VOLUME 17 NO 8 PP E15-E25 AUGUST 2012

Trends in the uptake of voluntary counselling and testing for HIV in rural Tanzania in the context of the scale up of antiretroviral therapy

Raphael Isingo¹, Alison Wringe², Jim Todd^{1,2}, Mark Urassa¹, Doris Mbata¹, Griter Maiseli¹, Rose Manyalla¹, John Changalucha¹, Julius Mngara¹, Ester Mwinuka¹ and Basia Zaba²

1 National Institute of Medical Research, Mwanza, Tanzania

2 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Abstract OBJECTIVES To describe trends in voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) use and to assess whether highrisk and infected individuals are receiving counselling and learning their HIV status in rural Tanzania. METHODS During two rounds of linked serological surveys (2003–2004 and 2006–2007) with anonymous HIV testing among adults, VCT was offered to all participants. The crude and adjusted odds ratios for completing VCT in each survey were calculated to compare uptake by demographic, behavioural and clinical characteristics, stratified by sex. Repeat testing patterns were also investigated. RESULTS The proportion of participants completing VCT increased from 10% in 2003–2004 to 17% in 2006–2007, and among HIV-infected persons from 14% to 25%. A higher proportion of men than women completed VCT in both rounds, but the difference declined over time. Socio-demographic and behavioural factors associated with VCT completion were similar across rounds, including higher adjusted odds of VCT with increasing numbers of sexual partners in the past 12 months. The proportion having ever-completed VCT reached 26% among 2006-2007 attendees, with repeat testing rates highest among those aged 35-44 years. Among 3923 participants attending both rounds, VCT completion in 2006-2007 was 17% among 3702 who were HIV negative in both rounds, 19% among 124 who were HIV infected in both rounds and 22% among 96 who seroconverted between rounds. CONCLUSION VCT services are attracting HIV-infected and high-risk individuals. However, 2 years after the introduction of antiretroviral therapy, the overall uptake remains low. Intensive mobilisation efforts are needed to achieve regular and universal VCT use.

keywords voluntary counselling and testing, HIV, Tanzania, cohort study

Introduction

In sub-Saharan Africa, where the HIV epidemic has had its most devastating impact, the expansion of voluntary HIV testing and counselling services (VCT) is seen as a key component of public health efforts to lower HIV incidence. VCT aims to provide people with an opportunity to learn and accept their HIV status in a confidential environment, with counselling to encourage sexual behaviour change to prevent further infections (WHO 2005). The scaling up of VCT services could contribute towards reducing HIV transmission if counselling influenced the behaviour of HIV-positive individuals, including those who are sexually active and not yet ill, and HIV-negative individuals with high-risk behaviours.

VCT is also the main entry point for HIV treatment and care services (De Cock *et al.* 2003; Nsigaye *et al.* 2009). In many countries, HIV testing uptake has grown rapidly with the expansion of antiretroviral treatment (ART) – in Tanzania, the proportion ever tested increased from 15% to 32% between 2004 and 2007 (TACAIDS 2005, 2008). However, trends in VCT testing rates among HIV-infected individuals at the population level have rarely been reported, because there are very few settings in which an individual's HIV status is known prior to VCT. Furthermore, understanding the extent to which VCT already attracts recent seroconverters could provide useful information for the potential development of 'treatment as prevention' programs, which would need to identify persons with recent infection to start on ART.

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out at http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/online open#OnlineOpen_Terms

We have previously reported socio-demographic, behavioural and clinical characteristics associated with VCT use among residents of a HIV cohort study in rural Tanzania 2003–2004, shortly before free ART services (Wringe et al. 2008). The study showed that although HIV infection and certain high-risk behaviours prompted VCT use, only 10% of individuals completed VCT. VCT was offered free of charge on a fully voluntary basis and was available to study participants in their village of residence, suggesting that VCT uptake was limited by demand factors rather than availability. The purpose of this study is to describe trends in VCT uptake, lifetime VCT coverage and repeat testing patterns from 2004 to 2007 for this rural population-based HIV cohort in northern Tanzania and to investigate whether VCT completion and its determinants have changed since the introduction of free ART in 2005 through the Tanzanian government's national HIV care and treatment plan.

Methods

Study setting

The study was conducted in Kisesa ward, Mwanza region in north-west Tanzania. The ward lies 20 km east of the regional capital, Mwanza City, along the main road to Kenya. Two villages (one of which is a trading centre) lie on this main road, while the other five are rural. The population is served by a health centre on the main road and by three small dispensaries. VCT services have been available at the health centre since 2005, providing referral for those diagnosed with HIV to Mwanza city hospitals, where free care and ART including services for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (PMTCT) for pregnant women have been available since 2005 under the national programme. In 2008, ART and PMTCT provision started at Kisesa Health Centre and local patients were transferred there from the city hospitals.

The entire population of the ward is enumerated every 6 months as part of an ongoing Demographic Surveillance System. The population of the ward grew from around 19 000 in 1994, when surveillance started, to about 28 000 in 2007 (Urassa *et al.* 2010). Five serological surveys have been carried out to date in 1994–1995, 1997–1998, 2000–2001, 2003–2004 and 2006–2007. During these serosurveys, adults aged 15 years and above, who constitute about 50% of the total population, provided blood samples for anonymous HIV testing and completed detailed survey questionnaires covering sexual behaviour, use of health services and attitudes towards HIV (Wambura *et al.* 2007). The serological and behavioural surveillance data are anonymously linked to information collected through the

demographic surveillance rounds. In each serological survey, all resident adults were invited to come to a temporary clinic located at a central place in each village; since the 2000–2001 round, a separate VCT service has been provided for those opting to know their HIV results.

Voluntary counselling and testing services during the serosurvey

As VCT uptake at the 2000–2001 round was <1%, this analysis uses data from the 2003–2004 and 2006–2007 rounds. In the 2003–2004 and 2006–2007 surveys, participants were asked if they would like to use the survey VCT service to know their HIV status. Those who agreed were directed to a trained counsellor in a separate hut for pre-and post-test counselling. In 2003–2004, venous blood was collected by the counsellors and transported to the NIMR laboratory for HIV testing, and clients returned for the results 1 week later. In 2006–2007, venous blood was again collected, but rapid HIV screening tests were used - a preliminary test using Capillus, confirmed by the Determine test for those testing positive. Quality control was performed at the NIMR laboratory on a 5% subsample using ELISA tests as previously.

Individuals who underwent VCT in the serological survey in 2003–2004 and 2006–2007 were found to be HIV negative and were encouraged to repeat VCT regularly. Those who discovered they were positive in 2003–2004 were informed that treatment would become available in the near future and (with their prior agreement) subsequently traced by the VCT counsellors after the start of the ART programme and referred to the zonal hospital for HIV care and treatment. Those who were positive in 2006–2007 were referred directly to the hospital for HIV care and treatment. Bus fares and a community volunteer were provided to escort persons to the hospital if they wished (Nsigaye *et al.* 2009).

Reported use of VCT services

The survey questionnaire captures information on participants' previous use of HIV testing services, including the place and circumstances of HIV testing and whether the results were received and post-test counselling was given. In the 2006–2007 round, participants who reported previous VCT use were also asked about their experiences of using these services.

Risk behaviour

Survey respondents were asked about their sexual behaviour, including frequency of intercourse, number of sexual

partners in the last 12 months, and condom use with spouse, regular and casual partners. Bar girls are defined as 'high risk' partners for men, and regular travellers (traders, truck drivers and fishermen) are regarded as 'high risk' partners for women – extra questions were asked to probe for these kinds of partners.

Data analysis

All survey data were double entered, and linking of interview data, HIV research tests and VCT attendance was carried out anonymously using study identification numbers. Frequencies and crude associations were obtained using cross-tabulations and Chi-square tests. To identify factors independently associated with VCT uptake, multivariable logistic regression models were constructed using a forward-fitting approach that considered sociodemographic factors first, followed by behavioural factors to identify potential confounders. HIV status was included in the models as an *a priori* risk factor of interest. Likelihood ratio tests were used to assess the inclusion of variables in the model. Analyses were carried out separately for men and women to assess whether patterns of VCT use differ between the sexes. Data were analysed using Stata 10.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval for all surveys was given by the Medical Research Coordinating Committee of the Tanzanian Ministry of Health and by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.

Results

VCT uptake at the survey rounds

In 2003–2004, 8960 individuals were seen (62.1% of eligible adult residents), of whom 4990 (56%) were women. In 2006–2007, 9457 individuals were seen (62.6% of eligible adult residents), of whom 5572 (59%) were women (Figure 1). Further characteristics of the participants are summarised in Table 1.

In 2003–2004, 27% (2396/8960) of participants expressed a desire for VCT with a higher proportion among men than women (30% vs. 24%); 12% (1096/8960) underwent pre-test counselling and HIV testing, and 10% (850/8960) returned a week later for test results and post-test counselling. In 2006–2007, 18% (1652/9457) of participants indicated an interest in receiving VCT (19% of men and 16% of women) and 17% (1645/9457) subsequently underwent pre-test counselling, Among men and women, the biggest increases in VCT completion between the two surveys were for those aged 45 and over, those in polygamous marriages, those with no schooling and those following traditional religions (Table 1). Among women only, VCT uptake increased by over 150% among residents of remote rural villages by the 2006–2007 round.

Factors associated with VCT uptake in 2003–2004 have been described in detail elsewhere (Wringe *et al.* 2008) and are presented in Table 2. In brief, for both sexes, factors independently and positively associated with completing VCT included higher education, being from a non-Sukuma tribe and reporting three or more sexual partners in the last 12 months. Among both sexes, the adjusted odds of VCT use were lower among those following a traditional religion, compared to Christians or Muslims, and being 45 years or above. For women only, living in a roadside village and using a condom with a high-risk partner in the last 12 months were associated with higher VCT use. For men only, the adjusted odds of VCT use were higher among HIV infected than those who were HIV negative.

In the 2006–2007 survey (Table 3), the adjusted analysis showed that the uptake of VCT remained strongly associated with higher levels of education in both men (aOR = 2.59; 95% CI: 1.86-3.61) and women (aOR = 2.27; 95% CI:1.62-3.18) and living in the roadside areas for men (aOR = 1.39; 95% CI: 1.13-1.70) and women (aOR = 1.81; 95% CI: 1.51-2.17). Adjusted odds ratios of VCT uptake were also higher among the polygamously married males (aOR = 1.73; 95% CI: 1.12-2.67) and females (aOR = 1.41; 95% CI: 1.11-1.77) and for separated or widowed males (aOR = 1.44; 95% CI; 1.03-2.00). VCT uptake remained lower among women aged 45 years or more (aOR = 0.62; 95%CI: 0.46–0.83) and among men and women who followed traditional religions (aOR = 0.76; 95%CI: 0.60-0.97 and aOR = 0.63; 95%CI: 0.44-0.91, respectively).

Men and women who reported sex with a high-risk partner in the past year and using a condom were more likely to complete VCT (aOR = 1.47; 95%CI 1.01–2.14; aOR = 1.95; 95 CI: 1.09–3.48, respectively). Likewise, having three or more sexual partners in the last 12 months was independently associated with VCT uptake for men (aOR = 1.72; 95% CI: 1.12-2.64) and women (aOR = 3.98; 95% CI: 2.26-7.01). HIV-infected individuals had higher odds of VCT uptake compared to uninfected men (aOR = 1.43; 95% CI: 1.03-1.99) and women (aOR = 1.2; 95% CI: 0.93-1.56).



In research HIV test and questionnaire, Π1 week later

Figure 1 Participation rates, and Voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) interest, pre-test counselling and completion rates in the 2003/2004 and 2006/2007 surveys. **The percentage expressing interest in VCT, undergoing pre-test counselling and HIV test, and completing VCT are calculated among the individuals participating in the survey.

Lifetime coverage with VCT services by 2006-2007

Among the 9457 participants at the 2006–2007 round, 15% (1234/8221) of previously untested persons used VCT services for the first time during the survey, and 411/1236 (33%) of those with documented or reported previous VCT use opted to use these services again, resulting in a substantial increase in VCT coverage as a result of the 2006–2007 survey. Of the 1179 individuals at the 2006–2007 round who reported previous VCT, 548 (46%) reported VCT at the 2003–2004 round (our records show an additional 23 completed VCT at the 2003–2004 round, but did not report this at the next round); 171 (15%) reported using the permanent VCT service at Kisesa health centre; 141 (12%) reported testing with a local NGO provider; 62 (5%) women were tested at ANC as part of PMTCT services, and 252 (21%) had VCT 'elsewhere'.

Figure 2 presents lifetime coverage with VCT services by sex, age group and area of residence at the 2006–2007 survey, based on previous VCT use reported through the survey questionnaires, and documented during the sero-surveys. The proportion having never used VCT following the 2006–2007 round was highest among women (76%), those aged 45 years and over (80%) and those residing in remote rural villages (80%). Among the 2470 persons who had ever learned their HIV status by the end of the 2006–2007 round, 524 (21%) had used VCT services on more than one occasion, with higher proportions among men (24%) and 35–45 year olds (30%).

		2003-2(004			2006–20	007		
		Males		Females		Males		Females	
			VCT Uptake		VCT Uptake		VCT Uptake		VCT Uptake
Variable	Category	Total	n (%)	Total	(%) <i>u</i>	Total	n (%)	Total	n (%)
All		3970	482 (12)	4990	368 (7)	3885	751 (19)	5572	894 (16)
Age	15-24	1665	159(10)	1700	142 (8)	1709	234 (14)	1938	299 (15)
)	25-34	901	150 (17)	1291	125 (10)	760	223 (29)	1411	304 (22)
	35-44	595	100(17)	892	75 (8)	521	136 (26)	860	169(20)
	45+	849	73 (9)	1107	26 (2)	895	158(18)	1363	122 (9)
Residence	Rural	2298	238 (10)	2616	133(5)	2341	403 (17)	3056	397 (13)
	Roadside	801	98 (12)	1108	106(10)	834	194 (23)	1195	251 (21)
	Trading Centre	871	146(17)	1266	129(10)	710	154 (22)	1321	246 (19)
	Married Monogamous	1944	250 (13)	2624	185 (7)	1763	395 (22)	2680	461 (17)
Marital status	Single/never married	1597	165(10)	796	66 (8)	1739	250(14)	1144	144(13)
	Married polygamous	139	22(16)	532	45 (8)	132	42 (32)	588	121 (21)
	Separated/widowed	290	45(16)	1038	72 (7)	251	64 (25)	1160	168(14)
Education	None	623	25 (4)	1663	40 (2)	713	103(14)	2173	248(11)
	Primary 1–4	791	73 (9)	789	56 (7)	484	74 (15)	466	75 (16)
	Primary 5–7	2214	312 (14)	2342	236 (10)	2146	432 (20)	2557	490(19)
	Secondary	342	72 (21)	196	36 (18)	542	142(26)	376	81 (22)
Religion	Christian	2953	417(14)	4315	332 (8)	2251	404(18)	2744	444(16)
	Muslim	105	17(16)	154	26 (17)	100	25 (25)	165	34 (21)
	Traditional	912	48 (5)	521	10(2)	1534	322 (21)	2663	416(16)
Ethnic group	Sukuma	3766	435 (12)	4602	316 (7)	3688	695(19)	5193	810(16)
	non-Sukuma	204	47 (23)	388	52 (13)	197	56 (28)	379	84 (22)
Ever had sex	No	385	7 (2)	280	5 (2)	598	36 (6)	635	38 (6)
	Yes	3585	475 (13)	4710	363 (8)	3287	715 (22)	4937	856 (17)
Sex with high-risk partner &	No high-risk partner	3817	448 (12)	4761	325 (7)	3693	699(19)	5117	785 (15)
condom use in last 12 months	Yes, condom used	52	15 (29)	45	17(38)	30	7 (23)	53	21 (40)
	Yes, no condom used	101	19(19)	184	26 (14)	162	45 (28)	402	88 (22)
Number of sexual	None	601	24 (4)	884	25 (3)	930	90(10)	1578	117(7)
partners in last 12 months	One	1720	199 (12)	3513	271 (8)	2194	464 (21)	3668	692 (19)
	Two	740	113(15)	380	30 (8)	484	122 (25)	245	60 (24)
	Three or more	901	146(16)	213	42 (20)	277	75 (27)	81	25 (31)
Had STI in last 12 months	No	3472	408 (12)	4510	324 (7)	3747	724 (19)	5063	772 (15)
	Yes	498	74 (15)	480	44 (9)	138	27 (20)	509	122 (24)
HIV Status	HIV-	3739	441 (12)	4685	334 (7)	3690	691(19)	5180	806(16)
	HIV+	231	41(18)	305	34(11)	195	60(31)	392	88 (22)

by sex
2003–2004,
oarticipants in
among survey]
uptake
nd testing
counselling an
voluntary (
with
associated
r factors
atios fo
* odds r
adjusted*
ude and ;
Cri
Table 2

			•	2					
		Male (N	= 3970)			Female	(N = 4990)		
		Crude C	R 95% CI	Adjusteo	10R (95 % CI)	Crude C	JR 95% CI	Adjusteo	1 OR (95%CI)
Variables	Category	
Age (years)	25-34	1.84	1.45, 2.34	1.25	0.91, 1.71	1.18	0.91, 1.51	1.08	0.81, 1.44
	35-44	1.86	1.42, 2.44	1.32	0.90, 1.92	1.01	0.75, 1.35	1.19	0.85, 1.67
	45+	0.87	0.65, 1.16	0.92	0.61, 1.39	0.26	0.17, 0.40	0.50	0.29, 0.85
Residence	Rural	1				1		1	
	Road side	1.21	0.94, 1.55	0.99	0.76, 1.28	1.97	1.51, 2.58	1.67	1.27, 2.21
	Trading Centre	1.74	1.39, 2.18	1.17	0.91, 1.49	2.12	1.65, 2.73	1.31	0.98, 1.72
Marital status	Married Monogamous	1		1		1		1	
	Single-never married	1.28	1.04, 158	0.95	0.69, 1.31	1.19	0.89, 1.60	1.19	0.83, 1.71
	Married polygamous	1.63	1.01, 2.65	1.47	0.88, 248	1.22	0.87, 1.71	1.41	0.99, 2.02
	Separated or widowed	1.59	1.12, 2.28	1.34	0.92, 1.95	0.98	0.74, 1.30	1.52	1.08, 213
Education	None	1		1		1		1	
	Primary: 1–4 years	2.43	1.52, 3.88	2.04	1.25, 3.26	3.10	2.05, 4.69	2.38	1.54, 3.67
	Primary: 5–7 years	3.92	2.58, 5.96	2.76	1.75, 4.27	4.55	3.23, 6.40	3.06	2.09, 4.58
	Secondary+	6.38	3.96, 10.28	4.03	2.40, 6.77	9.13	5.66, 14.73	6.02	3.50, 10.37
Religion	Christian	1		1		1		1	
)	Islam	1.17	0.69, 1.99	0.99	0.57, 1.72	2.44	1.58, 3.77	2.05	1.28, 3.29
	Traditional	0.34	0.25, 0.46	0.47	0.34, 0.66	0.23	0.12, 0.44	0.47	0.24, 0.90
Ethnic group	Sukuma	1		1		1		1	
1	Non-Sukuma	2.29	1.63, 3.22	1.65	1.14, 2.39	2.10	1.53, 2.87	1.35	0.96, 1.91
Ever had sex	No	1		Ţ		1		1	
	Yes	8.25	3.88, 17.53	3.72	1.49, 9.31	4.59	1.88, 11.19	4.00	1.37, 11.68
Sex with high-risk	No high-risk partner	1		1		1		1	
partner & condom	Yes, condom used	3.05	1.66, 5.60	1.65	0.86, 3.13	8.30	4.50, 15.30	3.46	1.70, 7.10
use in last 12 months	Yes, no condom used	1.74	1.05, 2.90	1.42	0.82, 2.46	2.25	1.46, 3.45	1.16	0.71, 1.89
Number of sexual	None	1		1		1		1	
partners in last 12 months	One	3.14	2.04, 4.85	1.66	0.96, 2.87	2.87	1.89, 4.36	1.44	0.82, 2.51
	Two	4.33	2.75, 6.83	2.02	1.14, 3.55	2.95	1.71, 5.08	1.19	0.61, 2.31
	Three+	4.60	2.95, 7.18	2.14	1.21, 3.72	8.44	5.00, 14.22	2.76	1.40, 5.45
Had STI in last 12 months	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.31	1.00, 1.71	1.11	0.83, 1.48	1.30	0.94, 1.81	1.07	0.75, 151
HIV Status	HIV-	1		1		1		1	
	HIV+	1.61	1.13, 2.30	1.42	0.99, 2.05	1.63	1.12, 2.37	1.21	0.81, 1.79
*Odds ratios adjusted for all ot	ther variables.								

Variables Crude OR 95% CI Variables Category 1 Age (years) 15-24 1 Ast 25-34 2.62 2.13, 3.2 Asticle Rural 1 1 1.35 1.08, 1.6 Residence Rural 1 1 1.34 1.03, 1.6 Marital status Married Monogamous 1 1.44, 2.0 1.44, 2.0 Married polygamous 1 1.72 1.44, 2.0 1.49, 2.1 Education None 1.72 1.44, 2.0 1.16, 2.1 Religion None 1.72 1.44, 2.0 1.44, 2.0 Religion None 1.72 1.44, 2.0 1.44, 2.0 Religion None 1.72 1.44, 2.0 1.44, 2.0 1.44, 2.0 Religion Interver married 1.77, 1.9 </th <th>Crude OR 95% CI Crude OR 95% CI 1 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.62 2.13, 3. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.75 1.18, 1. cars 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2.04 0.78, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2.04 0.07, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2.04 0.07, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2. 1. 1.52 0.096, 2. 1. 1.55 0.096, 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1</th> <th>Adjusted 22 1.78 33 1.43 58 1.02 58 1.02 55 1.12 55 1.12 55 1.12 11 1.73 1.44 11 1.73 1.44 14 11 1.73 14 11 1.73</th> <th>HOR (95%CI) 1.30, 2.43 0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00</th> <th>Crude O 1 1.51 1.51 1.34 0.54 1 1.78 1.78 1.53 0.69</th> <th>R 95% CI 1.26, 1.80</th> <th>Adjusted</th> <th>OR (95%CI)</th>	Crude OR 95% CI Crude OR 95% CI 1 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.62 2.13, 3. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.75 1.18, 1. cars 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2.04 0.78, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2.04 0.07, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2.04 0.07, 1. cears 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.06 2. 1. 1.52 0.096, 2. 1. 1.55 0.096, 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Adjusted 22 1.78 33 1.43 58 1.02 58 1.02 55 1.12 55 1.12 55 1.12 11 1.73 1.44 11 1.73 1.44 14 11 1.73 14 11 1.73	HOR (95%CI) 1.30, 2.43 0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	Crude O 1 1.51 1.51 1.34 0.54 1 1.78 1.78 1.53 0.69	R 95% CI 1.26, 1.80	Adjusted	OR (95%CI)
VariablesCategoryAge (years) $15-24$ 1 Age (years) $15-24$ 1.5524 1.5522 $25-34$ $2.5-24$ 1.35 $1.08, 1.6$ Rural $45+$ 1.35 $1.08, 1.6$ RuralRural 1.35 $1.08, 1.6$ Marital statusRural 1.47 $1.21, 1.7$ Marital statusSingle-never matried 1.72 $1.44, 2.6$ Marital statusSingle-never matried 1.72 $1.49, 2.7$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.98, 4.2$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.98, 4.2$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.96, 2.6$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.98, 2.7$ ReligionEducation 1.72 $1.96, 2.6$ Ethnic groupNone 1.72 $1.66, 2.6$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.7$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.7$ Ethnic groupNone 1.71 $1.24, 2.7$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.7$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma $1.77, 1.4$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma $1.77, 1.4$ Acer had sexYes $1.73, 0.96, 2.4$ Sex with high-risk partner $1.71, 1.24, 2.7$ Bud couldon use in lastYes, condom used $1.65, 1.16, 2.30Number of sexualNone1.77, 1.65, 2.501.97, 6.5Number of sexualNone1.66, 0.30, 0.60, 3.00, 3.00, 3.00Number of sexua$	1 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.23 1.75, 2. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.37 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.34, 2. 1.34, 2. 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.49, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.07 0.77, 1. cears 1.50 1.18, 1. nore 2.11 1.58, 2. more 2.11 1.58, 2.	22 1.78 22 1.78 58 1.02 78 1.02 55 1.12 55 1.12 55 1.12 11 1.73 1.14 11 1.73 1.44 1.44 1.03	1.30, 2.43 0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	$1 \\ 1.51 \\ 1.34 \\ 0.54 \\ 1 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.53 \\ 0.69 \\$	1.26, 1.80		
Action Constants Constants <thcons< th=""> Cons Constants<th>1 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.23 1.75, 2. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 9gamous 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 9gamous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.07 0.77, 1. 6ars 1.07 0.77, 1. 6ars 1.50 1.18, 1. 6ars 1.50 1.18, 1. 6ars 1.50 1.18, 1. 66, 2. 1. 66, 2. 1. 66, 2.</th><th>1 22 1.78 33 1.43 58 1.02 78 1.39 55 1.12 55 1.12 11 11 1.73 79 1.44 14 11 1.73 1.44 11 1.73</th><th>1.30, 2.43 0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00</th><th>$1 \\ 1.51 \\ 1.34 \\ 0.54 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.53 \\ 0.69 \\ 0.6$</th><th>1.26, 1.80</th><th></th><th></th></thcons<>	1 2.62 2.13, 3. 2.23 1.75, 2. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 9gamous 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 9gamous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.07 0.77, 1. 6ars 1.07 0.77, 1. 6ars 1.50 1.18, 1. 6ars 1.50 1.18, 1. 6ars 1.50 1.18, 1. 66, 2. 1. 66, 2. 1. 66, 2.	1 22 1.78 33 1.43 58 1.02 78 1.39 55 1.12 55 1.12 11 11 1.73 79 1.44 14 11 1.73 1.44 11 1.73	1.30, 2.43 0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	$1 \\ 1.51 \\ 1.34 \\ 0.54 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.53 \\ 0.69 \\ 0.6$	1.26, 1.80		
25-34 2.62 2.13 , 3.5 Residence $35-44$ 1.35 1.08 , 1.6 $45+$ 1.35 1.08 , 1.6 Marital status $8.ral 1 1.35 1.08, 1.6 Marital status Rural 1.47 1.21, 1.5 Marital status Roadside 1.47 1.21, 1.5 Married Monogamous 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Married polygamous 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Single-never married 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Married polygamous 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Single-never married 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Married polygamous 1.72 1.44, 2.0 None None 2.04 1.49, 2.1 Religion None 1.07 0.77, 1.4 Ethnic group None 1.107 0.77, 1.4 Ethnic group None$	2.62 2.13, 3. 2.23 1.75, 2. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.44, 2. amous 1. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.49, 2. amous 2.78 1.49, 2. indowed 1. 7. 1.67, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.07 0.77, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.50 1.18, 1. <i>nore</i> 2.11 1.58, 2. <i>nore</i> 2.11 1.58, 2.	22 1.78 33 1.43 58 1.02 78 1.39 78 1.39 55 1.12 55 1.12 57 0.81 1 1.73 79 1.44 1 1.73 79 1.44 1 1.73 79 1.44 1 1.73 1 1.73	1.30, 2.43 0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	$\begin{array}{c} 1.51\\ 1.34\\ 0.54\\ 1\\ 1.78\\ 1.53\\ 1\\ 0.69\end{array}$	1.26, 1.80	1	
35-44 2.23 1.75, 23 Residence 45+ 1.35 1.08, 1.6 Astal 1 1.35 1.08, 1.6 Marital status Rural 1 1.47 1.21, 1.1 Marital status Roadside 1.47 1.21, 1.1 Marital status Roadside 1.47 1.21, 1.1 Married Monogamous 1 1.08, 1.6 Single-never married 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Married polygamous 1 1.72 1.44, 2.0 Single-never married 1.72 1.44, 2.0 1.88, 4.2 Religion None 2.78 1.88, 4.2 2.75 Religion None 2.04 1.49, 2.1 1.44, 2.0 Religion None 2.04 1.49, 2.1 2.65 2.74 Religion None None 2.04 1.49, 2.1 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.44 2.06 2.44 2.05 2.44 2.05 2.44 2.45 2.45 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.45 2.45	2.23 1.75, 2. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.35 1.08, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 08, 4, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4, 2. amous 2.78 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.49, 2. vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2.	33 1.43 58 1.02 78 1.39 55 1.12 55 1.12 05 0.81 11 1.73 79 1.44 48 1.03	0.99, 2.06 0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	1.34 0.54 1 1.78 1.53 1 0.69		1.11	0.90, 1.36
45+ 1.35 1.08 1.47 1.21 1.13 1.08 1.6 1.68 1.68 1.21 1.11 1.21 1.121 1.121 1.121 1.121 1.121 1.134 1.08 1.68 1.21 1.22 1.44 2.0 Education None None None 2.04 1.49 2.04 1.49 2.04 1.49 2.05 1.49 2.05 1.44 2.05 1.44 2.06 1.44 2.04 1.49 2.04 1.49 2.05 1.49 2.05 1.44 2.05 1.44 2.05 1.16 2.06 2.06	1.35 1.08, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.49, 2. amous 2.78 1.49, 2. 1.07 0.77, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.07 0.77, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.07 0.77, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.50 1.18, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.07 0.77, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.50 1.18, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.07 0.77, 1. <i>cears</i> 1.05 0.06, 2.	 58 1.02 78 1.39 55 1.12 55 1.12 56 1.12 103 11 1.73 1.44 1.44 1.03 	0.70, 1.46 1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	0.54 1 1.78 1.53 1.53 0.69	1.09, 1.65	1.03	0.81, 1.31
ResidenceRural1Residence 1.47 $1.21, 1.5$ Marital statusRoadside 1.47 $1.21, 1.5$ Marital statusMarried Monogamous 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ Married polygamous 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ Single-never married 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ Married polygamous 2.78 $1.88, 4.5$ EducationNone 2.04 $1.49, 2.7$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ ReligionReligion 1.72 $1.49, 2.3$ ReligionReligion 1.07 $0.77, 1.6$ ReligionChristian 1.55 $1.18, 1.3$ ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 $1.58, 2.7$ ReligionReligion 1.50 $1.18, 1.3$ ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 $1.56, 2.4$ ReligionIslam 1.27 $1.24, 2.3$ ReligionSukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Sex with high-risk partnerNo Non-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Sex with high-risk partnerNo None 1.30 $0.60, 3.0$ Number of sexualNone 1.65 $1.16, 2.3$ Number of sexualNone 1.55 $1.97, 6.7$ NoneNone 1.55 $1.97, 6.7$ NoneNone 1.55 $1.97, 6.7$ Number of sexualNone 1.55 $1.97, 6.7$	1 1.47 1.47 1.21, 1. 1.34 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.34 1.08, 1. 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 1.49, 2. 1.49, 2. amous 2.04 1.49, 2. 1.88, 4. 1.95, 1. 1.88, 4. 1.07, 1. 1.88, 4. 1.05, 2. 1.07, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50, 1. 1.55, 2. 1.55, 2	78 1 55 1.39 55 1.12 05 0.81 11 1.73 79 1.44 18 1.03	1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	$1 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.53 \\ 1 \\ 0.69$	0.43, 0.67	0.62	0.46, 0.83
Roadside 1.47 $1.21, 1.7$ Marriel statusMarried Monogamous 1.34 $1.08, 1.6$ Marriel statusSingle-never married 1.72 $1.44, 2.6$ Married polygamous 1.72 $1.44, 2.6$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.44, 2.6$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.49, 2.7$ EducationNone 1.72 $1.49, 2.7$ EducationNone 1.07 $0.77, 1.6$ ReligionPrimary: $1-4$ years 1.07 $0.77, 1.6$ ReligionPrimary: $5-7$ years 1.50 $1.18, 1.6$ ReligionChristian 1.71 $1.58, 2.7$ ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 $1.58, 2.7$ ReligionNone 1.74 1.67 $1.6, 2.6$ ReligionSukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.8$ Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.8$ Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner 1.71 $1.24, 2.8$ Number of sexualNone $1.76, 2.6$ $1.65, 1.16, 2.7$ Number of sexualNone $1.65, 1.16, 2.7$ $1.97, 3.2$ NoneNone $1.65, 1.16, 2.7$ $1.97, 3.2$	1.47 1.21, 1. paganous 1.34 1.08, 1. narried 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2. rears 1.07 0.77, 1. nore 2.11 1.50 1.18, 1. nore 2.11 1.50 0.77, 2.	 78 1.39 55 1.12 55 1.12 0.81 1.73 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.03 	1.13, 1.70 0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	$1.78 \\ 1.53 \\ 1 \\ 0.69$		1	
Marital statusTrading centre 1.34 1.08 , 1.6 Married Monogamous 1.72 1.44 , 2.0 Married Monogamous 1.72 1.44 , 2.0 EducationMarried polygamous 2.78 1.88 , 4.2 EducationNone 2.04 1.49 , 2.7 EducationNone 1.72 1.44 , 2.0 ReligionPrimary: $1-4$ years 1.07 0.77 , 1.6 ReligionPrimary: $5-7$ years 1.07 0.77 , 1.8 ReligionChristian 1.20 0.96 , 2.4 ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 1.58 , 2.7 ReligionChristian 1.22 0.96 , 2.4 ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 1.58 , 2.7 ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 1.54 , 2.3 ReligionIslam 1.71 1.24 , 2.3 Rend groupNon-Sukuma 1.71 1.24 , 2.3 Ever had sexNoNon-Sukuma 1.71 1.24 , 2.3 Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner 1.71 1.24 , 2.3 Number of sexualNone 1.30 0.60 , 3.07 , 6.7 Number of sexualNone 1.65 1.16 , 2.50 1.97 , 3.7 Number of sexualNone 1.97 , 3.7 0.75 , 0.97 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0.96 , 0	 1.34 1.34 1.08, 1. agamous 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. 4.9, 2. amous 2.78 1.49, 2. 4.9, 2. 4.9, 2. 1.15, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.50 1.18, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.55 1.56 1.18, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.55 1.56 1.18, 1. 1.58, 2. 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 2.096, 2. 	55 1.12 05 0.81 11 1.73 79 1.44 18 1.03	0.89, 1.42 0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	$\begin{array}{c} 1.53\\1\\0.69\end{array}$	1.50, 2.12	1.81	1.51, 2.17
Marital statusMarried Monogamous1Married Married Monogamous 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ EducationSingle-never married 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ EducationNone 2.04 $1.49, 2.7$ EducationNone 1.07 $0.77, 1.4$ Primary: $1-4$ years 1.07 $0.77, 1.4$ ReligionPrimary: $5-7$ years $1.03, 1.8, 2.1$ ReligionChristian 1.50 $1.18, 1.8$ ReligionChristian 1.22 $1.03, 1.4$ Ethnic groupSukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Ever had sexNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Sex with high-risk partner 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Number of sexualNon Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.3$ Number of sexualNone 1.30 $0.60, 3.0$ NoneNone 1.30 $0.60, 3.0$ Number of sexualNone 1.65 $1.16, 2.0$	pgamous 1 larried 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4, 49, 2. vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2. vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2. <i>vidowed</i> 2.04 1.49, 2. <i>vidowed</i> 2.04 1.49, 2. <i>vidowed</i> 2.01 1.58, 2. more 2.11 1.58, 2.	1 05 0.81 11 1.73 79 1.44 1 48 1.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.59, 1.11 \\ 1.12, 2.67 \\ 1.03, 2.00 \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{0.69}$	1.29, 1.82	1.31	1.08, 1.58
EducationSingle-never married 1.72 $1.44, 2.0$ EducationMarried polygamous 2.78 $1.88, 4.2$ Married polygamous 2.78 $1.88, 4.2$ Separated or widowed 2.04 $1.49, 2.2$ Separated or widowed 2.04 $1.49, 2.2$ NoneNone 1.07 $0.77, 1.9$ Primary: $5-7$ years 1.07 $0.77, 1.9$ ReligionPrimary: $5-7$ years 1.07 $0.77, 1.9$ ReligionChristian 1.20 $0.96, 2.6$ Ethnic groupSukuma 1.71 $1.58, 2.1$ Ethnic groupSukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.2$ Ever had sexNon-Sukuma 1.71 $1.24, 2.2$ Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner $0.60, 3.0$ 12 monthsYes, no condom used 1.65 $1.16, 2.3$ Number of sexualNone 1.50 $1.97, 3.2$ Number of sexualNone $0.60, 3.0$ $1.97, 3.2$	larried 1.72 1.44, 2. amous 2.78 1.88, 4. vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2. /ears 1.07 0.77, 1. /ears 1.50 1.18, 1. more 2.11 1.58, 2. more 2.11 1.58, 2.	05 0.81 11 1.73 79 1.44 1 1 48 1.03	0.59, 1.11 1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00	0.69		1	
EducationMarried polygamous 2.78 1.88 , 4.5 EducationSeparated or widowed 2.04 1.49 , 2.5 Separated or widowed 2.04 1.49 , 2.5 NonePrimary: $1-4$ years 1.07 0.77 , 1.6 ReligionPrimary: $5-7$ years 1.50 1.18 , 1.8 ReligionChristian 1 0.77 , 1.6 ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 1.58 , 2.7 ReligionEthnic groupNon-Sukuma 1 Ethnic groupSukuma 1.71 1.24 , 2.6 Ever had sexNoNon-Sukuma 1.71 1.24 , 2.6 Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner 1.65 1.16 , 2.6 Number of sexualNoneYes, condom used 1.65 1.16 , 2.50 Number of sexualNoneNone 1.530 0.60 , 3.0 Number of sexualNoneNone 1.550 1.97 , 3.50	amous 2.78 1.88, 4. vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2. /ears 1.07 0.77, 1. /ears 1.50 1.18, 1. more 2.11 1.58, 2. 1.52 0.96, 2.	11 1.73 79 1.44 1 48 1.03	1.12, 2.67 1.03, 2.00		0.57, 0.85	0.99	0.75, 1.31
Education Separated or widowed 2.04 1.49, 2.7 Education None 1 0.77, 1.6 None Primary: 1-4 years 1.07 0.77, 1.6 Religion Primary: 5-7 years 1.50 1.18, 1.8 Religion Christian 1 0.77, 1.6 Religion Ethnic Secondary or more 2.11 1.58, 2.7 Religion Islam 1 1.52 0.96, 2.6 Ethnic group Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.6 Ethnic group Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Non-Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Non-Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Non-Sukuma 1.24, 2.3 2.4 3.07, 6.0 Ethnic group Yes A.34 3.07, 6.0 3.07, 6.0 Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1 1.24, 2.3 I 2 months Yes, no condom used 1.65 1.16, 2.3 Number of sexual None	vidowed 2.04 1.49, 2. rears 1.07 0.77, 1. rears 1.50 1.18, 1. more 2.11 1.58, 2. 1.52 0.96, 2.	79 1.44 1 48 1.03	1.03, 2.00	1.25	1.00, 1.56	1.41	1.11, 1.77
Education None 1 Education Primary: 1-4 years 1.07 0.77, 1.4 Primary: 5-7 years 1.50 1.18, 1.8 1.50 1.18, 1.8 Religion Econdary or more 2.11 1.58, 2.7 1.58, 2.7 Religion Econdary or more 2.11 1.58, 2.7 1.18, 1.8 Religion Econdary or more 2.11 1.58, 2.7 Religion Islam 1 1.12 2.6 Ethnic group Sukuma 1.52 0.96, 2.4 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.4 1.24, 2.2 Ever had sex No Non-Sukuma 1.71 1.24, 2.2 Ever had sex No No 1.171 1.24, 2.2 Sex with high-risk partner No No 4.34 3.07, 6.0 Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1 1.24, 2.3 I 2 months Yes, condom used 1.65 1.16, 2.3 Number of sexual None 2.50 1.97, 3.3	rears 1 rears 1.07 0.77, 1. rears 1.50 1.18, 1. more 2.11 1.58, 2. 1.52 0.96, 2.	1 1.03		0.82	0.67, 0.99	1.57	1.24, 2.00
Primary: 1-4 years 1.07 0.77 , 1.5 ReligionPrimary: 5-7 years 1.50 1.18 , 1.3 ReligionSecondary or more 2.11 1.58 , 2.7 ReligionChristian 1.50 1.18 , 1.5 Ethnic groupSham 1.52 0.96 , 2.6 Ethnic groupSukuma 1.22 1.03 , 1.6 Ethnic groupSukuma 1.71 1.24 , 2.7 Ever had sexNoNo 1.71 1.24 , 2.7 Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner 1.30 0.60 , 3.07 , 6.0 Sex with high-risk partnerNone used 1.30 0.60 , 3.07 Number of sexualNone 1.85 1.16 , 2.50 1.97 , 3.7 Number of sexualNone 1.55 1.16 , 2.50 1.97 , 3.7	rears 1.07 0.77, 1. rears 1.50 1.18, 1. nore 2.11 1.58, 2. 1.52 0.96, 2.	1.03		1		1	
Primary: 5–7 years 1.50 1.18, 1.3 Religion Secondary or more 2.11 1.58, 2.7 Secondary or more 1.1 1.52 Secondary or more 1.1 1.58, 2.7 Secondary or more 2.11 1.58, 2.7 Blam 1.52 0.96, 2.4 Secondary or more 1.12 1.24, 2.2 Ever had sex No Non-Sukuma 1.71 1.24, 2.2 Ever had sex No No 1.171 1.24, 2.2 Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1.171 1.24, 2.2 Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1.30 0.60, 3.0 12 <months< td=""> Yes, no condom used 1.65 1.16, 2.3 Number of sexual None 2.50 1.97, 3.3</months<>	rears 1.50 1.18, 1. more 2.11 1.58, 2. 1.52 0.96, 2.		0.73, 1.43	1.49	1.13, 1.97	1.22	0.91, 1.63
ReligionSecondary or more2.111.58, 2.7ReligionChristian11.520.96, 2.6Ethnic groupIslam1.520.96, 2.6Ethnic groupSukuma11.221.03, 1.6Ethnic groupSukuma11.24, 2.0Ever had sexNon-Sukuma11.24, 2.0Ever had sexNoNon-Sukuma1.711.24, 2.0Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner13.07, 6.012 monthsYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.0Number of sexualNone1None1.16, 2.0Number of sexualNone0.603.0Partners in last 12 monthsOne2.501.97, 3.0	more 2.11 1.58, 2. 1 1.52 0.96, 2.	39 1.45	1.12, 1.87	1.84	1.56, 2.17	1.54	1.28, 1.86
Religion Christian 1 Religion Islam 1.52 0.96, 2.4 Ethnic group Traditional 1.22 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Sukuma 1.22 1.03, 1.4 Ethnic group Non-Sukuma 1.71 1.24, 2.3 Ever had sex No Non-Sukuma 1.71 1.24, 2.3 Ever had sex No No 1.71 1.24, 2.3 Ever had sex No 1.71 1.24, 2.3 Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1.30 0.60, 3.0 12 months Yes, no condom used 1.65 1.16, 2.3 Number of sexual None 2.50 1.97, 3.3	1 1.52 0.96, 2.	79 2.59	1.86, 3.61	2.13	1.61, 2.82	2.27	1.62, 3.18
Islam 1.52 0.96, 2. Ethnic group Traditional 1.22 1.03, 1. Ethnic group Sukuma 1 1.22 1.03, 1. Ever had sex Non-Sukuma 1 1.22 1.03, 1. Ever had sex Non-Sukuma 1.71 1.24, 2. Ever had sex No No 1.71 1.24, 2. Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1.130 0.60, 3.6 Sex with huber is last Yes, condom used 1.30 0.60, 3.6 Number of sexual None 1.65 1.16, 2.3 Partners in last 12 months One 2.50 1.97, 3.3	1.52 0.96, 2.	1		1		1	
Ethnic groupTraditional1.221.03, 1.2Ethnic groupSukuma1124, 2.3Ever had sexNon-Sukuma1.711.24, 2.3Ever had sexNoNo11.24, 2.3Sex with high-risk partnerNo13.07, 6.3Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner13.07, 6.312 monthsYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.0Number of sexualNone11.651.16, 2.3partners in last 12 monthsOne2.501.97, 3.3		43 1.1	0.68, 1.80	1.34	0.91, 1.99	1.09	0.72, 1.65
Ethnic groupSukuma1Ethnic groupNon-Sukuma1.711.24, 2.3Ever had sexNoNo11.74, 2.4Ever had sexNoYes4.343.07, 6.3Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner11and condom use in lastYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.012 monthsYes, no condom used1.651.16, 2.3Number of sexualNone2.501.97, 3.3	1.22 1.03, 1.	43 0.76	0.60, 0.97	0.96	0.83, 1.11	0.63	0.44, 0.91
Ever had sexNon-Sukuma1.711.24, 2.3Ever had sexNoNo11.24, 2.4Sex with high-risk partnerYes4.343.07, 6.5Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner11and condom use in lastYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.612 monthsYes, no condom used1.651.16, 2.5Number of sexualNone2.501.97, 3.5	1	1		1		1	
Ever had sexNo1YesYes4.343.07, 6.Sex with high-risk partner11and condom use in lastYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.12 monthsYes, no condom used1.651.16, 2.Number of sexualNone12.501.97, 3.	1.71 1.24, 2.	36 1.38	0.98, 1.96	1.54	1.20, 1.98	1.21	0.92, 1.60
Yes Yes 4.34 3.07, 6. Sex with high-risk partner No high-risk partner 1 and condom use in last Yes, condom used 1.30 0.60, 3.0 12 months Yes, no condom used 1.65 1.16, 2.3 Number of sexual None 2.50 1.97, 3.3 partners in last 12 months One 2.50 1.97, 3.3	1	1		1		1	
Sex with high-risk partnerNo high-risk partner1and condom use in lastYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.012 monthsYes, no condom used1.651.16, 2.3Number of sexualNone12.501.97, 3.3partners in last 12 monthsOne2.501.97, 3.3	4.34 3.07, 6.	14 2.41	1.51, 3.86	3.30	2.35, 4.61	1.81	1.12, 2.93
and condom use in lastYes, condom used1.300.60, 3.012 monthsYes, no condom used1.651.16, 2.0Number of sexualNone11.651.16, 2.0partners in last 12 monthsOne2.501.97, 3.0	bartner 1	1		1		1	
12 monthsYes, no condom used1.651.16, 2.3Number of sexualNone11partners in last 12 monthsOne2.501.97, 3.3	used 1.30 0.60, 3.	1.47	1.01, 2.14	3.62	2.08, 6.31	1.95	1.09, 3.48
Number of sexualNone1partners in last 12 monthsOne2.501.97, 3.3	m used 1.65 1.16, 2.	34 1.26	0.90, 1.76	1.56	1.21, 1.98	0.96	0.74, 1.25
partners in last 12 months One 2.50 1.97, 3.	1	1		1		1	
	2.50 1.97, 3.	1.26 1.26	0.90, 1.76	2.90	2.36, 3.57	2.19	1.61, 2.97
Two 3.15 2.33, 4.2	3.15 2.33, 4.	24 1.40	0.94, 2.08	4.05	2.86, 5.73	2.74	1.81, 4.17
Three+ 3.47 2.46, 4.8	3.47 2.46, 4.	38 1.72	1.12, 2.64	5.57	3.36, 9.26	3.98	2.26, 7.01
Had STI in last 12 months No 1	1	1		1		1	
Yes 0.98 0.64, 1.	0.98 0.64, 1.	51 0.84	0.54, 1.31	0.57	0.46, 0.71	1.47	1.17, 1.84
HIV status HIV- 1	1	1		1		1	
HIV+ 1.93 1.41, 2.0	1.93 1.41, 2.	54 1.43	1.03, 1.99	1.57	1.22, 2.01	1.2	0.93, 1.56



Figure 2 Frequency of reported and documented voluntary counselling and testing by 2006–2007, by sex, age group and residence.

VCT use in the 2006-2007 round by HIV status history

Among the 9457 individuals attending the 2006–2007 survey, 3923 individuals had also attended the 2003–2004 survey. Of these, 3702 (94%) were HIV negative on both occasions, 124 (3%) were HIV positive on both occasions, and 96 (2%) seroconverted during the 3-year period. VCT uptake in 2006–2007 was 17% (623/3702) among the repeat negatives, 19% (24/124) among the repeat positives and 22% (21/96) among those who seroconverted between the two rounds. The proportion of repeat negatives that completed VCT at both rounds was 20% (742/3702), while the proportion of seroconverters that completed VCT at both rounds was 27% (26/96) and the proportion of repeat positives that completed VCT at both rounds was 24% (30/124).

Among the 5534 who *only* attended the 2006–2007 round, 28% of the 367 who were HIV infected used VCT and 17% of the 5167 HIV negative used VCT; 453/587 (77%) HIV-infected persons who attended the 2006–2007 round had not previously completed VCT. Of these, the proportion diagnosed during this survey was 25% (115/453), leaving 58% (338/587) of infected people still unaware of their status.

Disclosure to partner and attitudes towards VCT services

During each survey, participants reporting previous VCT use were asked about receipt of results, post-test counselling and disclosure of their HIV status to their partner or others (Table 4). Among 81 men and 83 women who reported previous use of the survey HIV testing services in 2003–2004, 74% of men and 61% of women reported receiving post-test counselling. In comparison, 48% of 52 men and 42% of 26 women who reported previous VCT elsewhere at the 2003–2004 round stated that they had received post-test counselling. By 2006–2007, over 80% of men and women reported having received post-test counselling, regardless of place of VCT.

In 2003–2004, disclosure rates were higher among those reporting prior use of the survey VCT service compared to those using other HIV testing centres (81% of men and 89% of women *vs.* 52% of men and 42% of women). By 2006–2007, overall disclosure rates had slightly declined from the earlier round, with a smaller difference observed by place of VCT.

One thousand one hundred and seventy-nine participants who reported previous VCT use in 2006–2007 were additionally asked their views on service quality: over 90%

Table 4	Voluntary	⁷ counselling	and testing	(VCT)	completeness	and di	sclosure	in 2003-	-2004	and 2	2006-2007	, and	VCT	experienc	e in
2006-20	07 by sex	and place of	VCT												

	Males		Females	
	had VCT in serosurvey	had VCT elsewhere	had VCT in serosurvey	had VCT elsewhere
	<i>n</i> (%)	n (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	n (%)
VCT completeness and disclosure in 2003–2004 Total	81 (100)	52 (100)	83 (100)	26 (100)
Received pre-test counselling in last VCT visit	64 (79)	47 (90)	59 (71)	21 (81)
Received results after last VCT visit	75 (93)	33 (63)	77 (93)	14 (54)
Received post-test counselling in last VCT visit	60 (74)	25 (48)	51 (61)	11 (42)
Revealed results to anyone	66 (81)	27 (52)	74 (89)	11 (42)
VCT completeness and disclosure in 2006–2007 Total	259 (100)	290 (100)	366 (100)	258 (100)
Received pre-test counselling in last VCT visit	244 (94)	281 (97)	306 (84)	241 (93)
Received results after last VCT visit	246 (95)	256 (88)	345 (94)	229 (89)
Received post-test counselling in last VCT visit	239 (92)	252 (87)	292 (80)	214 (83)
Revealed results to anyone	144 (56)	139 (48)	249 (68)	140 (54)
VCT experience in 2006–2007 Total	259 (100)	290 (100)	366 (100)	358 (100)
Would recommend a friend to have a VCT	241 (93)	271 (93)	346 (95)	237 (92)
VCT counsellor kind and understanding	245 (95)	277 (96)	320 (87)	245 (95)
VCT interview embarrassing	11 (4)	19 (7)	22 (6)	19 (7)
VCT counsellor can be trusted and confidential	229 (88)	249 (86)	256 (70)	182 (70)
Anyone visiting VCT centre assumed to be infected	52 (20)	45 (16)	68 (19)	59 (23)

of men and women reported they would recommend VCT to a friend, regardless of where they had used VCT, and <10% of men and women reported that the VCT session was embarrassing, regardless of where they had used VCT. Trust in the confidentiality of the counsellors was higher among men than women, regardless of the service used: 88% of male users of survey VCT services and 86% of other VCT users, compared with 70% of women who had used either the survey VCT service or other VCT services.

Discussion

Overall, our study has shown that VCT completion in Kisesa ward was worryingly low at 10% in 2003–2004 and 17% in 2006–2007. The increase in 2006–2007 may be due to factors such as the availability of rapid HIV tests with results given within 15 min of testing, knowledge of the availability of free ART and a national VCT campaign in early 2005. Other studies in African settings have also shown that free access to ART motivates individuals to undergo VCT, but is not sufficient to ensure high rates of testing uptake (Day *et al.* 2003).

Compared to the Tanzanian national picture from the demographic and health surveys (DHS) where 15% men and women had ever tested in 2004 and 37% women and 26% men had ever tested in 2007 (TACAIDS 2005, 2008), the proportion of ever tested in Kisesa is low: 7% of

women and 12% men in 2004 rising to 24% of women and 29% of men in 2007. However, the DHS results for rural Mwanza region are much closer to the Kisesa results, which will partly reflect the later introduction of providerinitiating testing and counselling and antenatal testing in many rural areas such as Kisesa (where PMTCT only started at the end of 2008).

The proportion of participants who expressed interest in VCT in 2003–2004 was higher than in 2006–2007 (27% *vs.* 18%), but in terms of those completing the procedure, higher proportions were recorded in 2006–2007 compared to 2003–2004. Introduction of HIV rapid tests could partly explain this. Other possible explanations for the low completion rates in 2003–2004 by those who expressed an interest in VCT include HIV-related stigma, confidentiality concerns and indecision, which is similar to findings from other African studies (Matovu & Makumbi 2007; Bwambale *et al.* 2008). Encouragingly in Kisesa, by 2006–2007, 78% of those who reported prior VCT use stated that the counsellors could be trusted.

Despite variations in VCT completion rates in the two rounds, the socio-demographic characteristics of VCT users were similar. In particular, the strongest predictor of VCT uptake for both sexes in each survey round was higher levels of education, as found in certain other studies (Fabiani *et al.* 2007; Bwambale *et al.* 2008). In both rounds, older participants, those with least schooling, those

following traditional religions and women living in the most remote rural villages remained relatively underserved by the VCT services, highlighting the need for extra efforts to reach these groups. Nevertheless, it was encouraging that these inequalities in testing coverage declined over the two rounds. Odds ratios reported in this study do not directly translate into risk ratios for completion of VCT, but can be used to identify inequalities in completion of VCT.

Both surveys provided good opportunities for people to access VCT as the clinics were brought to the villages, enhancing access and most of those who took up the service had never previously undergone VCT. Although the provision of VCT at 2006–2007 almost doubled the proportion of people who had ever undergone VCT in this population, a high proportion of women, older individuals and residents in remote villages have yet to use VCT. Improving access for these groups may require alternative methods for providing VCT-like door-to-door HIV testing services or regular mobile HIV testing clinics (Matovu & Makumbi 2007; Mutale *et al.* 2010).

In terms of high-risk behaviours, VCT use generally increased with higher numbers of sexual partners in the past year for men and women in both rounds. VCT uptake was higher among those reporting sex with a high-risk partner and condom use in the past 12 months. By adjusting for any sexual experience in the multivariable analysis, VCT completion in the group reporting high-risk sexual partners, condom use and higher number of partners, is compared to those who are sexually active but who do not report these behaviours. Consistently, higher rates of VCT use among individuals with these risk behaviours indicate risk awareness, although further research is required to assess whether the VCT counselling sessions result in subsequent reductions in reported risk behaviours among these individuals in future survey rounds.

In both surveys, infected persons of both sexes were more likely to test than the uninfected, with this being more apparent among men than women in both rounds. Nevertheless, with only 25% of HIV-positive individuals taking up VCT services during the later round after ART introduction, more progress is needed to achieve the necessary coverage to enable universal access to HIV treatment. During the 2006–2007 round, 25% (115/453) of HIV-infected persons who were hitherto unaware of their status were diagnosed, but this still left 58% (338/587) of infected people unaware of their status.

Regularly repeated VCT is crucial for any development of 'treatment as prevention' interventions that would rely on high rates of regular HIV testing to identify recent seroconverters. In our study, among the 3923 individuals who attended both surveys, the proportion of recent seroconverters who accessed VCT in both rounds (27%) was slightly higher than the proportion of repeat negatives that completed VCT at both rounds (20%).

Although this study has the advantage of being undertaken in a community-based HIV cohort study, it relies heavily on self-reporting by respondents which may lead to reporting bias because of reluctance to disclose sensitive behaviours like non-marital sexual activity. Individuals who accessed VCT were invited to participate in the serosurvey and only informed specifically about the availability of VCT during registration. This may have implications for VCT uptake if some were not prepared to make the decision and if married women felt they needed their husband's approval (Kranzer *et al.* 2008). Although this may have led to a reduction in VCT access, it might ensure wider participation in the serosurvey, as agreement to VCT would not be seen as implicit in survey participation.

In conclusion, this analysis shows that VCT uptake remains low, even after 2 years of free ART. Although VCT services attract HIV-infected individuals and those with high-risk behaviours, several inequalities in testing coverage persist, especially among older women, those with least education and those following traditional religions. The provision of VCT in temporary huts in villages using rapid tests could have a dramatic impact on the overall uptake of HIV testing and should be implemented in other areas in the country to improve VCT uptake.

References

- Bwambale FM, Ssali SN, Byaruhanga S, Kalyango JN & Karamagi CA (2008) Voluntary HIV counselling and testing among men in rural western Uganda: implications for HIV prevention. BMC Public Health 8, 263.
- Day JH, Miyamura K, Grant AD *et al.* (2003) Attitudes to HIV voluntary counselling and testing among mineworkers in South Africa: will availability of antiretroviral therapy encourage testing? *AIDS Care* **15**, 665–672.
- De Cock KM, Marum E & Mbori-Ngacha D (2003) A serostatusbased approach to HIV/AIDS prevention and care in Africa. *Lancet* **362**, 1847–1849.
- Fabiani M, Cawthorne A, Nattabi B, Ayella EO, Ogwang M & Declich S (2007) Investigating factors associated with uptake of HIV voluntary counselling and testing among pregnant women living in North Uganda. *AIDS Care* 19, 733–739.
- Kranzer K, McGrath N, Saul J *et al.* (2008) Individual, household and community factors associated with HIV test refusal in rural Malawi. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 13, 1341–1350.
- Matovu JK & Makumbi FE (2007) Expanding access to voluntary HIV counselling and testing in sub-Saharan Africa: alternative approaches for improving uptake, 2001–2007. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* **12**, 1315–1322.

- Mutale W, Michelo C, Jurgensen M & Fylkesnes K (2010) Homebased voluntary HIV counselling and testing found highly acceptable and to reduce inequalities. *BMC Public Health* **10**, 347.
- Nsigaye R, Wringe A, Roura M *et al.* (2009) From HIV diagnosis to treatment: evaluation of a referral system to promote and monitor access to antiretroviral therapy in rural Tanzania. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* **12**, 31.
- TACAIDS (2005). Tanzania HIV/AIDS Indicator Survey 2003– 04 Tanzania Commission for AIDS, National Bureau of Statistics and Macro International Inc., Calverton.
- TACAIDS (2008). *Tanzania HIV/AIDS and Malaria Indicator Survey* 2007–08. Tanzania Commission for AIDS, Zanzibar AIDS Commission, National Bureau of Statistics, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, and Macro International Inc., Dar es Salaam.
- Urassa M, Isingo R, Marston M et al. (2010). Direct Evidence of Recent Change in HIV Prevalence and Incidence in a Rural Population Cohort in Northern Tanzania, 1994–2007. XVIII International AIDS Conference, Vienna.
- Wambura M, Urassa M, Isingo R et al. (2007) HIV prevalence and incidence in rural Tanzania: results from 10 years of follow-up in an open-cohort study. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes 46, 616–623.
- WHO (2005) Scaling-up HIV Testing and Counselling Services: A Toolkit for Programme Managers. WHO, Geneva.
- Wringe A, Isingo R, Urassa M *et al.* (2008) Uptake of HIV voluntary counselling and testing services in rural Tanzania: implications for effective HIV prevention and equitable access to treatment. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 13, 319–327.

Corresponding Author Raphael Isingo, National Institute of Medical Research, Mwanza, Tanzania. E-mail: rrisingo@yahoo.co.uk