

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Colombini, M; Mayhew, SH; Hawkins, B; Bista, M; Joshi, SK; Schei, B; Watts, C; ADVANCE Study Team; , COLLABORATORS; Schei, B; +17 more... Bjørngaard, JH; Darj, E; Infanti, JJ; Lukasse, M; Lund, R; Joshi, SK; Rishal, P; Koju, R; Pun, KD; Wijewardene, K; Perera, DC; Muzrif, MM; Swahnberg, K; Colombini, M; Mayhew, S; Watts, C; Campbell, JC; (2015) Agenda setting and framing of gender-based violence in Nepal: how it became a health issue. *Health policy and planning*, 31 (4). pp. 493-503. ISSN 0268-1080 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czv091>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2312514/>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czv091>

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>

**Agenda setting and framing of gender-based violence in
Nepal: how it became a health issue**

Journal:	<i>Health Policy and Planning</i>
Manuscript ID:	HEAPOL-2015-Apr-0185.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Country of Expertise:	Nepal
Keywords:	violence against women, policy analysis, agenda setting

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Agenda setting and framing of gender-based violence in Nepal: how it became a health issue

Abstract (300)

Gender-based violence (GBV) has been addressed as a policy issue in Nepal since the mid 1990's, yet it was only in 2010 that Nepal developed a legal and policy framework to combat GBV. This paper draws on the concepts of agenda setting and framing to analyse the historical processes by which GBV became legitimised as a health policy issue in Nepal and explored factors that facilitated and constrained the opening and closing of windows of opportunity. The results presented are based on a document analysis of the policy and regulatory framework around GBV in Nepal. A content analysis was undertaken. Agenda setting for GBV policies in Nepal evolved over many years and was characterised by the interplay of political context factors, actors and multiple frames. The way the issue was depicted at different times and by different actors played a key role in the delay in bringing health onto the policy agenda. Women's groups and less powerful Ministries developed gender equity and development frames, but it was only when the more powerful human rights frame was promoted by the country's new Constitution and the Office of the Prime Minister that legislation on GBV was achieved and a Domestic Violence Bill was adopted, followed by a National Plan of Action. This eventually enabled the health frame to converge around the development of implementation policies that incorporated health service-responses. Our explicit incorporation of framing within the Kindgon model has illustrated how important it is for understanding the emergence of policy issues, and the subsequent debates about their resolution. The framing of a policy problem by certain policy actors, affects the development of each of the three policy streams, and may facilitate or constrain their convergence. The concept of framing therefore lends an additional depth of understanding to the Kindgon agenda setting model.

Introduction

Gender-based violence (GBV) is a serious human rights abuse and a global public health concern. Intimate partner violence (often referred to as domestic violence) is among the most common forms of GBV (World Health Organisation et al., 2013). Despite substantial evidence on the health consequences of GBV globally, the health sector has been slow to recognise GBV as a legitimate public health problem and identify its role in addressing the issue (García-Moreno et al., 2014, World Health Organization, 2013). Several challenges exist: GBV is excluded from many national health policies or budgets; many national GBV plans do not engage with health care issues (García-Moreno et al., 2014). In some countries, GBV is seen as a low priority issue given limited health budgets (Colombini et al., 2012, Jayasundere, August 2009). Although global guidelines on GBV (World Health Organization, 2013) state the importance of the health sector within a multi-sectoral approach to GBV, little guidance is given on policy, leadership and governance issues for integration of GBV into the health sector.

Similarly, much research on GBV has focused on the effectiveness of health interventions and their implementation within health care settings (García-Moreno et al., 2014), with little attention given to understanding health policy formulation, agenda-setting, or how GBV issues are identified and legitimised in policy (Colombini et al., 2011). Yet, both the nature and extent of service delivery is significantly affected by the legal and policy framework for GBV in which they occur. For example, in Malaysia, a high-level policy to create hospital-based *One Stop Crisis Centres* (promoted by influential women's groups and senior medical staff) legitimized ongoing pilot initiatives and facilitated their scale-up nationwide (Colombini et al., 2011, Colombini et al., 2012). This study is a contribution to filling the gap in understanding how GBV became legitimised as a health policy issue and provides a case-study of the policy responses in Nepal. More broadly, given the paucity of published resources around GBV health policy responses, this case study can become an example for other LMICs countries that are (or intend to) embarking on prioritising GBV as a public health issue, and could offer lessons learned around the process.

1
2
3 We also explore elements that facilitated and constrained the opening and closing of windows of
4 opportunities in Nepal, and what eventually led to a policy window allowing the ascendance of GBV
5 to become a national priority for health policy development. Our article makes not just an empirical
6 contribution to the case in question, but a theoretical contribution to the study of policy-making
7 and agenda setting in low and middle income settings. In particular, the study shows the additional
8 insights which can be derived by incorporating the concept of policy framing within the Kingdon
9 agenda setting model. The approach we develop enhances our understanding of emerging policy
10 issues, and their solutions, and may be more widely applied to policy analysis in LMICs and beyond.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 **The theoretical framework**

22
23 Agenda setting is the process (or processes) by which an issue is brought to the attention of policy-
24 makers (Rogers et al., 1993), and it is the first stage of the policy development process (Sabatier,
25 2007, Buse et al., 2005). Several theoretical approaches of agenda setting exist (Hall, 1975, Shiffman,
26 2007, Kingdon, 1984). This article draws on Kingdon's model of agenda setting and the concepts of
27 "framing" derived from the field of interpretive policy studies. Kingdon's approach (Kingdon, 1984)
28 is the most appropriate for our analysis because it helps understand what factors affect the opening of
29 opportunities for political prioritisation of a health concern. The framework describes policy
30 development as the result of three distinct and continuous 'streams' of activities or processes. The
31 *problem stream* refers to the characteristics of problems and their perception as public matters
32 necessitating government action. Officials and policy-makers learn about these issues through
33 statistical indicators, policy reports, feedback from current programmes and pressure from advocacy
34 groups and stakeholders. The *policy stream* comprises a set of possible analyses of problems and
35 associated policy solutions proposed, for example, by researchers, politicians, experts, NGOs, along
36 with debates about these problems and potential responses. The *politics stream* consists of political
37 events such as changes in government, elections, and public campaigns by NGOs.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Only when these three largely independent (but simultaneous) streams combine or intersect forming
56 *policy windows*, can new issues enter the agenda and policy change occur. Actors who promote
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 specific policy solutions – *policy entrepreneurs*– may be located inside or outside Government. They
4 may be visible, publicly advocating for a problem, or acting behind the scenes (e.g. experts in their
5 field developing potential solutions to policy problems); they impact greatly on the likelihood that a
6 problem would ascend to national prominence (Kingdon, 1984, Kingdon, 2010).
7
8
9

10
11
12 Framing theory has been applied to a number of fields in the social sciences as diverse as psychology
13 (Druckman, 2001), behavioral economics (Tversky and Kahneman, 1987), media and
14 communications studies (Semetko and Valkenburg, 2000) and public opinion research (Sniderman
15 and Theriault, 2004). In the field of policy studies, Rein and Schoen (1994) set out a constructivist
16 account of policy framing and its significance for the resolution of protracted policy controversies.
17 Frames, they contend, are ‘underlying structures of belief, perception and appreciation’ on which
18 distinct policy positions depend (Rein and Schön, 1994). Policy frames construct a particular view of
19 social reality, defining both the political issues (and problems) at stake and the policy responses which
20 follow from this framing of the problem. Each policy frame is underpinned by a set of institutional
21 and meta-cultural frames. Meta-cultural frames can be thought of as a shared set of values, which a
22 particular society holds. They are context specific and are thus shaped by the prevailing hierarchies
23 and power relations in a given policy setting. Political actors can attempt to frame issues in ways
24 amenable to their interests and policy objectives, promoting a particular understanding of the issue at
25 hand (Rein and Schön, 1994, Snow and Benford, 1992, Schön and Rein, 1996). Crucially the framing
26 of an issue and its solution by a given actor may shift over time.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Political controversies emerge where mutually incompatible policy frames compete to define a given
46 issue and to dictate the policy responses to which it gives rise. In other words, political contestation
47 becomes a contest between different systems of meaning (Rein and Schön, 1994, Edelman, 1988).
48 From this perspective, policy analysis requires an appreciation of the key terms in which policy
49 debates are couched and the assumptions on which they are based. The specific framing of an issue is
50 a key factor in determining whether it enters the policy agenda, and under what circumstances it does
51 so. We thus understand attempts by actors to frame policy debates as political acts designed to shape
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the terrain on which these debates are conducted and the terms in which they are couched an integral
4 part of the political process.
5
6

7
8
9 The concept of framing adds additional insights to the Kingdon model of policy analysis. Although
10 the framing of ideas around a specific problem is not explicitly discussed by Kingdon, ideas and the
11 presentation of issues are considered important elements by other agenda setting models applied to
12 global health (Shiffman, 2007, Geneau et al., 2010). We incorporate the concept of framing theory
13 into our analysis to understand the way the key actors (e.g. Government Ministries and NGOs in field
14 of health policy) understand, describe and present (i.e. how they “frame”) the problem of GBV and
15 the potential solutions to this (Jerit, 2008), in other words into Kingdon’s *problem and policy streams*.
16
17 In addition, we argue that framing is of key importance in understanding the dynamics of Kingdon’s
18 *politics stream*, which he sees as functioning quite separately from the other two streams.
19
20 Incorporating concepts of framing within this model allows a more nuanced approach to
21 understanding the dynamics of the policy process. Framing theory helps to identify how issue framing
22 can shape event in the politics stream, for example the way in which particular frames may facilitate
23 or constrain the opening of windows of opportunity for policy change.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **The Nepalese Context**

39
40 Socio-cultural, economic and religious factors allied to traditionally defined roles and responsibilities
41 between Nepali men and women have led to an institutional system that treats women inequitably
42 (UNFPA, 2008). These factors together with gender norms have driven the context of GBV in the
43 country. Moreover, the years of political conflict increased the risk of violence in the country,
44 particularly through rape, trafficking, sexual slavery, and displacement (Dhakal, 2008). GBV is highly
45 prevalent in Nepal (Family Health Division of the Department of Health Services and South Asian
46 Institute for Policy Analysis and Leadership, 2012, SAATHI and the Asia Foundation, 1997 ,
47 Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] et al., March 2012, UNFPA et al., 2008), with
48 intimate partner violence (IPV) – often also referred to as domestic violence (DV) – among the most
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 common form of GBV. In Nepal, DV is defined by law “as any form of physical, mental, sexual, or
4 economic harm perpetrated by one person on another with whom he or she has a family relationship,
5 including acts of reprimand or emotional harm” (Ministry of Law and Justice (MOLJ) [Nepal], 2009).
6
7 The Nepalese DHS found that 22% of women of reproductive age have experienced physical violence
8 at least once since age 15, and 12% of women reported having experienced sexual violence at least
9 once in their lifetime (Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] et al., March 2012). A
10 more recent study on Women's Empowerment and Spousal Violence in Relation to Health Outcomes
11 in Nepal estimated that 28% of ever-married women have experienced physical and/or sexual
12 violence from their husband (Tuladhar et al., 2013). Other studies also indicate the high prevalence of
13 partner violence within the Nepali society (Informal Sector Service Center (INSEC), 2012, SAATHI
14 and UNFPA, 2008, Lamichhane et al., 2011, UNFPA et al., 2008). Moreover, the Maternal Mortality
15 and Morbidity Studies of 1998 (Pathak et al., 1998) and 2008/09 (Suvedi et al., 2009) have reported
16 that Nepali women of reproductive age have high suicide rates, many of which are attributed to
17 domestic violence by spouse or family-members (Joshi, 2009, Pradhan et al., July 2011). The
18 Nepalese DHS data shows domestic violence during pregnancy is also widespread, ranging from 2%
19 (among women with higher levels of education) to 10% (women who are divorced, separated or
20 widowed) (Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) [Nepal] et al., March 2012). Other small-scale
21 studies also indicate the prevalence of domestic violence during pregnancy among Nepali women
22 (Chaudhary et al., 2010, Deuba and Rana, 2005).

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

GBV has been addressed as a policy issue in Nepal since the mid 1990's, yet it was only in 2010 that
Nepal developed an overarching legal and policy framework to combat GBV and discrimination
towards women, and adopted a National Plan of Action against GBV that incorporated a health sector
response (Government of Nepal, 2009). This article will explore what events influenced these delayed
actions and, in particular, how GBV has been ‘framed’ over time.

Methods

1
2
3 The results presented in this article are based on a document analysis of the policy and regulatory
4 framework around GBV in Nepal. The document review was conducted of documents published
5 and/or adopted between 1998 to present. National and regional public health documents containing
6 any relevant information on GBV were reviewed, specifically: domestic violence laws, national and
7 regional policies, national health plans, health protocols, health reports, NGO and donors reports,
8 national guidelines, and training manuals.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 Electronic searches were conducted in Popline, Google and specific websites of Nepalese government
18 agencies, NGOs and other international donors. When not electronically available, identified policies
19 and documents were collected and translated (when needed) by the local partners. No interviews were
20 conducted with key informants, though local partners asked key informants from women' NGOs and
21 from Department of Health (Family Health Division) for key policy documents on GBV.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Once all relevant documents were collected, a qualitative content analysis (Graneheim and Lundman,
30 2004) was undertaken. Over 40 documents were analysed, including legal ones (8), national policies
31 and plans (19), training manuals and protocols (5), policy statements (3), national and donor reports
32 (9). Moreover, over 40 published articles were used to help understand the context of GBV. Textual
33 data was systematically coded by the first author and key themes identified in order to understand
34 meanings of specific concepts and patterns. The informational content of the data was categorised
35 deductively, using pre-conceived themes elaborated at the beginning of the analysis. These included,
36 among others, the: conceptualisation of GBV as a health issue; enabling policy and regulatory
37 environment around GBV and health; rationale for integration of GBV into health sector; and the
38 rationale for adoption of key health policies around GBV. **Direct explanations of some contextual
39 factors and political events were not always possible with the available documentation data. However,
40 to reduce such limitation and enhance our understanding,** contextual insights and tacit knowledge of
41 the two local researchers working with us on the document analysis was also called upon to help
42 clarify some of the historical events and the context of the GBV.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results: Development of GBV policies in Nepal 1995-2013

Table 1 illustrates the various stages of the historical context.

Growing awareness of GBV as a women's rights and security issue in a rapidly changing political context (1997-2002)

In the 1990s a range of *politics stream* events contributed to the recognition of gender-based violence (GBV) as critical issue for Nepal's Government to address; in particular the country was moving away from absolute monarchy towards multi-party democracy bringing with it widening awareness of international commitments towards gender equality and facilitating the rise of influential national women's advocacy organisations (Bhadra, January 2001, Thapa, 2004).

Following the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing in 1995, the initial rationale for the Government to consider GBV was that it was seen as an obstacle to economic and social development, and was subsequently framed as a major development issue to be addressed in order to attain gender equality. Key high-level policy documents (National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, 1998, National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, March 2002, Ministry of Women & Social Welfare, 1997) mentioned – though briefly – the integration of women into the mainstream development of Nepal through “gradually eliminating violence, exploitation, injustice and atrocities being committed against women” (National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, 1998). At this early stage only one policy document mentioned any role for the health sector (through counselling services) (National Planning Commission and His Majesty's Government, March 2002).

Women's NGOs contributed significantly to help place GBV onto the national policy agenda by using advocacy campaigns and lobbying the Ministry of Women and Social Welfare for legal changes. They produced evidence based studies to influence the Government's position on GBV. For example, a study on GBV and girls in Nepal by SAATHI -- the first Nepali NGO which worked on GBV since

1
2
3 1992 – highlighted widespread prevalence of GBV and showed how it impeded the progress of
4 women and the development of society (SAATHI and the Asia Foundation, 1997). This was
5 strategically published soon after the Nepali Government’s National Plan on Gender in 1997
6 confirmed its commitment to women’s empowerment (Ministry of Women & Social Welfare, 1997).
7
8
9

10
11
12 Although the issue of gender-based violence had been in the *problem stream* for some time by the late
13 1990s, no political action had been taken to develop a law or a specific policy. Contextual and
14 political *politics stream* factors – in particular the fact that Nepal was undergoing a decade of armed
15 conflict (1996-2006) – deeply affected the conceptions of GBV during this time. Initially,
16 Government’s focus was on women’s security, safety and rehabilitation and its efforts to prevent
17 GBV (including rape, sexual slavery and trafficking) concentrated on the development of women’s
18 police cells and referral to NGOs for rehabilitation support (Ministry of Women Children and Social
19 Welfare 1999); there was little recognition of the negative health impact of violence on women’s lives
20 or the role of the health sector in preventing GBV, despite the publication of a study by SAATHI on
21 its psychosocial impacts on women and girls (Deuba and Rana, 2001) and the claims from rights-
22 based organisations raising the mental health consequences of rape and sexual violence affecting
23 women and girls during the political conflict (Justice, December 2010).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 ***Recognition of GBV as a health issue: entrepreneurship and missed opportunities by the Ministry*** 41 ***of Health (2002-2006)*** 42

43 A parallel process of defining GBV as a health problem started in the early 2000s, initially through
44 studies focusing on suicides and psycho-social impacts of GBV on women and girls (Deuba and
45 Rana, 2001, Pathak et al., 1998), especially following the conflict, and later on through advocacy on
46 maternal health. Although difficult to determine exactly what triggered this process, both international
47 events and domestic ones influenced it. At international level, the World Health Organisation released
48 its first *World Report on Violence and Health* where it classified gender-based violence as a global
49 public health problem (Krug et al., 2002). Nationally, the Nepalese safe motherhood movement,
50 supported by international donors (as a major MDG target), was a catalyst for securing recognition by
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the Government that GBV was a public health concern that needed to be addressed (Engel et al.,
4 2013). It was argued that women's empowerment and the elimination of GBV were important issues
5 for improving women's health status (especially in relation to psychological issues) and reducing
6 maternal mortality. In particular, the National Safe Motherhood Long Term Plan (NSMLTP) (2002-
7 2017), adopted in 2002 focusing on increasing access to maternal health services, gave 'policy
8 visibility' to GBV as a health concern. Echoing the latent national interest in GBV (National Planning
9 Commission and His Majesty's Government, March 2002), the NSMLTP called for the MoHP to
10 develop protocols and specific mechanisms in district hospitals to deal with *battered women*, and to
11 sensitise professionals from multiple sectors and levels, on GBV (Government of Nepal and Family
12 Health Division of the Department of Health Services, 2002). The *problem solution* proposed by the
13 Plan (and the MoHP) was the establishment of service delivery systems in hospitals and health
14 facilities to provide support to abused women. A critical assumption for the implementation of these
15 activities was that a Domestic Violence (DV) Bill would be adopted by the end of 2003 to provide a
16 legal framework and mandate for service-provision; this was not achieved (Bhadra, May 2004) and a
17 health policy change around GBV was prevented.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 In fact there had been several attempts to pass a DV Bill by this time, primarily led by SAATHI,
36 together with the Ministry of Women, Children & Social Welfare (MWCSW). In 2001 the MWCSW
37 forwarded two bills for combating gender-based violence: the Bill on Domestic Violence and the Bill
38 on Human Trafficking Control. They were both stopped when the Parliament was dissolved in May
39 2002 (Bhadra, May 2004). Moreover, the MWCSW was not a strong Ministry and the energies of
40 women's reform groups were concentrated more on liberalising abortion, which was within their
41 grasp at that time, with its tangible impact on maternal mortality and morbidity (Thapa, 2004). Thus
42 although the *problem stream* defining GBV as health concern and the *policy solution* (certain
43 women's groups and Ministry of Women pushing for a DV law and establishment of services)
44 coincided in the late 1990s/early 2000s they did not meet with the *political will* at the time, which was
45 more focused on maternal mortality reduction and safe abortion.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Having secured recognition of GBV as a serious issue for women's (and therefore national)
4 development by the late 1990s, but not achieving the necessary political will for policy change, the
5 next few years were crucial for creating the evidence base to link GBV to sexual and reproductive
6 health (particularly with safe motherhood which had emerged as a national concern) thus
7 consolidating the framing of GBV as a *health* policy problem. Research was conducted by women's
8 NGOs and academics to establish the evidence base for the legitimisation of violence as a maternal
9 health issue thereby linking GBV to a current national priority. In 2005, a study on *Linkages between*
10 *Domestic Violence and Pregnancy* revealed that IPV was reported to result in high maternal death,
11 preterm birth and high prenatal mortality, abortion, miscarriage and impacted on long-term health of
12 women. Moreover, the survey showed that one third of women interviewed experienced physical
13 abuse during pregnancy (Deuba and Rana, 2005). A smaller study conducted in 2007 in a Kathmandu
14 Maternity hospital showed that 33% of pregnant women attending the ANC services were facing
15 regular violence and that very few sought help unless they were physically injured (Chaudhary et al.,
16 2010).

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Following these public studies, the Ministry of Health seized the opportunity to develop two
34 guidelines for service providers to address GBV (Family Health Division of the Ministry of Health,
35 2005b, Family Health Division of the Ministry of Health, 2005a) but missed the opportunity to
36 include GBV into the policy and awareness campaigns around safe motherhood which could have
37 reinvigorated progress on a national GBV health policy. It is likely that other more urgent health
38 concerns around reaching the MDG goal 5 to improve maternal health (and the role of decriminalising
39 abortion in this) were seen more important by the MoHP and other policy makers. For example,
40 several incentives schemes were introduced nationally to support women delivering in public
41 hospitals to reduce maternal mortality (Bhandari et al., 2011, Witter et al., 2011, Ministry of Health
42 and Population, 2010). No mention was made to GBV in these policies – a missed opportunity, but
43 there may have been a fear that it would dilute the focus or commitment to reducing maternal
44 mortality.

1
2
3 The intention of the Government to connect GBV and health is less clear in its revised *National Safe*
4 *Motherhood and Neonatal Health-Long Term Plan* (2006-2017) (Ministry of Health and Population
5 and the Department of Health Services, 2006), in which GBV is barely mentioned. Significantly, the
6 revised Plan stated that rights-based approaches would be used to reduce gender-based violence, but
7 without explanation of these. This represents a shift in the way the Government ‘framed’ GBV as a
8 human rights issue and is probably attributable to the desire to align high-level policy documents
9 more explicitly to the human rights focus of the newly adopted Constitution (Government of Nepal,
10 21 November 2006) – violence as a human rights, rather than a health, issue was seen to have more
11 traction: it was this reframing that eventually led to a window of opportunity.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 ***Emerging Windows of Opportunity: regeneration of political priority & recognition of health***
24 ***dimensions of GBV (2006-2008)***
25
26

27 Windows of opportunity often emerge quickly with a clear triggering event, however in Nepal they
28 appear to have emerged incrementally after a gradual change in the political environment and the
29 establishing of a groundwork legal framework within which it became possible to act on GBV
30 through a health entry point. Changes in the *political stream* occurred relatively fast in Nepal with the
31 creation of a new constitutional democracy (Government of Nepal, 21 November 2006) and the end
32 of the ancient monarchic system in the early 1990s. As Nepal became party to intergovernmental
33 negotiations and treaties on gender and rights, there were opportunities for GBV to become a high
34 national issue as the government sought to respond to gender discrimination and the promotion of
35 human rights. In particular, these political changes influenced a paradigm shift in policymaking from
36 a welfare to a rights-based approach (in the socio-economic sector) greatly influencing the promotion
37 of women’s empowerment (Government of Nepal and United Nations Country Team of Nepal, 2013),
38 and leading to revision of legal acts to promote gender equality (Government of Nepal and Nepal Law
39 Commission, 2006). The new Constitution, explicitly prohibiting physical, mental or any other forms
40 of GBV, provided an important framework within which other actions could legitimately be taken
41 (Government of Nepal, 21 November 2006). The Government’s 3-year Interim Plan, a high-level
42 policy document, went further calling for the creation of a legal framework to address GBV
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (Commission, December 2007). Under the section on Health (under the Safe Motherhood and New-
4 Born Child Health Programme), it called for a study to document the numerous injuries caused to
5 women by violent acts.
6
7

8
9
10 Despite the pushes to promote gender equality, and the new Constitution prohibiting GBV, the
11 MWCSW's second attempt to pass a Bill on GBV failed again in 2006. The reasons for this are
12 unclear, but one explanation could be that the country's energies were more occupied with its
13 reconstruction and rehabilitation after the decade of conflict. Moreover, the influential women's
14 groups were focused on advocating for a Human Trafficking Act, which was subsequently adopted in
15 2007 (Government of Nepal and Nepal Law Commission, 2007). Another explanation could be that
16 until domestic violence was criminalised, the Ministry of Health would not consider its role around an
17 issue that was not traditionally understood as a health one.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 27 ***Recognition of GBV as a national priority (2009-2014)***

28
29 In 2009, a number of factors converged to accelerate action on the elimination of GBV in Nepal and
30 contributed to the convergence of the three streams, providing a genuine window of opportunity to
31 consider health as an entry point for tackling GBV. First, in its Three Year Plan (2010-13), the Nepal
32 Planning Commission reiterated the Government's commitment to reduce GBV, calling for
33 campaigns to prevent and control it (National Planning Commission (NPC), 2011). A second element
34 was the strong advocacy coalition of women's NGOs who once again turned their attention back to
35 GBV and, together with the MWCSW, led a Pressure Group to push for Domestic Violence (DV)
36 Legislation which was subsequently adopted (SAATHI). The DV Bill was a critical step in
37 consolidating the necessary legal framework for acting on GBV, detailing specific measures to control
38 violence, making such violence punishable, and providing protection to the victims (Ministry of Law
39 and Justice (MOLJ) [Nepal], 2009). Critically, it also underlined the need for development and
40 implementation of plans and services offering a major opportunity to incorporate health sector
41 implementation.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This opportunity was not lost on the health stakeholders who began more concerted action. Possibly
4 influenced by a global call to end GBV (women, 2008) and to generate evidence for action (World
5 Helath Organisation/London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 2010), international donors
6 and UN agencies, including Dfid and UNFPA, commissioned the MoHP, local NGOs, research and
7 consultancy groups to conducted studies to document the pervasiveness of GBV and its health
8 consequences (University College London (UCL) and Centre for Research on Environment, 2013,
9 Government of Nepal, November 2012, Tuladhar et al., 2013, Government of Nepal (GoN) et al.,
10 2012, Family Health Division of the Department of Health Services and South Asian Institute for
11 Policy Analysis and Leadership, 2012, Lamichhane et al., 2011, The Asia Foundation and SAATHI,
12 2010, Puri et al., 2010, Adhikari and Tamang, 2010, UNFPA et al., 2008). These documents
13 contributed to building the evidence base to legitimise GBV as a public health *problem* to be taken
14 seriously by the Government of Nepal under its new framework. Supported by this emerging evidence
15 of the health consequences of GBV in Nepal, the MoHP was able to take advantage of the shift in
16 focus of Government activities that occurred after the DV Bill was adopted, to move beyond
17 advocacy for legal change to focus on strategies and plans for service implementation as a viable
18 *solution* to address the health dimensions of GBV. Thus the role of MoHP in addressing GBV became
19 more dominant, especially in relation to service provision and training of health staff. The Ministry of
20 Health openly stated that GBV affected women's health (Ministry of Health and Population,
21 December 2009) and should be an integral component of healthcare provision that included violence-
22 related mental health services (Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP), 2010b).

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46 The final critical event that consolidated a window of opportunity occurred on November 2009, when
47 the former Prime Minister directed the Office of the Prime Minister and Council of Ministers to take
48 concrete steps to develop an action plan to end GBV and declared the year 2010 as the “Year against
49 gender-based violence (GBV)”. A national public awareness campaign was launched and GBV
50 declared as a cross-party priority (Thapa Shrestha, December 2013). Subsequently, a Prime
51 Ministerial Unit (Gender Empowerment and Coordination Unit) to address GBV, was established
52 (University College London (UCL) and Centre for Research on Environment, 2013), and an Inter-
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ministerial Committee (including the Ministry of Health) on GBV was created (in consultation with
4 NGOs, donors, private sectors and the media) charged with drafting the Government Plan of Action
5 for the 'Year against GBV'. The adopted *National Plan of Action against GBV* (2010) (Government
6 of Nepal, 2009) focused on protection, prosecution and prevention, but also explicitly recognized the
7 need for a multi-sector response (including health) to address GBV. This Plan finally legitimised the
8 GBV as a national priority issue with health as an integral dimension.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 *A consolidated role for MoHP in GBV-response*

18
19 The National Plan recognised the role of MoHP to address GBV giving it the official responsibility
20 for establishing services. MoHP became the main executive body responsible for implementing
21 Clause 3 of the 'National Action Plan 2010 against Gender-Based Violence', which called for the
22 provision of integrated services to survivors of gender-based violence by establishing hospital-based
23 one-stop crisis management centres (OCMCs). The MoHP developed a Manual providing guidance
24 on how OCMCs should function, detailing the role and responsibilities of each stakeholder (Ministry
25 of Health and Population (MOHP), 2010a), and establishing OCMCs in a phased manner (Health and
26 Education Advice and Research Team (HEART), 15 February 2013). Since 2011, 15 OCMCs were
27 established (Ministry of Health and Population et al., October 2013).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 A year later the detailed first year Plan was followed by a 5-year Plan – *National Strategy and Action*
41 *Plan on Gender Empowerment and End of Gender-based Violence* (Government of Nepal and Office
42 of Prime Minister and Council of Ministers, July/August, 2012)- establishing provisions to address
43 institutional capacity to respond to GBV within health services (University College London (UCL)
44 and Centre for Research on Environment, 2013). The Ministry of Health plays a crucial part in the
45 implementation of this Plan, being a key member of the Advisory Committee and Inter-Ministerial
46 Committees created to monitor the implementation of the GBV Plan. Ongoing government
47 commitment to tackling GBV is manifest in its latest MDG Progress Report, which calls for a policy
48 of zero tolerance towards GBV and the development of a GBV indicator to monitor progress
49 (Government of Nepal and United Nations Country Team of Nepal, 2013). The MoHP has also
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 reiterated its commitment to reduce GBV in a draft report on major health policy issues, which states
4 that spousal violence can lead to serious health implications for women and their children and calls
5 for a multi-sectoral approach to reduce GBV (Ministry of Health and Population, 2014). However,
6
7
8
9 despite a long section on ANC and RH the report failed to mention GBV and its threat to pregnancy
10
11
12 outcomes. Nevertheless, recent discussions around the new Reproductive Health Policy seem to
13
14 indicate that GBV will be among its components (the ninth) [*from personal discussion with key*
15
16 *informant from SRH Organisation based in Kathmandu*].
17

18 19 **Discussion**

20
21 This paper draws on the concepts of agenda setting and framing to analyse the historical processes by
22
23 which GBV became legitimised as a health policy issue in Nepal and explored factors that facilitated
24
25 and constrained the opening and closing of windows of opportunity. This marrying of two theoretical
26
27 bodies of work has revealed many insights that further our understanding of factors influencing
28
29 agenda setting and help to refine existing theories. First, our analysis of the different frames used by
30
31 different actors at different times reveals that there may be parallel sets of streams based on different
32
33 frames that may eventually converge. Second, we find that the politics stream should not be viewed as
34
35 a disconnected factor at macro level - but as an ever-changing political context operating at macro,
36
37 meso and micro levels. Furthermore, it can profoundly affect issue framing – and therefore the
38
39 perceptions of policy problems and solutions. Third, the ability of entrepreneurs to act is constrained
40
41 or facilitated by their reading of the political context, their alignment with powerful actors and their
42
43 strategic use of framing.
44
45

46 47 *Multiple frames, parallel policy streams and convergence*

48
49 Previous studies from high income countries have shown that the way and form in which particular
50
51 problems are conceived and framed affects how they will be tackled by policy-makers (Cobb and
52
53 Elder, 1983, Entman, 1993, Jerit, 2008). Our analysis shows that the existence of multiple frames
54
55 around the conception of GBV may lead to parallel policy streams. Over the years, GBV was
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 recognised as a problem in Nepal, but how it was framed varied according to the actors' interests,
4 their understanding of the political context, and their consequent perceptions of both the type of
5 problem and its solution. The Government of Nepal initially adopted a gender equality and
6 development frame, in line with the international women's movement and the international push for
7 poverty reduction strategies. The Ministry of Women and Social Welfare joined forces with
8 influential women's NGOs framed the issue of GBV in terms of a gender equality and empowerment
9 approach. This was in keeping with the national position on the importance of gender equality for
10 advancing the development of the country. Moreover, GBV was not successfully connected to the
11 health policy agenda (or a health frame) which was focused instead on liberalising abortion laws and
12 reducing maternal mortality. A lack of political will, together with the end of Parliamentary cycle,
13 thwarted early attempts at legislation on GBV. After a new Constitution was agreed, following a
14 prolonged period of armed conflict, the Government adopted a human rights lens to addressing GBV
15 in alignment with the core principles of the Constitution. This gave GBV a higher political profile and
16 resulted in legislative efforts to address it, but not as a health issue.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 Nevertheless, this powerful non-health framing (promoted by influential women's groups and
34 accepted by the Prime Minister's Office) facilitated the convergence of the three streams – problem
35 (GBV as a human rights issue), solution (legislation to criminalise) and politics (New Constitution
36 upholding rights of all citizens) – which created a window for policy change that secured the linkage
37 of health and GBV. Once again the influential women's groups turned their attention back to GBV
38 legislation and secured the Domestic Violence Bill, consolidating the national legal framework for
39 GBV and, critically, paving the way for multi-sector implementation plans. The international donors
40 funded evidence-gathering to support the MoHP, which finally attempted to align its arguments about
41 the problem of GBV and its potential solution to the Safe Motherhood Movement. This showed that
42 GBV had significant maternal (and other) health consequences and thus any solution to the problem
43 must include health services. This aligned with the requirement to develop plans for service-
44 implementation in the Joint Plan and the MoHP was belatedly able to secure its position as a key
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 implementer, though it continues to miss opportunities to align health-sector GBV-responses to an
4
5 ANC entry point.
6
7

8 9 *Politics stream and fluid political contexts*

10
11 In Nepal numerous *politics stream* factors at national and international levels contributed to the
12
13 increasing political prioritisation of GBV. First, key political events had an impact on the rise of GBV
14
15 as a national concern. The democratisation process exposed Nepal to intergovernmental negotiations
16
17 and treaties on rights and development, including the need for gender-equity and reduction of
18
19 discrimination – including violence – against women. This led to the emergence of a gender and
20
21 development frame for ending GBV. The ongoing civil war and its final resolution resulted in a
22
23 necessary focus on security and reconstruction promoting a security-focussed response to GBV. The
24
25 influential MDG-aligned *Safe Motherhood Campaign* was an early focus of women's groups active
26
27 on GBV issues in Nepal, but they quickly abandoned their health focus in favour of the more potent
28
29 legal, rights-based frame that aligned with the programme of constitutional legal change. It was only
30
31 after this constitutional legal change was consolidated (resulting in the adoption of the DV Bill), and
32
33 the international donors came in to support the MoHP by providing the evidence to link GBV to
34
35 health, that the opportunity was seized to align the health frame with the calls for a service-
36
37 implementation response to GBV. The Declaration of the Year to end GBV and the high-level actions
38
39 by the Prime Minister were critical components in ensuring the *Joint Plan* finally incorporated health.
40
41 Thus these big political events affected the 'framing' of the issue of GBV and at different times
42
43 created, and closed off, windows of opportunity for policy change.
44
45

46 47 *Political Entrepreneurship*

48
49 The convergence of the three policy streams alone does not secure political will. Policy windows
50
51 remain open for only a short time before the alignment passes and policy entrepreneurs are crucial to
52
53 seize the moment and act to achieve policy change (Kingdon, 2003, Kingdon, 1984).
54
55

56
57 Initially in Nepal the Ministry of Health and Population was not an entrepreneur. The complex multi-
58
59 sectoral nature of GBV (which is both a health and social problem and can be addressed as a human
60

1
2
3 rights and criminal justice issue) makes it difficult for a single Ministry to tackle alone. Yet whilst the
4
5 MoHP began to engage with GBV from the early 2000s, it failed to coordinate or collaborate with key
6
7 policy actors in other sectors or to strategically align itself with the powerful human-rights frame
8
9 promoted by women's groups and taken up by the Government which provided a window of
10
11 opportunity for health policy change. One explanation is that the MoHP never had a policy
12
13 'champion' who could push forward its health-frame and show that integrating GBV services within
14
15 health care settings should be part of the national response. Having such a champion within the most
16
17 important tertiary hospital in the country was a key ingredient for Malaysia's successful adoption of
18
19 national policy guidance on the integration of GBV services within hospitals (Colombini et al., 2011).
20
21 In Nepal, the presence of such a 'champion' could have led to a much earlier window of opportunity
22
23 for MoHP.
24

25
26
27 Nevertheless, once the Joint Plan was agreed, the MoHP recognised their opportunity and partnered
28
29 with international donors and agencies (especially UNFPA and DFID) to create an evidence base for
30
31 integrating GBV services into the health sector, developing guidelines for health service responses
32
33 and training for health professionals, with a focus on OCMCs. Although an important step, the MoHP
34
35 missed an opportunity to integrate GBV within other health services, particularly safe motherhood (a
36
37 government priority since the late 1990s) (Bhandari et al., 2011, Suvedi et al., 2009, Witter et al.,
38
39 2011, Government of Nepal, 2005), and ANC, recognized globally as an entry point for preventing
40
41 and addressing the health consequences of GBV (García-Moreno et al., 2014, Miller et al., 2010). The
42
43 MoHP could have promoted these as additional entry points alongside the further development of the
44
45 OCMCs, as happened in Bangladesh (UNFPA Asia Pacific Office, 2010). Another window may be
46
47 emerging since the current revision of the New RH Strategy contains references to GBV - the
48
49 opportunity should not be missed a second time to develop an ANC/SRH response.
50

51 52 53 54 **Conclusions**

1
2
3 Nepal represents a very good example of a country that was able to move from a narrow view of GBV
4 as a criminal justice issue, towards a public health approach, framing GBV as a health issue. In
5 contrast, less progressive countries continue to ignore the health consequences of GBV.
6
7 Understanding how this change occurred in Nepal could provide important lessons for other countries
8
9 in the region that want to broaden the conceptualization of GBV policies to encompass the health
10
11 consequences.
12
13
14
15
16

17 Framing is recognised as an important component of agenda setting. Our explicit incorporation of it
18 within the Kindgon model of policy streams and entrepreneurs has illustrated how important it is for
19 understanding the emergence of policy issues, and the subsequent debates about their resolution. The
20 framing of a policy problem by certain policy actors affects the development of each of the three
21 policy streams, and may facilitate or constrain their convergence. This may lead to windows of
22 opportunity being opened or closed, and whether these windows result in effective policy change or
23 not. The concept of framing therefore lends an additional depth of understanding to the Kindgon
24 model agenda setting model.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 In particular, the study contribute to increase the limited literature on GBV agenda setting in order to
36 comprehend the factors influencing prioritization of GBV and the consequent development of the
37 legal and policy environment which can inform other countries wishing to act on GBV as a health
38 issue. Furthermore, these insights can be helpful for other countries who are embarking on a similar
39 process to understand what shapes the formation of political will and the involvement of key
40 stakeholders in the implementation of GBV services, since the actions of these actors have a direct
41 impact on service-delivery, including why it has not so far been taken up within health settings.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- ADHIKARI, R. & TAMANG, J. 2010. Sexual coercion of married women in Nepal. *BMC Women's Health*, 10, 31.
- BHADRA, C. May 2004. NEPAL. Review of the Implementation of the Beijing Platform for Action and the Outcome Documents of the Twenty-Third Special Session of the General Assembly.
- BHADRA, C. January 2001. Gender and development: global debate on Nepal's development agenda. *Contributions to Nepalese Studies*, 28, 95-107.
- BHANDARI, A., GORDON, M. & SHAKYA, G. 2011. Reducing maternal mortality in Nepal. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 118, 26-30.
- BUSE, K., MAYS, N. & WALT, G. 2005. *Making health policy*, Open University Press.
- CHAUDHARY, P., CHAUDHARY, S. K. & SHRESTHA, M. 2010. Prevalence of Gender Based Violence among Pregnant Women: A Hospital Based Study. *Nepal Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 5, 17-22.
- COBB, R. W. & ELDER, C. D. 1983. *Participation in American Politics: the dynamics of Agenda-Building*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- COLOMBINI, M., ALI, S., WATTS, C. & MAYHEW, S. 2011. One stop crisis centres: A policy analysis of the Malaysian response to intimate partner violence. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 9, 25.
- COLOMBINI, M., MAYHEW, S., ALI, S., SHUIB, R. & WATTS, C. 2012. An integrated health sector response to violence against women in Malaysia: lessons for supporting scale up. *BMC Public Health*, 12, 548.
- COMMISSION, N. P. December 2007. *Three Year Interim Plan (2007/8 and 2008/9)*. Singhadurbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- DEUBA, A. R. & RANA, P. S. 2001. *A Study on the Psycho-Social Impacts of Violence Against Women and Girls with Special Focus on Rape, Incest and Polygamy*. Kathmandu.
- DEUBA, A. R. & RANA, P. S. 2005. *A Study on Linkages between Domestic Violence and Pregnancy*. Kathmandu, Nepal.
- DHAKAL, S. 2008. Nepalese women under the shadow of domestic violence. *Lancet*, 371, 547-8.
- DRUCKMAN, J. 2001. Evaluating framing effects. *Journal of economic psychology*, 22, 96-101.
- EDELMAN, M. 1988. *Political language: constructing the politics spectacle*, Chicago, IL, University of Chicago Press.
- ENGEL, J., GLENNIE, J., ADHIKARI, S. R., BHATTARAI, S. W., PRASAI, D. P. & SAMUELS, F. 2013. *Nepal's story: understanding improvements in maternal mortality*. London: ODI.
- ENTMAN, R. M. 1993. Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43 51-58.
- FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES & SOUTH ASIAN INSTITUTE FOR POLICY ANALYSIS AND LEADERSHIP 2012. *Gender based violence in Nepal: types, level and prevention*. Kathmandu.
- FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH 2005a. *Management Protocol for Health Service Providers and Managers*.
- FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH 2005b. *Training Manual for health service providers and managers*.
- GARCÍA-MORENO, C., HEGARTY, K., LUCAS D'OLIVEIRA, A. F., KOZIOL-MACLAIN, J., COLOMBINI, M. & FEDER, G. 2014. The health-systems response to violence against women. *The Lancet*.
- GENEAU, R., STUCKLER, D., STACHENKO, S., MCKEE, M., EBRAHIM, S., BASU, S., CHOCKALINGHAM, A., MWATSAMA, M., JAMAL, R., ALWAN, A. & BEAGLEHOLE, R. 2010. Raising the priority of preventing chronic diseases: a political process. *Lancet*, 376, 1689-98.
- GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL 21 November 2006. *Interim Constitution of Nepal 2063 (2007)*.
- GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL 2005. *Operational guidelines on incentives for safe delivery services*. Kathmandu: Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population.

- 1
2
3 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL 2009. National Plan of Action for "Year Against Gender Based Violence,
4 2010". Kathmandu: Office of the Prime Minister and Council of Ministers.
5 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL November 2012. A Study on Gender-Based Violence Conducted in Selected
6 Rural Districts of Nepal. Singha Durbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.
7 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & FAMILY HEALTH DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
8 2002. National Safe Motherhood Plan (2002-2017). Kathmandu.
9 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & NEPAL LAW COMMISSION. 2006. Amending Some Nepal Acts to
10 Maintain Gender Equality, 2063 (2006). Available:
11 www.lawcommission.gov.np/index.php/en/acts-english.
12 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & NEPAL LAW COMMISSION 2007. Human Trafficking and Transportation
13 (Control) Act, 2064.
14 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL & OFFICE OF PRIME MINISTER AND COUNCIL OF MINISTERS July/August,
15 2012. National Strategy and Action Plan on Gender Empowerment and to End of Gender-
16 based Violence (Fiscal Year 2012/2013-2016/2017) - Unofficial Translation. Singhdarbar,
17 Kathmandu.
18 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL (GON), POPULATION DIVISION OF MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION
19 & NEPAL HEALTH SECTOR SUPPORT PROGRAMME (NHSSP) 2012. Voices from the
20 Community: Access to Health Services. A Rapid Participatory Ethnographic Evaluation and
21 Research (PEER) Study, Nepal.
22 GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL AND UNITED NATIONS COUNTRY TEAM OF NEPAL 2013. Nepal. Millennium
23 Development Goals. Progress Report 2013. Kathmandu.
24 GRANEHEIM, U. H. & LUNDMAN, B. 2004. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts,
25 procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse education today*, 24, 105-112.
26 HALL, P. 1975. Change, choice and conflict in social policy. London: Heinemann Educational.
27 HEALTH AND EDUCATION ADVICE AND RESEARCH TEAM (HEART) 15 February 2013. Nepal Health
28 Sector Programme II (NHSP II). Mid-Term Review.
29 INFORMAL SECTOR SERVICE CENTER (INSEC) 2012. Situation of Human Rights 2011: Overall
30 Evaluation *Nepal Human Rights Yearbook*.
31 JAYASUNDERE, R. August 2009. Understanding gendered violence against women in Sri Lanka. A
32 background paper fro Women Defining Peace.
33 JERIT, J. 2008. Issue framing and engagement: rhetorical strategy in public policy debates. *Political*
34 *Behavior*, 30, 1-24.
35 JOSHI, S. K. 2009. Violence against Women (VAW) in Nepal: Role of health care workers. *Kathmandu*
36 *University Medical Journal*, 7, 89-91.
37 JUSTICE, A. F. A. I. C. F. T. December 2010. Across the line. The impact of Nepal's conflict on women.
38 Kathmandu.
39 KINGDON, J. 1984. Agendas, alternatives and public policies. Boston: Little Brown & Co.
40 KINGDON, J. 2010. Agendas, alternatives and public policies. updated 2nd edition ed. Harlow:
41 Longman Classics.
42 KINGDON, J. W. 2003. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. New York: Longman.
43 KRUG, E. G., DAHLBERG, T. T., MERCY, J. A., ZWI, A. B. & LOZANO, R. E. 2002. World report on
44 violence and health. Geneva.
45 LAMICHHANE, P., PURI, M., TAMANG, J. & DULAL, B. 2011. Women's Status and Violence against
46 Young Married Women in Rural Nepal. *BMC Women's Health*, 11, 19.
47 MILLER, E., DECKER, M. R., MCCAULEY, H. L., TANCREDI, D. J., LEVENSON, R. R., WALDMAN, J.,
48 SCHOENWALD, P. & SILVERMAN, J. G. 2010. Pregnancy coercion, intimate partner violence
49 and unintended pregnancy. *Contraception*, 81, 316-322.
50 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION 2010. The Aama programme: an initiative towards reducing
51 maternal and newborn deaths in Nepal. Kathmandu Ministry of Health & Population;
52 Support to the Safe Motherhood Programme (SSMP).
53 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION 2014 Evidence report for NHSP III - Version I.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION, UNFPA & NHSSP (NEPAL HEALTH SECTOR SUPPORT
4 PROGRAMME) October 2013. Assessment of the Performance of Hospital-Based One Stop
5 Crisis Management Centres. Kathmandu.
- 6 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION (MOHP) 2010a. Hospital Based One-stop Crisis
7 Management Center (OCMC) operational manual 2067. Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 8 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION (MOHP) 2010b. Nepal Health Sector Implementation Plan II
9 2010-2015. Kathmandu.
- 10 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION (MOHP) [NEPAL], NEW ERA & ICF INTERNATIONAL INC.
11 March 2012. Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 12 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES 2006.
13 National Safe Motherhood and Neonatal Health-Long Term Plan (2006-2017). *In: SERVICES,*
14 *F. H. D. O. T. D. O. H. (ed.). Kathmandu: Family Health Division.*
- 15 MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND POPULATION, G. O. N. December 2009. Health Sector Gender Equality
16 and Social Inclusion Strategy. Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 17 MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (MOLJ) [NEPAL] 2009. Domestic Violence (Offence and Punishment)
18 Act, 2067. *In: MINISTRY OF LAW, J. A. C. A. A. (ed.). Kathmandu: Ministry of Law and Justice*
- 19 MINISTRY OF WOMEN & SOCIAL WELFARE 1997. National Plan of Action for Gender Equality and
20 Women's Empowerment.
- 21 MINISTRY OF WOMEN CHILDREN AND SOCIAL WELFARE 1999. "Beijing Plus Five: Country Report".
22 Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 23 NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION & HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT 1998. The Ninth Plan (1997-
24 2002). Kathmandu.
- 25 NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION & HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT March 2002. Tenth Plan (2002-
26 2007). Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 27 NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) 2011. Three Year Plan (2010-2013). Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 28 PATHAK, L. K., MALLA, D. S. & PRADHA, A. 1998. Maternal mortality and morbidity study.
29 Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 30 PRADHAN, A., POUDEL, P., D., T. & BARNETT, S. July 2011. A review of the evidence: suicide among
31 women in Nepal.
- 32 PURI, M., SHAH, I. & TAMANG, J. 2010. Exploring the Nature and Reasons for Sexual Violence Within
33 Marriage Among Young Women in Nepal. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 25, 1873-1892.
- 34 REIN, M. & SCHÖN, D. 1994. *Frame reflection*, New York, Basic Books.
- 35 ROGERS, E. M., DEARING, J. W. & BREGMAN, D. 1993. The Anatomy of Agenda-Setting Research.
36 *Journal of Communication*, 43, 68-84.
- 37 SAATHI. *SAATHI Hails Domestic Violence Bill. Source:*
38 http://www.saathi.org.np/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=56&Itemid=83
39 [Online]. [Accessed 9 September 2014].
- 40 SAATHI & THE ASIA FOUNDATION 1997 A situation analysis of violence against women in Nepal.
41 Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 42 SAATHI & UNFPA 2008. Situational Analysis of Gender-Based Violence in Surkhet and Dang Districts
43 Nepal.
- 44 SABATIER, P. A. (ed.) 2007. *Theories of the Policy Process* Westview Press.
- 45 SCHÖN, D. & REIN, M. 1996. Frame-critical policy analysis and frame-reflective policy practice.
46 *Knowledge and policy*, 9, 85-103.
- 47 SEMETKO, H. A. & VALKENBURG, P. M. 2000. Framing European politics: a content analysis of press
48 and television news *Journal of communication*, 50, 93-109.
- 49 SHIFFMAN, J. 2007. Generating political priority for maternal mortality reduction in 5 developing
50 countries. *Am J Public Health*, 97, 796-803.
- 51 SNIDERMAN, P. M. & THERIAULT, S. M. 2004. The structure of political argument and the logic of
52 issue framing. *In: SARIS, W. E. & SNIDERMAN, P. M. (eds.) Studies in public opinion.*
53 Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- 54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 SNOW, D. & BENFORD, R. 1992. Master frames and cycles of protest. *In: MORRIS, A. & MUELLER, C.*
4 (eds.) *Frontiers in social movement theory*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
5
6 SUVEDI, B. K., PRADHAN, A., BARNETT, S., PURI, M., CHITRAKAR, S. R., POUDEL, P., SHARMA, S. &
7 HULTON, L. 2009. Nepal Maternal Mortality and Morbidity Study 2008/2009: Summary of
8 Preliminary Findings. Kathmandu, Nepal.
9
10 THAPA, S. 2004. Abortion Law in Nepal: The Road to Reform. *Reproductive Health Matters*, 12, 85-
11 94.
12
13 THAPA SHRESTHA, N. December 2013. Combating gender-based violence. Policy Brief. Kathmandu:
14 ESP and UKAid.
15
16 THE ASIA FOUNDATION & SAATHI 2010. Nepal. Preliminary Mapping of Gender Based Violence.
17 Kathmandu: The Asia Foundation and SAATHI.
18
19 TULADHAR, S., KHANAL, K. R., LILA, K. C., GHIMIRE, P. K. & ONTA, K. 2013. Women's Empowerment
20 and Spousal Violence in Relation to Health Outcomes in Nepal: Further analysis of the 2011
21 Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. Calverton, Maryland, USA.
22
23 TVERSKY, A. & KAHNEMAN, D. 1987. Rational choice and the framing of decisions. *In: HOGARTH, R.*
24 M. & REDER, M. W. (eds.) *Rational choice: the contrast between economics and psychology*.
25 Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
26
27 UNFPA 2008. Nepal: Overcoming violence against women through an integrated and community-
28 based approach *Programming to address violence against women. 8 case studies. Volume 2.*
29 New York: UNFPA.
30
31 UNFPA, INTERNATIONAL RESCUE COMMITTEE, SAATHI & WOMEN FOR HUMAN RIGHTS 2008.
32 Situational analysis of gender-based violence in Surkhet and Dang districts, Nepal
33 Kathmandu.
34
35 UNFPA ASIA PACIFIC OFFICE 2010. Health-sector responses to Gender-Based Violence. An
36 assessment of the Asia, Pacific Region. Bangkok.
37
38 UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON (UCL) & CENTRE FOR RESEARCH ON ENVIRONMENT, H. A. P. A. C.
39 2013. Tracking Cases of Gender-Based Violence in Nepal: Individual, institutional, legal and
40 policy analyses
41
42 WITTER, S., KHADKA, S., NATH, H. & TIWARI, S. 2011. The national free delivery policy in Nepal: early
43 evidence of its effects on health facilities. *Health Policy and Planning*, 26, ii84-ii91.
44
45 WOMEN, U. N. S. G. C. T. E. V. A. 2008. Framework for Action. Programme of United Nations
46 Activities and Expected Outcomes, 2008 - 2015.
47
48 WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION, LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE AND TROPICAL MEDICINE & SOUTH
49 AFRICAN MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL 2013. Global and regional estimates of violence
50 against women: prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner
51 sexual violence. Geneva: WHO.
52
53 WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2013. Responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence
54 against women. WHO clinical and policy guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization.
55
56 WORLD HELATH ORGANISATION/LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE AND TROPICAL MEDICINE 2010.
57 Preventing intimate partner and sexual violence against women: taking action and
58 generating evidence. Geneva.
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1: Changes over time in GBV Nepal context

Stage in time (year)	Key actors	Changes over time around GBV 'frames'	Health sector context	Broader contextual factors
1997-2002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of Nepal • SAATHI (local NGO) and other women's groups • Ministry of Women and Social Welfare 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First recognition of GBV as major impediment to development and women's equality • First prevalence study on GBV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recognition of GBV as a health issue. Focus was on women's police cell (safety and security of women) and rehabilitation (through NGOs) • Only one study on GBV prevalence, but none on its health consequences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Democratisation process leading to proliferation of women's NGOs • Nepal's adoption of principles of 1995 Beijing Conference • Government's commitment to women's empowerment and gender equality
2002-2005	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ministry of Health and Population • Samanita (private consultancy group) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognition of GBV as a public health concern, particularly for safe motherhood and women's health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MoHP, through Safe Motherhood Plan, plans for creation of GBV service delivery systems in hospitals • MoHP developed a training Manual and a protocol for health staff on GBV service provision • First survey on linkages between IPV and pregnancy published, showing lack of training and knowledge among health providers (OBGYN, midwives) around GBV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adoption of Abortion Law • Published research on linkages between GBV and maternal mortality and morbidity • National concern for maternal mortality and strong focus on reducing it

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

<p>2006-2008</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of Nepal • MoHP • UNFPA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognition of the right of women to be free from GBV acts, which are seen as punishable by law • Government call for legal framework around GBV, and a study on health consequences of GBV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With the revised Safe Motherhood Plans (2006), MoHP shifted GBV focus from service implementation to primary prevention (community awareness) and rights-based approaches • Creation of Gender Equality and Social Inclusion Unit within MoHP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adoption of new Constitution (legitimising right to be free from GBV) • Legal revisions to promote gender equality • UNFPA study on Nepal Gender Equality recognising GBV as important element of SRH and calling for the integration of IPV in safe motherhood and other RH programmes
<p>2009-2014</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prime Minister Office • MoHP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognition of GBV as a national priority needing concerted effort • Legitimisation of MoHP role in integrating GBV services into health sector 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognition of GBV as integral part of health service provision • MoHP as leading actor in health sector response to GBV with creation of 15 OCMCs in 2011 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adoption of DV Law • Government declared Year against GBV • First National Plan of Action Against GBV • National Strategy and Action Plan on Gender Empowerment and to End Gender-based Violence • More studies published on GBV

view

Figure 1 – Kingdon’s Policy Streams Approach: applied to development of GBV Policy in Nepal

