Joubert, DA; Walker, T; Carrington, LB; De Bruyne, JT; Kien, DH; Hoang, NleT; Chau, NV; Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I; Simmons, CP; O’Neill, SL; (2016) Establishment of a Wolbachia Superinfection in Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes as a Potential Approach for Future Resistance Management. PLoS pathogens, 12 (2). e1005434. ISSN 1553-7366 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005434

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2534290/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005434

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/
Establishment of a Wolbachia Superinfection in Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes as a Potential Approach for Future Resistance Management

D. Albert Joubert1☯, Thomas Walker1☯, Lauren B. Carrington2,3☯, Jyotika Taneja De Bruyne1, Duong Hue T. Kien2, Nhat Le Thanh Hoang2, Nguyen Van Vinh Chau4, Iñaki Iturbe-Ormaetxe1, Cameron P. Simmons2,3*, Scott L. O’Neill1*

1 School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 2 Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Wellcome Trust Major Overseas Programme, Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, 3 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute, Parkville, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 4 Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.
¤ Current address: Department of Disease Control, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, United Kingdom
*
csimmons@unimelb.edu.au (CPS); scott.oneill@monash.edu (SLO)

Abstract

Wolbachia pipientis is an endosymbiotic bacterium estimated to chronically infect between 40–75% of all arthropod species. Aedes aegypti, the principle mosquito vector of dengue virus (DENV), is not a natural host of Wolbachia. The transinfection of Wolbachia strains such as wAlbB, wMel and wMelPop-CLA into Ae. aegypti has been shown to significantly reduce the vector competence of this mosquito for a range of human pathogens in the laboratory. This has led to wMel-transinfected Ae. aegypti currently being released in five countries to evaluate its effectiveness to control dengue disease in human populations. Here we describe the generation of a superinfected Ae. aegypti mosquito line simultaneously infected with two avirulent Wolbachia strains, wAlbB and wMel. The line carries a high overall Wolbachia density and tissue localisation of the individual strains is very similar to each respective single infected parental line. The superinfected line induces unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) when crossed to each single infected parental line, suggesting that the superinfection would have the capacity to replace either of the single constituent infections already present in a mosquito population. No significant differences in fitness parameters were observed between the superinfected line and the parental lines under the experimental conditions tested. Finally, the superinfected line blocks DENV replication more efficiently than the single wMel strain when challenged with blood meals from viremic dengue patients. These results suggest that the deployment of superinfections could be used to replace single infections and may represent an effective strategy to help manage potential resistance by DENV to field deployments of single infected strains.
Author Summary

Dengue fever is a viral disease transmitted by Aedes aegypti mosquitoes and more than 30% of the world’s population is at risk. The control of dengue virus (DENV) transmission has been problematic as no vaccines or drugs are effective against the four serotypes. Vector control of mosquitoes during epidemics is considered the only option to prevent transmission. Recently, a novel biocontrol method using the endosymbiotic bacterium Wolbachia has been developed in which DENV replication is significantly inhibited in Wolbachia-infected Ae. aegypti. This bacterium also induces a reproductive phenotype called cytoplasmic incompatibility that allows rapid invasion of uninfected mosquito populations. Like any control method, evolutionary responses are expected of the system that might limit its future effectiveness. Here we report the generation and characterization of a superinfected Ae. aegypti line containing two Wolbachia strains (wMel and wAlbB). We show that stable Wolbachia superinfections are more effective at blocking dengue than single infections. Superinfections also demonstrate a cytoplasmic incompatibility phenotype that should enable them to replace single infections in the field. This represents a potential mechanism for resistance management in regions where single infections have already been deployed.

Introduction

The endosymbiotic bacterium Wolbachia pipientis was first discovered in 1924 by Marshall Hertig and Burt Wolbach in ovaries of the mosquito Culex pipiens [1]. Wolbachia is a Gram-negative, obligate endosymbiont that is maternally transmitted [2]. It is estimated that around 40–75% of all arthropod species are infected with Wolbachia [3, 4] and the phenomenal success of this bacterium has been attributed to its ability to manipulate the reproductive biology of its host to provide it with a vertical transmission advantage in host populations [5]. These manipulations include feminization, parthenogenesis, cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) and male-killing [6, 7]. Of these reproductive phenotypes, CI is probably the best studied and describes the phenomenon of early embryonic death resulting from crosses between an infected male and uninfected female or in crosses involving two different Wolbachia strains [7, 8].

More recently, Wolbachia has been shown to limit pathogen replication, in particular the enveloped, positive single-stranded RNA viruses such as dengue (DENV), yellow fever (YFV) and chikungunya (CHIKV) [9–12]. Wolbachia also inhibits additional human pathogens transmitted by mosquitoes including filarial nematodes [13] and malaria parasites [14–16]. The mechanism of pathogen inhibition by Wolbachia is still being investigated, but blocking has been linked to priming of the host innate immune system and competition for limited resources between pathogens and Wolbachia [17, 18].

The ability of Wolbachia to limit pathogen replication has led to the field deployment of Ae. aegypti transinfected with two Drosophila Wolbachia strains, wMel and wMelPop-CLA [19, 20]. wMelPop-CLA is a pathogenic strain that grows to high densities in insect hosts and infected adult insects have significantly reduced lifespan [21]. In contrast, the closely related wMel strain is avirulent and grows to a lower density in most insect tissues. Correspondingly, total DENV inhibition in whole adult wMel-infected mosquitoes is lower than in wMelPop-CLA infected mosquitoes [12]. However, key to the success of such an approach is the use of Wolbachia strains that can successfully invade wild mosquito populations through the action of CI. The wMelPop-CLA Wolbachia strain imposes significant fitness costs to Aedes mosquitoes including reducing fecundity and egg longevity [9, 12, 22, 23]. Although the
wMelPop-CLA strain has a stronger inhibitory effect on total DENV replication in whole mosquito bodies, the significant fitness costs were predicted to prevent invasion of wild mosquito populations [24]. Semi-field cage experiments revealed that the wMel strain would likely invade wild mosquito populations at a faster rate than the virulent wMelPop-CLA strain [12]. Based on these findings, the wMel strain was released into two suburbs of Cairns, Australia in 2011 and reached fixation in mosquito populations within a few months [19].

The avirulent Wolbachia strain wAlbB, transinfected from closely related Aedes albopictus mosquitoes, also inhibits DENV replication in Ae. aegypti with smaller fitness costs than wMelPop-CLA [25]. If avirulent Wolbachia strains such as wMel or wAlbB induce the most favourable phenotypic effects for establishment in wild mosquito populations, the potential long-term development of resistance to the inhibitory effects on DENV must be considered. A strategy to overcome the potential development of DENV resistance to either the wMel or wAlbB strains in wild mosquito populations is to release a superinfected line that would ‘sweep over’ the existing single infection. In this study, we describe the generation of an Ae. aegypti mosquito line co-infected with Wolbachia strains wMel and wAlbB. The CI attributes of this superinfected line, named wMelwAlbB, indicate the superinfection should replace either single infection in a population and as such provide a potential mechanism to address resistance if it were to develop. In addition, the superinfected strain shows fitness costs compatible with a successful field deployment and inhibition of DENV that is predicted to have a large impact on dengue transmission in human populations.

**Results**

**Wolbachia** density in superinfected adult female *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes

Total Wolbachia density in the superinfected *Ae. aegypti* line was determined using qPCR and primers specific for the gene encoding the Wolbachia surface protein (*wsp*) in conjunction with the *Ae. aegypti* *rps17* gene to ‘normalise’ for differences in mosquito size. After infection densities had stabilized by generation 18 (G18), the total Wolbachia density in the wMelwAlbB line was higher than in either parental line and comparable to the virulent wMelPop-CLA strain (Fig 1A).

The tissue localization within adult female mosquitoes of both the wMel and wAlbB Wolbachia strains in the superinfected line was determined by fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) in formaldehyde-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections using specific probes against wMel (labelled in red) and wAlbB (labelled in green) (Fig 1B). The Wolbachia tissue tropism in the superinfected line was compared with the wMel and wAlbB strains in the parental, single infected lines. We confirmed the specificity and lack of cross-reactivity of the wMel and wAlbB FISH probes by using both probes against each of the parental lines. No Wolbachia signal was detected in wAlbB mosquitoes when using the wMel probe, and vice versa.

Our FISH studies demonstrated the coexistence of both strains in various tissues within the adult female mosquito body. As expected for maternally transmitted symbionts, both wMel and wAlbB strains were particularly abundant in the ovaries (Fig 1B). In addition, both strains were also found to co-localise in somatic tissues such as fat body, nervous tissue (e.g. thoracic ganglia), Malpighian tubules and salivary glands (S1 Fig). The density of wAlbB in all these tissues was similar in the wMelwAlbB line as in the single wAlbB-infected line. However, wMel was more abundant in the Malpighian tubules, fat body and muscle from the super infected line than in the parental wMel line. The density of wMel in salivary glands appeared to be similar in the super infected *Ae. aegypti* line as in the single wMel line. Interestingly, the wMel and wAlbB Wolbachia strains showed quite distinct localisation patterns in ovaries of superinfected wMelwAlbB line females. Whereas wMel was found evenly
Fig 1. *Wolbachia* density and distribution in the *Ae. aegypti* superinfected line. (A) Comparison of total *Wolbachia* density between *wMelwAlbB* (G18), *wMel*, *wAlbB* and *wMelPop-CLA* infected mosquitoes. Density is expressed as the mean ratio between the *wMel* or *wAlbB* *wsp* gene and the *Ae. aegypti* host *rps17* gene. Standard error of the mean is indicated (n = 10). (B) *Wolbachia* distribution in the ovaries of *wMel*, *wAlbB* and *wMelwAlbB* infected adult female mosquitoes. *Wolbachia* was visualised using FISH with probes specific to *wMel* (red) and *wAlbB* (green). DNA is stained in blue using DAPI.
distributed throughout the whole egg chamber (nurse cells and oocyte), wAlbB was concentrated in the posterior end of the egg chamber that contains the oocyte (Fig 1B). This is similar to the tropism observed in each the parental lines (Fig 1B). These differences in tropism could represent different patterns of binding of these two strains to the host microtubules and dynein as well as kinesin-1 that appear to drive the movement of Wolbachia into the oocyte during oogenesis [26, 27].

Cytoplasmic incompatibility and maternal transmission

Maternal transmission was determined from crosses between wMel and wAlbB infected females and uninfected wild type males. We observed 100% maternal transmission for wAlbB across all generations and 97%, 98% and 100% transmission for wMel across generations G12, G14 and G17 respectively (Table 1).

Females of the superinfected line at G12, G14 and G17 were crossed to wild type males and their progeny were screened for the presence of wAlbB and wMel by qPCR.

Table 1. Maternal transmission rates of wMel and wAlbB in the superinfected wMelwAlbB Ae. aegypti line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation</th>
<th># Positive progeny—wAlbB</th>
<th># Positive progeny—wMel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G12</td>
<td>88 (100%)</td>
<td>88 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G14</td>
<td>64 (100%)</td>
<td>63 (98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17</td>
<td>64 (100%)</td>
<td>64 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) was determined by quantifying viable eggs resulting from a series of crosses between Wolbachia infected and wild type mosquitoes. Mean hatching rates are reported and standard error of the mean is indicated.

Table 2. Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) between Wolbachia infected and wild type mosquitoes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Females</th>
<th>WT (95.1 ± 1%)</th>
<th>wMel (0%)</th>
<th>wAlbB (0%)</th>
<th>wMelwAlbB (0.1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wMel</td>
<td>62.3 ± 4%</td>
<td>77.5 ± 6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wAlbB</td>
<td>77.8 ± 4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>72.0 ± 4%</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wMelwAlbB</td>
<td>82.4 ± 2%</td>
<td>58.9 ± 4%</td>
<td>67.8 ± 5%</td>
<td>66.8 ± 6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI was determined by quantifying viable eggs resulting from a series of crosses between Wolbachia infected and wild type mosquitoes. Mean hatching rates are reported and standard error of the mean is indicated.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005434.t002

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005434.t001
To assess longevity, we compared the survival over time of uninfected, *wMel*-infected, *wAlbB*-infected and superinfected *Ae. aegypti* males (Fig 2A) and females (Fig 2B). Using a Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) as well as a Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test we observed a significant *Wolbachia* strain effect on the survival of both females (df = 3, \( p < 0.0001 \)) and males (df = 3, \( p \leq 0.0001 \)). Superinfected females survived significantly shorter than uninfected mosquitoes (df = 1, \( p = 0.0034 \)) or mosquitoes infected with either *wMel* (df = 1, \( p < 0.0001 \)) or

**Fig 2.** Survival plots comparing the adult lifespan of wild type *Ae. aegypti* *wMel*, *wAlbB* and *wMelwAlbB* females (A) and males (B). Wild type mosquitoes are indicated in black, *wMel* in blue, *wAlbB* in green and *wMelwAlbB* in red. All experiments were conducted using mosquitoes from G8 of the superinfected line.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005434.g002
Superinfected females had a mean survival time of 38 days compared to 43.5 days for uninfected females, 47.5 days for \textit{wMel}-infected females and 50.5 days for \textit{wAlbB}-infected females (Fig 2B). No significant difference in survival between superinfected and \textit{wMel}-infected males (37 vs 34 days, \textit{df} = 1, \textit{p} = 0.16) was observed. However, both \textit{wMel}-infected and superinfected males survived significantly shorter than both uninfected males (42 days, \textit{df} = 1, \textit{p} < 0.0001) and \textit{wAlbB}-infected males (48 days) (\textit{df} = 1, \textit{p} < 0.0001) (Fig 2A).

**Fecundity.** To assess egg production in the infected and uninfected lines, three independent human blood feeders fed females from each line and single females were subsequently allowed to oviposit on individual pieces of wet filter paper. Total egg laying surface were kept consistent between individual females. From these, 20 egg papers for each blood feeder were randomly selected (60 papers per line) and the egg numbers scored. Using a two-way ANOVA, we first determined that individual blood feeders did not contribute significantly to the observed variation (\textit{F} = 2.858, \textit{p} = 0.059) and that the majority of observed variation was derived from the respective lines (\textit{F} = 9.551, \textit{p} < 0.0001). All the counts from each line (60 in total) were then combined and statistical differences were determined using a Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparisons test. No significant differences were observed between the superinfected strain and the uninfected or parental strains. In our study design, \textit{wMel}-infected females laid
significantly more eggs than uninfected (p < 0.001) and wAlbB-infected (p < 0.001) females (Fig 3A).

**Egg hatch.** The hatch rates of eggs were compared between infected and uninfected lines after 2, 4 and 8 weeks. For each line, approximately 250 females were fed by a single human blood feeder and allowed to oviposit on wet filter papers. The papers were collected, dried and for each storage period, 4 papers per line were hatched. Statistical differences between hatch rates for each line were determined using a two-way ANOVA. We observed a significant effect for both time (F = 20.21, p < 0.0001) as well as mosquito line (F = 76.77, p < 0.0001). Differences between the four lines for each time point are summarized in Fig 3B. In particular, we found that eggs from the superinfected line had significantly lower hatch rates over time than eggs from uninfected females or eggs from wAlbB females at all time points. Compared to wMel, we found a small but significant decrease in egg hatch percentage of wMel-wAlbB infected eggs after 2 weeks. However, no significant differences could be found at the 4 and 8 week time points.

**Comparative susceptibility to DENV infection**

To test the extent to which DENV replication is relatively inhibited in the wMel-wAlbB line, we first challenged wild type, wMel, wAlbB, wMelPop-CLA and wMelwAlbB infected mosquitoes with DENV-2 using intrathoracic injections. A DENV-2 strain ET300 was injected at a titre of 10⁹ genome copies/mL and mosquitoes were incubated for 7 days. Positive strand DENV-2 RNA genome copies were detected and quantified in whole mosquito bodies using qRT-PCR. Consistent with previous findings, we saw a significant ~ 1 log reduction of DENV-2 genome copies in wMel and wAlbB, whilst in wMelPop-CLA mosquitoes, DENV-2 genome copies were dramatically reduced by ~ 4 logs (Fig 4A). No significant differences in DENV-2 copies between the wMel-wAlbB superinfected line and each of the parental lines were observed (Fig 4A). However, DENV-2 infection rates (calculated as the percentage of DENV-2 infected mosquitoes of the total injected) in wMel-wAlbB were consistently lower (69%) than both wMel (89%) (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.034) and wAlbB (100%) (Fisher’s exact test, p > 0.0001).

We next challenged wild type, wMel, wAlbB and wMel-wAlbB mosquitoes with DENV-2 (ET300) by oral feeding. Defribrinated sheep blood was inoculated with 10⁷ DENV genome copies per ml and 5–6 day old females from each line were allowed to feed for 2 hours using artificial feeders. Fully fed females were selected and incubated for 14 days. Positive strand DENV-2 RNA genome copies were detected and quantified in whole mosquito bodies using qPCR. We found a significant ~1.5 log reduction in DENV-2 genome copies in wMel, wAlbB as well as wMel-wAlbB mosquitoes compared to wild type. No significant difference in DENV-2 genome copies between the three Wolbachia-infected lines were found (Fig 4B). We did observe non-significant, lower DENV infection rates in the wMel-wAlbB infected line (15%) as compared to the wMel (30%) (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.41) and wAlbB (35%) (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.24) infected lines (Fig 4B).

We then assessed the susceptibility of wild type, wMel and wMel-wAlbB mosquitoes to DENV infection after feeding on human viremic blood from 43 dengue patients admitted to the Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Two feeds were excluded from analysis; a flow chart describing the number of blood fed mosquitoes, their survival and the final cohorts for analyses are described in S2 Fig. The characteristics of the 41 blood donor patients are shown in S1 Table. DENV-1 and DENV-4 were the predominant infecting serotypes in the patient donors (88% of infectious feeds).

The wMel and superinfected wMel-wAlbB lines had lower frequencies of DENV infection than wild-type mosquitoes in abdomens and saliva (Fig 5 and Table 3). Across all time points,
a total of 42.65% of wild-type mosquitoes had infectious saliva versus 6.57% for wMel and 2.89% for wMelwAlbB (adjusted odds ratio (OR) 0.065; 95% CI = 0.038–0.112; \( p < 0.001 \) for wMel, and OR 0.025; 95% CI = 0.014–0.043; \( p < 0.001 \) for wMelwAlbB versus wild-type) (Table 3). wMelwAlbB further reduced the risk of females having infectious saliva compared to wMel-infected females (OR = 0.377; 95% CI = 0.196–0.725; \( p = 0.003 \)).

In addition, \textit{Wolbachia}-infected mosquito strains also had significantly lower concentrations of DENV RNA in their abdomen and salivary gland tissues compared to wild-type mosquitoes (Fig 6A and 6B and S2 Table). wMelwAlbB blocked DENV infection in the salivary glands more efficiently than wMel (Fig 6B). Collectively, these data generated using clinically-relevant virus challenge methods, suggest that the wMelwAlbB strain delivers an incrementally improved DENV blocking phenotype compared to wMel.
Discussion

Wolbachia has been shown to inhibit pathogen replication in both natural and transinfected insects [9–12, 18, 20]. Combined with Wolbachia’s remarkable evolutionary adaptations to ensure rapid spread and transmission, [5, 28] this bacterium holds promise as an effective bio-control agent against mosquito-borne diseases such as dengue [20]. Trials with the wMel strain of Wolbachia have shown its establishment and spread in both semi-field [12] and wild populations of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes [19]. However, not all Wolbachia strains are suitable for use in biocontrol strategies. The virulent wMelPop-CLA strain, for example, results in greater overall inhibition of DENV replication in adult female mosquitoes than the avirulent Wolbachia
strains, but imparts significantly higher fitness costs [11, 12, 29]. Preliminary trials in Australia and Vietnam in which the MelPop-CLA strain was released into wild mosquito populations indicate that these fitness costs prevented successful establishment [30]. Modelling projections suggest the establishment of Wolbachia strains in dengue endemic settings will result in a substantial reduction in disease burden [31]. The persistence of an inhibitory effect on DENV replication within wild Wolbachia-infected mosquitoes will be key to the success of any release program. Laboratory vector competence experiments with field (F1) wMel-infected Ae. aegypti mosquitoes, collected one year following field release, indicated very low levels of DENV replication and dissemination [32], demonstrating the persistence of the virus inhibition phenotype. The potential evolution of DENV resistance to Wolbachia’s inhibitory effects must be considered if this biocontrol strategy can be sustainable on a long-term basis. However, the ability to predict the likelihood of resistance development in virus populations will require a greater understanding of the mechanisms of Wolbachia-mediated viral inhibition. Host immune stimulation has been shown to result in antiviral effects in Ae. aegypti [10, 25, 33] but this is not universal for all Wolbachia-mediated antiviral inhibition [34–36]. The density and tissue tropism of Wolbachia strains in insect hosts appears to be the most important factors [12, 37, 38] and competition for shared host resources such as cholesterol has been shown to influence the strength of Wolbachia-induced antiviral effects [17]. High density Wolbachia strains in Drosophila flies provide strong inhibitory effects on insect viruses despite a long-term evolutionary association [11, 39]. Thus, the non-specific nature of the anti-viral environment in Wolbachia-infected Ae. aegypti tissues, coupled with the dominant evolutionary process of purifying selection in DENV populations[40], such that minor variant viruses that arise within individual hosts are lost because they are not infectious to both

Table 3. Marginal logistic regression models for the risk of viral infection in the abdomen tissue, and for infectious saliva.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ABDOMEN</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>SAlIVA</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Lower CI</td>
<td>Upper CI</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Lower CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients’ viremia (+1 log 10 copies/ml)</td>
<td>3.070</td>
<td>1.903</td>
<td>4.952</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV-1 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV-2</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>4.278</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV-3</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV-4</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>2.508</td>
<td>1.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 18</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>2.396</td>
<td>1.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wMel #</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wMelwAlbB #</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results indicate that both Wolbachia strains significantly reduce the likelihood of mosquitoes becoming infected. OR = Odds ratio, CI = Confidence intervals, WT = Wild type
* = p < 0.05
** = p < 0.01
*** = p < 0.001.
# Comparison between wMel and wMelwAlbB give OR = 1.247 (95% CI = 0.927 to 1.678, p = 0.145) for abdomen and OR = 0.377 (95% CI = 0.196 to 0.725, p = 0.003) for saliva, indicating that the risk of viral infection in saliva (but not in abdomens) is significantly lower for wMelwAlbB infections compared to wMel.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005434.t003
humans and mosquitoes, creates significant barriers to the emergence of DENV strains that are resistant to Wolbachia. Nonetheless, the association between density and viral inhibition in these natural Wolbachia-host endosymbiotic relationships suggest resistance is less likely to develop for Wolbachia strains that grow to high densities in transinfected insect hosts. Therefore, a superinfection that results in a cumulative higher density Wolbachia infection would be predicted to reduce the potential for DENV resistance development in Ae. aegypti.

In the event DENV does evolve resistance to either the wMel or wAlbB strains in wild mosquito populations, one potential option would be to release a superinfected line that would
‘sweep over’ the existing single infection. For this resistance management strategy to be effective, favourable CI spread dynamics would be needed for a superinfected line to replace existing single Wolbachia infections in wild mosquito populations. The crossing patterns induced by wMel wAlbB (Table 2) indicate that either the wMel or wAlbB strain could be replaced by a superinfection in wild mosquito populations.

The density of Wolbachia strains in transinfected Ae. aegypti mosquitoes is also correlated with mosquito fitness costs [12]. The additive density of Wolbachia strains in the superinfected line, measured at G18 when the line was stable, was comparable to the virulent wMelPop-CLA strain (Fig 1B). Despite the superinfected line resulting in a cumulative high density Wolbachia infection, the effects on the majority of mosquito fitness parameters were very similar to that observed for the single infected wMel line. Under laboratory conditions superinfected males and females had a marginally shorter adult lifespan than uninfected wild type mosquitoes (~10% reduction). The observed effects on adult mosquito longevity of the superinfected line are significantly less than those for the virulent wMelPop-CLA strain, which reduces the lifespan of adult Ae. aegypti mosquitoes by approximately ~50% [9].

In our study, no differences in the number of eggs laid by females (fecundity) from the superinfected line compared to wMel, wAlbB or wild type mosquitoes were observed. Under semi-field conditions, the virulent wMelPop-CLA strain reduced fecundity of Ae. aegypti females by ~60% [12], which may have contributed to the inability of this strain to invade wild mosquito populations [41]. Minimal fecundity costs should increase the potential of the superinfected line to ‘sweep over’ existing single infections in wild mosquito populations. In contrast, survival of eggs from superinfected females during periods of embryonic quiescence was significantly lower than either parental line or wild type mosquitoes. Following two months of storage, ~50% of superinfected eggs were still viable. Although the hatch rates for the superinfected line were lower than that observed for the wAlbB-infected line, the hatch rates were very similar to that of the wMel infected line. Furthermore, hatch rates are still within the average 2-month survival rates (40–60%) for Ae. aegypti eggs during dry seasons [42, 43]. Further experiments under semi-field conditions will be needed to fully determine if the effect on embryonic quiescence is likely to impact the ability of the superinfected line to invade uninfected wild mosquito populations. The results of field releases to date (using wMel) suggest this is unlikely to be a major obstacle to establishing superinfections in the field. The wMel strain successfully invaded wild mosquito populations [19] and the infection remains stable in these release areas [44] despite the observed reduction on embryo hatch rates under laboratory conditions.

The release of a superinfected line for virus resistance management would require the coinfection to provide strong inhibitory effects on DENV replication. Vector competence experiments carried out under laboratory conditions indicated all Wolbachia lines significantly reduced DENV replication as previously reported [12, 25], however the superinfected line provided the greatest resistance. After oral feeding on fresh human viremic blood, the most relevant model to assess mosquito susceptibility to DENV, very few superinfected mosquitoes had infectious virus in their saliva and viral RNA concentrations were substantially reduced in mosquito tissues. These data give reassurance that any population replacement strategy with the superinfected line would be expected to deliver stronger inhibition of DENV transmission than is conferred by wMel.

In summary, the generation and characterisation of a superinfected line with the desired phenotypic effects to replace single wild infections provides a potential mechanism to overcome the emergence of DENV resistance. Both Wolbachia strains are stably maintained in the line with minimal mosquito fitness effects. Importantly, DENV replication is inhibited to a greater extent in the superinfected line compared to both parental lines. The observed CI
phenotype induced by the superinfected line is of particular significance as it would enable the line to be released “on top of” existing wMel or wAlbB field releases in dengue endemic areas.

Materials and Methods

Mosquito colonies and lines

Wolbachia-uninfected Ae. aegypti eggs were collected from Cairns (Queensland, Australia) in 2013 (JCU wild type). The Wolbachia-infected wMel and wAlbB mosquito lines have been described previously [12, 25]. All Ae. aegypti mosquitoes were reared and maintained as described in [9] with the following modification. For hatching, eggs were placed in hatching water (distilled H2O, boiled and supplemented with 50 mg/L fish food [Tetramin]) and allowed to hatch for 24 h. Larvae were subsequently reared at a set density of ~150 in 3 L of distilled water as described in [9]. To prevent genetic drift between wild type and the Wolbachia infected mosquito lines used for analyses, females from each generation of the infected lines were backcrossed with a small proportion (10%) of uninfected field collected male mosquitoes.

Embryonic microinjection, isofemale line establishment and selection for stably-infected lines were done as previously described [9]. In short, the wMel strain was purified from wMel-infected mosquitoes and microinjected into the posterior-pole of wAlbB-infected preblastoderm embryos using methodology previously described [12]. Surviving G0 adult females (~600) from microinjection were mated to wild type males and blood fed for oviposition of the G1 generation. G0 females that laid fertile egg batches were screened using quantitative PCR as described by [17] and primers specific for wMel (forward primer: 5’-CAAATTGCTCTTGTCCTGGTGG-3’, reverse primer: 5’-GGGTGTTAAGCAGAGTTACGG-3’) and wAlbB (forward primer: 5’-CCTTACCTCTGCAACAACA-3’, reverse primer: 5’-GGATTGTCAAATACATTTCAAT-3’). For each sample, quantitative PCR amplification of DNA was performed in duplicate with a LightCycler 480 II Instrument (Roche) using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. From the ~600 females screened, 21 wMel positives were identified and pooled into two lines. The female progeny from both lines of superinfected females were mated to uninfected field-males for 5 generations (G0-G4) before the lines were considered stably infected with both strains of Wolbachia. One line was selected for further characterisation.

Wolbachia distribution & density

Wolbachia density and distribution in the superinfected line was compared to each of the parental strains using qPCR and fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH). Quantitative PCR to determine the total relative Wolbachia densities of infected lines was performed as described by [17] using primers specific to the gene coding for the Wolbachia surface protein (wsp) (forward primer 5’-GCATTGCGTTTAAGCAGAGTTACGG-3’, reverse primer 5’-GGATTGTCAAATACATTTCAAT-3’), as well as the Ae. aegypti rps17 gene (forward primer 5’-TCCGTTGGAATCTCCATCAAAGCT-3’, reverse primer: 5’-CAGTCCGGCACGTTAGTTGTC-3’).

Wolbachia was localized in sections of paraffin-embedded 5–7 day old female mosquitoes by FISH, as described in [10], except that only one probe against 16S rRNA was used against each strain and their concentration was increased by 10-fold to improve the signal. wMel was detected using the probe MelPopW6: 5’-GCTTAGCTCGGGACTAGGTTCC-3’, labelled with Alexa 594 dye (red), whereas wAlbB was localized using AlbBW5: 5’-CTTAGTTTGGCCCAAGTCCG-3’, labelled with Alexa 488 dye (green). 16S rRNA is highly conserved between wMel and wAlbB, therefore the probe was designed as a part of the gene that includes several SNPs. We confirmed the specificity and lack of cross-reactivity of each probe by testing them against the single infected lines (wMel and wAlbB). Both probes were added
simultaneously to the wMel, wAlbB and wMelwAlbB mosquito sections in order to obtain the images. DAPI was also used to stain total DNA.

Fitness determinants

**Longevity.** The adult lifespan of *Ae. aegypti* superinfected with both wMel and wAlbB was compared to wild type, wMel, and wAlbB-infected lines. For each mosquito line used, 6x 500 mL mesh covered plastic containers with 20 virgin males and 6x containers with 20 virgin females were incubated as described in [9]. Mosquitoes were fed on a 10% sucrose solution and live mosquitoes were counted daily until all the mosquitoes were dead. Survival curves were compared using a Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) as well as a Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test.

**Fecundity.** Five day old *Ae. aegypti* females from each mosquito line used (wild type, wMel, wAlbB and wMelwAlbB) were fed on the arm of one human volunteer. This was repeated two more times with different human volunteers for each repeat (each mosquito line in a single repeat were fed by the same volunteer) (Monash University human ethics permit no. CF11/0766-2011000387). Females were aspirated into individual tubes one-day post blood feeding and allowed to oviposit on wet filter paper. For each line, 20 egg laying females per blood feeder (60 in total) were randomly selected. The eggs were matured for three days and then counted. The counts for each line were combined and compared using a Kruskal-Willis rank-sum test.

**Fertility.** Approximately 250 females from each line were fed by the same human blood feeder and allowed to oviposit on wet filter paper. The egg papers were dried slowly under controlled humidity (80%) and temperature (26°C) for 5–7 days and counted as described. From each line, four egg papers were hatched in individual plastic trays as previously described at 2, 4 and 8 week intervals. Papers were removed after 24 h and placed in trays with fresh hatching water to allow any remaining viable eggs to hatch. For each egg paper, hatched second instar larvae were counted to determine egg hatch rate.

**CI and maternal transmission.** To investigate if there was any CI caused between the superinfected wMelwAlbB mosquitoes with either the uninfected (JCU wild type) or singly infected (wMel and wAlbB) mosquitoes, we conducted reciprocal crosses. Ten crosses were set up in cages with 50 virgin females and 50 males each between wMelwAlbB x JCU, wMel x JCU, wAlbB x JCU, wMelwAlbB x wMel, wMelwAlbB x wAlbB. In addition, 4 self crosses of wMel-wAlbB, wMel, wAlbB and JCU with 50 males and females each were also set up as controls. Groups were allowed to mate for 3–5 days before the females were blood fed. All females were blood fed on the arms of one human volunteer. Two days after blood feeding single females were set up for oviposition. 24–48 h post oviposition, eggs were dried slowly under controlled humidity (80%) and temperature (26°C) for 5–7 days. Eggs were counted and hatched as described, all the hatched larvae were counted within 24–48 h of hatch and the mean hatch percentage was calculated.

To determine maternal transmission rates 100 virgin females of G12, G14 and G17 of the wMelwAlbB line were outcrossed with 100 uninfected JCU wild type males in cages and allowed to oviposit. The eggs were hatched as described and the progeny (88 for G12, 64 for G13 and 64 for G17) was screened for wMel and wAlbB.

**Susceptibility to DENV-2 infection**

The propagation and maintenance of dengue virus serotype 2 (DENV-2) ET300 was carried out as previously described [18]. For adult microinjections, 40 *Ae. aegypti* female mosquitoes were anesthetized by briefly exposing them to -20°C. The mosquitoes were subsequently injected intrathoracically with 50 nL of virus solution (10⁴ genomic copies/ml in RPMI
[Sigma-Aldrich] media) using a pulled glass capillary and a handheld microinjector (Nanoject II, Drummond Sci.). Injected mosquitoes were incubated for 7 days (40 mosquitoes per cup) at 26°C with 65% relative humidity and a 12h light/dark cycle. For feeding experiments with DENV-2 (ET300) infected blood, 80 Ae. aegypti female mosquitoes were placed in 500 mL plastic containers, starved for 25 hours and allowed to feed on a 50:50 mixture of defibrinated sheep blood and tissue culture supernatant containing 10^7 genome copies/mL of DENV-2. Feeding was done through a piece of desalted porcine intestine stretched over a water-jacketed membrane feeding apparatus preheated to 37°C for approximately three hours. Fully engorged mosquitoes were placed in 500 mL containers and incubated for 14 days at 26°C with 65% relative humidity and a 12h light/dark cycle.

To quantify DENV-2 genomic copies, total RNA was isolated from DENV-2 injected mosquitoes using the Nucleospin 96 RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel). DENV-2 qPCR analysis was done using cDNA prepared from individual mosquitoes according to [10]. Statistical significance for differences in DENV titres between treatments was determined using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison tests (Graph Pad Prism 6c).

**Oral challenge with human viremic blood**

Cohorts of 3–5 day old mosquitoes were allowed to feed on fresh, viremic blood from 43 NS1 rapid test-positive patients admitted to the Hospital for Tropical Diseases, in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Mosquitoes were fed via membrane feeders for a maximum of 1 hour. Fully engorged mosquitoes were placed in 250 mL containers and incubated at 28°C/80% humidity with a 12h light/dark cycle. Mosquitoes were harvested from each blood fed cohort 10, 14 and 18 days later. Detection of infectious virus in the saliva of each mosquito was as described previously [45]. Statistical analyses were performed with the statistical software R, version 3.1.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Marginal regression models for binary (infected/uninfected mosquitoes) and continuous (tissue viral load) outcomes were fitted using generalized estimating equations with working exchangeable correlation structure to account for potential within-patient correlation.
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S1 Fig. Localisation of wMel (red) and wAlbB (green) in the Midgut epithelia, Thoracic ganglia, Salivary gland and Malpighian tubules of the superinfected Ae. aegypti line. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Flowchart showing numbers of Aedes aegypti analysed for susceptibility to DENV infection after exposure to patient-derived blood meals. (TIF)
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S2 Table. Marginal multiple linear regression models for viral load (log10 copies/ml) in abdomens and salivary glands depending on covariates. Only infected abdomen or salivary glands were included. Results indicate that both Wolbachia strains significantly reduce the concentration of DENV in respective infected tissues. Coef = Regression coefficients, CI = Confidence intervals, WT = Wild type, * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001. * Comparison between wMel and wMelwAlbB give coefficients of -0.147 (95% CI -0.549 to 0.255, p = 0.474) for abdomen and -1.546 (95% CI = -1.848 to -1.245, p < 0.001) for salivary glands, indicating lower viral loads in salivary glands of wMelwAlbB infected females compared to the wMel infection.
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