrient intakes

Nutrient intakes were calculated in each country from FFQ data and supplementary questions, using local food tables<sup>(15–17)</sup>. Because the Norwegian FFQ was originally translated from the UK FFQ, it contained a number of foods not commonly eaten in Norway, hence not included in the Norwegian food tables; UK references were used for these foods where they did occur.

## Exclusions of dietary data

On the UK FFQ and Norwegian FFQ, respondents sometimes left individual items blank. This was assumed to denote zero intakes of these foods unless more than 20% of items were blank, in which case the FFQ was considered incomplete, and the subject was excluded from analyses. Subjects in each country were also excluded if they had extreme values of total energy intake which might suggest an unrealistic response: we calculated expected BMR with given age, weight and sex<sup>(18)</sup>, and excluded subjects with a ratio of energy intake to expected BMR below the 0-5th sample centile or above the 99-5th sample centile for their country<sup>(19)</sup>.

#### Validity and repeatability of FFQ

Validity and repeatability of the German FFQ were assessed in 104 men and women aged 35–64 years as part of a pilot for the EPIC study<sup>(13)</sup>. The FFQ was administered on two occasions in the interval of 6 months. Twelve 24-h dietary recalls applied at monthly intervals served as the reference for the validity of the second FFQ assessment.

Repeatability of the UK FFQ was assessed in eighty-two adults (sixty-six from the sample described in the present

trition
of Nu
urnal o
tish Jo
S S S
~

Table 1. Energy and macronutrient intakes estimated from FFQ: estimates of repeatability and validity and observed distributions

Median and interquartile range values)

I	Geri	nany		¥	Germa	ny ( <i>n</i> 388)	Я	(n 239)	Norwa	ay ( <i>n</i> 547)
	Repeatability (FFQ <sub>1</sub> v. FFQ <sub>2</sub> ; <i>n</i> 104)	Validity‡ (FFQ <sub>2</sub> v. 24 h recall; <i>n</i> 104)	Repeatability (FFQ <sub>1</sub> v. FFQ <sub>2</sub> ; <i>n</i> 82)	Validity§ (FFQ, v. 24 h recall; <i>n</i> 263)	Median	Interquartile range	Median	Interquartile range	Median	Interquartile range
Total energy (MJ/d)	0.68	0.40	0.62	0.27	8.9	7.1-11.1	10.4	8.2-12.7	10.8	8.7-14.1
Protein (g/d)	0.68	0.44	0.51	0.25	78	61–97	88	73-111	105	82-134
Fat (g/d)	0.63	0.41	0.72	0.30	84	64 - 108	86	65-114	98	74-134
Carbohydrates (g/d)	0.69	0.42	0.57	0.30	227	182–292	315	244–390	288	230–365

Responders are those with complete clinical and questionnaire assessments who also completed the FFQ and had a realistic energy intakee

on twelve 24-h dietary recalls. Multiple assessments mean that the estimate of validity can allow for within-person variation in 24-h dietary recall Based

on a single 24-h dietary recal

R. Hooper et al.

paper and sixteen others with asthma symptoms), using two assessments separated by an interval of 5-23 months. Validity of the UK FFQ was assessed in 263 adults (206 from the sample described in the present paper and 57 others with asthma symptoms), using a single 24-h dietary recall. The Norwegian FFQ was not assessed for repeatability or validity.

Validity and repeatability are summarised in Table 1.

# Dietary patterns

Some aggregation of food items on each country's FFQ was necessary to allow intakes to be matched across questionnaires, for example, on the UK FFO and Norwegian FFQ fried egg was aggregated with omelette or scrambled egg to allow comparison with the German FFQ, which simply recorded intake of fried egg, omelette or scrambled egg. We performed the minimum aggregation necessary to allow foods to be matched. This process led to a list of seventy-four foods or food groups whose intake in g/d was available in all three countries (see the Appendix). Aggregation of food items into broader, a priori groupings (often fewer than we have used) is commonplace in the analysis of  $FFQ^{(5,6,20)}$ .

In each centre, we evaluated the correlation matrix of foods on our list and pooled the correlations from different centres using the method of Rosenthal<sup>(21)</sup>. Specifically, each correlation coefficient was transformed using a Fisher transformation  $(0.5 \log((1 + r)/(1 - r)))$  to give it an approximately normal sampling distribution with variance 1/(n-3), where *n* is the sample size for that centre. A weighted average of these values was then calculated, in which each value was given a weight proportional to the inverse of its variance (analogous to pooling estimates of a mean, say, from subsamples of different sizes). An inverse Fisher transformation was then applied to give a pooled correlation coefficient. PCA was applied to the matrix of pooled correlation coefficients, giving us dietary patterns (linear combinations of standardised food intakes), which could be used in all the five centres. This meta-analytic approach to PCA has previously been applied in the field of psychiatry<sup>(22,23)</sup>.

# Data analysis

We looked at whether characteristics of participants influenced rates of responding to the FFQ using logistic regression, with responding as the outcome, adjusting for centre. Social class was based on occupation using International Standard Classification of Occupations-88 codes<sup>(24)</sup>. Subjects were categorised as never, ex- or current smokers based on questionnaire responses and were divided into four groups according to reported frequency of physical exercise ('How often do you usually exercise so much that you get out of breath or sweat?'): never; less than once a week; one to three times a week; or more than three times a week.

We used multivariable regression to investigate associations between the dietary patterns (in quintile groups) and respiratory outcomes at each centre. Logistic regression was used for asthma, negative binomial regression for symptom score and linear regression for BHR slope and FEV<sub>1</sub>. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, social class, smoking status, exercise, BMI and quintiles of total energy intake. The effects of the dietary patterns were also adjusted for each other,

Based

because although principal components are uncorrelated, rotations (even orthogonal ones) can introduce correlations between the dietary patterns.

Regression results were pooled across centres using random effects meta-analysis, with a test for heterogeneity of regression coefficients<sup>(25)</sup>. Heterogeneity was summarised using the  $I^2$  statistic<sup>(26)</sup>.

#### Statistical software

Some analyses of the German data were carried out locally using SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All other analyses were done with Stata 10 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human participants were approved by the Bavarian General Medical Council in Germany, the Ipswich Hospital and Norfolk and Norwich Hospital ethics committees in the UK and the Regional Committee of Medical Research Ethics at the University of Bergen in Norway. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

#### Results

Fig. 1 shows the numbers of people taking part, by centre. Clinical and questionnaire assessments were available for 1740 subjects. Complete FFQ data were provided by 1182 of these, but eight were excluded because they were at the extremes of the distribution of ratio of energy intake to expected BMR in their country (in Germany, there was one under-reporter (ratio <0.59) and one over-reporter (>5.5), in the UK one under-reporter (<0.44) and one overreporter(>3.6), and in Norway two under-reporter (<0.50) and two over-reporter (>5.2)). The 1174 people who responded to the FFQ with a realistic energy intake (35% of those contacted) ranged in age from 29 to 55 years. Those in a higher social class were more likely to be responders  $(P \le 0.001)$ , as were people who exercised more frequently (P=0.012). Current smokers were less likely than ex- or never-smokers to respond (P=0.001). There was no evidence that age, sex or BMI were associated with responding. Of the 1174 responders, reported asthma was available for 1173, asthma score for 1160 and BHR slope for 906. Table 1 summarises total energy and macro-nutrient intakes in responders.

### Principal components analysis

The scree plot from the PCA showed a clear break in the curve after two components, with no natural choice of any larger but still parsimonious number of components to extract. These two dietary patterns explained 11.2% of the variance in the FFO data. Table 2 shows how individual foods were correlated with each of these patterns at the five centres. Although a pattern score is defined as the same weighted sum of standardised food intakes at each centre, correlations with individual foods may differ between the centres because of differences in local diets, and this table is a good way to judge the heterogeneity or homogeneity of dietary patterns. In our case, there was a close match between the centres in the foods which characterised each pattern. The first pattern was closely associated with sliced meat, beef, pork, bacon, sausage and fried egg/scrambled egg/omelette intake at all the centres and also with intake of potato or chips. Depending on the centre, it also correlated closely with bread, butter, biscuits and cakes. This pattern is referred to here, for conciseness, as the 'meat and potato' pattern. The second pattern was closely associated with intakes of several fruits at all the centres and less consistently with intakes of a number of vegetables and fish. This pattern is referred to here as the 'fish, fruits and vegetables' pattern.

#### Dietary patterns and respiratory outcomes

Fig. 2 shows the results of meta-analyses as forest plots. There was no overall evidence that the meat and potato pattern was associated with asthma (P=0.90), symptom score (P=0.58), BHR slope (P=0.39) or FEV<sub>1</sub> (P=0.74), and similarly no evidence that the fish, fruits and vegetables pattern was associated with asthma (P=0.26), symptom score (P=0.16), BHR slope (P=0.89) or FEV<sub>1</sub> (P=0.19).

There was no evidence of heterogeneity across the centres in any of these effects, except in the case of the association

	Hamburg (Germany)	Erfurt (Germany)	lpswich (UK)	Norwich (UK)	Bergen (Norway)	Total
Contacted to take part in ECRHS II	900 	731	448 	473 	835	3387
Clinical and questionnaire assessments	303 	287	297 	257	596 	1740 
Complete FFQ	202	188 	144	97 	551 	1182 
Realistic total energy intake	201	187	143	96	547	1174
Response rate (%; out of total contacted)	22	26	32	20	66	35

Fig. 1. Flow chart showing numbers of subjects in the study. ECRHS, European Community Respiratory Health Survey.

Table 2. How correlates of dietary patterns vary between centres\*

	Centre									
		Meat a	pattern		Fish, fruits and vegetables pattern					
Food	G1	G2	UK1	UK2	Ν	G1	G2	UK1	UK2	Ν
Bread and rolls Butter Jam and marmalade Honey	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.33 0.30	0.41	0.38			
Peanut butter Biscuits Cakes, puddings, desserts Donuts, pastries, tarts	0∙36 0∙31	0·37 0·30	0.38	0.38	0∙35 0∙36					
Yoghurt Ice cream Cream cheese Cottage cheese Hard cheeses Soft cheeses			0·34 0·32	0.43	0.31			0.47		
Boiled egg Fried/scrambled egg, omelette Quiche	0.34	0.37	0.45	0.31	0.51					
Sliced meat Beef steak Beef burger Meat-minced, meat stew, casserole Pork chops Bacon Poultry Maized beef and luncheon meat Sausages Liver Pate	0.36 0.38 0.64 0.60 0.59 0.44 0.57 0.42 0.40 0.36	0.45 0.44 0.42 0.55 0.55 0.40 0.41 0.50 0.39 0.33	0.59 0.45 0.37 0.39 0.53 0.42 0.34 0.53 0.37	0.56 0.40 0.39 0.46 0.46 0.69 0.36 0.55 0.37 0.31	0.45 0.33 0.30 0.39 0.48 0.55 0.44 0.49			0.30		
Fish fillets/cakes/fingers Tinned fish	0.31	0.00		0.01	0.00	0.32	0.34	0.43		0.31
Potato – boiled/mashed/baked Chips Rice and rice dishes Soya†, quorn, bulgur, polenta Vegetarian paste Pizza Soup	0·42 0·30	0·33 0·33 0·38	0.33	0.34	0·40 0·30	0·30 0·30	0·32 0·44 0·32	0.44	0·33 0·34 0·40 0·34	
Broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower Carrots Garlic Peas Peppers Green beans Tomato Bean sprouts Lentils, dahl, bean casserole Tomato ketchup	0.30 0.36		0.31		0.38	0.38 0.51 0.63 0.50	0.33 0.36 0.31 0.37 0.51 0.31	0.48 0.54 0.49 0.47 0.47 0.32 0.31 0.35	0.68 0.47 0.51 0.34 0.41 0.61 0.59 0.42	0.53 0.45 0.31 0.45
Apple Banana Grapes Kiwi, mango, pineapple Orange Pear Peach and nectarine Raspberries, red/blackcurrants Strawberries Tinned/stewed fruit Breakfast cereals Chocolate bars and cereal bars Chocolate						0.45 0.35 0.64 0.63 0.55 0.41 0.42 0.46 0.30 0.48	0.42 0.38 0.40 0.53 0.53 0.39 0.31 0.33 0.43	0.40 0.51 0.45 0.49 0.50 0.39 0.35	0.53 0.53 0.62 0.64 0.48 0.55 0.55 0.54 0.47 0.40	0.48 0.43 0.49 0.44 0.47 0.33 0.35 0.41
Nuts Orange juice Other fruit juice										0.37

	Centre										
	Meat and potato pattern						Fish, fruits and vegetables pattern				
Food	G1	G2	UK1	UK2	Ν	G1	G2	UK1	UK2	Ν	
Fizzy drinks Tea – black/green Herbal tea Coffee (not decaffeinated) Decaffeinated coffee Milk and milky drinks Beer Cider and perry	0.30				0.34	0.30			0.39		
Wine Fortified wine Liqueurs and spirits		0.32		0.30	0.36						

G1, Hamburg, Germany; G2, Erfurt, Germany; UK1, Ipswich, UK; UK2, Norwich, UK; N, Bergen, Norway.

\* Values are Pearson correlation coefficients. For clarity, only values >0.30 or <-0.30 are shown.

† Foods made with soya protein: soya cheese; tofu; textured vegetable protein.

between meat and potato pattern score and symptom score ( $I^2 = 75\%$ ; P=0.003). In this case, it was evident from a visual inspection that the two German centres had qualitatively different results to the others; in fact, when countries were (meta-) analysed separately, increased meat and potato intake was associated with a decrease in symptoms in Germany (ratio of mean number of symptoms per quintile = 0.81; 95% CI 0.68, 0.97; P=0.025) but with an increase in symptoms in the UK (ratio per quintile = 1.34; 95% CI 1.09, 1.67; P=0.007) and Norway (ratio per quintile = 1.24; 95% CI 1.00, 1.55; P=0.051).

## Discussion

We could not confirm any harmful or beneficial effects of fish, fruits and vegetable intake on asthma, though CI ruled out a reduction in the odds of current asthma of more than 7 % per quintile of fish, fruits and vegetable consumption or a reduction in the mean number of asthma symptoms of more than 2% per quintile. Fish, fruits and vegetables are essential components of a Mediterranean diet, which other recent work has found to be associated with improved asthma control in adults<sup>(27)</sup>, lower risk of current severe asthma in girls aged 6-7 years<sup>(28)</sup> and lower risk of allergic rhinitis in children aged 7-18 years<sup>(29)</sup>. Fish in the diet is a source of n-3fatty acids, which may compete with the pro-inflammatory properties of *n*-6 fatty acids<sup>(30,31)</sup>. Recent results from the Respiratory Health in Northern Europe study indicated that infrequent fish intake was associated with increased asthma symptoms<sup>(32)</sup>, but experimental support for this as a major influence on clinical disease is still weak<sup>(33)</sup>. Dietary antioxidants, of which fruits and vegetables should be a rich source, have also been hypothesised to be important in protecting against atopic disease<sup>(34)</sup>. Vitamin E, in particular, has been associated in some studies with a reduction in atopy<sup>(3)</sup>, though a trial of vitamin E supplementation in as thma failed to show an  $effect^{(35)}$ .

The heterogeneous effect of the meat and potato pattern is not easy to explain. Patterns similar to this have often been labelled 'western' and are likely to represent a mixture of dietary components which may independently contribute to asthma risk<sup>(5,6)</sup>. Heterogeneity in multi-centre studies can also suggest alternative explanations for apparent effects of diet observed in single centres, such as uncontrolled confounding, and would make us cautious of progressing to a trial<sup>(36)</sup>. Our study was cross-sectional, and a further possibility is reverse causation, people with asthma may alter their diet in a systematic way at a given centre, for example, to be more 'healthy'.

Note that our analysis gives us common dietary patterns (weighted sums of standardised food intakes) that we can investigate at every centre. However, if a dietary pattern is acting as a proxy for individual foods associated with asthma, then some heterogeneity in its effect might be due to heterogeneity in its associations with these foods. The greatest variation in the correlations of individual foods with the meat and potato pattern was for beef burger (high in Hamburg, low in Bergen), bacon (high in Norwich, low in Erfurt) and poultry (high in Hamburg, low in Norwich).

FFQ are widely used in epidemiological studies to investigate dietary intake of individuals and its association with diseases. FFO are cost-effective tools to assess the usual current and past patterns of food intake over an extended period of time<sup>(37)</sup>. Estimates of the validity of our FFQ data, for Germany at least, were good, being in the same range as those reviewed by Willett<sup>(38)</sup>. In the UK, validity was based on just a single 24-h dietary recall, but correlations were still notably high at 0.25-0.31, and we might reasonably expect that with multiple 24-h dietary recalls and adjustment for within-person variability, we would have observed correlations as good as those seen in Germany. 'True' validity may, in general, be worse than implied by studies using 24-h recall, because of correlated errors. This was the case for the EPIC-Norfolk FFQ, from which our FFQ was derived, for which estimates of Na and nitrogen intake correlated poorly with repeated 24-h urine measurements<sup>(39)</sup>. In Norway, we used a translation of the UK FFQ, but this included all the foods from the Norwegian EPIC  $FFQ^{(40,41)}$ , so we believe it is unlikely to have missed much in the Norwegian diet (we note that the total energy intake calculated from the FFQ in Norway was, if anything, higher than in the UK (Table 1)). Using a direct translation of the UK FFQ had

#### R. Hooper et al.

ES per quintile of dietary pattern scores



Fig. 2. Associations between the two dietary patterns and respiratory outcomes: results of meta-analyses. ES, effect size; BHR, bronchial responsiveness; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 s.

the great advantage of simplifying the pooling of data from Norway and the UK.

The poor response rates in our study were partly due to the time that had expired between the end of ECRHS-I and the recruitment of cohort members into ECRHS-II. Differences between response rates in different countries were also partly explained by differences in procedures. FFQ assessments in the UK were implemented much later than other assessments because the protocol had to be developed and approved separately, and the interval between the two clinic visits was up to 23 months (inter-quartile range 10-16months) helping to explain the low rate of FFQ completion. In Norway, assessments were done in a single clinic visit. In Germany, participants were asked to complete the FFQ at home and return it by mail to reduce the burden placed on them in attending the clinic.

Methods for identifying dietary patterns, such as PCA, have proved a popular way to explore complex diet data in the last decade<sup>(4)</sup>. A meta-analytic approach to deriving dietary patterns across a number of centres has not, to our knowledge, been investigated before. This is, no doubt, partly because FFQ are often specific to a site, making it difficult to pool data. More work is needed to develop FFQ that allow directly comparable data to be collected in different countries. We have shown that the method can be successful in identifying common dietary patterns, as well as evidence for heterogeneity in the effects of those patterns. Heterogeneity in observational studies of diet can sometimes argue against progressing to trials.

In conclusion, we found no firm, consistent evidence for an association of diet with asthma, though CI appeared to rule out large beneficial effects of fish, fruits and vegetable consumption on current asthma or asthma symptoms. However, there are likely to be important, unmeasured confounders associated with dietary choices and observational studies of diet, particularly if they are cross-sectional, must be interpreted with caution.

#### Acknowledgements

The co-ordination of ECRHS-II was funded by the European Union (grant number FP5-QLK4-CT-1999-01 237). The fieldwork in the UK was supported by a grant from Asthma UK (formerly known as the National Asthma Campaign); that in Germany by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft; that in Norway by the Norwegian Research Council, the Norwegian Asthma and Allergy Association, GlaxoWellcome AS and the Norway Research Fund. R. H. and V. G.-L. are supported by the Department of Health, UK (grant number DHTBX-P05909). In addition, we acknowledge the contribution of the ECRHS-II steering committee, without whom the study would not be possible: Ursula Ackermann-Liebrich; Josep Antó; P. B.; Isa Cerveri; Sue Chinn; Roberto de Marco; Thorarinn Gislason; J. H.; Christer Janson; Deborah Jarvis; Jill Knox; Nino Künzli; Bénédicte Leyneart; Christina Luczynska; Françoise Neukirch; Jan Schouten; Jordi Sunyer; Cecilie Svanes; Paul Vermeire; Matthias Wist. Contributions of the co-authors of this manuscript were as follows: J. H., E. O., S. S. and P. B. were involved in acquiring and managing the data; R. H. and I. B. conducted the data analysis; S. S. and V. G.-L. advised on nutritional analyses; R. H. wrote the first draft, and all the authors contributed to the final version. None of the authors has any conflicts of interest (personal, commercial, political, academic or financial).

# References

 Shaheen SO, Sterne JAC, Thompson RL, et al. (2001) Dietary antioxidants and asthma in adults – population-based case– control study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 164, 1823–1828.

- Hodge L, Salome CM, Peat JK, et al. (1996) Consumption of oily fish and childhood asthma risk. *Med J Aust* 164, 137–140.
- 3. Devereux G & Seaton A (2005) Diet as a risk factor for atopy and asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **115**, 1109–1117.
- Newby PK & Tucker KL (2004) Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: a review. *Nutr Rev* 62, 177–203.
- Varraso R, Fung TT, Hu FB, *et al.* (2007) Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US men. *Thorax* 62, 786–791.
- Varraso R, Fung TT, Barr RG, et al. (2007) Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women. Am J Clin Nutr 86, 488–495.
- Butler LM, Koh WP, Lee HP, et al. (2006) Prospective study of dietary patterns and persistent cough with phlegm among Chinese Singaporeans. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 173, 264–270.
- Takaoka M & Norback D (2008) Diet among Japanese female university students and asthmatic symptoms, infections, pollen and furry pet allergy. *Respir Med* 102, 1045–1054.
- 9. Varraso R, Kauffmann F, Leynaert B, *et al.* (2009) Dietary patterns and asthma in the E3N study. *Eur Resp J* **33**, 33–41.
- European Community Respiratory Health Survey II Steering Committee (2002) The European Community Respiratory Health Survey II. *Eur Respir J* 20, 1071–1079.
- 11. Sunyer J, Pekkanen J, Garcia-Esteban R, *et al.* (2007) Asthma score: predictive ability and risk factors. *Allergy* **62**, 142–148.
- Chinn S, Arossa WA, Jarvis DL, *et al.* (1997) Variation in nebulizer aerosol output and weight output from the Mefar dosimeter: implications for multicentre studies. *Eur Respir J* 10, 452–456.
- Bohlscheid-Thomas S, Hoting I, Boeing H, et al. (1997) Reproducibility and relative validity of energy and macronutrient intake of a food frequency questionnaire developed for the German part of the EPIC project (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition). Int J Epidemiol 26, S71–S81.
- 14. Food Standards Agency (1993) *Food Portion Sizes*, 2nd ed. Norwich: HMSO.
- Federal Institute for Health Protection of Consumers (BgVV) (1999) Der Bundeslebensmittelschlussel (BLS II.3) (The Bundeslebensmittelschlussel (BLS II.3))/The German Food Code and Nutrient Database. Berlin: BgVV.
- 16. Food Standards Agency (2002) *McCance and Widdowson's the Composition of Foods*, 6th ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Norwegian Food Safety Authority, Directorate for Health and Social Affairs, and University of Oslo (2006) http:// matportalen.no/matvaretabellen
- Department of Health (1991) Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom. London: HMSO.
- 19. Welch AA, Luben R, Khaw KT, *et al.* (2005) The CAFE computer program for nutritional analysis of the EPIC-Norfolk food frequency questionnaire and identification of extreme nutrient values. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **18**, 99–116.
- McCann SE, Marshall JR, Brasure JR, et al. (2001) Analysis of patterns of food intake in nutritional epidemiology: food classification in principal components analysis and the subsequent impact on estimates for endometrial cancer. *Public Health Nutr* 4, 989–997.
- 21. Rosenthal R (1991) Meta-analytic Procedures for Social Research, revised ed., pp. 87. London: Sage.
- 22. Smith DA, Mar CM & Turoff BK (1998) The structure of schizophrenic symptoms: a meta-analytic confirmatory factor analysis. *Schizophr Res* **31**, 57–70.
- Grube BS, Bilder RM & Goldman RS (1998) Meta-analysis of symptom factors in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 31, 113–120.

- 24. International Labour Office (1991) International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-88). Geneva: International Labour Organisation.
- 25. DerSimonian R & Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 7, 177–188.
- Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks J, et al. (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327, 557–560.
- Barros R, Moreira A, Fonseca J, *et al.* (2008) Adherence to the Mediterranean diet and fresh fruit intake are associated with improved asthma control. *Allergy* 63, 917–923.
- Garcia-Marcos L, Canflanca IM, Garrido JB, *et al.* (2007) Relationship of asthma and rhinoconjunctivitis with obesity, exercise and Mediterranean diet in Spanish schoolchildren. *Thorax* 62, 503–508.
- Chatzi L, Apostolaki G, Bibakis I, *et al.* (2007) Protective effect of fruits, vegetables and the Mediterranean diet on asthma and allergies among children in Crete. *Thorax* 62, 677–683.
- 30. Black PN & Sharpe S (1997) Dietary fat and asthma: is there a connection? *Eur Respir J* **10**, 6–12.
- Sausenthaler S, Koletzko B & Heinrich J (2006) Dietary fat intake and allergic diseases. *Curr Nutr Food Sci* 2, 351–359.
- Laerum BN, Wentzel-Larsen T, Gulsvik A, et al. (2007) Relationship of fish and cod oil intake with adult asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 37, 1616–1623.
- 33. Thien FCK, Woods R & De Luca S, *et al.* (2002) Dietary marine fatty acids (fish oil) for asthma in adults and children *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, issue 2,

CD001283. http://www.mrw.interscience.wiley.com/cochrane/ clsysrev/articles/CD001283/frame.html

- Seaton A, Godden DJ & Brown K (1994) Increase in asthma a more toxic environment or a more susceptible population? *Thorax* 49, 171–174.
- 35. Pearson PJK, Lewis SA, Britton J, *et al.* (2004) Vitamin E supplements in asthma: a parallel group randomised placebo controlled trial. *Thorax* **59**, 652–656.
- Burney P, Potts J, Makowska J, et al. (2008) A case-control study of the relation between plasma selenium and asthma in European populations: a GA<sup>2</sup>LEN project. Allergy 63, 865-871.
- 37. Cade JE, Burley VJ, Warm DL, *et al.* (2004) Food-frequency questionnaires: a review of their design, validation and utilisation. *Nutr Res Rev* **17**, 5–22.
- Willett WC (1990) Nutritional Epidemiology. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Day NE, McKeown N, Wong MY, *et al.* (2001) Epidemiological assessment of diet: a comparison of a 7-day diary with a food frequency questionnaire using urinary markers of nitrogen, potassium and sodium. *Int J Epidemiol* **30**, 309–317.
- Engeset D, Alsaker E, Ciampi A, et al. (2005) Dietary patterns and lifestyle factors in the Norwegian EPIC cohort: the Norwegian Women and Cancer (NOWAC) study. Eur J Clin Nutr 59, 675–684.
- 41. The Norwegian Women and Cancer Study (NOWAC), http:// uit.no/kk/Questionnaire/ (accessed August 2009).

# Appendix: Food items in each of the food groups analysed

	Food items on FFQ					
Food groups analysed	UK/Norway	Germany				
Bread and rolls	Bread and toast Bread rolls, hamburger rolls, French bread, etc	Rye bread, rye-wheat bread White bread, wheat bread Wholemeal bread White rolls Brown and wholemeal rolls				
Butter	Butter spread on bread, toast, rolls, crackers	Butter Butter				
Jam and marmalade Honey Peanut butter	Jam, marmalade Honey Peanut butter Chacelate, checelate, and put spreads	Marmalade, jam and jelly Honey Chocolate and nut spread, chocolate				
Biscuits	Chocolate biscuits Plain biscuits Sandwich or cream biscuits	Crackers, biscuits				
Cakes, puddings and desserts	Cakes (sponge, gateau, chocolate, ginger, etc) Fruit cake	Fruit cake (e.g. apple cake, rhubarb cake) Pound cake, quick bread, ring-shaped cake				
	Puddings and desserts (cheese cake, fruit pie, jelly, rice pudding, etc)	Layer cake, cream cake, flan (including cheesecake) Yeast pastry (e.g. crumb cake, Stollen) Sweet casseroles (e.g. rice pudding, curd casserole) Pudding, fruit quark, sundae or other sweet food				
Donuts, pastries and tarts Yoghurt	Donuts, custard tarts, and other pastries or tarts Yoghurt, thick and creamy Yoghurt, low fat Yoghurt, low energy Yoghurt, Greek	Buns (e.g. apple turnover, cinnamon bun) Yoghurt				
Ice cream Cream cheese Cottage cheese	Ice cream Cream cheese, other cheese spread Cottage cheese	lce cream Cream cheese Quark, herb quark (but not fruit quark)				

Downle aded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, on 13 Feb 2018 at 09:44:11, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114509993266

## Appendix: Continued

NS British Journal of Nutrition

	Food items on FFQ						
Food groups analysed	UK/Norway	Germany					
Hard cheeses	Cheddar cheese and other hard cheeses	Gouda, Emmental, Tilsiter and other hard cheese					
Soft cheeses	Brie cheese and other soft cheeses	Soft cheese Camembert, brie, gorgonzola and					
Boiled eag	Boiled or poached egg	Hard- and soft-boiled egg					
Fried egg, scrambled egg and omelette	Omelette or scrambled egg Fried egg	Fried egg, scrambled egg, omelette					
Quiche Sliced meat	Quiche and other savoury flans Sliced meat (roast or boiled) – beef, lamb or pork	Quiche, onion pancakes, bacon cakes Ham, cold smoked pork loin, cold roast mea Roast beef, boiled beef Boast park					
Beef steak	Beef steak	Reef steak fillet loin					
Beef burger	Beef burger (with or without bun)	Beef burger, meatloaf					
Minced meat, meat stew and casserole	Beef minced with gravy, chilli con carne, bolognese sauce, etc	Minced meat in sauce, hash Stuffed roll of beef					
	Meat stew, casserole, mince, curry	Beef stew, beef cut in pieces Pork stew, pork cut in pieces Boiled pork, knuckle of pork					
Pork chops	Pork chops	Pork cutlet, chop, steak, fillet, loin Smoked pork loin, pork ribs					
Bacon	Bacon	Pork belly					
Poultry	Chicken, turkey, other poultry, roast Chicken, turkey, other poultry in sauce	Roast chicken Turkey strips, turkey in breadcrumbs, chicken fricassee, duck, goose, or other poultry					
Corned beef and luncheon meat	Corned beef or luncheon meat	Salami, hard Mettwurst Bierschinken, Lvoner, Jagdwurst,					
Sausages	Sausages – beef, pork, other meat	Schinkenwurst, or other cold meats Bratwurst					
J.		Wienerle, Frankfurter, Bockwurst, Knackwurst, sausage loaf					
Liver	Liver, kidney and other offal	Liver					
Pâté	Pâté	Liverwurst Teewurst, soft Mettwurst, or other					
Fish fillets, fish cakes and fish fingers	<ul> <li>White fish - not coated (cod, halibut, haddock, whiting, plaice, sole, etc)</li> <li>White fish - in batter or crumbs (cod, haddock, plaice, etc)</li> <li>Oily fish (herring, mackerel, salmon - not tinned, trout, kippers, etc)</li> <li>Eich cakes fich fingers</li> </ul>	spreadable sausage Fish (e.g. natural or breaded fillet, fish fingers)					
Tinned fish	Tinned fish (sardines, pilchards, tuna, salmon, etc)	Tinned fish, smoked fish (e.g. tuna, pickled herring, salmon, smoked trout)					
Potato – boiled, mashed or baked	Potato, boiled or mashed Potato, baked in jacket	Boiled potatoes, jacket potato as side dish					
China	China	Mashed potato					
Rice and rice dishes	Plain rice Bice dishes (e.g. pilau, risotto, paella)	Rice (e.g. risotto, paella, as a main					
Foods made with soya protein, quorn, bulgur, polenta	Soya cheese Quorn dishes Textured vegetable protein, Sosmix,	Vegetarian foods (e.g. polenta, Getreidebratlinge, soya mince, tofu)					
Vegetarian naste	Vegetable burger mix, soya sausage Bulgur wheat Vegetable nâté	Vegetarian paste					
Pizza	Nut pâté Pizza with meat	Pizza					
	Pizza with vegetables						
Soup	Packet soups Low energy soups Cream soups (tinned or fresh) Other soups (tinned or fresh)	Soup					

#### 1364

#### Appendix: Continued

Food groups analysed	UK/Norway	Germany		
Broccoli, cabbage	Broccoli	Cauliflower, red cabbage, white		
and cauliflower	Savoy cabbage, spinach, spring	cabbage, konirabi, broccoli, and		
	White eshbage	Spinoch		
		Spinach		
Correto		Dow correto		
Carrois	Carrol (raw or cooked)	Raw carrols		
O a vilia	Orthe			
Gariic	Garlic	Garlic, termented/roasted		
Peas	Peas	Green peas		
Peppers	ppers Peppers (green, red, yellow, orange)			
		Cooked pepper		
Green beans	Runner beans, green beans, mange tout, sugar snaps, other green beans	Green beans		
Tomato	Tomato (raw, cooked, sauce)	Raw tomato in summer		
		Raw tomato in winter		
		Cooked tomato, tomato sauce		
Bean sprouts	Bean sprouts	Bean sprouts		
Lentils, dahl and mixed	Lentils. dahl	Lentil stew, pea stew, bean stew		
bean casserole	Mixed bean casserole	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Tomato ketchup	Tomato ketchup	Ketchup		
Apple	Apple	Apple (summer/autumn)		
	· + + · -	Apple (winter/spring)		
Banana	Banana	Banana (summer/autumn)		
	Danana	Banana (winter/spring)		
Grapes	Grapes	Grapes		
Kiwi, mango and pineapple	Kiwi	Kiwi, fresh pineapple, mango		
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Mango	(summer/autumn)		
	Pineannle	Kiwi fresh nineannle mango		
	Глюдрю	(winter/spring)		
Orange	Orange	Orange, grapefruit		

Pear Peach and nectarine Raspberries, red currants and blackcurrants Strawberries Tinned or stewed fruit

Breakfast cereals

Chocolate bars and cereal bars

Chocolate

Nuts

**VS** British Journal of Nutrition

Orange juice Other fruit juice

Fizzy drinks

Tea - black and green

Herbal tea Coffee (not decaffeinated)

Decaffeinated coffee

Pear Nectarines peaches Raspberries Red or black currants Strawberries Canned or stewed fruit (not including dried fruit) Breakfast cereals

Chocolate bars (e.g. Mars, Twix) Cereal bars, flapjacks Fruit bar Milk chocolate bar Plain chocolate bar Peanuts Pistachios Cashews Almonds Walnuts, pecans Mixed nuts and raisins Orange juice (pure fruit juice) Other fruit juice (pure fruit juice)

Fizzy drinks (coke, lemonade, etc not diet drinks) Low energy/diet drinks Tea, Indian Tea, Chinese (green tea) Tea, herbal Coffee, instant Coffee, fresh Coffee, decaffeinated

ermented/roasted eas per pepper eans nato in summer nato in winter tomato, tomato sauce routs ew, pea stew, bean stew ummer/autumn) /inter/spring) (summer/autumn) (winter/spring) sh pineapple, mango ner/autumn) sh pineapple, mango r/spring) grapefruit Mandarin orange Pear Peach, nectarine Blackcurrants, raspberries, blackberries, or other berries

Cereal flakes, grains, muesli Cornflakes etc Break-time snacks (e.g. Mars, cereal bar)

#### Chocolate

Strawberries

Stewed fruit, tinned fruit

Nuts (e.g. peanuts, walnuts, Brazil nuts)

Orange juice, grapefruit juice Apple juice Grape juice, cherry juice, pineapple juice or other fruit juice Multivitamin juice Lemonade Cola

Black tea, green tea

Fruit tea, herb tea Coffee with caffeine

Decaffeinated coffee

#### R. Hooper et al.

Food items on FFQ

## Appendix: Continued

Food groups analysed	Food items on FFQ				
	UK/Norway	Germany			
Milk and milky drinks	Plain milk to drink Hot chocolate, cocoa Horlicks, Ovaltine, Bournvita, etc Low energy milky drinks	Milk, milky drink (e.g. cocoa, but not including milk with coffee)			
Beer	Beer, lager	Beer			
Cider and perry	Cider, perry	Alcohol made with fruit, e.g. cider, most			
Wine	Red wine	wine			
	White wine	Sparkling wine			
	Rosé wine				
Fortified wine	Fortified wines (sherry, port, etc)	Aperitifs, dessert wine, fortified wine (e.g. sherry, port)			
Liqueurs and spirits	Liqueurs				
	Spirits (vodka, gin, whisky, brandy)	Spirits (e.g. brandy, whisky, schnapps)			