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ABSTRACT

Antimalarial drugs remain as one of the most powerful tools in the fight against malaria with artemisinin derivatives now standing as the cornerstone of antimalarial drug therapy. Unfortunately, evidence of delayed *in vivo* parasite clearance after artemisinin treatment is accumulating on the Thai-Cambodian border and in nearby countries. A better understanding of the mechanisms of artemisinin (ART) resistance may contribute to the development and validation of new tools for the surveillance of resistance.

One promising approach to identifying candidate genetic markers of ART resistance is genetic analysis of drug-pressured mutants of the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi*. This experimental system has identified a number of genetic mutations in parasites artificially selected for resistance to ART derivatives. These mutations encode alterations in a de-ubiquitinating enzyme (UBP-1) and in a 26S proteasome subunit (26SPS), both involved in the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, responsible for protein turnover through selective degradation. An additional mutation was found to have occurred in a gene encoding the “mu” chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex, a component of the endocytic machinery.

The importance of the above mentioned markers in modulating susceptibility to different drugs in the human malaria parasite remains unclear. In that context, the hypothesis to be tested in this thesis is that the three loci implicated in ART resistance in experimentally selected in *P. chabaudi* will similarly modify ART response in natural parasite populations of *P. falciparum*.

Increased artemisinin resistance in a *P. chabaudi* parasite derived from a chloroquine resistant parasite after prolonged and progressive artemisinin selection was phenotypic and genetically characterized. The whole genome sequencing identified a mutation in a gene encoding the mu chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex. To explore the genetic variability of the ap2-mu gene in *P. falciparum* and its associations with artemisinin *in vitro* responses we sequenced field isolates from Brazil, São Tomé and Rwanda. Analysis of *P. falciparum* field isolates showed a weak association between a Ser160Asn mutation and *in vitro* dihydroartemisinin responses.

To investigate the correlation between polymorphisms in *pfubp-1* and *pfap2-mu* and *in vivo* parasite susceptibility to ART we genetically characterized samples from an ACT clinical trial carried out in Kenya. Previously work done on the same ACT clinical trial samples described sub-microscopic persistent parasites on day 3 post-treatment samples. These parasites were only detected by qPCR but the children carrying these parasites had a higher transmission potential and were far more
likely to go on to classical treatment failure at day 28 or day 42 post-treatment. The molecular work carried out here demonstrates that a Ser160Asn/Thr mutation in the pfap2-mu gene and an E1528D mutation in the pfubp-1 gene might be associated with \textit{in vivo} responses to artemisinin derivatives.

Polymorphisms on the pfubp-1 gene and pfap2-mu genes were further studied using field isolates from an ACT clinical trial in Burkina-Faso which were also tested \textit{in vitro} for their response to dihydroartemisinin and several other antimalarial drugs. Using these samples, we also investigate the genetic polymorphisms of the \textit{pf26S-protSU}, another drug resistant candidate gene identified in the studies of \textit{P. chabaudi}. Data revealed that polymorphisms in \textit{pfubp1} and \textit{pf26S-protSU}, can modulate \textit{in vitro} responses to lumefantrine. However, this work did not reveal any significant association between polymorphisms in \textit{pfubp-1} and \textit{pfap2-mu} genes and \textit{in vitro} artemisinin susceptibilities or treatment outcomes.

In order to validate the \textit{pfap2-mu} candidate marker as an important modulator of parasite sensitivity to artemisinins and to improve understanding of the biological mechanisms of resistance to this class of drugs we further performed gene functional characterization using transfection techniques. Transgenic parasites carrying the 160Asn allele of \textit{pfap2-mu} were significantly less sensitive to dihydroartemisinin using a standard in vitro test. Sensitivity to chloroquine and quinine were also reduced. Localization studies of \textit{pfap2-mu} were performed by transfection of fluorescent-tagged gene construct into \textit{P. falciparum} and expression of fluorescent fusion protein in parasites was observed using a confocal microscope.

The findings from this study provide the first \textit{in vivo} evidence that polymorphisms in the \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pfubp-1} genes modulate \textit{P. falciparum} responses to artemisinins. Additionally, transgenic laboratory lines of \textit{P. falciparum} carrying the 160Asn mutation in \textit{pfap2-mu} gene have altered \textit{in vitro} responses to dihydroartemisinin, quinine and chloroquine. We therefore propose these genes should be evaluated further as potential molecular markers of artemisinin resistance.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>Artemisinin-based Combination Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Artemether-Lumefantrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Adaptor Proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>Artemisinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-AQ</td>
<td>Artesunate-Amodiaquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>base pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>Complementary Deoxyribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>Chloroquine diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA-PIP</td>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNTP’s</td>
<td>Deoxynucleotides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DV</td>
<td>Digestive vacuole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exo I</td>
<td>Exonuclease I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PD</td>
<td>Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC₅₀</td>
<td>50% Inhibitory Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indel</td>
<td>Insertion/Deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPT</td>
<td>Intermittent Preventive Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>Indoor Residual Spraying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITN</td>
<td>Insecticide Treated Net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGS</td>
<td>Linkage Group Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLINs</td>
<td>Long Lasting Insecticide Treated Nets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUM</td>
<td>Lumefantrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>Monodesethylamodiaquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate-Buffered Saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pgh1</td>
<td>P-glycoprotein Homologue 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>Piperaquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pc26S-prot-SU</td>
<td><em>P. chabaudi</em> 26S proteasome regulatory subunit gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcap2-mu</td>
<td><em>P. chabaudi</em> mu chain of the AP2 adaptor protein gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcubp1</td>
<td><em>P. chabaudi</em> de-ubiquitinating enzyme gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pf26S-protSU</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em>26S proteasome regulatory subunit gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfap2-mu</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> mu chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfATPase6</td>
<td>Sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca(^{2+}) - ATPase orthologue of <em>P. falciparum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pfATPase6</td>
<td>Sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca(^{2+}) - ATPase orthologue of <em>P. falciparum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfCRT</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> chloroquine resistance transporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfdhfr</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> dihydrofolate reductase gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfdhps</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> dihydropteroate synthase gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfK13</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> kelch 13 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pfmdr1</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> multidrug resistance protein 1 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pfmrp1</td>
<td><em>Plasmodium falciparum</em> multidrug resistance-associated 1 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfNHHE1</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> sodium/hydrogen exchanger gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pfubp1</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> de-ubiquitinating enzyme gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPR(_{48})</td>
<td>Parasite reduction ratio at 48 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Quantitative PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QN</td>
<td>Quinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>Single Nucleotide Polymorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>Seasonal Malaria Chemoprevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**THESIS OUTLINE**

This PhD thesis is compiled in the “research paper style” format, as approved by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. Therefore, the main body of the thesis will be presented as various manuscripts that have already been published in an international peer-review journal, are currently in submission or have been prepared for publication.

The Introduction chapter (Chapter 1) provides a review of the relevant literature background, in a more comprehensive approach than that presented in the various manuscripts. The aim and the specific objectives of the thesis are stated on Chapter 2 and a detailed description of the materials and methods used in the thesis is given in Chapter 3. Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 contain the key findings of the thesis in the form of research papers that are already published or will be submitted.

This thesis focus on two fulcral issues in antimalarial drug resistance:

---

**Section 1**

Polymorphisms in the genes of interest in parasites treated *in vivo*

*In Chapter 4 we have identified a unique mutation in a gene encoding the µ-chain of the AP2-adaptor complex in a *Plasmodium chabaudi* parasite that presents a high level of artemisinin resistance. Allelic variations of the *Plasmodium falciparum* orthologue gene were investigated in field samples from Africa and South America. The association between the allelic variations and the *in vitro* responses to artemisinin derivatives were also evaluated in these samples (data not included in the published manuscript).

Chapter 5 reports the genotype of the recently described sub-microscopic parasites that persist after artemisinin combination treatment, in Kenyan children. In this chapter, the DNA sequences of resistance associated parasite loci (*pfCRT*, *pfMDR1*, *pfubp-1* and *pfap2-mu*) were determined in pre- and post-treatment isolates.

Chapter 6 describes the genotype of the three candidate markers studied on this thesis (*pfubp-1*, *pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU*), using field isolates from an Artemisinin
Combination Therapy trial conducted in Burkina Faso. The correlation between the polymorphisms found and the in vitro responses profiles to artemisinin derivatives and other antimalarial drugs was also assessed.

Section 2

Functional analysis of the pfap2-mu gene in vitro

Chapter 7 describes the generation of two transgenic parasites lines carrying and extra copy of either the wild-type (WT) copy of pfap2-mu or the 160Asn form, in addition to their endogenous WT pfap2-mu gene. The in vitro susceptibility of these parasites to several antimalarial drugs was evaluated.

The generation of a transgenic parasite stably expressing GFP-fused pfap2-mu and subsequent cellular localization of the GFP-fused protein is reported on Chapter 8.

Chapter 9 summarizes and discusses the study as a whole, incorporating all five manuscripts, as well as the data presented in the additional unpublished section. The final chapter (Chapter 10) focus on the future perspectives in relation to the main findings of this thesis.

The references for the manuscripts are provided for each paper, whereas the references for the Introduction, Materials and Methods and Discussion chapters are presented at the end of the thesis.
Chapter 1 – Introduction

1.1. Malaria Burden

Despite considerable scientific advances and the development of modern drugs, malaria remains a major public health concern. Globally around 3.4 billion people live in areas at risk of malaria, resulting in 207 million clinical cases in 2012. Although it is disseminated throughout more than 100 countries, the bulk of this burden falls on sub-Saharan Africa with approximately 80% of all malaria cases and 90% of related deaths in young children (WHO 2013f) (Figure 1.1).

Figure 1.1 The spatial distribution of Plasmodium falciparum malaria transmission in 2010 (adapted from Gething et al., 2011 (Gething et al. 2011). Areas of no risk, with unstable risk (PFAPI < 0.1%) and with stable risk (PFAPI ≥ 0.1%) are shown.

The number of deaths due to malaria decreased substantially between 2000 and 2012, more than 45% globally and by 49% in African countries, resulting in an estimated 3.3 million malaria deaths prevented over this period (WHO 2013f). Nevertheless, the effect of malaria extends far beyond this direct measure of mortality since morbidity in endemic countries leads to major socio-economic losses. Acute febrile illness, anaemia, chronic debilitation, complications in the course and outcome of pregnancy, school and
employment absences and delays in cognitive and physical development contribute to a heavy public-health burden, which has a negative impact on the social and economic development of affected countries (Sachs and Malaney 2002; Bloland 2003; Chima et al. 2003; Breman et al. 2004; Malaney et al. 2004; Barnes and White 2005; Okorosobo et al. 2011). The disease contributes to an approximately 1.3% annual reduction in economic growth in countries with high levels of transmission (WHO 2011b).

1.2. Plasmodium

Malaria is caused by protozoan parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*, which are inoculated into humans by biting female *Anopheles* mosquitoes. The genus contains more than 100 species of which six are found in natural infections in humans: *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium vivax*, *Plasmodium malariae*, *Plasmodium ovale curtisi*, *P. ovale wallikeri* and, in parts of South-East Asia, the simian malaria parasite *Plasmodium knowlesi* (Singh et al. 2004; Cox-Singh et al. 2008; Sutherland et al. 2010; WHO 2013c).

These species differ morphologically, immunologically, in their geographical distribution, in their ability to relapse and in their response to drugs. By far, the most virulent species is *P. falciparum*, which causes the majority of severe malaria morbidity and mortality (Greenwood et al. 2005)

1.3. Life Cycle of *Plasmodium falciparum*

The *P. falciparum* life cycle comprises numerous transition stages and occurs between two separate hosts (definitive and intermediate) (Figure 1.2). The cycle begins when haploid sporozoites are injected into the intermediate human host by an infected female mosquito of the genus *Anopheles* during a blood meal. These motile forms of the parasite rapidly access the blood stream and then migrate to the liver. There, each sporozoite traverses a few hepatocytes, before finally establishing in one of them. The sporozoite, differentiates and undergoes asexual multiplication resulting in tens of thousands of merozoites, which burst from the hepatocyte (hepatic stage). One *P. falciparum* sporozoite develops into approximately 40,000 merozoites in a liver cell over 6 days. Upon release
from the liver, individual merozoites invade red blood cells (erythrocytes). Once inside the erythrocyte and during a 48h cycle (erythrocytic stage), *P. falciparum* develops into a ring stage/early trophozoite and then into a highly metabolically active late stage trophozoite. Finally, trophozoites develop into schizonts and asexual replication occurs. In the latter, new merozoites (8–32 daughter cells) are formed within the schizont and are released to the bloodstream when the erythrocyte bursts. The clinical manifestations of malaria (such as a spiking fever) are associated with the synchronous rupture of infected erythrocytes. The free merozoites immediately invade new erythrocytes. Although the majority of invading merozoites will go on to develop into schizonts and continue the asexual cycle, a small proportion enter the sexual phase of the life cycle by differentiating into haploid male or female gametocytes. These are the only forms that are transmissible to the mosquito.

For transmission to occur, when an infectious host is bitten by a female anopheline mosquito, both male and female gametocytes must be taken up during the blood meal. Inside the mosquito midgut, gametocytes develop into gametes and sexual reproduction takes place, whereby 8 flagellated microgametes are released from a male gametocyte. These fertilize the female macrogamete to form a diploid zygote that converts into an motile ookinete. After penetrating a cell wall in the midgut epithelium, the ookinete subsequently develops into an oocyst. Each oocyst produces haploid sporozoites by asexual reproduction. The oocyst finally ruptures to release a large number of sporozoites into the haemolymph of the mosquito, from where they travel to the salivary glands. The sporozoites remain here, ready to be inoculated into the next host and begin a new cycle of infection when the female mosquito takes a further blood meal (Figure 1.2).
Figure 1.2. The life cycle of *P. falciparum*, the most virulent human malaria parasite (adapted from (Rosenthal 2008)). The malaria parasite uses both an anopheline mosquito and a human host. Sexual reproduction occurs in the mosquito and asexual reproduction takes place in the human.
1.4. Malaria Control

Political commitment and important increase in international funding has led to remarkable improvements in malaria control in the past decade, resulting in major reductions in the burden of malaria caused by *P. falciparum*. Globally, the estimated incidence of malaria has been reduced by 29% and the mortality rates have declined by 45% (WHO 2013f). These reductions are partly due to improved vector control, diagnostic testing and better access to artemisinin combination treatment (O'Meara et al. 2010; WHO 2012b).

Three living entities are involved in the malarial infection; parasite, host and vector. In order to reduce the burden of malaria, interventions can be designed to target several stages of the parasite lifecycle. For example, the parasite can be targeted in the host either directly with drugs, or indirectly with vaccines. Similarly, strategies that reduce vector contact with the host or increase vector mortality can be employed to reduce the number of new infections (Greenwood 2008).

- Vector control

There are two key approaches to malaria prevention by vector control: the use of long lasting insecticide –treated nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS). LLINs are factory pyrethroid insecticide treated mosquito nets, which do not require any re-treatment during their expected lifespan (generally 2–3 years). These nets have a high degree of protection for the individual sleeping under the net and have an effect at the community level as well, by killing the mosquitoes that contact the net (WHO 2007). IRS is a method used to kill mosquitoes by spraying of a long-lasting residual insecticide on to potential malaria vector resting surfaces (internal walls and ceilings). IRS repels the mosquitoes from entering the houses and kills the female mosquitoes that rest inside them, having a large effect on reducing transmission at community level (WHO 2013b).

These central interventions may be complemented by other methods such as larval control or environmental management, which aims to reduce mosquito breeding sites (WHO 2006; 2008).
• Vaccines

Despite decades of intensive research, there is no licensed malaria vaccine to date (Girard et al. 2007; Matuschewski and Mueller 2007; Hill 2011), however a recent phase III clinical trial with the RTS,S vaccine showed promising results, with 55% reduction in the incidence of clinical malaria among young children and 33% among infants. The efficacy against severe malaria was 47% among young children and 37% against infants (Agnandji et al. 2011; Agnandji et al. 2012). The full trial results will be available at the end of 2014 and a World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation for use may occur in 2015 (WHO 2013c). The available data suggest that this vaccine is likely to be implemented as an addition to, not a replacement for, existing preventive, diagnostic and treatment measures.

Measures aimed against the parasite still need to be based on the use of drugs either as treatment or as prophylaxis. Medication reduces morbidity and mortality by terminating a malaria infection in a patient and restricts malaria transmission by diminishing the parasite reservoir (WHO 2013c). Antimalarial drugs are also used as a preventive measure, as chemoprophylaxis for travellers to malaria endemic areas, for intermittent preventive treatment (IPT) in areas of high transmission in specific high-risk groups such as pregnant women and infants and for seasonal malaria chemoprevention in children in the Sahel sub-Region of Africa (WHO 2013d).
1.5. ANTIMALARIAL DRUGS

This section will give an introduction to the most historically important antimalarial drugs with a focus on those used as partner drugs in artemisinin combination therapy. The chemical structures of these antimalarial drugs are summarized in Figure 1.3.

Figure 1.3. Chemical structures of commonly used antimalarial drugs with a focus on those used as partner drugs in artemisinin combination therapy.
• Quinolines and related compounds

Quinine

Western medicine has relied on the medical properties of quinine (QN) and related alkaloids since the early 17th century (WHO 1986; Meshnick and Dobson 2001). QN is the most abundant alkaloid in the bark of the cinchona tree (originally found in South America) and was first isolated in 1820, by the French chemists Pierre Pelletier and Joseph Caventou (Siegel and Poynter 1962; Greenwood 1992; Foley and Tilley 1998; Meshnick and Dobson 2001).

QN has rapid schizonticidal action against intra-erythrocytic malaria parasites and its half-life ranges between 11-18 hours (White et al. 1983; Jamaludin et al. 1988). QN has long been the basis for treatment of severe malaria although following the results of the SEAQUAMAT and AQUAMAT trials (Dondorp et al. 2005; Dondorp et al. 2010), WHO approved the replacement of QN with artesunate as treatment of choice for severe malaria, with QN still recommended where artesunate is not available (WHO 2010b).

The need to treat soldiers for malaria infection during the World War I promoted the chemical research on antimalarial discovery and the attempt to synthesize quinine led to the development of methylene blue and the dye industry. The first synthetic drug was the 8-aminoquinoline pamaquine (also called plasmoquine). Pamaquine was synthesized in 1920 by German scientists and has anti-relapse activity (kills hypnozoites, the persistent liver stage parasites of P. vivax and P. ovale) but was later found to be too toxic and not used for long (Greenwood 1995; Sa et al. 2011).

Chloroquine and Amodiaquine

In 1934, Andersag in the German programme developed a 4-aminoquinoline compound named resochin (resoquine), but the drug was ignored for a decade due to toxicity concerns. During World War II, the interest in resoquine was renewed, when sontoquine the slightly modified compound, was captured from the Germans in North Africa. Resoquine was renamed as chloroquine (CQ) and was introduced in 1946 (Loeb 1946). CQ is considered to be one of the most successful drugs ever produced. CQ was efficacious against all blood stage malaria parasites, well tolerated, easily administered, inexpensive
and therefore the most widely used antimalarial drug (WHO 1986; Meshnick and Dobson 2001; Sa et al. 2011) until the 1990s when parasite resistance led to its replacement with other regimens (White 1998a). CQ combined with primaquine is still recommended for treatment of *P. vivax* infections, because resistance has not fully developed (Price et al. 2009; WHO 2010b).

CQ was one of many antimalarials resulting from scientific advances made during World War II. A massive antimalarial screening programme coordinated by American scientists led to the discovery of amodiaquine, another 4-aminoquinoline (Greenwood 1995; Meshnick and Dobson 2001). However, in the 1980s its use was discouraged due to serious problems of hepatotoxicity after long term prophylaxis (Taylor and White 2004). After the development of CQ resistance in the 1990s, the use of amodiaquine was reviewed and nowadays is commonly used in combination with artesunate as first-line treatment. It is assumed that the serious toxic effects do not occur in shorter therapeutic treatments (Olliaro et al. 1996).

**Pyronaridine**

Pyronaridine is structurally related to chloroquine and was synthesized in the Chinese Institute of Parasitic Diseases in 1970 (Zheng et al. 1979; Zheng et al. 1982). It has potent *in vitro* activity against erythrocytic stages of *P. falciparum* (Ringwald et al. 1996; Vivas et al. 2008) and it has been used in China for treatment of malaria for more than 30 years (Croft et al. 2012). Clinical studies have demonstrated that pyronaridine is safer and less toxic than chloroquine (Chang et al. 1992). Additionally, pyronaridine is reported to be highly effective in cases of chloroquine resistance (Ringwald et al. 1996) and has been recently combined with artesunate as a new combination therapy (Croft et al. 2012; Kayentao et al. 2012; Rueangweerayut et al. 2012).

**Primaquine**

Attempts to search for alternative drugs with characteristics similar to pamaquine, in particular, the ability to prevent relapse led to the discovery of primaquine. Primaquine became available during the Korean War and remains in use today (Greenwood 1995; Baird and Hoffman 2004). Primaquine is used in the treatment of *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium ovale* hypnozoites and for malaria prophylaxis in endemic regions (Hill et al. 2012).
2006; Vale et al. 2009). However, primaquine causes haemolysis in patients who have a deficiency in the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) enzyme, a common deficiency in malaria endemic regions of the world (Howes et al. 2013).

Primaquine is also currently the only fully effective gametocytocidal drug and recently the WHO recommended a single low-dose of primaquine (0.25 mg base/kg, dose that is unlikely to cause serious toxicity problems in G6PD deficient patients) for patients with uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria, in addition to an artemisinin based combination therapy (WHO 2012a).

**Piperaquine**

In the face of increasing drug resistant malaria infections, other quinoline based compounds have been synthesized and studied. Piperaquine was first synthesized in the 1960s in France and developed in China, where it was used for prophylaxis and treatment for the next 20 years (O’Neill et al. 2012a). Piperaquine includes two 4-aminoquinoline moieties and has shown high effectiveness against CQ resistant *P. falciparum*, is well tolerated, relatively inexpensive, has a long elimination half-life, and is thus considered a good partner drug in combination therapy. Thus dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is recommended for artemisinin combination therapy by WHO (Davis et al. 2005; WHO 2010b).

**Mefloquine**

The U.S. Army Antimalarial Drug Development Program, initiated during the Vietnam War, was responsible for the discovery of new chemical groups that later served as prototypes for the development of other antimalarials compounds (Kitchen et al. 2006). One such compound is mefloquine, like quinine a 4-quinolinemethanol, which was introduced in the mid-1970s (WHO 1986; Shanks 1994). Mefloquine was approved for use in 1989 and is still currently prescribed as prophylaxis, due to a long half-life that requires only a once-weekly dosing, and in combination with artemesunate as first line treatment (WHO 2010b; Biamonte et al. 2013). However, emergence of resistance and fears about neurotoxicity are limiting its use, in favor of safer and better tolerated antimalarial drugs (Overbosch et al. 2001; Croft 2007).
Lumefantrine

Lumefantrine (originally called benflumetol) was synthesized in the 1970s by the Beijing Academy of Military Medical Sciences (Basco, Bickii, et al. 1998). Studies on the combination of artemether and lumefantrine were also performed at the same Academy and the results indicated high efficacy and synergistic activity of the two antimalarial drugs (Hassan et al. 1999). The artemether-lumefantrine (AL) combination was the first fixed-dose artemisinin-based combination therapy recommended and pre-qualified by WHO for the treatment of P. falciparum uncomplicated malaria (Nosten and White 2007). Lumefantrine, has never been used as monotherapy prior to its combination with artemether, so the risk of resistance to this compound may be lower when compared with other partner drugs used in artemisinin based therapies (Premji 2009).

Atovaquone

Another chemical group developed were the 2-hydroxynaphthoquinones, which provided the basis for the discovery of atovaquone (WHO 1986; Meshnick and Dobson 2001). Atovaquone is now being used in combination with proguanil (Malarone) for treating patients with uncomplicated malaria or employed as prophylaxis in travelers due to its ability to clear liver schizonts (Hudson 1993; Biamonte et al. 2013).

• Antifolates

Antifolate drugs were first developed as antibacterial agents but a number were also found to have activity against malaria parasites (Curd et al. 1945). During the II World War British chemists synthesized a group of compounds, derivatives of pyrimidine, designated as antifolates, which target the folate metabolism (WHO 1986; Schlitzer 2007). Proguanil is one of these compounds. The discovery of proguanil encouraged further investigation on antifolates in the early 1950s (WHO 1986; Nzila 2006) and in 1951 Falco and colleagues found that pyrimethamine was active against blood forms of malaria (Falco et al. 1951). Other antifolates include the sulfa drugs; the most important are the sulphonamide, sulfadoxine and the sulfone, dapsone. Although these drugs have antimalarial activity when
used alone, when used in combination they exhibit a synergistic effect. A combination of two antifolate compounds, pyrimethamine and sulfadoxine (Fansidar™) was one of the first combination therapies in malaria treatment and became available in 1971 (Shanks et al. 1989). Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) is effective, cheap and is considered a drug with good compliance, tolerance and safety and has the advantage of a single-dose therapy with a long half-life (Basco, Tahar, et al. 1998). After its introduction as a new antimalarial drug SP replaced CQ in many countries, due to the emergence and spread of CQ resistance (White 2004).

Resistance to SP emerged rapidly in the Amazon Basin of South America (WHO 2001c; Gregson and Plowe 2005) and most areas of South East Asia and forced countries to change to more effective artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) (WHO 2001c). SP plus artesunate is currently recommended as first line treatment for uncomplicated P. falciparum malaria (WHO 2010b) and SP alone continues to be widely used for treatment where ACT is not available (Thriemer et al. 2006; Mkulama et al. 2008). Furthermore, due to its safety and good tolerance SP is still used for malaria prevention during pregnancy and in children in IPT programmes in African areas with moderate-to-high malaria transmission and low drug resistance (WHO 2001d; Peters et al. 2007; WHO 2013e). It is also used in combination with amodiaquine as seasonal malaria chemoprevention (SMC) in children to reduce morbidity and mortality of malaria in Sahel sub-Region of Africa (WHO 2013d). SMC is not recommended elsewhere, due to known high levels of resistance to amodiaquine and SP in eastern and southern Africa.

- **Antibiotics**

Animal studies in the late 1940s demonstrated that some antibiotics possess antimalarial properties. Interestingly, when treated with antibiotics the parasites in the initially exposed host cells are not damaged. However, after these daughter cells invade a new host cell, division is slowed and no further progeny are produced (Goodman and McFadden 2013). This phenotype is known as “delayed death” and it is the cause for the longer parasite clearance times and prolonged symptoms. Therefore, most antibiotics should be combined with a more rapidly acting drug to treat malaria, such as artemisinin or quinine (Dahl and Rosenthal 2008) or used in monotherapy as antimalarial prophylaxis in travellers.
WHO recommends the use of tetracycline or doxycycline or clindamycin in combination with artesunate or QN as second line treatment of *falciparum* malaria (WHO 2010b) and doxycycline alone is recommended for prophylaxis in many malaria endemic areas (Tan *et al.* 2011). Azithromycin is also a slow acting antimalarial but in combination with CQ was shown to be synergistic against CQ resistant strains and both drugs have demonstrated safety in children and pregnant woman and can be used in intermittent preventive treatments (Chico *et al.* 2008).

- Artemisinin compounds

The therapeutic benefits of the qinghao plant (*Artemisia annua*) were documented in China two thousand years before the isolation of active component, artemisinin (Peters 1987; Hsu 2006; Cui and Su 2009). In the 1970s the sesquiterpene lactone artemisinin was isolated and characterized by Chinese scientists in search of new antimalarial drugs against CQ resistant malaria (Meshnick and Dobson 2001; White 2008).

Artemisinin (ART) chemical modifications carried out by the original Chinese developers improved solubility and absorption, resulting in the water soluble derivative artesunate and the more active oil-soluble dihydroartemisinin (DHA) and artemether (Cui and Su 2009). ART and its derivatives are currently the most important class of antimalarial drugs, presenting many advantages over other compounds. These advantages include several possible routes of administration, suitability for treating severe malaria, thus replacing QN and avoiding its side effects (Dondorp *et al.* 2010). This class of compounds possesses several important pharmacological characteristics. Firstly, ART can reduce the number of parasites faster than any other class of antimalarial drug and thus provide rapid clinical relief (Hien and White 1993; White 1997). Additionally, ARTs are active against early ring forms as well as the usual targets of chloroquine and quinine, trophozoites, blood schizonts, early gametocytes and later ring forms (Krishna *et al.* 2004a). This latter property may help diminish transmission rates at a population level (Price *et al.* 1996) since attacking early ring forms, can impair gametocyte development. Altogether, ART derivatives when used appropriately can, reduce the incidence of malaria and reduce drug use, and thus may contribute to slowing the evolution of drug resistance (Price *et al.* 1996; White 1999).

The use of ART as monotherapy over short periods (less than 5 days) is associated with high treatment failure rates (recrudescence) because of the short plasma half-lives of these
drugs (Giao et al. 2001). Clearance of parasitaemia is only temporary in up to 50% of patients (WHO 1998). Higher efficacy can be obtained with prolonged regimens however, 7 days of ART monotherapy still only cures 80-90% of uncomplicated falciparum infections (Woodrow et al. 2005). For this reason, and to avoid resistance development, ART is only used in combination therapy, as will be further discussed.

1.6. ARTEMISININ-BASED COMBINATION THERAPY

To optimize ACT treatment, the combination of an ART derivative with another structurally unrelated antimalarial, has been proposed as the best therapeutic strategy (WHO 2010b) in order to improve treatment efficacy and slow down the development of resistance to the individual drugs in the combination (WHO 2001a). The concept that combination treatment, using drugs with different targets, decreases the chance of a natural resistant strain to emerge was first developed in the treatment of tuberculosis and has been adopted widely for the treatment of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and cancer (White 1999). The underlying principle for the impact of combination therapy on drug resistance is based on the assumption that drug resistance essentially depends on DNA mutation. Provided that the constituent drugs administered in the combination have independent modes of action, the probability of a parasite developing resistance to both drugs simultaneously is significantly reduced compared to developing resistance to one drug (White et al. 1999).

ART and its derivatives are highly potent and rapid acting, with a parasite reduction rate of 10 000 parasites per erythrocytic cycle (48h) (White 1997). However these compounds have extremely short half-lives (Table 1.1), the active metabolite of the ART compounds, DHA, is eliminated with a half-life of ~1 h (White 2008). For this reason, the administration of ARTs with longer-acting agents is required (Nyunt and Plowe 2007). In an ACT, the ART derivative kills most of the parasites rapidly and those that remain are eliminated by a high concentration of the longer-lasting partner drug (Table 1.1), after the short-lived ART has dropped below therapeutic levels (White 2008). In this way the ART derivative should protect its partner drug. If the treatment is successful, the partner drug also protects the ART derivative by removing all the remaining parasites that were initially exposed to the ART. Consequently the probability that mutant parasites survive and emerge after treatment with these two drugs is lower (White 1997; Nosten and White 2007). However, it
is now accepted that a mismatch in elimination half-lives may not be as advantageous as previously assumed (Peters 1985; Hastings and Watkins 2006). The underlying reason is that, after the rapidly elimination of the ART derivative from the organism, the long-lasting drug remains in the blood stream in sub-therapeutic levels, strongly selecting for the evolution of tolerance and, ultimately, resistance to the longer-lived drug (Bloland et al. 2000; Hastings and Watkins 2006). Additionally, it was recently suggested that post-treatment anti-malarial activity drug profiles should be considered when designing new drug combination therapies, rather than simply the drugs half-lives (Hastings and Hodel 2014).

Table 1.1 – Half-lives of antimalarials (Ashley et al. 2007; WHO 2010b; Croft et al. 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artemisinin derivatives</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisinin</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin</td>
<td>45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether</td>
<td>1h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACT partner drugs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumefantrine</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethamine</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfadoxine</td>
<td>4-9 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amodiaquine (Monodesethylamodiaquine*)</td>
<td>3 h (9-18 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mefloquine</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperaquine</td>
<td>5 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronaridine</td>
<td>13 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other antimalarials</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine</td>
<td>11-18 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>1-2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primaquine</td>
<td>3-6 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>60-70 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>8 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>10-24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>2-3 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Monodesethylamodiaquine – amodiaquine primary active metabolite
Fixed-dose ACTs are now the gold standard treatment with six recommended for first-line treatment of uncomplicated malaria in adults and children (WHO 2010b; EMA 2012):

- Artemether-lumefantrine – Coartem (Novartis/ Chinese Academy of Medical Military Sciences/MMV);
- Artesunate-amodiaquine - Coarsucam (Sanofi-Aventis/DNDi/MMV);
- Artesunate-mefloquine – ASMQ (Farmanguinhos/DNDi/Cipla);
- Artesunate + sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine - AS+SP;
- Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine – Eurartesim (Sigma-Tau/MMV/Pfizer);
- Artesunate-pyronaridine - Pyramax (Shin Poong Pharmaceuticals).

1.7. Antimalarial Drug Resistance

Antimalarial drugs remain as one of the most powerful tools in the fight against malaria and the development of resistance to these compounds is probably the greatest problem faced by malaria control programmes.

The emergence of CQ resistance was considered responsible for a dramatic increase in child mortality and morbidity in Africa in the 1980's and 90's (Trape 2001) and nowadays, resistance to nearly all established antimalarial compounds has been reported.

In the last decade, first line treatment with ACTs has played a major role in reducing malaria burden (Dondorp et al. 2011). Currently no alternative antimalarial treatment offers the same level of efficacy and tolerability as ACTs (WHO 2013a). Therefore, the emergence and spread of ART resistance would be a serious threat to the elimination/eradication efforts.
1.7.1. Emergence of drug resistance

The development of genetically determined resistance in a pathogen under drug pressure is a two-step process; 1) de-novo emergence of the new genotype, 2) subsequent spread through the pathogen population. Resistance arises through spontaneous point mutations or gene duplications, mainly during asexual reproduction. A single genetic event may be all that is required, or multiple independent events may be necessary. The ‘de-novo mutation’ emergence is a rare event in malaria and is thought to be independent of drug selection pressure (White and Pongtavornpinyo 2003). In the presence of the drug, these mutants can survive and expand in numbers to generate gametocyte densities sufficient for subsequent spread (White and Pongtavornpinyo 2003; Barnes and White 2005; Hastings 2006). The drug pressure provides a selective advantage to resistant parasites and their frequency in the population increases (Olliaro and Taylor 2004). The resistant parasites are then transmitted in the human population by the mosquitoes. Genetic recombination occurs after fertilization in the mosquito stages consequently if one mosquito bites two people carrying different gametocytes or bites one person carrying more than one parasite genotype, the recombination with the formation or breakdown of multigenic resistance can occur (Barnes and White 2005). The parasites carrying the mutant alleles are selected if antimalarial drug concentrations are sufficient to suppress the development of susceptible parasites but insufficient to inhibit the newly arisen mutants, a phenomenon known as “drug selection” (White 1998b). Once drug resistant parasites have emerged and are selected over sensitive ones it is difficult to avoid the spread of resistance.

Advances in the understanding of the mechanisms of drug action during the last two decades have led to the identification of the putative drug resistance molecular targets, for some antimalarial drugs. The genetic basis of parasite resistance, which in some cases does not involve the molecular target, was also identified in some antimalarial drugs. The genetic events that confer antimalarial drug resistance include single point mutations in or changes of copy numbers of genes encoding drug targets, such as important enzymes, or in genes encoding pumps that affect intraparasitic drug concentrations. These mechanisms will be discussed in detail in the next section.
1.7.2. **Definition of Antimalarial Drug Resistance**

Antimalarial drug resistance has been defined as the “ability of a parasite strain to survive and/or multiply despite the administration and absorption of a drug given in doses equal to or higher than those usually recommended but within tolerance of the subject”. This definition was later modified to specify that the drug in question must “gain access to the parasite or the infected red blood cell for the duration of the time necessary for its normal action” (Bruce-Chwatt 1986; WHO 2010a).

Due to the particular mode of action of ARTs, the definition of artemisinin resistance has been a controversial issue. According to the WHO (WHO 2014), in vivo ART resistance is suspected when an increased parasite clearance time is observed (as evidenced by ≥10% of cases with parasites detectable by microscopy on day 3 after ACT treatment). Resistance is confirmed when treatment failure happens after treatment with an oral ART based monotherapy with adequate antimalarial blood concentration, as evidenced by persisting parasitaemia on day 7 post-infection, or the presence of parasites on day 3 and recrudescence within 28/42 days (WHO 2011a).

1.7.3. **Assessment and Monitoring of Resistance in *P. falciparum***

The emergence of resistant mutants has resulted in reduced efficacy of several drugs and drug combinations in some regions. Drug efficacy surveillance is necessary to ensure the correct management of clinical cases and early detection of changing patterns of resistance. This monitoring is needed to inform treatment policies in malaria-endemic countries allowing the deployment of effective antimalarial drugs (WHO 2003). Three approaches have been used to evaluate the efficacy of an antimalarial drug in a given setting: clinical *in vivo* studies (also known as therapeutic efficacy testing), *in vitro* susceptibility testing, and more recently, molecular markers (WHO 2003). There are advantages and disadvantages with each of these assays.

In discussing these different approaches, it is fundamental to differentiate intrinsic parasite resistance from treatment failure, which is the inability to clear parasitaemia and/or resolve clinical symptoms after treatment. Parasite intrinsic susceptibility is only
one of several factors that can determine the outcome of the treatment; treatment failure
can also be caused by incorrect drug dosing, poor drug quality and poor treatment
compliance, interactions with other drugs or inadequate drug absorption (Laufer 2009).

• *IN VIVO TESTS*

Susceptibility of *P. falciparum* to antimalarial drugs is commonly assessed by therapeutic
response (*in vivo* testing) (WHO 2003). One of the key characteristics of these tests is the
ability to evaluate the actual clinical and epidemiological responses. Those responses
involve a complex interaction between the drugs, the parasites, and the host (i.e. the
therapeutic response of currently circulating parasites infecting the current population in
which the drug will be used), while *in vitro* tests measure only the interaction between the
blood stage parasites and the drugs (Talisuna *et al.* 2004).

*In vivo* tests involve the treatment of symptomatic *P. falciparum* infected patients with a
standard dose of an antimalarial drug and subsequent follow-up of clinical and
parasitological outcomes of treatment during 28-day, or even 42-day, follow-ups
(Stepniewska *et al.* 2004), where the patient’s clinical and parasitological response is
categorized as “early treatment failure”, “late clinical failure”, “late parasitological failure”
or “adequate clinical and parasitological response”. This procedure allows the detection of
any reappearance of symptoms or signs of clinical malaria and the detection of parasites in
the blood as an indication of reduced sensitivity to a particular drug (WHO 2003).

Due to the influence of factors such as patient’s immunity, variations in drug absorption
and metabolism, and possible misclassification of new infections as recrudescence, the
results of a therapeutic efficacy test do not necessarily reflect the real level of antimalarial
drug resistance (Marfurt *et al.* 2010). These studies include other drawbacks: the long
duration of patient monitoring that may result in high loss to follow-up; treatment
administration must be supervised; the quality of the drugs has to be verified and the
assessment of resistance to one drug regimen only (Laufer 2009).

However, these tests provide decision-makers with a simple, readily comprehensible
indicator of the efficacy of an antimalarial drug with reduced requirement for equipment
and supplies, when compared with *in vitro* tests (WHO 2003).
• **IN VITRO TESTS**

The *in vivo* method has helped the inference of treatment failure thresholds that are critical for adjusting antimalarial drug policies, but it is not considered enough on its own to confirm drug resistance (WHO 2003).

To support the evidence of a failing antimalarial regimen, a dose-response (*in vitro*) test can provide a more precise measure of drug sensitivity under controlled experimental environment, which removes variables such as patient immunity, initial parasite load, re-infection and pharmacokinetics. *In vitro* tests allow a more objective approach to parasite resistance, since in these studies the parasite will be in direct contact with incremental drug concentrations. Furthermore, several tests can be carried out with the same sample, and several drugs can be assessed simultaneously, including drugs that are still at the experimental stage (WHO 2003).

There are several *in vitro* readout methods, which differ with respect to the measured effect and the duration of exposure to the test compound. These include microscopic examination of blood films for the WHO mark III test (inhibition of maturation or replication; Giemsa-stained), the radioisotopic test (incorporation of hypoxanthine), the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with antibodies directed against *Plasmodium* lactate dehydrogenase or histidine-rich protein II (Noedl *et al.* 2002; Olliaro 2005) and fluorometric assays with DNA binding fluorescent dyes (Noedl *et al.* 2003; Corbett *et al.* 2004). These assays turn out to be more important with the increasing use of combination therapy, since they can be used to monitor susceptibility to each drug in a combination (Vestergaard and Ringwald 2007).

The *in vitro* drug sensitivity assays are very useful but they have significant disadvantages. These methods are very costly and time consuming, requiring very well trained personnel with access to advanced laboratory facilities capable of performing parasite culture (Basco and Ringwald 2000). Even when provided with such facilities some aspects of the parasite might be lost during long term adaptation to ideal conditions (LeRoux *et al.* 2009). Moreover, in part because these tests remove the host factors, the correlation of *in vitro* response with clinical response is not always reliable and is not well understood. The requirement of some prodrugs to be metabolized *in vivo* is also a drawback of *in vitro* tests.
In vitro drug sensitivity data may provide early evidence of increasing drug tolerance prior to parasitological/clinical resistance. Conversely, it may give misleading indications if the changes in sensitivity do not ultimately translate into parasitological/clinical resistance (Hastings et al. 2007).

Once the genetic changes associated with resistance are identified, drug resistance can be confirmed with molecular techniques.

- Molecular markers

Molecular markers for drug resistant malaria offer promising advantages to the methods described above and are essential public health surveillance tools (Plowe et al. 2007). Examination of molecular markers allow the assessment of a large number of samples and is less expensive compared with clinical studies involving more patient care and follow-up (Ekland and Fidock 2008). Sample collection, storage and transport of specimens for subsequent molecular analysis is far easier than for in vitro tests (WHO 2003). In the era of combination therapies, molecular markers of resistance can discriminate associations between individual mutations and the response to each drug (Picot et al. 2009). Furthermore, monitoring of molecular markers may also help in tracking the rates of resistance in a certain area after withdrawal of an antimalarial drug, where it would be unethical to conduct in vivo tests (Kublin et al. 2003).

Molecular markers for drug resistant malaria are based on genetic changes that confer parasite resistance to drugs. These genetic mechanisms of *P. falciparum* drug resistance have not been completely elucidated in every case. However, relatively well defined molecular markers of resistance have been established for the principal chemical families of antimalarials in current use. Multiple mutations in the *P. falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter (PfCRT) confer resistance to CQ (Ecker, Lehane, Clain, et al. 2012). Mutations in the P-glycoprotein homologue (Pgh1) encoded by *pfmdr1* (*P. falciparum multidrug resistance gene 1*) may further modulate the extent of CQ resistance (Fidock et al. 2000; Reed et al. 2000). Polymorphisms and/or amplification of *pfmdr1* have also been shown to affect the susceptibility to structurally unrelated antimalarial drugs, including mefloquine, artesunate, lumefantrine and QN (Price et al. 1996; Sidhu et al. 2005).
Resistance to SP is conferred by mutations in the *dihydropteroate synthase* (*pfdhps*) and *dihydrofolate reductase* (*pfdhfr*) genes, respectively (Gregson and Plowe 2005; Muller and Hyde 2013). Atovaquone resistance is linked to mutations on the cytochrome *b* gene. The *pfhme-1* gene, which encodes a putative Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger has been associated with QN resistance, but additional studies are needed to validate this marker (WHO 2010a).

Disadvantages of the molecular surveillance include the need for sophisticated equipment and well trained personnel and the fact that only a limited number of genes involved or potentially involved in *P. falciparum* antimalarial drug resistance have been identified. Similar to the limitations of *in vitro* tests, the presence of particular molecular marker does not necessarily directly predict treatment outcome, especially when resistance involves more than one gene locus and/or multiple mutations (Sidhu *et al.* 2002). The presence of mutations in the above genes contributes to drug failure but the final outcome is not certain: some patients with “resistant” alleles clear the infection, and some patients with “sensitive” alleles failed treatment. Consequently we should consider that mutations increase the probability of drug failure that ultimately depends on factors such as host immune response, the drug dose taken, and variation in drug absorption and metabolism (Hastings 2007).

These methods should be considered as complementary rather than competing sources of information about drug resistance, with critical treatment strategy implications.

1.8. Modes of action and mechanisms of parasite resistance

Several studies on the underlying mechanisms of drug resistance have shown that parasite survival can be achieved by one or more of the following mechanisms:

**Alterations in the drug target** - The parasite can eliminate the drug target, inducing an alternative pathway; can alter the target’s affinity for the drug, by the introduction of mutations in the target gene, or can overexpress the drug target (gene amplification);

**Drug target unchanged** - The parasite can alter the intracellular drug levels by the development of drug transporters that modulate the uptake or export of the drug;
Dormancy - Parasites can go into a state of quiescence where they are protected from the drug lethal effects, but are able to recover at a later stage and resume normal growth, after cessation of therapy;

Overexpression of biological mechanisms to handle the drug effects - overexpression of genes involved in the DNA repair mechanism or in the oxidative stress response.

Current knowledge on the mechanism of action, resistance and on the molecular markers of resistance is summarized below.

- Quinolines and related compounds

  All quinolines, with the exception of primaquine, are thought to exert an antimalarial action by interfering with the formation of haemozoin, within the parasite’s digestive vacuole (Muller and Hyde 2010). During the intraerythrocytic development, *P. falciparum* digests 60-80% of the erythrocyte haemoglobin content to obtain a major source of its amino acid requirements and to avoid the erythrocyte premature rupture (Krugliak et al. 2002; Lew et al. 2003). A byproduct of haemoglobin digestion is free haem that is toxic to the parasite, as it causes lethal changes to membranes and proteins. To avoid haem toxicity the parasite converts it into an insoluble form called haemozoin (Bray, Ward, et al. 2005).

  Quinine

  The mode of action of QN is only partially understood. QN shares some common characteristics with other quinoline antimalarial drugs such as CQ. QN, like CQ, accumulates in the parasite’s digestive vacuole and can interact with haem, but binds to it with lower affinity than CQ (Sullivan et al. 1998; Sanchez et al. 2008). For that reason, it was hypothesized that QN also acts through haem detoxification inhibition (Foley and Tilley 1997; Fitch 2004). However it is not clear whether haem is the only or even the major target for QN.

  Despite the widespread use of QN in the nineteenth century, high level resistance to the drug has been very slow to develop. The first documented reports of QN resistance are
from 1910 (Peters 1982; Mwangi and Ranford-Cartwright 2013). However, high levels of resistance are rare and limited (Wongsrichanalai et al. 2002; Okombo et al. 2011). The slow development and spread of QN resistance was possibly a result of a multigenic response of the parasite (Ferdig et al. 2004; Nkrumah et al. 2009). In fact, the genetic basis for resistance to QN has been associated with several genes, probably working synergistically. Currently, 4 genes have been identified: *P. falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter gene (*pfcrt*) (Cooper et al. 2002; Cooper et al. 2007), the multidrug resistance transporter 1 gene (*pfmdr1*) (Reed et al. 2000; Sidhu et al. 2006) and more recently the resistance protein gene (*pfmrp*) (Mu et al. 2003) and the sodium/proton exchanger 1 (*pfneh1*) (Ferdig et al. 2004).

**Chloroquine and Amodiaquine**

Despite years of research, the exact mechanism of CQ remains a controversial area. CQ is a diprotic weak base and diffuses freely between the extracellular matrix and the acidic digestive vacuole of the parasite. After diffusing to the vacuole, CQ becomes protonated and since membranes are not permeable to charged species, CQ becomes trapped (Homewood et al. 1972; Ferrari and Cutler 1991). This ion-trapping mechanism leads to CQ accumulation inside the digestive vacuole (Hawley et al. 1996). In the digestive vacuole, CQ binds to haem, which prevents its polymerization into haemozoin. This leads to accumulation of the toxic free haem and later on to oxidative stress and membrane damage within the parasite (Sullivan et al. 1998; Warhurst et al. 2007; Roepe 2009).

CQ was a victim of improper use. In the early 1960s, CQ was included in table salt and used in population based prophylaxis regimens in an effort to eradicate malaria (Peters 1987). Unfortunately, shortly afterwards, the first cases of CQ resistance emerged in the same areas where chloroquinized salt was distributed (Foley and Tilley 1998). Regardless of the extensive use of the drug, there was nearly a 20 year lag between the introduction of CQ in 1950s and the widespread occurrence of resistance, suggesting a complex mechanism of resistance implicating multiple mutations or several genes. The first cases of CQ resistant parasites came from South America (Columbia, Brazil and Venezuela) in 1960 (Wernsdorfer 1991) and these were followed soon afterwards by sporadic reports of resistance in Southeast Asia (Thailand and Kampuchea) in 1961 (Wernsdorfer 1991). By 1973 CQ resistance was widespread in South America and Asia. Molecular epidemiological
studies suggest that resistance then spread from Southeast Asia to Africa in the late 1970s, when its emergence was first reported in Kenya and Tanzania (Campbell et al. 1979; Fogh et al. 1979).

CQ resistant parasites accumulate less CQ in the digestive vacuole than sensitive strains, consequently keeping the drug concentration below toxic levels (Saliba et al. 1998; Bray, Ward, et al. 2005). Studies of a genetic cross between HB3 (CQ sensitive) and Dd2 (CQ resistant) strains have shown that resistance is mainly determined by the selection of a mutant form of PfCRT protein (Wellems et al. 1991; Su et al. 1997; Fidock et al. 2000). PfCRT, has since been shown to localize in the parasite’s digestive vacuole (Fidock et al. 2000; Cooper et al. 2002), where it can control the access of CQ to haem. Furthermore, bioinformatic analyses predict PfCRT to be a member of the “drug/metabolite transporter” superfamily (Martin and Kirk 2004). The normal physiological function of PfCRT is still poorly understood and at the moment there are two main theories proposed; PfCRT can act as either a channel (allowing protonated CQ to exit the digestive vacuole) or as an active transporter protein (Warhurst et al. 2002; Bray et al. 2006; Sanchez et al. 2007).

Linkage studies demonstrated an association between an amino acid substitution at position 76 from lysine to threonine (K76T) and CQ resistance in culture adapted isolates from different geographic areas (Fidock et al. 2000). The importance of the K76T mutations appears to be due to the loss of positive charge within the channel. This mutation is predicted to alter the selectivity of the protein such that it is able to transport the positively charged CQ allowing its exit from the digestive vacuole, and therefore away from its site of action (Warhurst et al. 2002; Lehane and Kirk 2008).

Genetic sequencing has revealed that other changes occur in combination with K76T which have different geographic distributions and different drug resistant phenotypes (Bray, Martin, et al. 2005; Sa et al. 2009). These extra mutations are possibly compensatory mutations for unfavorable changes in the normal function of PfCRT (Hyde 2007). CQ resistant forms are classified by the amino acid positions 72-76: CVMNK is the sensitive haplotype; the SVMNT and CVIET are the resistant haplotypes, although there are many other rarer haplotypes at these residues found in the field (Cooper et al. 2005; Summers et al. 2012). CVIET is by far the most dominant mutant haplotype in Africa and also found in Southeast Asia and South America, while SVMNT haplotype had been reported mainly in South America (Fidock et al. 2000; Cooper et al. 2005; Gama et al. 2010), Papua New Guinea (Mehlotra et al. 2001) and in the Philippines (Chen et al. 2005).
Another gene associated with CQ resistance is \textit{pfmdr1}. Pfmdr1 encodes a homologue of mammalian multidrug resistance gene (\textit{mdr1}) called P-glycoprotein homolog 1 (Pgh1) (Foote et al. 1990). When mutant PfCRT is present mutations on \textit{pfmdr1} have been found to modulate the degree of CQ sensitivity, particularly mutations at codon positions 86, 184, 1034, 1042 and 1246 (Sa et al. 2011; Ecker, Lehane, Clain, et al. 2012). Interestingly amplification of wild type form of this gene has been implicated in increased CQ sensitivity (Price et al. 2004; Sa et al. 2011). On the contrary, parasites with multiple copies of \textit{pfmdr1} appear to be less sensitive to mefloquine, QN and ARTs (Sidhu et al. 2005).

Amodiaquine is an important ACT partner drug, since artesunate-amodiaquine is presently the second most commonly used ACT in the world (Zwang et al. 2014). Amodiaquine is structurally related to CQ and is rapidly metabolised \textit{in vivo} into monodesethylamodiaquine, its primary metabolite. Based on structural similarity, amodiaquine is hypothesized to act also by inhibiting haem detoxification. Furthermore, like CQ, amodiaquine has been shown to accumulate within the digestive vacuole, bind to haem and to inhibit haem polymerization \textit{in vitro}, with a similar efficiency to CQ (Foley and Tilley 1998; Hayeshi et al. 2008).

Cross resistance between CQ and amodiaquine has been reported however, strains of \textit{P. falciparum} resistant to CQ are not necessarily also resistant to amodiaquine (Sa et al. 2009). Resistance to amodiaquine has been associated with the 76T mutation in \textit{pfcr}\textit{t} (Ochong et al. 2003). Furthermore, the strongest association with amodiaquine \textit{in vitro} resistance has been found with the \textit{pfcr}\textit{t} SVMNT haplotype. The SVMNT haplotype is associated with high levels of amodiaquine resistance, but only moderately resistance to CQ, whereas the CVIET haplotype is linked to greater resistance to CQ and moderate resistance to amodiaquine (Sa et al. 2009). This association was also establish \textit{in vivo} by Beshir et al., who described that the presence of the SVMNT haplotype is enough to confer amodiaquine resistance (Beshir, Sutherland, et al. 2010). The effects of the \textit{pfcr}\textit{t} haplotypes in amodiaquine resistance is further modulated by mutations in \textit{pfmdr1} (Sa et al. 2009). The \textit{pfmdr1} polymorphisms 86Y, 184Y and 1246Y (YYY haplotype) were found to be selected after treatment with amodiaquine monotherapy or artesunate-amodiaquine combination therapy in East Africa (Holmgren et al. 2007; Humphreys et al. 2007; Nsobya et al. 2007).
**Pyronaridine**

Pyronaridine is highly active against erythrocytic stages of malaria parasites but its mechanism of action is not yet understood. Some studies suggested that pyronaridine can interfere with the food vacuole of the parasite (Croft et al. 2012) while other studies reported that pyronaridine inhibits the decatenation activity of *P. falciparum* topoisomerase II (Chavalitsheinkoon et al. 1993). Pyronaridine is also thought to target β-hematin formation. Additionally, it can interfere with a glutathione-dependent heme degradation process by forming a drug-haematin complex and inducing the lysis of the red blood cells (Auparakkitanon et al. 2006).

There are conflicting reports on *in vitro* cross resistance between pyronaridine and CQ. In some studies pyronaridine does not appear to show cross-resistance with CQ (Basco and Le Bras 1992; Chang et al. 1992; Ringwald et al. 1996) although in other studies evidence of cross resistance between the two drugs is found (Schildbach et al. 1990; Pradines et al. 1998; Caramello et al. 2005). On the other hand, clinical trials have shown that pyronaridine has good efficacy against CQ resistant strains (Looareesuwan et al. 1996; Ringwald et al. 1996; Ringwald et al. 1998).

The use of pyronaridine has been limited to China therefore it is expected that resistance will be slow to develop across other regions with malaria. However, *in vitro* studies indicate that the sensitivity to this drug decreased in China between 1988 and 1995 (Yang et al. 1997). These data suggest that the resistance to pyronaridine may have already developed but the molecular mechanism is unknown.

**Primaquine**

Primaquine (probably as its metabolites) is active against gametocyte and hypnozoite stages and its mode of action appears to be quite distinct from all the other quinolines. However, the precise mechanism of action is still unknown. Treatment with primaquine causes swelling and thickening of the mitochondria of tissue stage parasites suggesting that it exerts its activity by disrupting the metabolic processes of parasite mitochondria. Possibly by interference with the ubiquinone function (Vale et al. 2009), in a similar way to atovaquone, which has been shown to inhibit the cytochrome *bc₁* complex of the
mitochondrial respiratory chain and collapse the mitochondrial membrane potential (see atovaquone section for more details) (Foley and Tilley 1998).

The activity of primaquine against gametocytes and dormant stages has been attributed to the inability of these stages to repair damaged mitochondria (Warhurst 1984) and the damage is possibly caused by the primaquine-induced production of highly reactive metabolites that generate toxic intracellular oxidative potentials (Hill et al. 2006).

Resistance to primaquine is rarely reported (White 2004; Vale et al. 2009) and the possible mechanism of resistance is unknown.

**Piperaquine**

Due to structural similarities with CQ, it was postulated that piperaquine and CQ share a similar mode of action. Studies have shown that piperaquine concentrates in the digestive vacuole and that it is a potent inhibitor of haem polymerization (Raynes 1999; Warhurst et al. 2007) however, the exact mechanism of action of piperaquine is still unknown.

Resistance to piperaquine is known to have developed in China where this drug was used intensively in the late 1970s (Tran et al. 2004) resulting in the subsequent decline in its use (Davis et al. 2005). There are high rates of piperaquine resistance in China but the genetic determinant has not yet been identified (Hao et al. 2013).

*In vivo* studies on African *P. falciparum* isolates have demonstrated that piperaquine does not exhibit cross-resistance with CQ and that modulation of piperaquine resistance is not modulated by mutations in the *pfcr* gene (Pascual et al. 2013). Moreover, piperaquine has excellent activity against CQ resistant parasites indicating that these two drugs have different resistant mechanisms. This characteristic can be explained by the fact that piperaquine has four positive charges and may be more efficiently trapped inside the digestive vacuole or by its steric bulk (the amount of space that the piperaquine molecule occupies), which prevents it from fitting into the binding site of PfCRT (O’Neill et al. 2012b).

Recently, studies have suggested that a copy number variation on chromosome 5 (not *pfmdr1*) is associated with piperaquine resistance *in vitro* (Eastman et al. 2011).
Mefloquine

Mefloquine competitively inhibits CQ accumulation, and vice-versa, suggesting that they share the same mechanisms of accumulation (Foley and Tilley 1998). However, mefloquine interacts weakly with free haem with corresponding less effect on haem polymerization, when compared with CQ (Dorn et al. 1998). Mefloquine is a much weaker base than CQ and should accumulate less well, in the acidic digestive vacuole. Nevertheless, is a more potent inhibitor of the growth of drug-sensitive strains than CQ (Foley and Tilley 1998). Therefore, these observations suggest that these drugs interact at different points within the haemoglobin degradation pathway or that other targets are implicated in the mefloquine mode of action (Foote and Cowman 1994; Foley and Tilley 1998).

Mefloquine resistance was reported from the Thai-Myanmar and the Thai-Cambodian borders in 1982, where mefloquine was used intensively as treatment for uncomplicated malaria (Wongsrichanalai et al. 2001; White 2004). Resistance is not widespread and mefloquine is still effective outside SE Asia and in some regions of South America.

The exact mechanism of mefloquine resistance is still unclear, although studies conducted in the Greater Mekong subregion (Cambodia and Thailand) report that mefloquine resistance is associated with an increase in the parasite pfmdr1 copy number (Price et al. 2004). Furthermore, in vitro susceptibility to mefloquine and QN increased when the parasites carried pfmdr1 mutations (S1034C/N1042D/D1246Y) and decreased when the wild-type pfmdr1 copy number was increased (Sidhu et al. 2005; Sidhu et al. 2006; Chaijaroenkul et al. 2010).

One interesting observation is that there seems to be an inverse relation between mefloquine and CQ sensitivity. Thus, the selection for high levels of mefloquine resistance and the presence of increased pfmdr1 copy number are often associated with increased sensitivity to CQ and vice versa (Hayton and Su 2004). The MDR1-Pgh1protein is localized on the digestive vacuole membrane (Cowman et al. 1991), where it functions as a transporter (Rohrbach et al. 2006), and has been identified as a possible modulator of resistance to a diverse range of antimalarial drugs probably by directly or indirectly removing the drugs from their sites of action (Rubio and Cowman 1996). It is believed that the site of action of CQ is the digestive vacuole, consequently concentrating the drug in this compartment may be prejudicial for the parasite; on the contrary, the accumulation of mefloquine (and artemisinin) in the digestive vacuole may be advantageous to the parasite.
if the drug has a different target site. Alternatively, it has been suggested that Pgh1 may be the molecular target of mefloquine (Rubio and Cowman 1996; Gavigan et al. 2007).

**Lumefantrine**

The mechanism of action of lumefantrine is not known. Lumefantrine inhibits haemozoin formation suggesting that lumefantrine, like CQ, interferes with haemoglobin detoxification (Combrinck et al. 2013). This might be one but not the only mechanism of action of lumefantrine. In fact, a recent study suggests that lumefantrine exerts its antimalarial action by interfering with membrane phospholipids (Mwai et al. 2012).

Lumefantrine has never been used as monotherapy and resistance to lumefantrine in field isolates has not yet been convincingly demonstrated (Kokwaro et al. 2007). However, lumefantrine tolerant parasites were reported in isolates from Tanzania after treatment with artemether-lumefantrine. This phenotype was associated with polymorphisms in PfMDR1, particularly the wild type variant N86 (Sisowath et al. 2007) and with amplifications in PfMDR1 (Price et al. 2006; Sisowath et al. 2007). Additionally, parasites with the wild type PfCRT show reduced susceptibility to lumefantrine (Sisowath et al. 2009; Eyase et al. 2013).

More recently, lumefantrine tolerance in vitro was associated with changes in gene expression profiles on 184 *P. falciparum* genes, detected using microarray assays. Among those are known and putative transporters, including the *pfmdr1* gene and the multidrug resistance associated protein and the V-type H+ pumping pyrophosphatase 2 (*pfvp2*) although, further validation is needed to confirm the role of these genes on lumefantrine resistance (Mwai et al. 2012).

**Atovaquone**

Atovaquone is a structural analogue of protozoan ubiquinone (also called coenzyme Q), a vitamin like substance involved in electron transport in the mitochondria (Baggish and Hill 2002). Atovaquone binds irreversibly to the mitochondrial cytochrome bc1 complex of *P. falciparum* inhibiting the natural function of ubiquinone. Ubiquinone participates in mitochondrial membrane electron transport by accepting electrons from a number of dehydrogenase enzymes and passing them to the cytochromes. Since blockage of the
electron transport chain means that dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, a key enzyme in pyrimidine biosynthesis, is unable to transfer electrons to ubiquinone, blockage of the electron transport chain ultimately inhibits \textit{de novo} pyrimidine biosynthesis. The inhibition of electron transfer in the mitochondrial not only results in inhibition of pyrimidine biosynthesis but also collapses the parasite mitochondrial membrane electrochemical potential, two essential processes that contribute to parasite death (Srivastava \textit{et al.} 1997; Baggish and Hill 2002; Biagini \textit{et al.} 2006). Atovaquone acts on all growing stages of the life cycle due to its antimitochondrial activity, but does not affect hypnozoites.

Within only a few years of application in the field atovaquone resistance was observed with point mutations in the cytochrome \textit{b} gene, principally affecting position 268, either exchanging a tyrosine for a serine (Y268S) (Korsinczky \textit{et al.} 2000; David \textit{et al.} 2003; Farnert \textit{et al.} 2003; Schwobel \textit{et al.} 2003; Legrand \textit{et al.} 2007; Musset \textit{et al.} 2007) or less frequently for asparagine (Y268N) (Fivelman \textit{et al.} 2002) or for cysteine (Musset \textit{et al.} 2007; Sutherland \textit{et al.} 2008), associated with drastic reductions in parasite susceptibility to the drug.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{Antifolate-resistance}
\end{itemize}

The malaria parasite is unable to consume pyrimidines already synthesized by the host and for that reason must produce its own (Le Bras and Durand 2003). Pyrimethamine is a potent inhibitor of the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme which is encoded by the \textit{dhfr} gene that exists as a bifunctional enzyme together with thymidylate synthase (TS) (Ivanetich and Santi 1990), while sulfadoxine targets the dihydropteroate synthetase (DHPs) domain of the bifunctional 7,8-dihydro-6-hydroxymethylpterin pyrophosphokinase-DHPS enzyme, encoded by the \textit{dhps-pppk} gene (Brooks \textit{et al.} 1994). Both enzymes are part of the parasite folate synthetic pathway and the inhibition of these enzymes leads to interruption of the DNA synthesis and nuclear division. Unfortunately, \textit{falciparum} parasites are able to quickly accumulate multiple genetic mutations producing enzymes resistant to such drugs (Sibley \textit{et al.} 2001) (Hyde et al., 2007).

Resistance to pyrimethamine occurred soon after its deployment as antimalarial (Petersen 1987) and resistance to the SP combination was reported rapidly after its introduction in both Southeast Asia and the Amazon basin in the mid-1970s (White 1992; Anderson and Roper 2005). The expansion of SP resistance did not happened as quickly as
the expansion to CQ resistance, in Africa the emergence of SP resistance was only reported in the 1990s, in Tanzania (Ronn et al. 1996). Even nowadays, SP treatment failure due to high-level parasite resistance is widespread in South America and Asia and is common in East Africa, although SP retains some efficacy in West Africa (WHO 2010a).

The mechanism of resistance is attributed to mutations in the encode drug targets (dhfr and dhps genes) of *P. falciparum*. Both the dhfr and dhps gene mutations occur in a progressive stepwise manner, with higher levels of resistance occurring in the presence of multiple mutations. A point mutation at position 108, changing a serine to an asparagine (S108N), in the dhfr gene product is the first step for acquiring increased resistance to pyrimethamine. Addition of subsequent mutations at positions 50, 51, 59, and 164 increases the level of pyrimethamine resistance (Peterson et al. 1988; Snewin et al. 1989). Mutations at amino acid positions 436, 437, 540, 581 and 613 in DHPS decrease this enzyme’s affinity for sulfadoxine. Like DHFR, the increasing of *in vitro* resistance to sulfadoxine is generally associated with the number of amino acid substitutions in the DHPS enzyme. An alanine to glycine substitution at position 437 (A437G) has been proposed as the first step to sulfadoxine resistance (Wernsdorfer and Noedl 2003).

These mutations reduce the binding affinity of the drugs to their targets while still enabling the parasite to manipulate the folic acid synthesis pathway for its own benefit (Snewin et al. 1989; Wernsdorfer and Noedl 2003).

- **Antibiotics**

The mechanism of action of antibiotics against malaria parasites is unclear however they are thought to act against the parasite by inhibiting protein synthesis, as they do in bacteria (Warhurst 1986). Tetracyclines (tetracycline and doxycycline) and lincosamides (clindamycin) antibiotics exert their antibacterial effects by interfering with 70S ribosomes (Clough and Wilson 2001). *In P. falciparum*, tetracyclines have been reported to inhibit mitochondrial proteins, decrease dihydroorotate dehydrogenase activity, an enzyme of the pyrimidine pathway (Briolant et al. 2010). In addition, it was shown that clindamycin, doxycycline and azithromycin, cause “delayed death” by disruption of apicoplast function (Dahl et al. 2006; Dahl and Rosenthal 2007), though the precise mechanism remains uncertain. Studies in a mouse model revealed that the antibiotics inhibit apicoplast biogenesis in the liver stage. These drugs do not prevent the maturations of the merozoites.
in the liver, but the produced daughter cells cannot establish a viable red blood cell infection. For this reason, the antibiotics are being investigated for a new vaccination strategy against malaria (Friesen et al. 2010).

No clinical malaria failure with the tetracycline doxycycline have been reported yet, however different phenotypes of susceptibility to doxycycline have been identified among *P. falciparum* clinical isolates (Briolant et al. 2009). These different phenotypes have been associated with pfm*dt* (a putative drug/metabolite transporter gene) and pftetQ (a putative tetQ family GTPase gene) copy number variations (Gaillard et al. 2013).

Clindamycin, like tetracyclines, inhibits prokaryotic protein translation, but in this case by binding to the 50S ribosomal subunit (comprising the 23S rRNA and the 5S rRNA and ribosomal proteins. Data on *P. falciparum* clindamycin resistance have been reported in 2010 and have been associated with point mutations on the apicoplast 23S rRNA. Mutations on this gene have been also associated with clindamycin resistance in bacteria (Ecker, Lehane and Fidock 2012).

- Artemisinins

ART is a sesquierpene trioxane lactone that contains an endoperoxide bridge (C-O-O-C; Figure 1.3) that provides a structure very different from any of the previously known antimalarial drugs (i.e., quinolines and antifolates) (Klayman 1985; Hien and White 1993; Barradell and Fitton 1995). Despite several years of research, the molecular mechanism of action of ARTs remains uncertain although most studies agree that their antimalarial activity is dependent on the reactivity of the endoperoxide bridge (Avery et al. 1993; Meshnick et al. 1996; Golenser et al. 2006). ARTs are considered prodrugs that need to be activated before it becomes effective (Krishna et al. 2004b) but the mechanism responsible for ARTs activation is unclear. Early studies done by the Meshnick group (Meshnick et al. 1991; Meshnick 1994b) suggested that ART is activated by iron (II) to produce free toxic activated oxygen. After that two different mechanisms have been proposed that differ by their dependency on iron and contribution of carbon centred radicals. In the reductive scission model, the peroxide bridge is cleaved by low-valent transition irons (ferrous haem or nonhaem, exogenous divalent Fe$^{2+}$), producing oxygen centred radicals that rearrange to produce carbon centred radicals (Posner et al. 1995; O’Neill et al. 2000; Jefford 2001; Olliaro et al. 2001). Alternatively, the open peroxide model, suggests that the peroxide ring
is opened by protonation ($H^+$) or by complex formation with a metal iron ($Fe^{2+}$). This mechanism has the potential of producing reactive oxygen species (Haynes et al. 2007; O’Neill et al. 2010).

Once activated ARTs were hypothesized to cause damage to different cellular targets present in the surrounding environment. They can impair the haem detoxification pathway by alkylating intracellular haem and inhibiting its polymerization to non toxic haemoglobin (Meshnick et al. 1991; Robert et al. 2005; Creek et al. 2008). The free radicals generated by the ARTs activation may interfere with the proper function of key parasite proteins (Meshnick 2002; Wu et al. 2003) such as proteases involved in haemoglobin degradation and the translationally controlled tumor protein, a protein that binds haem (Bhisutthibhan and Meshnick 2001; Haynes et al. 2007; O’Neill et al. 2010).

Alternative views propose the sarcoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (PfATP6 or PfSERCA) gene of *Plasmodium* as a possible parasite specific target. This is based on structural similarity between ARTs and thapsigargin (another sesquiterpene lactone), an inhibitor of human sarco-endoplasmic reticulum calcium dependent ATPase (SERCA) (Eckstein-Ludwig et al. 2003). PfATP6 is thought to be critical for parasite survival (Vennerstrom et al. 2004) and studies suggested that mutations on this enzyme modulate the affinity of ART for the protein (Eckstein-Ludwig et al. 2003; Uhlemann et al. 2005). In fact, ART can inhibit the activity of ATPase6 in transfected *Xenopus laevis* oocytes (Eckstein-Ludwig et al. 2003), and a single amino acid substitution in ATPase6 (L263E) is enough to prevent ART’s inhibitory effects (Uhlemann et al. 2005). Since this work was published there have been several studies which contradict this hypothesis. A subsequent study showed no antagonism between thapsigargin and artemisinin, contradicting the original work (del Pilar Crespo et al. 2008). The use of *P. falciparum* transgenic parasites carrying the L263E mutation showed a barely significant small reduction in the susceptibilities to ART and dihydroartemisinin, but not to artesunate when compared with the wild-type strain (Valderramos et al. 2010).

ART has also been shown to inhibit the respiratory chain of the mitochondria (Wang et al. 2010). Using a yeast model the authors proposed a dual role of mitochondria on ART mode of action: the ART is activated by the electron transport chain which generates free radicals that damage the mitochondria (Li et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2010). Furthermore, Hartwig and colleagues have shown that ARTs accumulate within neutral lipids and can damage the membranes of the parasite (Hartwig et al. 2009).
The first signs of suspected ART resistance were reported near the Thailand-Cambodian border (Vijaykadga et al. 2006) following artesunate-mefloquine, but was not possible to confirm if the ACT clinical failure was due to artesunate or the partner drug. Subsequent studies in western Cambodia and northwestern Thailand confirmed artesunate tolerance characterized by delayed clearance times after artesunate monotherapy (Noedl et al. 2008; Dondorp et al. 2009).

For now, the resistance appears to be confined to the Greater Mekong subregion and early signs of ART resistance were confirmed in at least 16 sites in Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand and Viet Nam (WHO 2014). However, a recent study from Kenya suggested a reduced sensitivity to ART derivatives (Borrmann et al. 2011).

Many genes have been implicated in resistance to this class of compounds. Uhlemann and colleagues suggested that resistance to ARTs may depend on a single nucleotide polymorphism in the drug’s putative chemotherapeutic target, the pfatpase6 gene (Uhlemann et al. 2005). A subsequent study report that *P. falciparum* parasites from French Guiana harbouring mutant forms of the PfATPase6 gene (S769N) displayed significantly increased IC50s to ARTs, suggesting its role in ART resistance (Jambou et al. 2005). However, mutations in this gene are not associated with resistance in field isolates from elsewhere (Dahlstrom et al. 2008; Jambou et al. 2010). Causal unequivocal association between mutations in the PfATPase6 gene and resistance to ARTs has not yet been established though.

Amplification of PfMDR1 has been linked to the parasite’s ability to modulate ART in vitro responses in previous work (Duraisingh et al. 2000; Reed et al. 2000; Price et al. 2004). In an attempt to clarify the role of pfmdr1 amplification in this phenotype, Sidhu et al. (Sidhu et al. 2006) genetically abolished one of the two copies of the gene in the multi-drug parasite *P. falciparum* line FCB. *In vitro* assessment of the resulting knock-out mutant harboring a single gene copy revealed that its sensitivity to ART had approximately halved, providing the first direct evidence as to the ability of pfmdr1 copy number to modulate this phenotype.

However, no correlation was found between pfATPase6 or pfmdr1 genes and in vivo resistance to ARTs in the first confirmed cases of resistance along the Thai/Cambodian border (Imwong et al. 2010).
It has since been shown that ART resistance is a heritable trait (Anderson et al. 2010) and different genome wide association studies have proposed several candidate genomic regions/genes associated with the Cambodian ART resistance phenotype, including a region on chromosome 13 (containing seven candidate genes) (Cheeseman et al. 2012), and point mutations on chromosomes 10, 13 and 14 (Takala-Harrison et al. 2013). However, the role in ART resistance of these new candidates needs further genetic evaluation, as do additional chromosome regions implicated in unusual population structure observed in western Cambodia, which may be linked to ART sensitivity (Miotto et al. 2013).

Mutations on the kelch propeller domain gene (“K13-propeller”) have recently been proposed as a molecular marker of ART resistance. Using a Tanzanian laboratory adapted strain, selected to survive high doses of ART, Ariey and colleagues found an association between mutations in seven genes and ART resistance in vitro (measured on small rings with only 3 hrs exposure to drug), with one of them being the K13-propeller gene (pfk13). Subsequently they investigated the role of these candidate genes in ART resistance, using parasite lines from Cambodia. They demonstrated that Y493H, R539T and C580Y mutations on the K13-propeller were correlated with the in vivo delayed parasite clearance phenotype followed by ART treatment and Y493H, I543T, R539T, and C580Y mutations were associated with a prolonged parasite ex vivo survival (Ariey et al. 2014). Additional studies have confirmed the association between clinical slow clearance phenotype from other Southeast Asian populations (Vietnam, Myanmar, Laos and Thailand) and different polymorphisms in the propeller region of pfk13 (Amaratunga et al. 2014; Ashley et al. 2014). The polymorphisms associated with artemisinin resistance in Southeast Asia were not detected in other countries in Africa (Ashley et al. 2014; Taylor et al. 2015). However, other non-synonymous SNPs were identified in African samples with unclear phenotype (Taylor et al. 2015).

Recently, two independent transfection based studies have now confirmed this association. The mutation C580Y was introduced in the genome of a P. falciparum strain susceptible to artemisinin using the CRISPR-Cas9 system, and consequently increased the ring-stage parasite survival in presence of artemisinin (Ghorbal et al. 2014). In addition, parasites engineered to express K13 mutations have shown increased in vitro resistance in the RSA0-3h ring-stage survival assay, as well as a loss of resistance in parasites whose mutant K13 gene was reverted back to the wild-type sequence (Straimer et al. 2015).
1.9 *Plasmodium chabaudi* model system

Field studies of human malaria parasites provide a very limited amount of information about the mechanisms underlying drug resistance. Consequently, the genetic basis of drug resistance in malaria must be investigated by other ways.

An alternative approach that has been used to identify the mechanism of ART resistance is the selection of the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi* under sustained drug pressure *in vivo*. One of the most appropriate ways is to use genetically stable resistant mutants selected through drug pressure from cloned sensitive parasite lines. In this way, drug sensitive and drug resistant parasites are genetically identical (isogenic) except for the mutation involved in resistance; such mutations can then be identified using different approaches like genetic linkage analysis or whole genome sequencing. *In vitro* studies with human malaria parasites present several limitations, the selection of *in vitro* resistance can be extremely laborious and it is very difficult to generate a stable drug resistant strain (Nzila and Mwai 2010). Identification of causative mutations requires controlled *in vivo* laboratory experiments, which are simply not feasible with human malarias. Therefore rodent malaria parasites have been widely used to study the mechanisms of drug resistance *in vivo* (Walker et al. 2000; Cravo et al. 2003; Ferrer-Rodriguez et al. 2004; Afonso et al. 2006a; Hunt et al. 2010; Rodrigues et al. 2010; Borges et al. 2011).

*Plasmodium chabaudi chabaudi* is a malaria parasite of murine rodents and it has been used as a model to study various aspects of parasite biology and disease which are difficult to investigate using human malaria parasites. There are many reasons why *P. chabaudi* is considered to be a good model for the human malaria parasite, especially in studies on drug resistance. This species shares a number of similarities in its basic biology with *P. falciparum*, including preferential invasion of mature erythrocytes, the synchronicity of their asexual blood form, sequestration of schizont-infected erythrocytes, and gametocyte development late in the infection (Carlton et al. 2001).

There are many different strains of *Plasmodium chabaudi* however, the AS strain has been historically used in the experimental evolution of drug resistance, resulting in a series of isogenic parasites which are resistant to many different drugs (AS lineage). These parasites were obtained over successive generations through exposure to different antimalarials (Figure 1.4 and Table 1.2). As a consequence, these parasites should have the
same genetic background, with mutation in genes involved in resistance to the drug used for the selection of each clone.

The AS isolate was originally taken from naturally infected thicket-rats (*Thamnomys rutilans*) from the Central African Republic (Carter and Diggs 1977), inoculated into laboratory mice and cloned by limiting dilution (Carter and Walliker 1975). The AS-SENS was then exposed to 75 mg/kg of pyrimethamine daily for 4 days and parasites that recrudesced after treatment were cloned and designated AS-PYR (Walliker *et al*. 1975). The AS-PYR parasite is resistant to pyrimethamine and showed the substitution of a serine to an asparagine in position 106 (S106N) in the orthologue of *P. falciparum*’s *pfdhfr*, the *pcdhfr* gene (Cowman and Lew 1990).

AS-PYR was then selected for resistance to chloroquine by treatment with low doses of chloroquine over 15 mouse passages and a line resistant to low doses of chloroquine was obtained (Rosario 1976). Serial passages to maintain the parasites were carried out by intraperitoneal inoculation of normal mice with parasitized red blood cells from a donor mouse. Each passage was repeated every 4-7 days, depending on the development of the parasite in the mice (Rosario, 1976). This line was cloned, denoted AS-3CQ and then subjected to further stepwise increases of chloroquine over 40 mouse passages, producing a line capable of surviving intermediate doses of CQ (Padua 1981). These parasites, named AS-15CQ did not represent a clonal population, and, furthermore, underwent mosquito passaging, resulting in genetic recombination among the various parasites of the population. The AS-15CQ was subject to further increments in chloroquine pressure to select parasites resistant to high levels of CQ (Padua 1981; Carlton *et al*. 1998). This clone was denominated AS-30CQ and was subsequently used for the generation of AS-ART by stepwise increasing doses of artemisinin during 15 passages. These parasites had a 15-fold increase in the minimum curative dose to artemisinin (Afonso *et al*. 2006b). Finally, the AS-15CQ clone, served as progenitor for the generation of a second clone, AS-ATN. This clone was selected by treatment with stepwise increasing doses of artesunate during 14 passages and had a 6-fold increase in the minimum curative dose to artesunate (Afonso *et al*. 2006b).

The sensitive and resistant phenotypes are defined by *in vivo* growth recovery assays. These assays monitor the growth of parasites from an intraperitoneal inoculation under selection of a dose of drug. The time taken for parasites appearance is used as a measure of degree of resistance (Carlton *et al*., 1998, Hunt *et al*., 2004; Hunt *et al*., 2007).
Table 1.2 – Stable drug resistant mutants of *Plasmodium chabaudi* produced by drug selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Drug selection</th>
<th>Pyrimethamine</th>
<th>Drug response</th>
<th>Artemisinin</th>
<th>Genetic marker</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS-SENS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>(Carter and Diggs 1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-PYR</td>
<td>75mg/kg pyrimethamine (4 days)</td>
<td>Resistant to 10 mg/kg pyrimethamine for 4 days</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Pchfr S106N (analogous to the Pfdhfr S108N)</td>
<td>(Walliker et al. 1975; Cowman and Lew 1990; Cheng and Saul 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-3CQ</td>
<td>Increasing doses of CQ (±2 months)</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Low resistance (resistant to 3 mg/kg of CQ for 6 days)</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Pcat1 (aminoacid transporter) A173E</td>
<td>(Rosario 1976; Carlton et al. 1998; Hunt et al. 2004; Kinga Modrzynska et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-1SCQ</td>
<td>Increasing doses of CQ (±4 months)</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate resistance (resistant to 15 mg/kg of CQ for 6 days)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>This line is uncloned (has a mix genotype)</td>
<td>(Padua 1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-30CQ</td>
<td>Increasing doses of CQ (±6 months)</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>High resistance (resistant to 30 mg/kg of CQ for 6 days)</td>
<td>Resistant to 100 mg/kg of artemisinin for 3 days</td>
<td>PCHAS_031370 (transporter on chr03) T719N; Pcbp1 V2728F</td>
<td>(Padua 1981; Hunt et al. 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-ART</td>
<td>Increasing doses of artemisinin (±4 months)</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Resistant to 300 mg/kg of artemisinin for 6 days</td>
<td>Pcbp1 V2728F; pcap2-mu I568T</td>
<td>(Afonso et al. 2006a; Hunt et al. 2007; Hunt et al. 2010); (see chapter 4; Henriques et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-ATN</td>
<td>Increasing doses of artesunate (±4 months)</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Resistant to 60 mg/kg of artesunate for 6 days</td>
<td>Pcbp1 V2697F; pf265-protSU E738K</td>
<td>(Afonso et al. 2006a; Hunt et al. 2007; Hunt et al. 2010); (Rodrigues et al., in preparation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.4. ART phenotypes and ubp-1, ap2-mu and 26S-protSU genotypes in the Plasmodium chabaudi (AS lineage). Parasites were selected by passage in presence of drugs (pyrimethamine, CQ, artesunate or ART). The appearance of mutations in the pcubp-1 gene is coincident with the evolution of ART-resistance phenotype during CQ selection. The AS-ART clone has a higher degree of resistance to ART relative to its progenitor, AS-30CQ [see Chapter 4 (Henriques et al. 2013)].

Research performed in the laboratories of Pedro Cravo and Paul Hunt have determined the genetic basis of drug resistance in some of the drug resistant AS clones, using advanced technology like Linkage Group Selection (LGS) and Solexa (second generation sequencing technology). LGS allows the identification of areas of the whole genome associated with drug resistant phenotypes, using the uncloned, recombinant progeny of genetic crosses (Culleton et al. 2005) and Solexa permits the rapid and accurate sequencing of whole parasite genomes and thus the identification of any mutation occurring within drug resistant clones by comparison with genetic related clones lacking the phenotype of...
interest (Bentley 2006). These studies lead to the identification of three genes (Figure 1.2) that could potentially mediate ART resistance: a de-ubiquitininating enzyme \((ubp1)\) (Hunt et al. 2007; Hunt et al. 2010), a gene encoding the \(\mu\) chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex \((ap2-mu)\) (Henriques et al. 2013), and the 26S Proteasome regulatory subunit \((26S-protSU)\) (Rodrigues et al., in preparation). However, the importance of the above mentioned markers in modulating susceptibility to the ART derivatives in the human malaria parasite has not yet been assessed.

This thesis sets out to test the hypothesis that the three loci that mediate ART resistance in experimentally selected in \(P.\) chabaudi will similarly modify ART response in natural parasite populations of \(P.\) falciparum. To test this hypothesis, I will examine field isolates from Rwanda, Democratic Republic of São Tomé & Principe (São Tomé Brasil and Burkina Faso which were fresly collected and tested in vitro for their response to ART derivatives and other antimalarial drugs. I will also evaluate pre- and post-treatment samples from two in vivo ACT trials, one carried out in Burkina Faso and the other one in Kenya. In these samples I will investigate the association between the presence of mutations in the \(pfubp1, pfap2-mu\) and \(pf26S-protSU\) genes and the in vitro responses to ART derivatives. Additionally, I will also investigate the association between polymorphisms on these genes with various measured endpoints in the two trials that might indicate a drug resistant parasite phenotype. If any such associations are found, a further aim will be to assess the functional basis of the mutation on the resulting protein, using molecular and biochemical techniques in an in vitro \(P.\) falciparum parasite model, and attempt to strengthen the evidence of a causal association with drug resistance.
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AIMS
Chapter 2 - Aims

The main objective of this project is to identify and characterize the genetic determinants responsible for the artemisinin resistant phenotype observed in a lineage of *P. chabaudi* drug-pressured parasites and evaluate the importance of these newly described genes in natural parasite populations of *P. falciparum*.

The specific objectives are as follows:

**Chapter 4:** To genetically identify and characterize a *P. chabaudi* parasite that presents a high level of artemisinin resistance. To explore the genetic variability of the ap2-mu gene (identified as the genetic determinant responsible for the artemisinin resistant phenotype in *P. chabaudi*) and its association with *in vitro* *P. falciparum* responses against artemisinins.

**Chapter 5:** To identify polymorphisms in *pfubp-1* and *pfap2-mu* and investigate potential associations between the identified polymorphisms and treatment outcomes using pre- and post-treatment samples from an *in vivo* ACT clinical trial conducted in Kenya.

**Chapter 6:** To identify polymorphisms in *pfubp-1, pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU* genes and investigate the association between the polymorphism and *in vitro* response profiles to ART and other antimalarial drugs.

**Chapter 7:** To characterize the role of *pfap2-mu* variants in mediating the *in vitro* antimalarial drug response of *P. falciparum* by generating transgenic parasites.

**Chapter 8:** To determine temporal and spatial expression patterns of AP2-mu protein during the *P. falciparum* life cycle.
Chapter 3

Material and Methods
3.1. *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates

In this thesis it was intended to investigate the genetic variation and possible contribution of mutations in the *P. falciparum* *ubp*-1, *ap2-mu* and 26S-protSU genes to artemisinin resistance in natural infections. We investigate a collection of isolates of *P. falciparum* from Rwanda, the Democratic Republic of São Tomé & Príncipe (São Tomé), Brazil, Kenya and Burkina-Faso, as described below:

- **Rwanda, São Tomé and Brazil**

  In chapter 4 180 isolates of *P. falciparum* that were previously collected and tested *in vitro* for their response to several artemisinin derivatives were analyzed. Blood samples were collected in Rwanda during November and December 2003 (Tinto *et al.* 2006), in Sao Tomé during February 2004 (Ferreira *et al.* 2007) and in Brazil during September 2005 (Ferreira *et al.* 2008).

  São Tomé and Brazil isolates were tested for their *in vitro* susceptibility to different antimalarial drugs using a modified version of the standard WHO MarkIII micro-test (WHO 2001b). The *in vitro* drug sensitivity of Rwanda isolates was assessed using the classical isotopic microtest technique (Desjardins *et al.* 1979).

  A set of 74 isolates from Rwanda were characterized for their *in vitro* susceptibility to dihydroartemisinin. The isolates from São Tomé were characterized for their *in vitro* susceptibility to artesunate (42 isolates) and artemether (51 isolates) and 56 isolates from Brazil were characterized for the *in vitro* susceptibility to artesunate and artemether.

- **Mbita - Kenya**

  In Chapter 5 278 *P. falciparum* isolates, from one ACT clinical trial conducted in Kenya, were investigated. The participants were enrolled between April and June 2009 at the International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology - St. Judes Clinic, Mbita, Kenya.
children between 6 months and 15 years old, diagnosed with uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria mono-infection were enrolled and randomized to receive either artemether-lumefantrine (AL, n=156) or dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PIP, n=144). Treatment efficacy was assessed during a period of 42 day follow-up (Sawa *et al.* 2013).

- Bobo-Dioulasso - Burkina Faso

*P. falciparum* isolates used in Chapter 6 were collected from patients during a treatment trial in Burkina Faso. The clinical trial was carried out in three different periods (from December 2008 to February 2009, from July 2009 to March 2010 and from July to December 2010) at the Dafra Medical Centre, Bobo-Dioulasso. Participants who were at least 6 months of age and under 15 years old with uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria were enrolled in the study. 440 participants were randomly assigned to receive AL or amodiaquine-артесunate (ASAQ). Treatment efficacy was assessed during a period of 42 day follow-up. The isolates from this trial were tested *in vitro* (classical isotopic microtest technique) for their response to dihydroartemisinin, quinine, chloroquine, lumefantrine, piperaquine and monodesethylamodiaquine (Tinto *et al.* 2014).

### 3.2. DNA EXTRACTION

In Chapter 4, 5 and 6, molecular analysis was performed from blood samples collected on filter paper. DNA was extracted according to the method described by Plowe and co-workers (Plowe *et al.* 1995). Briefly, circular punches of 6mm diameter were taken from individual dried filter paper blood spots, using a sterile hole punch. Each filter paper punch was incubated with 1 mL of 0.5 % saponin solution in 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 37 °C. Afterwards, the samples were centrifuged briefly, saponin and debris were removed and the pellets washed twice in PBS. The samples were then suspended in 6 % Chelex®100 resin in nuclease-free water and heat-sealed in deep 96-well plates. The samples were incubated in boiling water for 25 - 30 minutes to elute the DNA and then centrifuged to remove resin. After the centrifugation approximately 100 μl of supernatant containing DNA was transferred into a new 96-well plate and stored at -20 °C.
3.3. **Molecular analysis of drug-resistance associated genes**

3.6.1. **Primer design**

The *P. chabaudi chabaudi* genes previously proposed as putative modulators of drug resistance were the following: a gene encoding a deubiquitinating enzyme (*ubp1*), a gene encoding the mu (μ) chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex (*ap2-mu*), and the 26S proteasome regulatory subunit gene (*26S-protSU*). The DNA sequences of these genes were available online at the PlasmoDB genome database (http://plasmodb.org/plasmo/) with the following accession numbers: PCHAS_020720, PCHAS_143590 and PCHAS_133430, respectively. In order to obtain the *P. falciparum* orthologues of the genes we used the genetic synteny maps provided by PlasmoDB. The table 3.1 shows summarised information extracted from the PlasmoDB database regarding the candidate genes.

**Table 3.1.** Identification number (gene ID) in *P. chabaudi* (Pc) and in *P. falciparum* (Pf), gene length in Pf, full name, abbreviation and brief summary of the biological process of the investigated candidate genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID in Pc</th>
<th>Gene ID in Pf</th>
<th>Gene length in Pf</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Biological process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCHAS_020720</td>
<td>PF3D7_0104300</td>
<td>10962 bp</td>
<td>ubiquitin-specific protease 1</td>
<td>ubp1</td>
<td>ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PFA0220w)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCHAS_143590</td>
<td>PF3D7_1218300</td>
<td>1866 bp</td>
<td>adaptor protein subunit</td>
<td>ap2-mu</td>
<td>Vesicle-mediated transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PFL0885w)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCHAS_133430</td>
<td>PF3D7_1466300</td>
<td>3775 bp</td>
<td>26S proteasome subunit</td>
<td><em>26S-protSU</em></td>
<td>Ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PF14_0632)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individual gene sequences of *P. falciparum* orthologues were retrieved from the synteny maps and used has templates for designing primers to amplify each open reading frame using an overlapping PCR fragment strategy.

Primers were manually designed for each of the genes, according to the following parameters: avoidance of the formation of self and hetero-dimers, hairpins and self complementarity, appropriate primer length and melting temperature. These properties were verified using primer design software entitled Oligonucleotide Properties Calculator: (http://www.basic.northwestern.edu/biotools/oligocalc.html).

Several primers were designed and used in order to amplify the complete *pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU* genes and one partial sequences of the *pfubp1* gene; a 304 bp region...
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encompassing amino acid codons 1463 – 1563 (fragment 1). Primers producing positive results are shown in Table 3.2. These primers were then used in PCR amplifications, as described below.

**Table 3.2.** Primer sequences, PCR product sizes and annealing temperatures for 1st and 2nd amplification used for the PCR amplifications of the *pfubp1*, *pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU* genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>1st amplification primers (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>AT</th>
<th>2nd amplification primers (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>AT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>pfubp1</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fw - CGCCCGTACTATGAAGAAGATC Rev - GCCCTTTACCTGAACATTCAGG</td>
<td>484 bp</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td>Fw - CGTAAACAGAAATTCAGGGATTCG Rev - CTAGCCCTTATATACATTCAGG</td>
<td>304 bp</td>
<td>57 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fw - AAGACGTCAAAAGTAAAGAGGCC Rev - CTCAATGAACAAACAGAAGTGGAGG</td>
<td>2247 bp</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>Fw - GTAAACAGGATTAGGTCTATTGG Rev - GTCTATATATTAGTAGTAGGATC</td>
<td>578 bp</td>
<td>53 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fw - CCGCCAGTGGTGTAATAGCC Rev - GTCTAGCATCTAAAGCAACACC</td>
<td>597 bp</td>
<td>55 °C</td>
<td>Fw - GTCTAATACCATGGTATGGGAGG Rev - ACACCCGATTGAACTATTTAGAC</td>
<td>753 bp</td>
<td>53 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>pfap2-mu</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fw - GATGATGAAATGATAGATGTGGC Rev - GCCATCATTTATTGCCTTATCATC</td>
<td>690 bp</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fw - GATAATCTAACAATGATAGGAC Rev - CGTATTTTCATCATTACATCTGCC</td>
<td>744 bp</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fw - GCATATTTCATCATTGTGTTACC Rev - ACACCCGATTGAACTATTTAGAC</td>
<td>841 bp</td>
<td>52 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>pf26S-protSU</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fw - CGCCCGTACTATGAAGAAGATC Rev - GTCTAGCATCTAAAGCAACACC</td>
<td>494 bp</td>
<td>55 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Size – PCR product size; AT - Annealing temperature.*

3.6.2. PCR

Genotyping of *P. falciparum* infections was performed with nested PCR-based methods targeting the different candidate genes *pfap2-mu* (Chapter 4, 5 and 6), *pfubp-1* (Chapter 5 and Chapter 6) and *pf26Sps* (Chapter 6). Each PCR was performed in a total volume of 25 µL with the following reaction mixture: 0.2 µM of each primer, 4.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 µM deoxynucleotides (dNTPs) and 1 U Bioline Taq polymerase (Bioline, UK). Extracted DNA (5 µL) was added to each first round PCR mixture. One microliter of the first round product was then used as a template in a 25 µL nested amplification. The thermal cycle program for each 1st amplification was 94 °C for 3 min, and 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing
temperature for 30 sec and 68 °C for 1 min with a final extension of 68 °C for 15 min. The second round of PCR consisted of one initial denaturation hold at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing temperature for 30 sec and 68 °C for 45 sec with a final extension of 68 °C for 10 min. Annealing temperatures for the 1st and 2nd amplifications are described in Table 3.2. DNA sample extracted from parasite line 3D7 was used as positive control. PCR products were analysed by ethidium bromide-stained agarose 2 % gel electrophoresis.

3.6.3. SEQUENCING OF PCR PRODUCTS

The PCR-amplified products were purified with Exonuclease I (Exol) and Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (AP) enzymes (Fermentas, UK) in order to eliminate non-incorporated dNTPs and primers. The enzymatic purification was carried out in a final volume of 10 µL containing 5 µL of the PCR product, 3 units Exol, 1 U AP and 1X AP reaction buffer, which were incubated for 60 min at 37 °C followed by 15 min at 72 °C for enzyme denaturation.

The cycle sequencing reactions were carried out using 1 µL Exol/AP purified PCR amplicons, 0.5 µL BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit reaction mix (Applied Biosystems, UK), 0.2 µM of each PCR primer, 1.75 µL Big Dye Sequencing Buffer (Applied Biosystems, UK) in a total volume of 10 µL. Single-base extension was performed as follow: one denaturation hold at 96 °C for 1 min followed by 25 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 15 sec, and 60 °C for 4 min.

The sequenced reaction fragments were purified using ethanol/sodium acetate precipitation method, where 3 µL 3 NaOAc (pH 4.6), 62.5 µL 100 % ethanol and 24.5 µL of nuclease free water were added to each sample. The samples were mixed by inversion and incubated at -20 °C for 25 min. After incubation, samples were centrifuged at 4 °C at 3000 g for 30 min. The supernatant was discarded by inversion and 150 µL ice cold 70 % ethanol was added to each sample. The samples were centrifuged at 4 °C at 3000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded by inversion and each sample was allowed to dry at room temperature for 30 min. Finally, pellets were resuspended in 10 µL Hi-Di formamide. Samples were electrophoresed on an ABI prism 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).
3.6.4. Editing and Assembling of Sequence Products

Raw sequence data in the form of chromatograms from both sense and antisense primers were edited, aligned and assembled into contigs using Geneious Pro software (version 5.5.3; Biomatters) (Drummond et al., 2011), after resolving potential ambiguities by eye. Genetic polymorphisms were identified by comparing each sequence to that of the 3D7 reference genome (PlasmoDB).

3.4. Continuous Culture of Plasmodium falciparum

P. falciparum in vitro culturing was performed using previously described methods (Trager and Jensen 1976) (Chapters 7 and Chapter 8). All parasites studied were cultured in A+ blood (National Health Blood & Transplant, UK) and in complete media containing RPMI 1640 growth medium (Invitrogen) supplemented, 147 µM hypoxanthine (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 g/L Albumax II (Invitrogen), 10 mM D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), in the case of Dd2 (Chapter 8). The complete media used for Dd2attB culturing (Chapter 7) was further supplemented with 2.5 % (v/v) human AB serum (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5 nM WR99210 (a kind gift from Jacobus Pharmaceuticals, New Jersey, USA). The parasites were kept at a 2% haematocrit and inside of an 5% CO2 at 37 °C. The culture medium was changed every 48 hours and the parasites were sub-cultured when parasitaemia was too high. Parasitaemia was determined by microscopy examination of Giemsa (Sigma-Aldrich) stained thin films prepared from the cultured material at regular intervals. Parasite cultures were synchronized at the ring stage using sequential D-sorbitol (Sigma-Aldrich) lysis treatment (Lambros and Vanderberg 1979) and were allowed to grow for one cycle after the last treatment and then used for transfection or in vitro susceptibility testing.

3.5. Cloning Methods

3.6.1. PCR Amplification of DNA

The PCR reactions were performed with Phusion® high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New Englands Biolabs). The reactions were carried out in a volume of 50 µl, containing 0.4 mM
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dNTP mix (dATP, dTTP, dCTP, dGTP), 0.1 pmol/µl of each primer, 1 µl of DNA template, 10 µl of 5X concentrated Phusion HF buffer, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 2 units of DNA polymerase. DNA amplifications were performed using the following conditions: Initial denaturation (98 °C, 30 sec), 35 cycles of denaturation (98 °C, 10 sec), annealing (56 °C, 30 sec) and extension (68 °C, 1 min 30 sec), followed by a final extension (68 °C, 10 min). The yield of PCR products and correct sizes were checked by electrophoresis.

3.6.2. Restriction/Ligation Cloning

Digestion of the PCR products and plasmids were carried out using appropriated restriction enzymes (New England BioLabs, UK) by standard techniques. The PCR products were analysed by agarose electrophoresis, and were further purified using a Qiaquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen, UK). Ligations were carried out using T4 DNA Ligase (New England BioLabs, UK) and were incubated 2 h at room temperature and heat inactivated (at 65 °C for 10 min) prior to transformation.

3.6.3. Transformation into Competent Cells

The plasmid DNA was transformed into 100 µl of XL10-Gold ultracompetent cells (Agilent Technologies, UK) that were previously incubated for 10 min on ice with 4 µl of β-mercaptoethanol (provided with the XL10-Gold ultracompetent cells kit). The mixture was incubated on ice for 30 min and after that time, the heat shock was performed as followed: 42 °C for 30 sec followed by 2 min on ice. Later, 0.9 ml of SOC medium (Invitrogen, UK) without antibiotics was added, to allow recovery of the cells. Cells were then incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. 100 µl of cell suspension was plated on the LB agar supplemented with 50 µg/ml of carbenicillin (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated at 37 °C overnight. Only XL10-Gold cells containing the plasmids should be able to grow in the presence of carbenicillin. The following day, single colonies were picked from the plates and grown overnight up in polypropylene tubes containing 5 ml LB media and carbenicillin at 37 °C.
3.6.4. **Purification and Identification of Recombinant DNA**

The cells transformed with required DNA constructs were used to isolate plasmid-DNA using QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, UK). The presence of the correct DNA insert in the plasmid was confirmed by restriction digestion analysis and by sequencing. Once the correct sequences of the inserts and the correct integration of the *P. falciparum* DNA into the plasmids was confirmed, the plasmid DNA copy number was amplified using the CompactPrep Plasmid Maxi kit (Qiagen). 500 ml LB with carbenicillin was inoculated with the XL10-Gold cell colony containing the correct plasmid and incubated overnight at 37 °C for each of the plasmids. The plasmid DNA was then isolated according to manufacturer’s instructions for parasite transfections. The concentration and purity of preparation was confirmed on the 0.8 % agarose gel supplemented with ethidium bromide.

3.6. **Generation of *P. falciparum* Transfection Constructs**

3.7.1. **Site-Specific Integration Using the Mycobacteriophage Bxb1 Integrase System**

Overexpression of the wild-type and mutant (160Asn) *pfap2-mu* gene in *P. falciparum* was performed using the bxb1 integrase system, developed by Nkrumah et al. (Nkrumah et al. 2006) (Chapter 7). This system allows the efficient and site-specific integration of genetic information into *P. falciparum* genome and consists of three components. The first component is a *P. falciparum* cell line containing an attB site in a defined locus. In this study we have used the Dd2<sup>attB</sup> clone of *P. falciparum*. This clone harbours an attB site for mycobacteriophage integration in the glutaredoxin-like gene cg6 locus of chromosome 7. This locus was chosen because the disruption of this gene does not affect the growth of the asexual blood stages (Nkrumah et al. 2006). The second component is a plasmid containing the attP site for recombination, the transgene and drug resistance cassette. The last component is the plasmid containing the Bxb1 integrase, which facilitates the recombination event. In a dually transfected parasite, the integrase expressed from pINT catalyzes the crossover of the plasmid containing the attP site with the genomic attB site, resulting in the integration the transgene into the genome.

In this study we have used the following plasmids: the integrase-expressing plasmid pINT, which contains a Neomycin selectable marker that confers G418 resistance;
attP-containing plasmids pDC2-cam-pfap2-mu-attP, which harbors a wild-type or a mutant (160Asn) pfap2-mu expression cassette as well as a bsd (blasticidin S-deaminase) selectable marker that confers resistance to blasticidin hydrochloride (Figure 3.1).

Figure 3.1. Site specific integration of pfap2-mu gene (WT and 160Asn) into the *P. falciparum* Dd2<sup>attB</sup> line. (A) Schematic diagram of the integrase-mediated attB x attP recombination approach ([Nkrumah *et al.* 2006]. The top panel shows the cotransfected plasmids: plasmid pINT, carrying the integrase expression unit that catalyses the recombination, and the neomycin resistance cassette (neo); and the pDC2-CAM-pfap2-mubsd-attP plasmid carrying the WT or a mutant 160Asn pfap2-mu gene sequence under the control of the calmodulin promoter, a blasticidin resistance cassette (bsd) and the attP site. The middle panel shows the recipient cg6-attB recombinant locus present in Dd2<sup>attB</sup>. The attB x attP recombination generates two sites, attL (left) and attR (right). The hDHFR represent the drug selection markers for WR99210. The lower panel represents the integration of the pDC2-CAM-pfap2-mu-bsd-attP plasmid into the cg6-attB locus of Dd2<sup>attB</sup>.

To generate the pDC2-cam-pfap2-mu-attP (whose expression cassette is illustrated in Fig 3.1) and the pDC2-cam-pfap2-mu<sub>160Asn</sub>-attP plasmids, the open reading frames of both the wild-type and mutant (160Asn) allele of the pfap2-mu gene were amplified, from genomic DNA of *P. falciparum* parasite line 3D7 and from a *P. falciparum* field sample previously shown to harbour the 160Asn mutation, respectively ([Henriques *et al.* 2014b]). The full gene was amplified by PCR with the following primers (start and stop codons of the gene are in red font):

forward primer 5’- CGTAACCTAGTATGATCGATGCGCTGTACAT -3’ (SpeI site underlined)

reverse primer 5’- ATGCCCTCGAGCTATTATACCTGATGCCC -3’ (XhoI site underlined)

The pfap2-mu amplicons were cloned using AvrII/XhoI sites (New England BioLabs, UK) and integrated between the calmodulin (Pf14_0323) promoter and the hsp86 (PF07_0030) 3’ UTR in a pDC2 vector ([Lee *et al.* 2008]) with the bsd selectable marker.
3.7.2. CONSTRUCTION OF PFAP2-MU-GFP FUSION CONSTRUCTS

To localize pfAP2-mu in the parasite, we have engineered P. falciparum Dd2 parasites stably expressing GFP fused to pfap2-mu gene under the control of its endogenous promoter, using a single-cross-over homologous recombination strategy (Chapter 8). A region encoding the 3’ end (leaving out the stop codon) of pfap2-mu gene was PCR amplified from 3D7 genomic DNA using the following primers:

forward primer 5’-CGTAAGCTGCAGTATCCACAAACATTAGAAG-3’ (PstI site underlined)
reverse primer 5’-CGTACTGCAGTATCCACAAACATTAGAAG-3’ (NotI site underlined)

The 1508 bp pfap2-mu amplicon was digested with PstI and a NotI (New England Biolabs) and cloned into similarly digested pH-GFP transfection plasmid (Witmer et al. 2012) before the GFP coding sequence, to generate pH-pfap2-mu-GFP vector (Figure 3.2).

![Figure 3.2. GFP tagging of pfap2-mu. Schematic representation of the single-cross-over homologous recombination strategy showing the pH-pfap2-mu-GFP plasmid containing ~1500 bp of the 3’ end coding sequence of pfap2-mu fused in frame with the GFP sequence (top panel); the wild type pfap2-mu locus (middle panel); and the recombinant pfap2-mu-GFP locus resulting from integration of the GFP-tag construct (lower panel). Transcription termination and polyadenylation of the pfap2-mu-GFP transgene are ensured by the presence of the Hrp2-3’ untranslated region (UTR) of P. falciparum histidine-rich protein 2. The human dihydrofolate reductase (hDHFR) represents the positive drug selection marker for WR99210.](image)

The resulted plasmids were verified by sequence analysis and DNA for transfection was purified using the Qiagen CompactPrep Plasmid Maxi Kit (Qiagen, UK).

3.7. TRANSFECTION EXPERIMENTS

Transfections of P. falciparum were carried out as described by Adjalley and coleagues (Adjalley et al. 2010) with slightly modifications. Briefly, 250 µl of infected red blood cells, from a synchronized 6-9 % parasitaemia ring-stage cultures was added to 400 µl of the
plasmids preparation. To achieve the integrase mediated recombination (Chapter 7), 50 µg of the plasmid containing the attP site together with the pfap2-mu gene (WT or the 160Asn copy) and 50 µg of the pINT plasmid containing the integrase expression unit that catalyses the recombination, and the neomycin resistance cassette (each in 25 µl TE buffer) were resuspended in cytomix [120 mM KCl, 0.15 mM CaCl$_2$, 2 mM EGTA (pH 7.6), 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 7.6) and 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.6)]. In the GFP tagging experiment (Chapter 8) 50 µg of the vector containing the pfap2-mu-GFP fusion construct was resuspended in cytomix.

Parasites were transfected by electroporation (voltage of 0.31 kV, capacitance of 950 µF and infinite resistance; Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II, Bio-Rad, UK), using Bio-Rad Gene Pulser electroporation cuvettes (0.2 cm gap) and then immediately transferred to culture flasks containing 10 ml of complete media and 500 µl of fresh red blood cells. One to four hours after electroporation the culture medium was replaced with fresh complete media. Transfectants were allowed to recover in drug-free medium of 24 h and then were selected with the appropriated drug. For the site specific integration (Chapter 7) the parasites were selected with 2.5 µg/ml blasticidin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 125 µg/ml of G418 (Invitrogen, Life Technologies). Since the integrase-mediated recombination is thought to be a rapid event, the drug pressure with G418 (that selects the pINT plasmid) was only applied for 6 days. Alternatively, the parasites were selected with 5 nM WR99210 (a kind gift from Jacobus Pharmaceuticals, New Jersey, USA) (Chapter 8).

The medium was changed daily for the first 6 days, and then every other day with fresh medium containing the blasticidin or WR99210 selection agents. Cultures were diluted 3:5 weekly (by the addition of 30 % fresh blood cells) and monitored by Giemsa staining every 4-5 days for appearance of the transfected parasites. Once the transfected parasites reached a parasitemia of approximately 5 % rings they were frozen, and genomic DNA was prepared for analysis to determine if the plasmid had been correctly integrated into the parasite genome.

3.8. ISOLATION OF GENOMIC DNA FROM P. FALCIPARUM

Genomic DNA was harvested from schizont stages parasites at ≥ 5 % parasitaemia (Chapter 7 and Chapter 8). The cells were lysed with saponin and after centrifugation; the
pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (500 mM Na Acetate pH 5.2, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 3 % SDS). DNA was isolated with phenol/chloroform extraction at room temperature and ethanol precipitation. Finally the DNA was resuspended in TE buffer.

3.9 Genotype Analysis of Transgenic Parasites by PCR

Genotype analysis of transformed parasites was performed by PCR in Chapter 7 and Chapter 8. For the transgenic parasites obtained in Chapter 7, correct integration was verified by PCR using the P1/P2 primer pair (Table 3.3) and the presence of the 160Asn mutation in the Dd2attB::160Asn-pfap2-mu line was confirmed by sequencing using primers P2/P3 (Table 3.4). Integration of the GFP-tag construct in the pfap2-mu locus (Chapter 8) was confirmed by a diagnostic PCR using primer pair P5/P6 (Table 3.3).

Table 3.3. Primer sequences used in vector integration confirmation by PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ – 3’)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>CCAGGATCCAAAAAGAGGGAGG</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the bsd cassette into the attB recombinant locus (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>ATGCATGCAAAGGGCTTTGTCTCAAG</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the bsd cassette into the attB recombinant locus (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>GATATCCAAAAACATTAGAGT</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the 160Asn mutation (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>CCATCTGGTGGTTGTGAAGG</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the 160Asn mutation (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>CCGTATTTACAAAGAAGCAATT</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the GFP-tag construct in the pfap2-mu locus (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>CCCTCTCCACTGACAGAAAT</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the GFP-tag construct in the pfap2-mu locus (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.10 Confirmation of Integration by Southern Blot Analysis

For Southern blot analysis the pfap2-mu-GFP transgenic parasites (Chapter 8), genomic DNA was digested with Clal/NcoI (New England Biolabs, UK) and the digested DNA was separated on a 0.8 % agarose gel. The gel was depurinated in 0.25 M HCL and denatured in 0.4 M NaOH before transferred overnight to a BrightStar®-Plus positively charged nylon
membrane (Ambicon, UK) by gravitational flow. The DNA was UV cross-linked to the membrane.

The integrants were screened using probes against the 5’-end of the pfap2-mu gene. The pfap2-mu probe was PCR amplified using the following primers:

Forward primer 5’- CGGGCAACTTCTGATACAAAG -3’
Reverse primer 5’- GTCCTATTATGTATATGTGGATC -3’

The PCR was performed with the following conditions: 15 sec denaturation at 98 °C, 30 sec annealing at 55 °C, and elongation 45 min at 68 °C; the cycle was repeated 35 times. The PCR product was purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, UK).

The probe was $^{32}$P-labelled by random priming using the Redoprime-II random prime labelling system (GE Healthcare). The target DNA was denatured for 5 min at 96 °C and cooled on ice for 5 min. Denatured DNA was added to the labelling reaction mix (Klenow fragments, dNTPs, random hexamers), then $^{32}$P dATP (3000 Ci/mM) was added and the mixture was incubated for 15 min at 37 °C and the reaction was stopped using TE buffer. Unincorporated nucleotides were removed using Illustra Microspin G-25 columns (GE Healthcare, UK) and hybridization was performed overnight at 60 °C, in a solution containing 6X SSC buffer, 2X Denhardt’s, 0.1 % SDS, 100 μg/ml of sheared herring DNA. Membranes were washed two times at 60 °C, with 2X SSC buffer and exposed to an Amersham Hyperfilm MP (GE Healthcare, UK) at −80 °C.

3.11. Quantitative RT-PCR on mRNA samples of P. falciparum

In order to verify the constituitive blood-stage expression of the introduced transgenes we performed quantitative RT-PCR analysis of cultures of the parental (Dd2<sup>attB</sup>) and transfected Dd2<sup>attB</sup>::WT-pfap2-mu and Dd2<sup>attB</sup>::160Asn-pfap2-mu parasite lines (Chapter 7). For total RNA isolation, parasitized red cells (from ring stage and late stage samples) were rapidly lysed in TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and then stored at -80 °C prior to RNA extraction, which followed the manufacturer’s protocol as previously described (Schwank et al. 2010). Briefly, the TRI Reagent lysates were thawed at 37 °C, 0.4 ml of chloroform (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) was added, and the mixture was vigorously mixed and incubated for 15 min at room temperature before centrifugation (12,000 xg, for 15 min at 4 °C). The
aqueous phase was precipitated with 0.5 ml of isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich, UK), incubated for 10 min at room temperature and centrifuged (12,000 xg, for 10 min at 4 °C) to allow RNA precipitation, and the pellet washed with 75 % ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). The samples were stored at 4 °C overnight and then centrifuged at 12,000 xg, for 10 min at 4 °C. The ethanol was removed and the RNA pellet was air-dried, before being resuspended in 20 µl of nuclease free water (Promega, UK).

Extracted RNA was treated with RQ1 RNAse-free DNase (Promega, UK) and reverse transcribed using gene specific primers (*pfap2-mu*: Q2 and *pfpgmet*: Q4; Table 3.4) and the GoScript reverse transcriptase Kit (Promega), as described by the manufacturer. Each DNAse treated preparation was then split in two. In the control tubes the reverse transcriptase was replaced with water (DNA contamination control).

**Table 3.4.** Primer sequences used in quantitative RT-PCR on *P. falciparum* mRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ – 3’)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>GGAAGGTAACCTTAATGTC</td>
<td><em>pfap2-mu</em> amplification (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>GTTACTCCGGCGAATATT</td>
<td><em>pfap2-mu</em> amplification (Reverse) and cDNA synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>TGAAGCAGCGAGCTCGA</td>
<td>tRNA amplification (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>CGCCTGGTTCTGATCCACG</td>
<td>tRNA amplification (Reverse) and cDNA synthesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (QIagen) in a Rotor-Gene RG3000 machine (Corbett Research). Transcripts of the *pfap2-mu* gene were amplified using the Q1/Q2 primer pair (Table 3.4, above) and the *Plasmodium* tRNA methionine (PgMET) gene was used as a reference source of RNA. Previously published primer sequences Q3/Q4 (Table 3.5) were used to amplify the *pgmet* gene as described (Beshir, Hallett, *et al.* 2010). Reactions were carried out in 25 µl volumes using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR master mix according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The PCR cycles used were 95 °C for 6 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 sec and 60 °C for 1 min, for all reactions. Each parasite RNA sample was tested in triplicate in each experiment. Relative expression of *pfap2-mu* was calculated from the average threshold cycle (CT) values from two experiments, normalized to *pgmet*, using the \( \Delta\Delta^Ct \) method, where the parental line was used as the comparator.
3.12. Drug Susceptibility Assays

We analysed the susceptibility of the transgenic *P. falciparum* parasites expressing an extra copy of either the wild-type (WT) *pfap2-mu* gene or the 160Asn form (Chapter 7) to several antimalarial drugs using the following drug susceptibility assays.

**Standard 48 hour drug susceptibility assays**

Standard 48 hour drug exposure assays were performed to determine the susceptibility of parasites to dihydroartemisinin (DHA), quinine, chloroquine, lumefantrine, mefloquine and atovaquone according to protocols using the intercalating dye PicoGreen to provide a fluorescent signal, as previously deployed in our laboratory (van Schalkwyk et al. 2013). The resulting IC$_{50}^{48h}$ estimates were used as primary indicators of anti-malarial susceptibility, determined from a log-dose response analysis using the Prism v6.04 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). Each assay was performed with two replicates on at least two (range 2 – 6) independent occasions for each drug. For statistical analysis best-fit estimates of the IC$_{50}$ and their 95 % confidence intervals were obtained by nonlinear regression fitting of the sigmoidal dose response curve for each drug across all experiments, after normalisation using control well fluorescent signals. For statistical comparison of each transgenic with the parental curve and comparison between the two transgenic curves, data were first fitted independently and then globally to find a shared best-fit value for the IC$_{50}^{48h}$. Results were compared by a sum-of-squares F-test.

**Artemisinin 6 hour pulse assays**

To generate IC$_{50}^{6h}$ estimates for artemisinin, we devised an alternative *in vitro* drug susceptibility protocol. DHA was serially diluted in complete medium (250 µl) in microcentrifuge tubes, using the same ten concentrations generated for the standard 48 hour drug assays. 250 µl of ring stage culture was added to each drug dilution (2 % final hematocrit and 0.5 % final parasitemia) and incubated at 37 °C for 6 hours. After the incubation time half of the culture was placed in a 96-well tissue culture plate (modified 48 hour assay) and the other half was washed three times with 1000 µL of RPMI, to remove dihydroartemisinin, before being replenished with drug free medium and placed in the 96-well culture plate. The plate was then incubated at 37 °C for 42 hours until growth assessment using the PicoGreen detection method. As described for the standard 48 hour
assays, PicoGreen detection method generates a measure of DHA sensitivity in the form of an IC_{50}^{6h} estimate.

**Ring stage survival assays (RSA)**

The RSA was performed as described by Witkowski and colleagues (Witkowski et al. 2013) with minor modifications. The parasite cultures were tightly synchronized across two consecutive ring stage cycles with sorbitol treatment. Late schizont stage parasites cultures were enriched using MACS separation columns (Miltenyi Biotech GmbH) and were cultured for 3 hours at 37 °C with fresh erythrocytes, and again sorbitol-treated. This early ring stage (0-3 hours) parasite preparation, at 1 % parasitaemia, 2 % haematocrit in 2 ml final volume, was then exposed for 6 hours to 700 nM of dihydroartemisinin in 0.1 % DMSO. After the 6 hour exposure the cultures were washed and resuspended in drug-free culture medium and cultured at 37 °C for a further 66 hours. Dihydroartemisinin susceptibility was then assessed microscopically on thin films by estimating the percentage of viable parasites that had developed into a new generation of trophozoites, 66 hours after dihydroartemisinin exposure, compared to parasites exposed to 0.1 % DMSO alone.

### 3.13. Fluorescence Microscopy

Localization of fluorescent protein-tagged *pfAP2-mu* in transfected parasites (Chapter 8) was analyzed through direct detection of the green fluorescence in paraformaldehyde fixed parasite samples and through immunofluorescence assays (IFA). For IFA, thin smears of parasite cultures were air dried and fixed using 100 % methanol at -20 °C for 2 minutes. The fixed cells were washed with PBS. After washing, the slides were incubated for 1 h at RT with mouse anti-GFP (1:20, Roche, UK) antibody diluted in 3% bovine serum albumin (w/v) in PBS. Cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with IgG-specific secondary antibody coupled with Alexa Fluor® 488 (1:400, Sigma, UK) for 1 h at RT and then washed 3 times with PBS.

The smears were mounted for microscopic examination with vectashield mounting medium with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM510 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Jena GmbH, Germany) and images were processed using Zeiss LSM Image Browser software version 4.0.0.157.
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Abstract

Malaria, caused by *Plasmodium falciparum*, is a devastating infectious disease, whose control is hampered by the relentless evolution of drug resistance. Because artemisinin derivatives are now used in the most effective antimalarial therapies, resistance to artemisinin would be catastrophic. Indeed, studies suggest that parasites resistant to artemisinin have already appeared in natural infections. Understanding the mechanisms of resistance would help to prolong the effective lifetime of these drugs. Genetic markers of resistance are therefore required urgently. Previously, a mutation in a de-ubiquitinating enzyme was shown to confer artemisinin resistance in the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi*.

Here, increased resistance to artemisinin in a related parasite is characterised and genetic variation investigated using Illumina whole-genome re-sequencing. We identify just one point mutation in a gene encoding an AP2 adaptor protein, a component of the endocytic machinery. Homology models indicate that the mutated residue interacts with a cargo recognition sequence. We investigated polymorphisms in the orthologous gene in natural infections of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. One specific polymorphism was weakly correlated with *in vitro* responses to an artemisinin derivative in isolates from Rwanda.

In conclusion, whole-genome re-sequencing identified a mutation in a gene encoding an adaptor protein, suggesting that the endocytosis and trafficking of membrane proteins is modified in artemisinin-resistant rodent malaria parasites. This provides new insights into possible mechanisms of resistance to artemisinin and its derivatives. Analysis of *P. falciparum* field isolates showed a weak association between a polymorphism in this gene and *in vitro* artemisinin responses. The genotypes of this adaptor protein should be evaluated for its role in ART resistance in human infections of *P. falciparum*.
Introduction

Without an effective vaccine, prevention and treatment of human malaria has traditionally relied on chemoprophylaxis and/or chemotherapy [1]. However, *Plasmodium falciparum* has developed resistance to nearly every anti-malarial drug introduced to date, compromising its control. Resistance arises via the selection of parasites bearing specific mutations, and is decisive in determining the effective lifetime of anti-malarial agents.

Artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) is now a widely used anti-malarial treatment. The artemisinin component is a highly effective and rapidly acting drug [2]. The understanding of its mode of action is incomplete [3,4], but independent lines of evidence from a number of laboratories suggest that its action depends upon its endoperoxide group and activation by haem or other iron sources [3]. Downstream, it may localize close to the digestive vacuole (DV) [5] and effect changes in DV morphology [6] or the distribution, endocytosis and digestion of haemoglobin [7]. Alternatively, it has been suggested that artemisinin may inhibit the Ca^{2+}-ATPase (PfATP6) [8].

Alarmingly, recent data indicate that resistance to artesunate, one of several artemisinin derivatives (ARTDs), is emerging in Cambodia and Thailand [9-14]. Molecular (DNA) markers of resistance are therefore required urgently. These tools will help to monitor the evolution of resistance, to establish rational treatment policies and to design drug combinations that delay the evolution of resistance. At present however, in *P. falciparum*, there are no universally accepted and validated molecular markers of artemisinin resistance in the field. Polymorphisms in PfATPase6 [15,16] or amplification of the multidrug resistance gene, *Pfmdr1* [17-19] have been investigated. However, no correlation was found between variants of these genes and *in vivo* responses to ARTDs in the first suggested cases of resistance along the Thai/Cambodian border [20,21].

Candidate gene approaches may not focus on the critical genes nor grasp the full complexity of the drug response mechanisms. Instead, analysis of genetic haplotype variation and conservation and geographic differentiation using genome-wide SNP typing of *P. falciparum* parasites from Southeast Asia (Thailand, Cambodia and Laos), where resistance to ARTDs is emerging, has identified candidate regions associated with slow parasite clearance rates after drug treatment [21], particularly in a region on chromosome 13. No specific genes in this region were identified as candidates for influencing artemisinin response.
Other experimental studies that do not prejudge the critical genes are especially informative; for example, the in vitro generation of mutant *P. falciparum* parasites resistant to drugs. Parasites resistant to ARTs have been generated and *mdr1* duplications identified [22], but often the phenotypes and genetic changes have tended to be unstable in the absence of drugs [23,24]. Genetic linkage analysis of the experimental *P. falciparum* Hb3 x Dd2 cross has shown that three *loci*, including *pfmdr1* and two additional *loci* (on chromosomes 12 and 13) [24] that were associated with artemisinin (ART) responses or the potential to evolve ART resistance, but neither of these parental parasites offered a distinct ART-R phenotype.

*Figure 1* The AS lineage of drug resistant parasites, featuring artemisinin (ART) phenotypes and *ubp-1* genotypes. Parasites were selected by passage in presence of drugs shown [29-33]. Artemisinin resistance (phenotype 1) appears during selection by chloroquine [25,26] and is mediated by either of two mutations in the *ubp-1* gene (PCHAS_020720) [25,27]. AS-ART was generated during selection by artemisinin [29]. Its increased resistance to artemisinin (phenotype 2) and its genotype is the subject of the present study. *, parasites used in the present study; wt, wild-type.
In vivo experimental studies using the rodent malaria *Plasmodium chabaudi* can circumvent some limitations faced by *in vitro* experimentation. A lineage (strain AS) of genetically stable mutant parasite clones that are resistant to various drugs has been generated by experimental evolution under drug selection (Figure 1). The genetic mutations conferring resistance to pyrimethamine, sulphadoxine, chloroquine, mefloquine, lumefantrine and artemisinin have been mapped using efficient genetic linkage mapping (population based genome-wide scans of selection) and Illumina whole-genome sequencing [30-33]. The identification of the critical mutations exploits four important features relevant to the present study. Firstly, the resistance phenotype appears at the same time/position in the lineage as the critical mutation. Secondly, the mutation will lie at the bottom of a ‘selection valley’ (genomic region selected by drug). Thirdly, these studies exploited a previously completed reference genome sequence isogenic to the progenitor parasite, AS-sens. Fourthly, the numbers of point mutations (genome-wide) fixed at each step by selection or during cloning are very small, typically 1–3 [31].

For artemisinin, a mutation in a de-ubiquitinating enzyme (V2728F ubp1) was proposed previously as the critical determinant of an artemisinin-resistance (ART-R) phenotype [30] in parasite AS-30CQ (Figure 1). This *ubp1* mutation has also been shown to contribute to resistance to mefloquine and lumefantrine [32] and higher doses of chloroquine [31], as well as ARTs, suggesting that *ubp1* may mediate parasite responses to multiple drugs, as does *mdr1* duplication [32].

Here, another parasite, AS-ART (Figure 1), derived from AS-30CQ after prolonged and progressive ART selection [25], is investigated. An increased ART-R phenotype is characterized and a single point mutation in its genome is defined. The 3D structures of homologues of the mutated protein are investigated for possible functional consequences. Allelic variations of the *P. falciparum* orthologue of the mutated gene are defined in a set of *P. falciparum* field samples.
Chapter 4 – Artemisinin resistance in rodent malaria

Methods

Ethics

All animal work was conducted according to relevant national and international guidelines: in Portugal, after approval by the Ethics Committee of the Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical of Lisbon, Portugal, under PARECER 2/2006 from 1 August, 2006 and in the UK, in compliance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

Parasite lines, maintenance, parasite preparation and DNA extraction

All the parasite clones used in this study are members of the AS lineage (Figure 1). Three parasite clones were used: i) AS-3CQ, a parasite that is resistant to low levels of chloroquine [34]; ii) AS-30CQ, that is resistant to high levels of chloroquine [34]; and, iii) AS-ART, which was derived from AS-30CQ through multiple sub-inoculations in mice under increasing doses of artemisinin (ART) [25]. All parasites were routinely inoculated, passaged in CBA mice (four to six weeks) and cryopreserved as previously described [26]. Parasites were prepared and DNA extracted as previously described [35], ensuring that host white cells were removed by CF11 cellulose (Whatman) and Plasmodipur filters (Eurodiagnostica). DNA samples from other clones within the lineage were used to determine the earliest appearance of specific mutations within the lineage. All animal work was conducted according to relevant national and international guidelines: in Portugal, after approval by the Ethics Committee of the Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical of Lisbon, Portugal, under PARECER 2/2006 from August 1st 2006 and in the UK, in compliance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

In vivo ART drug tests

In vivo ART response phenotypes were assessed as follows. Four to six-week-old inbred CBA mice were divided into nine groups of three mice each. Mice in groups 1–3 were inoculated with 10^6 parasitized red blood cells (pRBC) of AS-3CQ, AS-30CQ or AS-ART, respectively and given the diluting solvent DMSO orally (untreated controls). Treatment groups 4–6 were inoculated with 10^6 pRBC of either AS-3CQ, AS-30CQ or AS-ART and treated with an oral daily dose of 200 mg ART kg⁻¹ mouse bodyweight, administered for a
total of three consecutive days, starting day 1 post-inoculum (pi). Treatment groups 7–9 were inoculated with $10^{7}$ pRBC of either AS-3CQ, AS-30CQ or AS-ART and treated with 200 mg ART $kg^{-1}$ mouse bodyweight, administered for a total of five consecutive days. Individual percentage (%) parasitaemia was followed from day 4 pi onwards and up to day 15 in the case of untreated control mice. For treated mice, % parasitaemia was first assessed one day after the last day of treatment and up to day 18 pi. Results were expressed as daily average % parasitaemia ± standard error from the three mice within each experimental group.

**Genome-wide resequencing**

Clone AS-sens was previously resequenced with the Illumina® platform using 36 bp single reads [30]. Clone AS-ART was also re-sequenced using 36 bp single-end reads. Individual sequence strings (reads) from AS-sens and AS-ART were aligned against the isogenic AS reference genome assembly (AS-WTSI [36], provided by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, using two different software packages: MAQ (Mapping and Assembly with Quality) [37] and SSAHA2 (Sequence Search and Alignment by Hashing Algorithm) [38]. The AS-WTSI sequence data consisted of a recently completed assembly and annotation made available during this investigation. Detection of SNPs was performed with Samtools [39] and MAQ, using default parameters, as described in Hunt et al. [30]. Small ($\leq 3$ bp) indels were detected using Samtools internal algorithm only. The list of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and small indels were further filtered by removing mutations proposed for both AS-sens and AS-ART and that therefore did not arise within the AS lineage. Heterozygous and “multiple variant” SNPs, as well as small indels called by less than three reads and less than 50% of the total reads were also removed. Larger indels ($>3$ bp) and CNVs were detected with both MAQ and SSAHA2 using “comparative coverage” analysis, which measures the ratio of the relative coverage (local coverage divided by overall mean coverage) in AS-ART relative to AS-sens. A comparative coverage ratio $>1.5$ over 200 bp for defining CNVs and $<0.25$ over 10 bp for defining indels (both adjusted for different genome coverage in AS-sens and AS-ART) were used, as previously described [30]. Unlike previous work, “comparative coverage” analysis was also performed using MAQ. This required running the “pileup” command on both the AS-ART and AS-sens reads using the variable “–q 1” (which excludes reads with a mapping quality $<1$). The pileup files thus produced were then used for “comparative coverage” analysis with custom made scripts,
similarly to the SSAHA2 approach. Confidence levels were assigned to mutations based on the following criteria: a) Samtools quality scores (for SNPs) and b) identification by both MAQ and Samtools (for SNPs and large indels/CNVs). All high confidence putative point mutations proposed by MAQ and/or Samtools were verified by di-deoxy sequencing. Only limited verification was done for potential indels and CNVs. Small indels were defined as “low confidence” mutations by default, due to a majority of calls being confirmed as false positives by di-deoxy sequencing in this and other studies [30,33].

**Protein structure-function analysis**

The *P. chabaudi* AP2-mu sequence was used to search the protein structure database (PDB) for homologues. The closest match found was the μ chain of AP2 from *Rattus norvegicus*, with a bit score of 167 and an E-value of 2e-41. 11 different structure entries of this and related proteins and complexes were present in the PDB. Structure 2PR9 was selected because of the level of R-factors (R: 0.204, R-free: 0.240) and its resolution (2.51Å).

The I-TASSER server [40] was used to construct a homology model of the *P. chabaudi* homologue, with the *R. norvegicus* 2PR9 structure as a template onto which the *P. chabaudi* sequence was modelled. The same method was used to construct a *P. falciparum* μ model.

**Plasmodium falciparum isolates- in vitro responses to ART derivatives**

In the present study, we intended to characterize the genetic variation in the *P. falciparum* ap2-mu gene in natural infections and to check whether any polymorphism correlated with the parasite’s previously determined responses to ART derivatives. We reanalysed artemisinin responses obtained previously from a collection of isolates of *P. falciparum* from Rwanda, the Democratic Republic of São Tomé & Principe (São Tomé) and Brazil [41, 42, 43]. These previous studies had shown that samples displayed a broad range of *in vitro* responses in all countries and for all the ART derivatives tested. Additionally, such differences in IC₅₀ values, were shown previously not correlate with previously suggested markers of ART resistance, namely, *pfmdr1*, *pfatpase6*, *pfcrt* or *pftctp* (Table 1).
Table 1. *P. falciparum* isolates used in the present study, with previously characterized genotypes and *in vitro* responses to artemisinin derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country and drug tested</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean IC₅₀ (nM)</th>
<th>Range IC₅₀ (nM)</th>
<th>Molecular markers investigated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.3 – 14.3</td>
<td>mdr1, PfATPase6, pfcr, pftctp (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Tomé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.05 - 9.0</td>
<td>mdr1, PfATPase6, pfcr, pftctp [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.2 – 12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.1 – 4.9</td>
<td>mdr1, PfATPase6, pfcr [43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.2 – 23.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Plasmodium falciparum* ap2-mu genotyping

DNA was first extracted from all samples above and the reference strain 3D7 according to the method described by Plowe and co-workers [44]. The *P. falciparum* ap2-mu gene was then sequenced in a subset of twenty-four *P. falciparum* isolates which were initially selected according to their geographical origin and response to each drug. Thus, we picked 8 samples from Rwanda, 8 from São Tomé and 8 from Brazil, according to their response to artemisinin derivatives (4 samples with the lowest IC₅₀ and the 4 with the highest values, from each country) (Table 2).
Table 2. *P. falciparum* isolates in which *pfap2-mu* was sequenced with corresponding ART derivative IC$_{50}$s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of Sample Collection</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda [41]</td>
<td>RW10</td>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW32</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW58</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW57</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW106</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW77</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW40</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Tomé [42]</td>
<td>STP27</td>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP29</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP41</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP46</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP35</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP34</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP44</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STP58</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil [43]</td>
<td>BR58</td>
<td>Artesunate</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR05</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR45</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR38</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR07</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR67</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR68</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sequence of the *P. falciparum* orthologue of the *ap2-mu* gene (accession n. PF3D7_1218300) was retrieved from PlasmoDB and used as template for designing primers to amplify its open reading frame using an overlapping PCR fragment strategy (Table 3). PCR assays were performed with 1µl of DNA into a 50µl mixture containing 0.2 µM of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates and 1.25 units of GoTaq® Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega).
Table 3. Primer sequences and PCR reactions for the *pfap2-mu* gene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Coordinates (length)</th>
<th>PCR Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFCIR-1F</td>
<td>GTTAACACGATTAGGTCATTG</td>
<td>-54 to 524 (578 bp)</td>
<td>94 ºC, 3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[94°C, 30’; 53°C, 45'; 72°C, 60']</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 ºC, 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCIR-2R</td>
<td>GTCCTATTATGTATATGTGGATC</td>
<td>35 cycles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCIR-3F</td>
<td>GATATCCACAAACATTAGAAGTG</td>
<td>358 to 1199 (841 bp)</td>
<td>94 ºC, 3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[94°C, 30’; 52°C, 45'; 72°C, 60']</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 ºC, 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCIR-4R</td>
<td>CCATCTGGTGGTGTAAGG</td>
<td>1126 to +58 (753 bp)</td>
<td>94 ºC, 3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[94°C, 30'; 53°C, 45'; 72°C, 60']</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72 ºC, 10 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR products were analysed by ethidium bromide-stained agarose 2% gel electrophoresis and sequenced directly in both sense and antisense directions with the appropriate PCR amplification primer.

**PIRA-PCR and RFLP**

One particular mutation in the *pfap2-mu* gene (G479A) encoding an S160N replacement, was determined in all samples characterized for their *in vitro* response to artemisinin derivatives using a PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay. Since this point mutation does not create a natural endonuclease restriction site, a primer introduced restriction enzyme analysis PCR (PIRA-PCR) method was used.

A PIRA primer PfCLR-G479A-F (forward) with sequence 5’-ATC AAA TGA ATT ATT AAA TGT AAC TA-3’ was thus designed, where an A-to-C mismatch was incorporated at the 3rd base position from 3'-end terminus (shown in small letter in primer sequence). This creates a recognition site (ACTAGT) for the restriction enzyme Bcel in presence of the wild type allele G479.
A PCR product was amplified using primers PfCLR-G479A-F and PfCLR-G479A-R (sequence: 5'- AAA ATG ATT TGC TGT CTT ATT A -3') with final concentration of 0.2 μM, of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs and 1.25 units of GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega). Conditions of PCR were: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 51 °C for 45 s and 72 °C for 60 s, and a final extension step of 72 °C for 10 min. 10 μl the PCR reaction mixture was digested with 20 units of BcuI restriction enzyme (Fermentas) with 1 x Buffer Tango in a final volume of 20 μl and incubated at 37 ºC for 3 h.

The predicted size of the PIRA-PCR product resulting from amplification with primers PfCLR-G479A-F and PfCLR-G479A-R is 268 bp, which can then be cleaved into 245 and 23 bp fragments when digested with BcuI, in the presence of the wild-type (wt) allele (AGT). No cleavage is expected in the presence of mutant (mut) allele (AAT). The 268 and 245 bp fragments can be easily resolved on 3 % agarose gel, whereas the 23 bp fragment is normally difficult to visualize or discriminate from primer-dimers. Therefore, the 245 bp band was scored as wt and 268 bp as mut. Figure 2 shows typical results of these PIRA-PCR assays.

**Figure 2. Typical results of the Pfap2-mu gene PIRA-PCR results.** M: molecular weight marker. Lanes 1 and 6: Pfap2-mu 160N variants; lanes 2 and 4 Pfap2-mu 160S variants; lanes 3 and 5: mixture of genotypes. Samples were run in 3% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide.
Data analysis

In the absence of recent contact with drugs, *P. falciparum* populations generally display a log-concentration normal distribution regarding enzyme-inhibiting compounds. The D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test [45] was used to infer whether or not the IC$_{50}$ values obtained for our samples follow a log-normal distribution. Grubbs outlier test was used to test for and exclude statistical outliers. The parametric two-tailed t-test (with significance level set at 0.05) was applied to test whether or not there are significant differences between *in vitro* artemisinin derivatives response and the S160N mutation in the PfAP2-mu adaptor protein. The normality tests, t-tests and plots were done using GraphPad Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California). Grubbs outlier test was done using R software version 2.11.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

In order to evaluate whether the distribution of the S160N genotype correlated significantly with ART IC$_{50}$s, the following statistical analyses were carried out. We first performed normality tests to infer whether or not the IC$_{50}$ values obtained for our samples followed a log-concentration normal distribution. The untransformed wild-type data deviated from a normal distribution, as confirmed by D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test, with all values of *p*<0.0001. After log-transformation, IC$_{50}$s were log-normally distributed, with *p*-values equal to 0.29, 0.18 and 0.054 for Rwanda (Dihydroartemisinin), São Tomé (Artesunate) and São Tomé (Artemether), respectively. The mutant datasets also followed a log-normal distribution with the exception of Rwanda (*p*-value = 0.013). This deviation arose from an outlier identified by the Grubbs outlier test (*p*-value = 0.00026). After removal of this outlier the Rwandan distribution conforms to a log-normal distribution (*p*-value=0.83). The ensuing analyses were therefore performed excluding this outlier.

F tests were performed to assure that the variances were not significantly different between wild-type and mutant data. Table 12 summarizes the results of the statistical tests performed.
Results

The *Plasmodium chabaudi* AS-ART phenotype

Here, the ART responses of isogenic parasites of the *P. chabaudi* AS lineage are investigated further; namely, AS-3CQ (ART-S clone), AS-30CQ (ART-R clone) and the AS-ART (ART-R clone) generated during selection by ART (Figure 1) [25]. In previous work, artemisinin resistance at 100 mg ART kg\(^{-1}\) (body weight) d\(^{-1}\) was demonstrated in both AS-30CQ and AS-ART relative to other clones, which preceded them in the AS lineage, including AS-3CQ [30]. Here, the hypothesis that AS-ART would resist higher doses of ART than AS-30CQ is tested. Parasites were treated for three (1 × 10 e\(^{6}\) inoculum) or five (1 × 10 e\(^{7}\) inoculum) days (treatments A and B, respectively) with a daily dose of 200 mg ART kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) and their response to the drug was assessed by comparing both peak parasitaemia and the time taken for each parasite to recrudesce after treatment.

In the absence of treatment, all three parasite clones produced their peak parasitaemia of between 23% (AS-ART) and 40% (AS-30CQ), appearing between days 8 and 9 pi (Figure 3A). Under either ART treatment A and B however, no parasites were detected in mice infected with AS-3CQ over the follow-up period (Figure 3B and 3C). After treatment A, AS-30CQ produced detectable parasites between days 13–14, with parasitaemia reaching their peak (~12%) on day 17. However, mice infected with AS-ART presented more rapid recrudescence (days 8–9), with parasitaemia reaching ~12% on day 15 after treatment (Figure 3B). A similar trend was observed after treatment B: mice infected with AS-30CQ first presented a detectable parasitaemia between days 13–14 and peak parasitaemia (~10% on day 18), whilst the AS-ART group recrudesced three days earlier (Figure 3C) achieving a similar peak parasitaemia on day 15.

As previously reported [30] it is concluded that AS-3CQ is sensitive to ART treatment whereas both AS-30CQ and AS-ART are resistant. Here, however, at high ART doses, AS-ART shows both earlier recrudescence and reduced time to reach peak parasitaemia relative to AS-30CQ. It is concluded that AS-ART has a higher degree of resistance to ART. Here, this enhanced resistance phenotype is called ART-R phenotype 2. The response of AS-30CQ and AS-ART to 100 mg ART kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) (3 day) is called ART-R phenotype 1.
Figure 3 Artemisinin responses of *Plasmodium chabaudi* AS-3CQ, AS-30CQ and AS-ART. Mean% parasitaemia ± standard error of groups of three mice. A, untreated controls; B, $1 \times 10^6$ parasites on day 0 and treated with $200\, \text{mg ART/kg} \, \text{day}^{-1}$ days 1–3; C, $1 \times 10^7$ parasites on day 0 and treated with $200\, \text{mg ART/kg} \, \text{day}^{-1}$ days 1–5. AS-sens, blue; AS-30CQ, red; AS-ART, green [The experimental work contributing to this figure was done by Gisela Henriques and Louise Rodrigues].
### Genome re-sequencing of AS-ART

AS-ART was sequenced using the Illumina platform using 36 base single-end reads. In total, 45,879,892 short single-end reads were produced for clone AS-ART, of which 89% were mapped onto the 2009 version of the reference genome (Welcome Trust Sanger Institute *P. chabaudi* AS parasite, AS-WTSI, genome size 18,832,196 bp [36]: by the mapping software, SSAHA2. The mean genome-wide read coverage was 84 reads per nucleotide (Table 4). Approximately 87% of the total reads (39,979,351) were mapped in unique positions. Some 98% of the nucleotides in the genome were covered by at least 10 reads and 93% by at least 40 reads; 1.67% was covered by less than three reads (the minimum required for SNP and small indel detection). Similar data were obtained using an alternative mapping software, MAQ. Clones AS-sens and AS-30CQ had been re-sequenced previously and reads aligned similarly [30,31,33].

#### Table 4. Summary of the Solexa whole genome re-sequencing performed on clone AS-ART of *Plasmodium chabaudi*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone analysed</th>
<th>AS-ART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference sequence</td>
<td>Sanger (09/2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read length</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Reads</td>
<td>45,879.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapped Reads (SSAHA2)</td>
<td>41,198,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniquely Mapped Reads (SSAHA2)</td>
<td>39,979,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Genome covered by &gt;= 10 reads</td>
<td>98,00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Genome covered by &lt;3 Reads</td>
<td>1,67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x coverage (SSAHA2)</td>
<td>84.16X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x coverage (MAQ)</td>
<td>86.18X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SNP detection

Preliminary SNP calling and filtering (see Methods) identified eight point mutations in AS-ART (see Table 5), relative to the resequenced clone AS-sens [30-33]. There were 11 additional low quality SNP calls considered to be false positives (see Table 6).
### Table 5. Confirmed and high confidence mutations in the ART-resistant *Plasmodium chabaudi* clone AS-ART (relative to progenitor clone, AS-sens)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Nucleotide start</th>
<th>Nucleotide end</th>
<th>reference base</th>
<th>variant base</th>
<th>SSAHA quality</th>
<th>Confirmation of mutation by dideoxy sequencing</th>
<th>P. chabaudi gene ID</th>
<th>Amino acid change</th>
<th>Nearest gene ID (P. chabaudi)</th>
<th>P. falciparum orthologue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. chabaudi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>216,954</td>
<td>216,954</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_020720</td>
<td>V2728F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>PF3D7_0104300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>70,553</td>
<td>70,553</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_030200</td>
<td>T707N</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>PF3D7_0214800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>474,123</td>
<td>474,123</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_031370</td>
<td>T719N</td>
<td>PF3D7_0417200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>994,546</td>
<td>994,546</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_072830</td>
<td>S106N</td>
<td>PF3D7_0417200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>634,932</td>
<td>634,932</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_101550</td>
<td>Y162H</td>
<td>PF3D7_1430000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>996,332</td>
<td>996,332</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_112780</td>
<td>A173E</td>
<td>PF3D7_0629500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>936,945</td>
<td>936,945</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_126300</td>
<td>5'-PCHAS_142600</td>
<td>PF3D7_0813400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>1,270,184</td>
<td>1,270,184</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>confirmed</td>
<td>PCHAS_143590</td>
<td>5568T</td>
<td>PF3D7_1218300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. chabaudi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>indel</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>793,940</td>
<td>793,988</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td>PCHAS_042080-5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>deletion</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>683,724</td>
<td>684,989</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td>PCHAS_051920</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>deletion</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>876,907</td>
<td>876,921</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>34bp deletion</td>
<td>PCHAS_072420-3'</td>
<td>PF3D7_0815700</td>
<td>PF3D7_0815700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>indel</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>261,129</td>
<td>284,496</td>
<td>23,368</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td>PCHAS_000700-760</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The approximate size of the affected area (as measured by SSAHA2) and a comparative coverage are given. Amino acid substitutions (non-synonymous mutations) are in bold characters. One point mutation in AP2-mu chain specific to AS-ART (relative to preceding clone, AS-30CQ) is in bold and underline characters. Tbc: to be confirmed.
Table 6. AS-ART Genome re-sequencing – validated and low-confidence point mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Reference base</th>
<th>AS-ART base</th>
<th>SSAHA2 Quality score</th>
<th>Confirmation of mutation by di-deoxy sequencing</th>
<th>P. chabaudi Gene ID</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>P. falciparum orthologue</th>
<th>Nearest gene ID (P. chabaudi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>551,969</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>V2728F</td>
<td>PF3D7_0104300</td>
<td>PCHAS_011470-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>216,954</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_020720</td>
<td>T707N</td>
<td>PF3D7_0214800</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25,017</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>T719N</td>
<td>PF3D7_020080-5'</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70,553</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_030200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>474,123</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_031370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>684,091</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_051910-20</td>
<td>non-syn</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>31,783</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>72,468</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_070170</td>
<td>K-N</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>994,546</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_072830</td>
<td>S106N</td>
<td>PF3D7_0417200</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>634,932</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_101550</td>
<td>Y162H</td>
<td>PF3D7_1430000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,625,436</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5'-PCHAS_104250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>996,332</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_112780</td>
<td>A173E</td>
<td>PF3D7_0629500</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_120010</td>
<td>nonsyn</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>936,945</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,270,184</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_143590</td>
<td>I568T</td>
<td>PF3D7_1218300</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>5,256</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_000020</td>
<td>A-G</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>106,638</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_000260</td>
<td>N-T</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>177,899</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>PCHAS_000480</td>
<td>Y-C</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>270,747</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of all point mutations proposed prior to stringent analysis and filtering (see text) in AS-ART. Those confirmed by di-deoxy sequencing (light grey) are indicated. Low probability mutations (dark grey, see Results) are indicated. For intergenic SNPs, the nearest P. chabaudi gene is indicated, with indication as to whether it lies to the left or right of 5’ or 3’ end of gene. For example, 5’ - PCHAS_011470 indicates that the mutation is found to the left (upstream) of the 5’ end of PCHAS_011470. For 11 low-confidence (black) point mutations, 6 lie within predicted genes (chr05, chr07, chr12 and bin (3)). Two of these (chr05 and chr12) are non-synonymous. Candidate on chr05 is considered as ‘proxy for deletion’ (see main text).
Eight point mutations identified by both Samtools and MAQ had higher quality scores (≥92, maximum 99) (Methods) than the other 11 (quality scores <34, see Table 6) calls, and were subsequently verified by di-deoxy sequencing in AS-ART (Table 5). Seven of these eight were previously reported from the AS-ART progenitor, AS-30CQ [30,31]. They include five point mutations, previously linked to drug resistance phenotypes. These are: a) S106N dhfr (encoding dihydrofolate reductase) (PCHAS_072830), (quality score: 99), which confers pyrimethamine resistance [33]; b) A173E (quality score: 99) in an amino acid transporter (aat1, PCHAS_112780), conferring chloroquine resistance [31]; c) T719N (quality score: 99) in a hypothetical protein (PCHAS_031370) conferring intermediate chloroquine resistance [31]; and, d) a V2728F (quality score: 99) substitution in the ubp1 gene (PCHAS_020720), conferring artemisinin resistance phenotype 1 [30], high-level chloroquine resistance [31] and mefloquine resistance [32]. A fifth point mutation namely T707N (quality score 99) in a member of the P. chabaudi-specific interspersed repeat (cir) gene family (PCHAS_030200) may also contribute to the intermediate CQ-R phenotype of AS-30CQ [31]. In all cases, the position of their appearance in the P. chabaudi AS lineage coincides with the appearance of the corresponding drug-resistance phenotype. Two point mutations have not been linked to any particular phenotype. These are: Y162H (quality score 99) in a gene annotated as a hypothetical protein (PCHAS_101550) appearing first in AS-30CQ, and an intergenic mutation (quality score: 92) at base position 936,945 on chromosome 14, located between genes PCHAS_142590 and PCHAS_142600 (both hypothetical proteins with no significant Pfam matches), first appearing in AS-PYR1, the first drug-resistance clone of the lineage.

One point mutation only in clone AS-ART relative to AS-30CQ was confirmed. This mutation was I568T (quality score 99) in a gene (PCHAS_143590) predicted to encode the μ chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex, which, in other organisms, is involved with clathrin-mediated endocytosis [46]. This gene was denoted ap2-mu.

In contrast to the eight confirmed mutations above, the other 11 low-quality calls (see Table 6, highlighted in dark grey) were predicted only by Samtools; nine of these have extremely low Samtools quality scores (2 to 13) and were variously mapped to the extreme ends of chrs 01, 03, 07 (two), 10 and 12, where P. chabaudi-specific genes are located (often in multigene families), or to contigs yet to be mapped to the final genome assembly (three in ‘bin’). Here, read alignment may be less reliable, as reflected in their low mapping quality. A similar low quality SNP call in AS-30CQ was previously identified as a false positive by di-deoxy-sequencing [33]. Accordingly, these nine low confidence SNPs are strongly predicted to be false positives. In any case, their genomic locations suggest that
they would be unlikely to play a role in a conserved artemisinin resistance phenotype because four of these candidates were intergenic (chr01, 03, 07, 10) and the other five (chr07, 12 and bin (three)) were in genes without orthologues in \textit{P. falciparum}, in contrast to the eight confirmed point mutations.

The two remaining low confidence point mutations mapped to chr05 or contig11844 and had higher quality scores (33 and 31, respectively). However, they were located close to, or within extended regions of low coverage proposed to be deletions (one of which, on chr05, was previously noted) [31], see below. False positive SNPs such as these were previously termed ‘proxies for deletions’ and their artefactual appearance explained [30].

It is concluded that the I568T mutation in the gene PCHAS_143590 encoding the mu (\(\mu\)) chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex is likely to be the only point mutation in AS-ART relative to its immediate precursor AS-30CQ.

Small indels, larger deletions and CNV detection

Forty-one potential insertions or deletions (indels) (14 larger (>3bp) indels, 27 small (\(\leq 3\) bp) indels) and seven potential copy number variants (CNVs) were called (see Tables 7, 8 and 9) in AS-ART relative to AS-sens. The specific detection and identification of large insertions was not feasible because the single-end sequencing data used in these studies were not able to support the use of more sophisticated detection algorithms that depend upon the availability of paired-end data.

The 27 small indels were considered to be low confidence predictions, \textit{ie}, false positives. Dideoxy-sequencing confirmed that the five tested were all false positives (see Table 7), as in previous studies of AS-15MF and AS-30CQ [30,33]. It is suggested that the majority of these predicted low confidence mutations are therefore false positives. In any case, only six of the other 22 small indels were intragenic.

For larger indels, analysis based on read depth reveals large deletions (say >20 bp) with greater reliability than for intermediate deletions (say 3<bp<20) and all larger insertions. Four (see Table 8, highlighted in grey) of the 14 intermediate and large indels candidates were classified as high confidence mutations (Table 9, Methods), principally because they were predicted using both MAQ and SSAHA2 but also because they generate consistently low read coverage over an extended region. Also, three (chr04, 05, 07) were previously identified in the progenitor clone AS-30CQ or in a related clone AS-15MF [30,31]. One of these was previously confirmed by dideoxy-sequencing as an intergenic 34 bp deletion on
chr07. Another was a potential large deletion (>1 kb) on chromosome 5 located within a gene coding for a non-syntenic (i.e. its chromosomal location is not conserved across malaria species relative to surrounding genes) S-antigen (PCHAS_051910-20). The third was a deletion in non-coding sequence at the right hand end of chromosome 4, in a region previously defined to be the site of deletion and translocated copy of a large duplicated chr12 fragment containing mdr1 in the related clone AS-15MF [32]. The remaining high confidence large deletion corresponds to a potential ~23 kb deletion on an unassigned contig ('bin' contig11844), not predicted in the progenitor clone AS-30CQ, spanning several non-syntenic genes (PCHAS_000700 to PCHAS_000760). The regions corresponding to deletions on chr05 and in contig 11844 also contain an example of a SNP ‘proxy for deletion’ (see section above). Verification of the three deletions (in chr04, chr05 and contig 11844) by di-deoxy sequencing was not possible due either to their size or position or both. For the other 10 larger deletion candidates, six are intergenic and four are predicted to interrupt P. chabaudi-specific genes, three mapping to the extreme ends of chr13 (two) and chr14, and one to an unassigned contig (see Table 8).

It is worth noting that the frequency of indels in the chicken and human genomes (e.g. [47,48]) is less than the SNP frequency; confirmed in next generation short-sequencing analysis [49]. If applicable to Plasmodium spp, the number of indels will be less than the number of point mutations. Since the number of point mutations in AS-ART (relative to AS-sens) is likely to be eight, the four high-confidence deletions detailed above may represent the full complement of indels. Only one (in contig 11844) appears in AS-ART, relative to AS-30CQ.

For the seven potential CNVs, identified by higher read comparative coverage (range 1.5 – 3.1), they extend over very small regions (205–282 bp) and lie outwith coding regions of genes (see Table 9). In other clones of the P. chabaudi AS lineage, previously validated large-fragment CNVs (involving mdr1) were identified using a similar comparative read-coverage analysis ([32] and data not shown). In the present case, the seven potential CNVs are unlikely to represent gene duplication/amplification events, simply reflecting natural variation of read coverage.

It is likely that there is only one high-confidence deletion (contig 11844) arising in AS-ART relative to AS-30CQ. The likelihood of other indels or CNVs arising in AS-ART is considered to be low and, in any case, unlikely to confer artemisinin resistance phenotype 2.
### Table 7. AS-ART Genome re-sequencing – low probability small Indels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Insertion or Deletion</th>
<th>Nucleotide start</th>
<th>Nucleotide finish</th>
<th>Size of region</th>
<th>Small indel quality index</th>
<th>Dideoxy-sequencing validation</th>
<th>P. chabaudi gene ID</th>
<th>Nearest P. chabaudi gene ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>203,105</td>
<td>203,105</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22/38</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>5-PCHAS_010530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_020010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>104,874</td>
<td>104,874</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33/49</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_040280-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>281,711</td>
<td>281,711</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_050670</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>716,257</td>
<td>716,257</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23/38</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_052010-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>430,538</td>
<td>430,538</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>110/118</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>PCHAS_061120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>678,850</td>
<td>678,851</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_061760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>779,270</td>
<td>779,270</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>314/200</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_072520-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>910,035</td>
<td>910,035</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_072530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>854,448</td>
<td>854,448</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22/35</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>PCHAS_082240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>1,113,660</td>
<td>1,113,660</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62/82</td>
<td>PCHAS_093250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>290,661</td>
<td>290,661</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81/84</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_100680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>719,577</td>
<td>719,577</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_101800-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>908,717</td>
<td>908,718</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>118/155</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>PCHAS_102390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>1,625,438</td>
<td>1,625,438</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>5-PCHAS_104250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>694,180</td>
<td>694,180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>314/452</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_111970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>760,507</td>
<td>760,507</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47/62</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>PCHAS_112150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>836,567</td>
<td>836,567</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54/80</td>
<td>PCHAS_112370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>1,792,799</td>
<td>1,792,799</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_134780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>9,124</td>
<td>9,124</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>3-PCHAS_140040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>54,440</td>
<td>54,440</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_140140-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>82,779</td>
<td>82,779</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>5-PCHAS_140200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>347,257</td>
<td>347,257</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39/58</td>
<td>PCHAS_140880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>926,444</td>
<td>926,444</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>PCHAS_142560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>1,415,664</td>
<td>1,415,664</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHAS_143940-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>352,973</td>
<td>352,973</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>161/166</td>
<td>PCHAS_000930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of all small indels predicted (see text) in AS-ART. Analysis was done using the SSAHA package. Those rejected by dideoxy sequencing are indicated (light grey). Other small indels (dark grey) are expected to represent false positives (see text). Quality scores indicate no of reads calling indel/total reads. For intergenic small indels, the nearest P. chabaudi gene is indicated, with indication as to whether it lies to the left or right of 5’ or 3’ end of gene. For example, 5’ - PCHAS_010530 indicates that the mutation is found to the left (upstream) of the 5’ end of that gene.
### Table 8. AS-ART Genome re-sequencing – larger indels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>chromosome</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Nucleotide start</th>
<th>Nucleotide finish</th>
<th>Size of region</th>
<th>comparative coverage</th>
<th>Dideoxy-sequencing validation</th>
<th>P. chabaudi gene ID</th>
<th>Nearest P. chabaudi gene ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>5-PCHA_030010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>793,940</td>
<td>793,988</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td>PCHA_042080-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>544,355</td>
<td>544,403</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHA_051440-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>683,722</td>
<td>684,999</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td>PCHA_051910-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>56,889</td>
<td>56,899</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHA_070140-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>876,902</td>
<td>876,929</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>34bp deletion</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>PCHA_072420-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>36,490</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>PCHA_130090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>1,598,774</td>
<td>1,598,789</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>PCHA_134220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>943,900</td>
<td>943,923</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>5-PCHA_142620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>2,277,029</td>
<td>2,277,055</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>PCHA_146230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>SSAHA</td>
<td>116,319</td>
<td>117,071</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td>3-PCHA_000290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin</td>
<td>SSAHA/MAQ</td>
<td>261,129</td>
<td>284,496</td>
<td>23,368</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>PCHA_000700-760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regions of low comparative coverage (see Methods) were identified. 4 higher probability larger indels (see text) are indicated (light grey, not validated or dark grey, validated). Indels of low probability are indicated (not coloured). For intergenic indels, the nearest P. chabaudi gene is indicated, with indication as to whether it lies to the left or right of 5’ or 3’ end of gene. For example, 5’-PCHA_030010 indicates that the mutation is found upstream of the 5’ end of that gene.

### Table 9. AS-ART Genome re-sequencing – possible CNVs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>chromosome</th>
<th>Nucleotide start</th>
<th>Nucleotide finish</th>
<th>Size of region</th>
<th>comparative coverage</th>
<th>Nearest P. chabaudi gene ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>349,895</td>
<td>350,122</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>5-PCHA_031010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>678,733</td>
<td>678,961</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3-PCHA_061760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>677,772</td>
<td>678,012</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>PCHA_071850-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>814,443</td>
<td>814,647</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>PCHA_082110-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>926,886</td>
<td>927,167</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>5-PCHA_122590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,595,074</td>
<td>1,595,327</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>3-PCHA_144430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,758,897</td>
<td>1,759,122</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3-PCHA_144820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regions of high comparative coverage (see Methods) was used to identify potential CNVs. Analysis was done using the SSAHA package. These regions are all considered low probability (see Results). All CNVs were intergenic, the nearest P. chabaudi gene is indicated, with indication as to whether it lies to the left or right of 5’ or 3’ end of gene. For example, 5’-PCHA_031010 indicates that the mutation is found to the left (upstream) of the 5’ end of that gene.
Conservation and structure of AP2 mu-chain

An alignment of the predicted amino acid sequences of AP2-mu of *P. chabaudi* (PCHAS_143590, 597 aa) and its *P. falciparum* orthologue, PF3D7_1218300 (Figure 4A) and other *Plasmodium* spp (data not shown) confirm that this gene is highly conserved in *Plasmodium* spp. Specifically, the I568T mutation appears close to the C-terminus in a particularly highly conserved region. BLAST searches identify AP2 adaptor mu-chains from other species such as *R. norvegicus* (435 aa) (Figure 4B) showing high sequence conservation in both the N-terminal domain (aa 1–154, numbering *P. chabaudi* unless otherwise stated) and the C-terminal domain (aa 244–597) which forms two subdomains each composed of a β-sheet. These large regions of β-sheets bind to, and hence select cargo protein during coated pit formation and vesicle formation during endocytosis [46,50].

In addition to the conservation of its amino acid sequence, other sequence and structural features of PCHAS_143590 support its designation as the μ-chain of the AP2 adaptor complex and a role in recognition of cargo protein and endocytosis. Residues 155 to 243 comprise *Plasmodium* specific sequence and extend the linker (rat136 – 158) between the AP2-mu N-terminal and C-terminal domains. At its C-terminal end, this linker contains T245, homologous to rat T156 that requires phosphorylation for endocytosis in *vitro* and *in vivo* [51]. Also, the *P. chabaudi* protein contains 17 lysine residues (aa 410 – 537) in a region where positively charged patches in rat μ-chain interact with phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) [52]. Both phosphorylation and PIP$_2$ or PIP$_3$ binding stabilize a large conformational change that allows cargo signal motifs to access the μ-chain signal recognition site at the membrane surface [53].

BLAST alignments (Figure 4A, 4B) support the designation of *P. falciparum* I592 and *R. norvegicus* V401 as the corresponding residues to *P. chabaudi* I568, suggesting that these residues will have similar positions within the protein structure, and therefore, a corresponding functional significance.
Figure 4 Conservation of AP2 mu-chain amino acid sequence. Conservation between *P. chabaudi* (PChAS_143590) sequence and (A) *P. falciparum* (PF3D7_1218300) or (B) rat (P84092 (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot) sequences. The *P. chabaudi* mutated residue I568 and the corresponding *P. falciparum* residue I592 (A) and Rat V401 (B) are highlighted (purple). Note *Plasmodium* spp.-specific sequence (~90 residues, relative to rat) lying between positions 154–244 (*P. c. chabaudi*). [The experimental work contributing to this figure was done by Pedro Cravo and Paul Hunt].
Figure 5. I568T mutation in AP2 mu-chain interacts with YXXΦ motif on cargo protein. A. Rat (P84092) V401 is homologous to P. chabaudi AP2 mu-chain I568, and contributes to the hydrophobic pocket that binds L9 residue of bound peptide (DEEYGYECL) in structure 2PR9. B. Homology model for P. chabaudi wild-type homologue shows similar structure with I568 corresponding to rat V401. C. Homology model for P. falciparum orthologue shows similar structure, with I592 corresponding to rat V401 and P. chabaudi I568. D. Homology model for P. chabaudi mutant - 568T is predicted to reduce the hydrophobic character of the binding pocket in which peptide L9 binds. Note increased polar character associated with threonine hydroxyl group in D relative to B. [The experimental work contributing to this figure was done by Douglas Houston].

X-ray structures for both the μ-chain alone or the adaptor complex cocrystallised with peptides containing YXXΦ (where Φ represents a hydrophobic residue such as F, I, V, L or M) recognition motifs on the target (cargo) ligands [54] include a rat μ-chain structure (pdb 2PR9), showing that the V401 residue of the rat μ-chain is adjacent to the L9 Φ residue (L, lysine) of the bound DEEYGYECL peptide and, along with rat L173, L175, L404 and V422, forms a hydrophobic pocket into which the Φ residue binds (Figure 5A). The high sequence conservation between PCHAS_143590 and the rat AP2 μ-chain (Figure 5B, Figure 4B) suggests that I568 will play a similar role in the P. chabaudi AP2 adaptor to that of V401 in the rat homologue. Homology models of the P. chabaudi structure were predicted by threading the P. chabaudi amino acid sequence into the rat crystal structure, using I-
TASSER. The resulting structures indeed show that I568 (P. chabaudi, Figure 5B) and I592 (P. falciparum, Figure 5C) are predicted to lie in a corresponding position, relative to the Φ residue in the bound peptide. The likely effect of the I568T mutation was investigated in the P. chabaudi homology model. The most likely conformation of 568T was predicted by minimising the contact of the side chain oxygen atom in 568T to nearby hydrophobic residues. This results in the oxygen pointing towards the solvent, hence forming part of the Φ-binding pocket (Figure 5D). The effect of the 568T hydroxyl group is therefore to add some hydrophilic character to the hydrophobic pocket into which the Φ residue fits. Similar results were obtained for the P. falciparum μ2 homology model (data not shown). The I568T mutation is predicted to moderate but not abrogate the binding of the μ subunit to the YXXΦ recognition motif present in the cargo protein. In any case, at least in yeast, an AP-2 μ-chain knock-out is not lethal [55] and clathrin may function independently of the adaptor [56]. Similar results were obtained for the P. falciparum μ2 homology model (data not shown).

Analysis of MDR1 as a putative target

MDR1 is a 12 transmembrane (TM)-domain ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter known to be involved in modifying responses of malaria parasites to various drugs [57]. In view of the many reports regarding the effect of mdr1 amplification on ART responses in P. falciparum [57] and in P. chabaudi [33], we investigated whether the I568T mutation could interact with mdr1 and thus affect its localisation and trafficking.

The P. chabaudi mdr1 amino acid sequence contains many instances of FXXΦ signals (Figure 6). This suggests that mdr1 may contain (multiple) signals which regulate the trafficking of mdr1 from the parasite membrane to other organelles or positions in the endosomal system. Signal sequences tend be located within 10-40 amino acids of a transmembrane helix [46], or between 6-9 amino acids for proteins destined for the lysosome. We were however unable to identify strong candidate YXXΦ signals which fulfilled these requirements and which were not structurally constrained to bind to AP2-mu.
Figure 6. *P. chabaudi* and *P. falciparum mdr1* amino acid sequences with YXXGΦ motifs. Protein sequences A, P. chabaudi, B, P. falciparum. YXXF motifs (yellow), YXXGΦ non-canonical motifs (blue). DXXL motifs binding to different adaptor chain (purple). Blue text, approximate extent of transmembrane domains; red text, approximate extent of predicted ABC (ATP binding cassettes) regions; C, similar conserved duplicated motifs in *P. chabaudi* (top) and *P. falciparum* (bottom). [The experimental work contributing to this figure was done by Pedro Cravo and Paul Hunt].
Polymorphisms in *Plasmodium falciparum* ap2-mu gene

Because the only confirmed point mutation arising in *P. chabaudi* AS-ART along with ART resistance phenotype 2 lies in the AP2-mu gene, the polymorphisms in the *P. falciparum* orthologue (PF3D7_1218300) were investigated. The DNA sequence analysis of PF3D7_1218300 in 24 samples (see Table 2) from Brazil, São Tomé and Rwanda revealed a total of 12 independent polymorphic sites, consisting of nine SNPs and three indels. Seven SNPs and all the insertions were novel genetic variants (relative to existing information in PlasmoDB, Table 10) and unrelated to each other. A polymorphism similar to *P. chabaudi* I568T was not detected in any of the isolates inspected.

**Table 10.** Genetic polymorphisms identified in the *pfap2-mu* gene (PF3D7_1218300).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide</th>
<th>Reference sequence (3D7) variant</th>
<th>Codon, variant</th>
<th>Amino acid substitution</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>ATA</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>I100syn</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>GTG</td>
<td>GTT*/GTC</td>
<td>V127syn</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437</td>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>R146K</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479</td>
<td>AGT</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>S160N</td>
<td>Rwanda, ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>I162syn</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>489</td>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>GAG*</td>
<td>E163syn</td>
<td>Rwanda, ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>AÇA</td>
<td>K199T</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>699</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>AAG</td>
<td>N233K</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1311</td>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>TTA</td>
<td>F437L</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Insertions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codon insertion</th>
<th>Amino acid insertion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>699 AAT</td>
<td>AATAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>972 AAT</td>
<td>AATAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>987 AAT</td>
<td>AATAAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymorphic bases are underlined. *- SNP previously identified and recorded in PlasmoDB. Non-synonymous SNPs are shown with amino acid substitutions (bold). ST, São Tomé.

Five of the nine SNPs were non-synonymous (aa 146, 160, 199, 233 and 437) while four were synonymous (Table 10). The non-synonymous mutations R146K, S160N and F437L
occur within regions which appear to be well conserved in *Plasmodium* spp of the N-terminal domain of AP2-mu, although residues in these regions are not well-conserved relative to the rat homologue (data not shown). The non-synonymous SNPs, K199T and N233K are situated in unconserved regions (data not shown).

The three indels were three-nucleotide insertions of an asparagine amino acid were found adjacent to codon 233, 324 or 329 in an asparagine-rich sequence (Table 10) not conserved in the *P. chabaudi* orthologue. Amino acids 324 and 329 are located on a *P. falciparum*-specific region relative to both *P. chabaudi* and the rat homologue (data not shown).

**Correlation of in vitro susceptibility to artemisinin derivatives and S160N polymorphism in *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates**

We investigated possible associations between the AP2-mu S160N polymorphism and the previously reported [41, 42] *in vitro* ART-derivative response in Rwanda and São Tomé (Table 11, Figure 7).

**Table 11.** Frequency distribution of *pfap2-mu* alleles among *P. falciparum* samples from Brazil, São Tomé and Rwanda.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>pfap2-mu</em> allele</th>
<th>Brazil (N=56)</th>
<th>São Tomé (N=51)</th>
<th>Rwanda (N=73)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type (S)</td>
<td>N = 56 (100%)</td>
<td>N = 44 (86.2%)</td>
<td>N = 61 (83.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant (N)</td>
<td>N = 0 (0%)</td>
<td>N = 6 (11.8%)</td>
<td>N = 9 (12.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (S + N)</td>
<td>N = 0 (0%)</td>
<td>N = 1 (2.0%)</td>
<td>N = 3 (4.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prevalence of the 160N allele was investigated in 180 isolates; 56 from Brazil [43], 51 from São Tomé [42] and 73 from Rwanda [41]. The frequency of the 160N genetic variant was ~12% in both African sites and absent in the Brazilian samples (Table 11).
Figure 7: Density function curves for the WT (N160 pfap2-mu) (in green) and MUT (160S pfap2-mu) samples (in red). The ticks below the curves illustrate the measured IC$_{50}$ values for each sample. DHA: dihydroartemisinin; ATN: artesunate; ATH: artemether.

ART response data were log-transformed and an ‘outlier’ identified and removed from the dataset as described in Methods. Comparison of mutant vs. wild-type log-transformed datasets revealed a significant difference between the mean IC$_{50}$ values registered for genotypes 160S (4.86 +/- 0.07 nM) and 160N (2.49 +/- 0.04 nM) in parasites from Rwanda (p-value = 0.026, Table 4). This difference could also be observed in the function curves (shift to higher IC$_{50}$ values) in the parasites from Rwanda (Figure 7). No significant differences were identified for parasites from São Tomé (Table 12).

Table 12. Average log (IC$_{50}$) values (nM) ± correspondent standard error and the associated t-test p-value for mutant and wild-type samples. The values in bold represent the average IC$_{50}$ without log transformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rwanda- DHA</th>
<th>São Tomé- ATN</th>
<th>São Tomé- ATH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mutant</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(160S pfap2-mu)</td>
<td>0.687 (4.86) ± 0.0701</td>
<td>-0.670 (0.512) ± 0.383</td>
<td>-0.122 (0.885) ± 0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N=8)</td>
<td>(N=5)</td>
<td>(N=6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wild-type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N160 pfap2-mu)</td>
<td>0.396 (2.49) ± 0.0450</td>
<td>-0.909 (0.403) ± 0.120</td>
<td>-0.232 (0.793) ± 0.0649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N=61)</td>
<td>(N=36)</td>
<td>(N=44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p-value</strong></td>
<td>0.0256</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA: dihydroartemisinin; ATN: artesunate; ATH: artemether
Discussion

Increased artemisinin resistance phenotype

An ART resistance phenotype (phenotype 1) in *P. chabaudi* was previously described and the underlying genetic mechanism (mutations in *ubp1*) identified [30]. That phenotype had been experimentally evolved in AS-30CQ under chloroquine selection and without any exposure to ART. Subsequently AS-ART was evolved from AS-30CQ by ART selection [25]. Here, an increased artemisinin resistance (phenotype 2) in AS-ART relative to AS-30CQ is characterized. The increased resistance is apparent at 200 mg ART kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) (inoculation of \(10^6\) parasites, three-day drug treatment). Interestingly a five-day treatment using a higher inoculum (\(10^7\) parasites) also differentiated AS-ART from AS-30CQ. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that quiescence or dormancy responses may underlie survival of artemisinin treatment [58,59].

Genetic basis of increased artemisinin resistance

Illumina whole-genome sequencing reveals a single point mutation arising between AS-30CQ and AS-ART. This mutation encodes an I568T substitution in the \(\mu\) subunit of the AP2 adaptor complex (PCHAS_143590). The number of false negatives due to insufficient coverage is estimated to be less than one/genome, as previously discussed [30,31] and given the minimum threshold for point mutation detection (three reads), the proportion of the genome covered by less than three reads (1.67%, see Table 7) and the accurate identification of simulated mutations (random alterations in reference sequence) [30]. The frequency of a false negative arising from incorrect read alignment is minimized because the re-sequenced and reference genomes are largely identical, and because optimal hashing-table algorithms were used. Importantly the likely appearance of only one point mutation is consistent with previous genome re-sequencing studies [31] that reveal a consistent set of a small number of point mutations, most of which confer drug-resistance phenotypes in other drug-resistant clones of the *P. chabaudi* AS lineage (Table 1) [30-33]. For example, four or five out of seven single nucleotide substitutions between AS-sens and AS-30CQ confer resistance to pyrimethamine, chloroquine and artemisinin phenotype 1 [30,31]. This is consistent with similar studies using other parasites; for example, only four non-synonymous mutations arose in each of two miltefosine-selected *Leishmania major* genomes [60].
Although the possibility that increased ART-R is conferred by unidentified point mutations in the small fraction of the unassembled genome cannot be excluded, regions of low read coverage, the indel identified in AS-ART, or further unidentified indels, suggest that the mutation I568T in AP2-μ on chr14 is the principal determinant of higher-level artemisinin resistance (ART-R phenotype 2) in AS-ART which also bears the ubp1 mutation [30] but no mdr1 amplification [32]. This hypothesis should be validated by transfection experiments.

Other results are consistent with this hypothesis. A previous study suggested that a locus on chr14 contributes to artemisinin responses in genetic selection experiments using AS-ART [23]. Also, two recent independent reports show evidence of the involvement of adaptor proteins in drug resistance phenotypes in other human parasites. A genome-wide RNAi target sequencing approach revealed *Trypanosoma brucei* parasites with a knockdown of one of each of the four AP1 adaptor subunit genes (as well as lysosomal proteases, vacuolar protein sorting factors, etc.) of the endosomal system in suramin-treated populations [61]. In a similar experimental paradigm to that described here, an *in vitro* miltefosine-resistant *L. major* line bore a D762 mutation in the α-subunit of an adaptor protein and a different mutation in the *Leishmania infantum* orthologue [60]. The probability that mutations in adaptor subunits confer resistance to three structurally and functionally unrelated drugs (suramin, miltefosine and artemisinin) in three different parasites (*Trypanosoma, Leishmania and Plasmodium*) suggests that a conserved aspect of drug treatment and response is targeted by these mutations.

**Clathrin-mediated endocytosis - insights regarding ART action and resistance**

The AP2 adaptor complex is a heterotetramer (α, β, μ, σ) that selects and recruits other factors, including membrane protein (cargo) and intracellular clathrin, mediating clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) [46]. CME is involved in the internalization of extracellular molecules and ligands (e.g., low density lipoprotein, transferrin, growth factors, antibodies and bacterial toxins), the remodelling of the plasma membrane (removal of variable antigen), and membrane protein trafficking and vesicular sorting through the endosomal system and lysosomes [50]. In *P. falciparum*, these cellular processes are being studied particularly regarding digestive vacuole genesis, haemoglobin uptake and digestion [62], the role of (poly)phosphorylated phosphatidyl inositol [63], the action of aminoquinolines and artemisinins [6,7,64-66] and the trafficking of a critical determinant of chloroquine...
resistance (the membrane protein, *crt*) [67]. The participation of clathrin (as coated vesicles) in endocytosis and its relationship to the formation of cystosomes has not been fully clarified and, in any case, the mechanism would require the production of vesicles with double membranes (parasitophorous vacuole and parasite membranes). Nevertheless, it is possible that some elements of CME have been recruited to the haemoglobin uptake mechanism. The existence of *Plasmodium*-specific additional sequence between the N- and C-terminal domains of the *AP2*-mu chain may reflect non-canonical functional attributes of the AP2 adaptor complex.

The I568T mutation in the *AP2* mu-chain locates to a residue forming a hydrophobic pocket into which the Φ residue of the YXXΦ recognition motif on the cargo proteins binds. This suggests that modulation of cargo trafficking may be the key event in this resistance pathway. The I568T mutation in *AP2-mu* may reduce its binding to membrane cargo and mediates artemisinin resistance phenotype 2 by reducing endocytosis or the recycling or trafficking of one or more membrane proteins. Previous work has shown that fluorescent artemisinin derivatives concentrate in neutral lipid bodies close to the digestive vacuole [5], suggesting that drug may be taken up by endocytosis. Artemisinin interacts with the endocytic and endosomal pathway. For example, it inhibits endocytosis [64] and haemoglobin uptake [7], resulting in the disruption of the DV membrane [6] and some derivatives may increase the accumulation of endocytic vesicles [6]. Also, artemisinin activity is dependent on haemoglobin digestion [7]. A reduction in haemoglobin uptake or digestion may therefore constitute a strategy for surviving exposures to short-lived artemisinins. The I568T mutation might therefore reduce the rate of haemoglobin uptake and hence the activation or action of artemisinin. Indeed, the reduced growth of AS-ART in relation to its sensitive progenitor AS-30CQ in absence of treatment (Figure 3A), indirectly supports this notion. The involvement of adaptor proteins in resistance to suramin, miltefosine and artemisinin in *Trypanosoma, Leishmania* [68,69] and *Plasmodium* however, may suggest a rather general resistance mechanism involving, for example, the reduction of drug uptake through endocytosis.

A V2728F mutation in *ubp1* was previously identified as the critical determinant of artemisinin resistance phenotype 1 in AS-30CQ [30], predicting that this mutation would decrease de-ubiquitination. The ubiquitination of membrane receptors and proteins may induce clathrin-independent (caveolae) endocytosis [70]. Therefore, the *ubp1* and *AP2-mu* mutations may both modulate endocytosis, possibly changing the balance of endocytosis toward a clathrin-independent pathway. Interestingly, chloroquine is also known to
interact with endocytosis, vesicular transport, haemoglobin digestion and actin and DV dynamics [64-66,71] and the V2728F upb1 mutation has been shown to confer high-level CQ-resistance [31]. This artemisinin- and chloroquine-cross resistance is therefore consistent with the suggestion that endocytic pathways are involved in the mode of action of both of these drugs and the resistance mechanisms in P. chabaudi.

In addition, a number of observations are consistent with the view that mdr1 could be a critical cargo protein. Firstly, mdr1 duplication has been identified as another determinant of ART-R [32]. Secondly, mdr1 sequences (both P. chabaudi and P. falciparum) contain many YXXΦ recognition motifs (Figure 6), suggesting that it’s trafficking and localization may be subject to control by the μ-adaptor. Thirdly, changes in the clathrin-dependent endocytosis and trafficking of mdr1-encoded P-glycoprotein (in human cancer cell lines) alter both its partition between cell membrane and intracellular pools, as well as the level of drug resistance [72]. Finally, distributions of P-glycoprotein within cancer cells and their drug-resistance phenotypes are also mediated by ubiquitination [73].

The present study therefore suggests that experimental studies on the molecular interactions between ubiquitination pathways, endocytosis and artemisinin uptake and sensitivity may provide important insights regarding artemisinin action and resistance mechanisms.

Field studies of P. falciparum

12 genetic polymorphisms (nine SNPs and three insertions) were identified in the P. falciparum orthologue (PF3D7_1218300) in field samples from Rwanda, São Tomé and Brazil, one of which (S160N) was associated with previously published in vitro DHA responses in parasites from Rwanda (p = 0.026). We cannot exclude the possibility that a different genetically linked gene may regulate the parasite’s in vitro response to DHA, particularly since linkage disequilibrium in this region of the genome was not estimated in these samples. It has been suggested that modified in vitro assays may be required for improved estimation and sensitivity of responses to ART [74].

Association studies in locations in Southeast Asia where ART-R has been reported would be of particular interest. A recent linkage analysis of a P. falciparum genetic cross (HB3 x Dd2) revealed 3 loci linked to in vitro artemisinin responses; on chr05, chr12 and chr13 [24]. Marker C12M63 was the most highly linked marker on chr12. However, this marker maps over 1 Mbase downstream from PF3D7_1218300 suggesting that a different gene
was involved in that study. A genome-wide analysis of genetic diversity in *P. falciparum* parasites from Asia, with different artemisinin responses mapped a possible genetic determinant to a region of chr13 [21]. However, no SNPs were identified in these genes that could be associated with the *in vivo* parasite’s responses to ART. Recently however, the genotyping of *P. falciparum* isolates from Asia established associations between four SNPs (on chromosomes 10, 13 and 14) and both recent positive selection and parasite clearance phenotypes after artemisinin treatment [75]. However, the genes and regions where these SNPs lie are not linked to the *P. falciparum* orthologue of the AP2 mu-chain on chromosome 12, suggesting a different gene to that identified here is involved.

We note that all of the field samples analyzed here were collected before the official implementation of ACTs. Therefore, although baseline levels of ART responses vary considerably between isolates this variability may be more likely to arise from pre-existing genetic variation than from that selected by drug use. However, naturally occurring polymorphisms (such as S160N), with small drug response effects, may represent the first step on the pathway to full resistance. For example, those recombinant clones of the HB3 x Dd2 cross with the lowest ART responses were, indeed, those in which resistance was most easily selected [24].

Due to the relatively small number of samples analyzed and the fact that all the samples were collected before the official implementation of ACTs, further studies will therefore be required to fully evaluate the association between PF3D7_1218300 polymorphisms and *in vitro* artemisinin responses in *P. falciparum* parasites.

**Conclusions**

An increased artemisinin resistance phenotype in a lineage of *P. chabaudi* drug-resistant parasites was identified. Genome-wide re-sequencing identifies a single point mutation in a critical part of the AP2 adaptor mu-chain. This mutation is predicted to increase artemisinin resistance by modulating clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Analysis of the orthologous gene in *P. falciparum* field isolates demonstrates existing genetic variation in the human malaria parasite and a weak association between a polymorphism in *pfap2-mu* gene and *in vitro* artemisinin responses.
Lastly, the possibility was considered that mutations in a different gene(s) may play a role in responses to artemisinin derivatives in *P. falciparum*. However, further than assigning particular mutations to ART resistance phenotypes, it is suggested that a potentially novel biological pathway through which artemisinin resistance may occur may be involved.
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ABSTRACT

Background

The efficacy of ACT for *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria may be threatened by parasites with reduced responsiveness to artemisinins. Among 298 ACT-treated children from Mbita, Kenya, sub-microscopic persistence of *P. falciparum* on day 3 post-treatment was associated with subsequent microscopically detected parasitaemia at days 28 or 42.

Methods

DNA sequences of resistance-associated parasite loci *pfcrt*, *pfmdr1*, *pfubp1* and *pfap2mu* were determined in the Mbita cohort before treatment, on days 2 and 3 after initiation of treatment and on the day of treatment failure.

Results

Parasites surviving ACT on day 2 or day 3 post-treatment were significantly more likely than the baseline population to carry the wild type haplotypes of *pfcrt* (CVMNK at codons 72-76; P < 0.001) and *pfmdr1* (NFD at codons 86, 184, 1246; P < 0.001). In contrast, variant alleles of the novel candidate resistance genes *pfap2-mu* (S160N/T; P = 0.006) and *pfubp-1* (E1528D; P < 0.001) were significantly more prevalent post-treatment. No genetic similarities were found to artemisinin-tolerant parasites recently described in Cambodia.

Conclusions

Among treated children in western Kenya, certain *P. falciparum* genotypes defined at *pfcrt*, *pfmdr1*, *pfap2-mu* and *pfubp1* more often survive ACT at sub-microscopic level, and contribute to onward transmission and subsequent patent recrudescence.
INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, the widespread deployment of artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), with improved vector control and other measures, has contributed to a reduction of malaria-related morbidity and mortality across sub-Saharan Africa [1, 2]. These public health gains may be lost if the efficacy of ACT against *Plasmodium falciparum* is not sustained. Recent evidence suggests that parasite genotypes have arisen in Cambodia and surrounding countries that are less rapidly cleared by artesunate monotherapy [3-6]. This has been interpreted by some as *bona fide* emerging artemisinin resistance [4, 6], and by others as “treatment failure”, with full-blown resistance to ACT not yet present [7]. The efficacy of ACT remains high in Africa, with no reports to date of slow clearance as in Cambodia. However, evidence based on classical microscopic parasite detection suggests that a proportion of ACT-treated children in Kenya do not completely clear *P. falciparum* parasitaemia [8]. More recently, qPCR-detectable sub-microscopic residual parasitaemia on day 2 or 3 post-ACT in Kenyan children was shown to be a significant risk factor for parasite transmission to mosquitoes, and for microscopically-detected parasitaemia recurring on day 28 or 42 [9]. Thus residual asexual parasitaemia, if genetically determined, may provide an enhanced likelihood of infecting the vector population, and thus further human hosts. Such evolutionary success, where parasites harbouring genetic markers of drug resistance exhibit a transmission advantage, has previously been implicated in the development of resistance to chloroquine and anti-folate malaria drugs [10-12].

The possibility that *P. falciparum* populations in Kenya may be less responsive to ACT therapy than previously reported provides a strong rationale for further investigation, for two reasons. Firstly, identification of any heritable genetic signature for this phenotype would enable monitoring of its spread by tracking relevant molecular markers. Secondly, it is important to determine whether the sub-microscopic persisting parasite phenotype described in Kenya is related to the more pronounced microscopically detectable slow clearance described from western Cambodia [3,4], given important differences in transmission intensity, population prevalence of acquired immunity and treatment policy. Genetic characterisation of the persisting Kenyan parasites at candidate loci known or suspected to be under selection by ACT would address both objectives. Candidate loci include, firstly, markers for resistance to the quinoline drugs chloroquine and amodiaquine, known to be selected by ACT towards quinoline-sensitive alleles [13-16]. Secondly, new candidates *pcubp1* (encoding ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 1) and *pcap2mu*
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(encoding clathrin vesicle-associated adaptor 2, \(\mu\) subunit), both implicated in artemisinin resistance in the rodent parasite *P. chabaudi*, have been shown to have polymorphic homologues in *P. falciparum* [17-18]. These polymorphisms have yet to be fully validated as markers in parasites with reduced sensitivity to artemisinin derivatives *in vivo*. However, genome-wide association studies of *P. falciparum* isolates from coastal Kenya in which the *pfubp1* variant E1528D was associated with reduced susceptibility to artemisinin *in vitro* were recently reported [19].

To characterise any genetic signature of slow clearing sub-microscopic infections in ACT-treated children from Mbita, Kenya [9], *pfcrt*, *pfmdr1*, *pfubp1*, and *pfap2-mu* genotypes were determined on days 2 and 3, and on day of recrudescence in the case of later treatment failures. These were compared with pre-treatment parasite genotypes isolated from children at baseline, and evaluated for evidence of post-treatment selection *in vivo*.

**METHODS**

**Trial design and participants**

As previously described in detail [20], 298 children aged 6 months to 10 years were randomly allocated to receive a 6-dose regimen of artemether-lumefantrine (AL) or a 3-dose regimen of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP), fully observed, and followed for 42 days, according to a protocol approved by the KEMRI Scientific Steering Committee (#1556, 2009) and the LSHTM Ethics Committee (#5455, 2009). Detailed parasite clearance dynamics were assessed by qPCR as described elsewhere [9].

**Detection of genetic polymorphisms in loci of interest**

Parasite DNA was extracted from \(~10\muL\) blood spots collected on Whatman filter paper prior to treatment (day 0) and on days 2, 3, 7, 14, 28 and 42 after treatment as previously described [9]. Polymorphisms in the *pfcrt* gene (PF3D7_0709000), encoding the *P. falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter, were determined in parasite DNA by multiplex qPCR as described [21]. Polymorphisms at codons 86, 184 and 1246 in the *pfmdr1* gene (PF3D7_0523000), encoding P-glycoprotein H1, were identified using direct sequencing of PCR products as previously described with minor modifications [16].
Polymorphisms in the \textit{pfap2-mu} gene (PF3D7\_1218300), encoding the \(\mu\)-subunit of clathrin-associated AP2 adaptor protein [18], were determined by PCR amplification and direct sequencing of three fragments encompassing codons 1-174, 121-399 and 377-621, as described [22].

Polymorphisms in \textit{pfubp1} (PF3D7\_0104300) in the 300bp region encompassing codons 1463 – 1563 were determined using a PCR strategy designed and optimised for this study. Nested PCR products were generated using the primer sets:

- Nest 1 Forward Primer (pfubp1\_1452\_1F) \[CGCCCGTACTATGAAGAAGATC\]
- Nest 1 Reverse Primer (pfubp1\_1612\_1R) \[GGCTTTTACCTGAACTGTCAGG\]
- Nest 2 Forward Primer (pfubp1\_1463\_2F) \[CGTAACAGATATTCAGGATTGC\]
- Nest 2 Reverse Primer (pfubp1\_1563\_2R) \[CTAGCCCTTTATTACATTATCG\]

Amplification was performed with two nested reactions of 40 cycles of 3-step PCR, with 30s annealing at 53°C (nest 1) or 57°C (nest 2) and elongation at 72°C for 45s. Products were characterised by direct sequencing as described [22]. Ambiguous mixed haplotypes of \textit{pfmdr1} were excluded from the analysis as previously described (14). Mixed genotypes were included in the analysis at the \textit{pfcrt} locus, where only two haplotypes were found at codons 72-76, and at both the \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pfubp1} loci, for which only a single variable codon was evaluated.

\textbf{Analysis of locus polymorphism in global P. falciparum genome data}

Single nucleotide polymorphic residues in the \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pfubp1} loci were examined in Plasmoview (http://pathogenseq.lshtm.ac.uk/plasmoview) [23], in an ordered set of 631 \textit{P. falciparum} genomes stratified by geographical region.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

Binary variables were compared across pairs of categories, and odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) estimated. Significance was determined using the \textit{chi}-squared distribution. Directional selection in paired samples from the same patient at different time-points was tested for statistical significance using McNemar’s symmetry test. All analyses were performed in STATA 11 (Timberlake Associates, College Station, Texas).
RESULTS

**Codons 72-76 of pfcr*:**

The wild-type *pfcr* allele, encoding CVMNK at codons 72 – 76 of the CRT protein, was confirmed as present in 143 of the 278 (51.4%) evaluable parasite isolates prior to treatment (day 0), of which 89 also harboured the CVIET allele. The remaining 135 isolates (48.6%) were CVIET alone. The CVMNK allele was significantly more common among sub-microscopic infections at day 3, being detected in 66 of the 73 successfully evaluated (90.4%; OR 8.90; 95% CI 3.88 - 23.7; P < 0.001). A significant proportion on day 3 (68%) were mixed infections comprising both the CVMNK and CVIET genotypes. Thirty-five of the 65 children with detectable CVMNK parasites at day 3 did not carry this genotype at day 0, compared to only 3 children presenting with CVMNK but having only CVIET detected at day 3, demonstrating significant asymmetry, interpretable as strong directional within-host selection for the CVMNK allele ($\chi^2 = 26.95$, 1 d.f.; P < 0.001). This effect remained significant after stratification for treatment group (Table 1). These findings suggest that in those children with both *pfcr* genotypes present prior to treatment, CVMNK significantly increased in relative abundance from day 0 to day 3. There was evidence that carriage of CVMNK prior to treatment was associated with risk of parasite recurrence at day 28 or day 42 for AL (OR 2.461, 95% CI 1.004 – 6.23; P = 0.030) but not for DP (OR 1.405, 95% CI 0.154 – 17.3; P = 0.714).
Table 1. Prevalence of *pfcrt* and *pfmdr1* haplotypes before and after treatment with artemether-lumefantrine or dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Odds Ratio: occurrence on day 3</th>
<th>Directional selection within-host*</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vs baseline (95% CI)</td>
<td>LOSS (frequency)</td>
<td>GAIN (frequency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>pfcrt</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>codons 72-76 CVMNK</td>
<td>AL (N = 43, 143)</td>
<td>10.32 (3.42 - 41.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DP (N = 30, 135)</td>
<td>7.64 (2.17 - 40.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined ACT (N=73, 278)</td>
<td>8.90 (3.88 - 23.69)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>pfmdr1</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>codons 86, 184, 1246 NFD</td>
<td>AL (N = 26, 101)</td>
<td>3.15 (1.19 - 8.60)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DP (N = 15, 104)</td>
<td>8.52 (1.76 - 80.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined ACT (N=42, 206)</td>
<td>3.59 (1.68 - 7.95)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* change in genotype in the same patient between day 0 and day 3 is scored as loss or gain; significance tested using McNemar’s test of asymmetry
**Codons 86, 184 and 1246 of pfmdr1**

Previous studies have proposed that the haplotype NFD at codons 86, 184 and 1246 is associated with parasite recurrence after AL. We collated information at these three positions into haplotypes, using strict criteria to eliminate ambiguity due to mixed infections by excluding all isolates with mixed alleles called at two or more of the codons of interest [14]. The proportion of children carrying the haplotype NFD prior to treatment was 38.4%, but this rose to 69.1% on day 3 (N = 42; OR 3.59; 95% CI 1.68 - 7.95; P < 0.001). However, this strict haplotype definition may have led to biased loss of data from pre-treatment isolates because of higher parasite density, and thus greater clonal multiplicity. The analysis was repeated using less stringent criteria for assigning pfmdr1 haplotypes (i.e. assigning the NFD haplotype to all ambiguous isolates where this may have been present). This also showed significantly higher prevalence of the NFD haplotype (sensu lato) on day 3 than in the baseline population (N = 48 at day 3; OR 4.31, 95% CI 2.09 - 9.30; P < 0.001). Paired analysis on both days 0 and 3 at all three codon positions was available for 38 children, and evidence of significant within-host directional selection was found for the NFD haplotype sensu strictu (P = 0.0016). Despite loss of statistical power, stratification by treatment group suggested that this effect occurred in both the AL (N=22) and DP arms (N=15) (P = 0.011, 0.059 respectively; Table 1). Presence of the NFD haplotype at day 0 was not associated with risk of recrudescence in either arm (data not shown).

**Polymorphisms in pfap2-mu**

As this is the first study to examine the role of pfap2-mu polymorphisms in a prospective study of ACT sensitivity, sequence data was obtained at all 621 codons for 166 pre-treatment isolates, and for codons 121-399 for a further 78 isolates. A variety of mutations including synonymous and non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms, deletions and insertions were identified within this gene in the study population, and these are summarised in Tables 2 and 3. We were able to assemble 57 distinct haplotypes from the 166 full-length pfap2-mu sequences, 38 of which only occurred once. The most common haplotype, found in 32 pre-treatment isolates, was identical to the reference sequence from 3D7.

Pilot analysis of the first 20 isolates found to have persisting parasites on day 2 or day 3 identified the non-synonymous polymorphism at codon 160, Ser to either Asn or Thr (Table 2), as differing in prevalence between pre- and post-treatment infections. We therefore focussed on this mutation for detailed analysis. Among 244 evaluable pre-treatment
samples, 18.3% and 1.2% carried the Asn or Thr alleles, respectively, at codon 160 of *pfap2-mu*. In contrast, combining 32 evaluable paired samples, each consisting of one sample collected before treatment (day 0) and one sample collected after the treatment (day 2 or day) from the same patient, the prevalence of parasites with either the S160N or S160T variant rose immediately following ACT treatment from 28.1% to 40.6% (OR 2.87, 95% CI 1.20 – 6.61; *P* = 0.006). Among these 32 individuals, evidence of directional selection for either variant was found between day 0, with nine of the individuals carrying either mutant or mixed alleles (mutant and Wt) and day 2/3 (P = 0.046), with an extra four individuals carrying the mutant variants (S160N/T). This selection was not seen at the day of failure, when the overall prevalence of codon 160 mutations was 25% (Table 2). There was no evidence that carriage of the S160N or S160T variant prior to treatment was associated with risk of recurrent infection (data not shown).

**Table 2.** Synonymous and non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms in the *pfap2-mu* gene, and prevalence at day 0, day 2/3 and day of failure after ACT treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>Reference sequence</th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>Nucleotide substitution</th>
<th>AA changes</th>
<th>Prevalence day 0 (N=244)*</th>
<th>Prevalence day 2/3 (N=32)</th>
<th>Prevalence day failure (N=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>15A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>437A</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>479A</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>483A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>486C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>489G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>564G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>596C</td>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>598T</td>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>699G</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>1010G</td>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>1026C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>1311A</td>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>1428G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>1434T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The middle sequencing fragment (codons 121-399) was successfully analysed in all isolates. Codon 5 was not determined in 2 isolates without fragment 1 data; codons 5, 337, 324, 437, 476 and 478 were not determined in 74 isolates without fragment 1 or 3 data, and codons 337, 324, 437, 476 and 478 were not determined in 1 isolate without fragment 3 data.
Table 3. Indels identified in the *pfap2*-mu gene, consequent amino acid replacements and prevalence at day 0, day 2/3 and day fail after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>Reference sequence</th>
<th>Deletions/Insertions</th>
<th>Prevalence day 0 (N=244)</th>
<th>Prevalence day 2/3 (N=32)</th>
<th>Prevalence day failure (N=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>7xAsn</td>
<td>5xAsn / 6xAsn</td>
<td>0.4% / 0.4%</td>
<td>0% / 0%</td>
<td>0% / 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8xAsn / 9xAsn</td>
<td>17.6% / 1.2%</td>
<td>9.4% / 3.1%</td>
<td>25.0% / 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>1xLys</td>
<td>2xLys</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>5xAsn</td>
<td>6xAsn</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>4xAsn</td>
<td>5xAsn</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymorphisms in *pfubp1*

An amplification strategy was designed for a region of *pfubp1* from codons 1463 to 1563, encompassing the polymorphic codon 1528 identified by Borrmann et al. [19] as being associated with *in vitro* parasite responses to artemisinin in Kenya. Direct sequencing of this region was successful in 123 pre-treatment isolates, and identified 16 distinct haplotypes defined by synonymous and non-synonymous point mutations, indels of one to 15 amino acids and repeat number variations in low complexity nucleotide tracts (Figure 1). We focussed on the codon E1528D substitution, which occurred in 12 of 123 evaluable individuals (9.8%) prior to treatment. Day 3 finger-prick samples had been largely exhausted by investigations of the other three loci, and by previous qPCR analysis [9], but sequencing of *pfubp1* was possible for 35 samples from day 3, of which 6 (17.1%) encoded Asp at codon 1528. To increase the sample, we amplified this region from 33 parasitological failures identified by microscopy on day 28 or day 42 [20], of which 14 (42.4%) carried 1528D. Thus evidence was found that the E1528D mutation was significantly more common among parasite isolates after treatment (days 3, 28 or 42) than among the pre-treatment population (OR 3.85, 95% CI 1.63 - 9.32; \( P < 0.001 \)). Paired analysis in the 36 evaluable individuals (i.e. those with *pfubp1* sequence data on day 0 and at least one of day 3, day 28 or day 42) showed strong evidence of directional selection (\( P = 0.002 \)). Carriage of the 1528D variant at day 0 was not associated with risk of recurrent infections (data not shown).
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Figure 1. Haplotype frequencies at codons 1463–1563 of pfubp1 in 123 pre-treatment isolates. A 303nt fragment was amplified from genomic DNA using primers described in the text, and sequenced directly. Sequences were ordered from the most frequent to the least, and aligned in Clustal W and formatted in Boxshade, with additional hand-editing for clarity. Codon 1258, as identified by Borrman et al. [19] is arrowed. Haplotype 1 is identical to the sequence found in the 3D7 reference genome. *Haplotypes 7 and 8 carry a synonymous mutation at codon 1518, encoding Asn. [The experimental work contributing to this figure was done by Gisela Henriques and Rachel Johnson].
Polymorphism of \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pfubp1} in the global genome sequence database

Having identified two novel candidate loci contributing to the genetic signature of persisting sub-microscopic \textit{P. falciparum} in our treated Kenyan children, we sought to examine diversity in these two loci across 631 genomes using PlasmoView software [23]. For \textit{pfap2-mu}, the S160N/T polymorphism occurred across both West African (Burkina Faso, Gambia, Ghana, Mali, Senegal) and East African (Kenya, Malawi) parasite populations. This polymorphism did not occur in SE Asian populations (Thailand, Cambodia, and Vietnam) nor in PNG (Fig. 2, left). For \textit{pfubp1}, diversity across the locus was less geographically distinct, and mutations around codons 1520 – 1540 occurred in all populations (Fig. 2, right). By contrast, the recently described polymorphisms at codons 493, 539, 543 and 580 of the kelch gene (PF3D7_1343700), associated with artemisinin sensitivity in Cambodia [6], were restricted to SE Asia, and do not occur in available African \textit{P. falciparum} genomes. In contrast, the kelch polymorphism K189T was very common among African isolates but absent from Asian parasites included in the publicly available sample (data not shown).
Figure 2. Global sequence diversity in *pfap2-mu* (A) and *pfubp1* (B) across genome sequences from 631 *P. falciparum* isolates mapped to the 3D7 reference genome [23]. Coloured bars at any chromosome position (horizontal axis) denote a non-reference substitution at that residue in the relevant isolate (vertical axis). At left is the regional origin of isolates in the analysis, comprising 8 laboratory isolates (Lab), 367 isolates from West Africa, 88 from East Africa, 151 from SE Asia and 17 from Oceania. Codon S160N/T of *pfap2-mu* and codon 1528 of *pfubp1* are highlighted by red arrows at the bottom of the figure. For *pfubp1*, the position of two carboxy-terminal introns is also marked. Previous annotation of this region of chromosome 1 as two distinct genes (PFA0215w and PFA0220w) is shown above the right-hand figure. [The experimental work contributing to this figure was done by Colin Sutherland].
DISCUSSION

We have genetically characterised sub-microscopic *P. falciparum* infections in ACT-treated children from Mbita, Kenya and described a novel genetic signature at the *pfcrt, pfmdr1, pfap2-mu* and *pfubp1* candidate drug-resistance marker loci. For three of these loci, the signature was already present three days after treatment with either AL or DP. For *pfubp1*, selection was seen on day of recrudescence in the case of later treatment failures. By microscopy, all children were parasite-free at day 3 and afebrile, and thus these were persisting, sub-microscopic and asymptomatic infections which, in some cases, contributed to mosquito transmission potential on day 7 and patent recrudescent parasitaemia on day 28 or day 42 [9, 20]. The evidence that these variant genotypes were over-represented on day 3, immediately following completion of the full (observed) course of either AL or DP, suggests that selection is exerted partly or primarily by the artemisinin component of combination therapy, and that *P. falciparum* response to artemisinin *in vivo* is modulated by variation at these loci. Although not directly shown by our data, this interpretation is supported by a recent study in Uganda [24], which found that lumefantrine and piperaquine did not select for the same haplotypes of *pfmdr1* in recurrent infections, implying that early selection for the NFD haplotype is exerted by the artemisinin component in both treatment arms.

Previous studies have documented selection at the *pfcrt* and *pfmdr1* loci in late recurrent infections in ACT-treated African children [13-16], but this is the first demonstration of selection on day 3. The favoured CQ-sensitive haplotypes *pfcrt* CVMNK and *pfmdr1* NFD were supplanted in the late 20th century by the spread of CQ-resistance. Thus it is difficult to distinguish between a general disadvantage *in vivo* caused by the relative lack of fitness of CQ-resistant *P. falciparum* in the absence of CQ [25, 26], and a specific interaction between these loci and artemisinin. Our study was not powered, nor designed, to explore inter-locus interactions, but we observed both *pfmdr1*-NFD and *pfcrt*-CVMNK in 43 of 204 evaluable patients on day 0, and in 21 of 34 evaluable sub-microscopic infections on day 3 (OR 6.05, 95% CI 2.62 – 14.2; P < 0.001). This may suggest the benefit of the two wild-type genes was enhanced by the presence of artemisinin. Another weakness of our study was that some isolates of interest were not sequenced at all loci, mainly due to exhaustion of small, finite blood samples. Although the limited number of observations in each of the treatment arms precluded comparisons of effect estimates due to lack of
power, an exploratory stratification was carried out, generating odds ratios with very wide confidence intervals (data not shown).

The S160N or S160T variants showed directional selection with a higher prevalence in samples collected 2 or 3 days after the drug treatment. However, comparisons between the prevalence of the different alleles before and after the treatment were only possible in a relatively small number of samples. The directional selection for pfap2-mu 160 (AL: p=0.015; DP: p=0.063) and pfubp1 (AL: p=0.0002; DP: p=0.029) remained evident in both arms. Future studies with adequate power can now be designed using these estimates of prevalence and effect size, to more accurately determine the role of these genes in modulations of artemisinin in vivo responses. Moreover, age and multiplicity of infection were associated with parasite persistence in the Mbota trial [9], and thus are also potential confounders. These parameters should be investigated by multi-variate regression in studies with sufficient power to further dissect the interplay among parasite genotypes, host factors and different treatment regimens.

This study provides the first preliminary evidence that P. falciparum response to artemisinin in vivo may be modulated by polymorphisms in the loci pfap2-mu and pfubp1, both homologues of genes identified in studies of experimentally-induced artemisinin resistance in the rodent parasite P. chabaudi [17, 18]. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is a mechanism common to eukaryotic cells by which membrane-associated proteins and other cargo are transported from the plasma membrane, trans-Golgi network or endosomes to acceptor compartments; the adaptor complex 2 is associated with cell surface endocytosis, recruiting to the vesicle both cargo and structural components including clathrin, and may have a role in haemoglobin trafficking in malaria parasites. The ubiquitination of proteins modulates endocytosis and proteosomal recycling, with known substrates including P-glycoproteins such as Pgh1 (encoded in malaria parasites by pfmdr1) [27]. Thus these two loci may both contribute to endosomal digestion of haemoglobin in malaria parasites [18].

The persistence of microscopically undetectable parasites following treatment of clinical malaria does not constitute in vivo antimalarial resistance as normally defined, and may have been equally common in the pre-ACT era. The application of a novel endpoint, qPCR positivity within 72 hours of treatment, has merely permitted this to be observed for the first time. In settings where a significant proportion of malaria patients are semi-immune,
newly acquired super-infecting parasites may flourish and cause symptomatic malaria, despite the presence of pre-existing “symbiotic” genotypes hitherto stably suppressed by the immune response, but adapted to continuing survival. As we have previously hypothesised [28], it may be these pre-existing parasites that remain in some individuals after drug clearance of the symptomatic infection. This hypothesis is only plausible if current ACT regimens are ineffective against low density parasitaemia, but recent data do suggest this is the case [28, 29]. Evaluation of current and alternative regimens against asymptomatic parasitaemia, using appropriate study protocols specifically designed for this purpose, is required to test this possibility.

Our interpretation is that the phenomenon studied here is not antimalarial resistance per se. Consistent with this, the genetic signature described does not resemble that of the “artemisinin-resistant” parasites associated with the slow-clearance phenotype in Cambodia. Firstly, the latter do not carry the CVMNK haplotype of pfcrt and, secondly, pfmdr1 polymorphisms are not associated with the phenotype [30]. Finally, we found no evidence of codon 160 polymorphism in pfap2-mu occurring in SE Asian isolates in the available genomic sequence database, nor evidence of kelch propeller domain polymorphism in any African isolates [6]. We thus conclude that the persisting sub-microscopic parasites observed in our cohort of ACT-treated Kenyan children are not related to slow-clearing parasites as seen in Cambodia and nearby countries. Only polymorphisms in pfubp1 may be shared determinants in Cambodia and Kenya. PF3D7_0104300 encompasses two loci, PFA0215w and PFA0220w, from earlier genome annotations (Fig. 2B), and variant alleles of the former were identified in sub-populations of P. falciparum in Cambodia associated with slow clearance after artesunate monotherapy [31].

We have described a novel genetic signature at four loci in P. falciparum, and implicate these genes in modulating in vivo parasite response to artemisinin. Further evaluation in purpose-designed, adequately powered studies of asymptomatic malaria in vivo, and in genetically modified P. falciparum in vitro, are needed to determine the potential public health implications of these observations, and the utility of these loci as markers of artemisinin sensitivity in natural populations of P. falciparum worldwide.
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Abstract

In 2006, Burkina Faso adopted artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) as the first-line treatment for uncomplicated malaria. To determine whether parasite susceptibility has been affected by the introduction of the ACTs in Burkina Faso, an *ex vivo* susceptibility study was conducted on *P. falciparum* isolates obtained during a clinical trial of Artemether-Lumefantrine (AL) and Artesunate-Amodiaquine (AS + AQ). Here we investigate the association between *in vitro* antimalarial drug susceptibility with genetic polymorphisms in *pfubp1*, *pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU*, three new molecular marker candidates that have been implicated in artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium chabaudi*. Data revealed that polymorphisms in *pfubp1* and *pf26S-protSU* can modulate *in vitro* and *in vivo* responses to Lumefantrine. Additionally, this study is in agreement with the recent suggestion that polymorphisms in *pfap2-mu* may contribute to *P. falciparum* susceptibility to multiple drugs. Further studies are necessary to further confirm the importance of these new molecular markers in modulating *P. falciparum* antimalarial drug susceptibility.
Introduction

Resistance to antimalarial drugs represents a major obstacle for control and elimination of malaria in endemic countries. In response to increasing resistance to antimalarials and to delay the development of resistance, artemisinin based combination therapies (ACTs) are now the mainstay of recommended treatment for *P. falciparum* malaria. ACT, which combines highly potent artemisinin (ART) derivatives with long acting partner compounds are the most effective antimalarial drugs available at the moment (WHO, 2013).

Burkina Faso is located in the middle of the West African Sahel region and is one of the poorest countries in the world, ranking 183 out of 186 countries as surveyed by the United Nations Development Programme’s 2012 Human Development Index (Programme, 2014). Malaria is a major public health problem in Burkina Faso, with the entire population at risk for infection. Despite suggestion of a general decline in malaria burden in sub-Saharan Africa, health facilities reported an increase in the number of malaria cases in Burkina Faso from an estimated 2 million in 2007 to 6 million in 2012 (WHO, 2013). In 2005, Burkina Faso adopted ACT as first-line treatment against malaria and chloroquine was replaced by artemether-lumefantrine (AL) and artesunate amodiaquine (AQ + AS). However, since the introduction of ACT there are very little data available on the efficacy of ACT against *Plasmodium falciparum* in Burkina Faso. Due to the essential role of ACTs in malaria control, monitoring of the efficacy of both ART and its partner drug is a crucial step in preventing any potential catastrophic failure of ACTs.

To determine whether parasite susceptibility has been affected by the introduction of the ACTs in Burkina Faso, an *ex vivo* susceptibility study was conducted on *P. falciparum* isolates obtained during a clinical trial of AL and AS + AQ (Tinto et al. 2014). The *P. falciparum* isolates were assessed for their susceptibility to several antimalarial drugs. In the present study, we investigate the relationship between the *in vitro* susceptibilities to the different drugs and the prevalence of polymorphisms in recently identified genes associated with antimalarial resistance. The loci of interest included genes encoding a de-ubiquitinating enzyme (*ubp-1*) (Hunt et al. 2007; Hunt et al. 2010), the mu (µ) chain of the AP2 adaptor protein complex (*ap2-µ*) ([Henriques et al. 2013]; Chapter 4], and the 26S proteasome regulatory subunit (*26S-protSU*) (Rodrigues, Cravo et al., in preparation). The potential associations between the polymorphisms found and treatment outcome were also investigated by comparing parasite genotypes of pre- and post-treatment isolates.
These new candidates have been implicated in artemisinin resistance in the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi*. A recent study conducted in Kenya also showed that mutations in the *pfap2-mu* and *pfubp1* genes may modulate the responses to artemisinin combination treatments *in vivo* (Henriques *et al.* 2014) (Chapter 5).

**Material and Methods**

**Study site and clinical isolates**

*Plasmodium falciparum* DNA was available from one clinical trial conducted at the Dafra Health Centre in Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso (Dr Halidou Tinto, unpublished results; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00808951). In brief, the study was conducted to determine the *in vivo* and *in vitro* efficacy of AL and AS + AQ, in children with uncomplicated malaria. Children aged 6 months to 15 years, with *P. falciparum* monoinfection (parasitaemia of 4,000-200,000 asexual parasites per µl), fever (axillary temperature ≥37.5 °C or fever in the last 24 hours) and whose parents or guardians agreed on participation were eligible to participate. Overall 440 participants were enrolled and randomized to receive AL or AS + AQ during three periods (December 2008 to February 2009, from July 2009 to March 2010 and from July to December 2010). Treatment efficacy was assessed during a period of 42 day follow-up and the isolates were tested by the laboratory team of Dr. Halidou Tinto, Centre Muraz, Bobo Dioulasso, using the classical *in vitro* isotopic microtest technique. For each patient isolate IC50 estimates were generated for dihydroartemisinin (DHA), quinine (QN), chloroquine diphosphate (CQ), lumefantrine (LUM), piperaquine (PIP) and monodesethylamodiaquine (MDA) (Tinto *et al.*, 2014). At the end of the follow-up, the patients were classified according to their clinical and parasitological responses into treatment failure or adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR). Nested PCR reaction was used to genotype the MSP-1, MSP-2 and GLURP markers to distinguish true treatment failures from new infections (Halidou Tinto, unpublished results). The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Centre Muraz. The clinical and *ex vivo* susceptibility data, and dried filter-paper blood samples from each patient, were kindly provided for this study by the team of Dr Halidou and the MALACTRES consortium, coordinated by Dr Henk Schallig, Royal Tropical Institute, Amsterdam.
In vivo treatment outcomes

Of the 950 patients screened, 643 (67.7%) were positive for *P. falciparum* of which 440 were qualified for enrolment (Figure 1).
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Figure 1: Trial profile.

Of the 419 cases that completed the follow-up, 104 (49.3%) in the AL arm and 148 (71.2%) in the AS + AQ treatment arm were defined as adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR). In the AL treatment arm 107 (50.7%) of the 211 children had recurrent parasitaemia during follow-up. MSP-1, MSP-2 and GLURP diversity analysis of day 0 samples versus samples from the day of recurrence identified 19 (9.0%) recrudescent infections and 88 (41.7%) re-infections outcomes. In the AS + AQ treatment arm 60 (28.8%) of the 208 children had recurrent infections. They were classified as recrudescences 4 (1.9%) and re-infections 56 (26.9%) after PCR correction (Table 1).
Table 1. Treatment outcomes for AL or AS + AQ against *P. falciparum* malaria in Burkina Faso

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment received</th>
<th>AL N = 211</th>
<th>AS+AQ N = 208</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR)</td>
<td>104 (49.3%)</td>
<td>148 (71.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent infections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to re-infection*</td>
<td>88 (41.7%)</td>
<td>56 (26.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to recrudescence</td>
<td>19 (9.0%)</td>
<td>4 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PCR corrected values up to day 42

Analysis of parasite genetic polymorphisms in candidate genes

For this study, polymorphisms of the candidate genes were determined using a PCR and sequencing method. Parasite genomic DNA was isolated from filter paper samples by extraction with Chelex method, as previously described (Plowe et al. 1995).

The *pfap2-mu* gene and a 300 bp fragment of the *pfubp-1* gene (containing the codon 1528) were amplified using primers previously described (van Schalkwyk et al. 2013; Henriques et al. 2014). The complete *pf26S-protSU* gene was amplified using the primers and the PCR conditions described below. Each PCR was performed in a total volume of 25 µL with the following reaction mixture: 0.2 µM of each primer, 4.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 µM deoxynucleotides (dNTPs), 1 U Bioline Taq polymerase (Bioline, UK) and 5 µL of target DNA template. The thermal cycle program was 94°C for 3 min, and 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, annealing temperature for 30 sec and 68°C for 45 sec with a final extension of 68°C for 15 min. The primer sequence and annealing temperatures for the amplifications are described in Table 2.
**Table 2.** Primer sequences, PCR product sizes and annealing temperatures for 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} amplification used for the PCR amplifications of *pf26S-protSU* genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>1\textsuperscript{st} amplification primers (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>PCR product size</th>
<th>Annealing temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    |          | Fw - CCGCCAGTGGTGTAATAGC
Rev - GTCTAGCATCTAAGCAACC | 597bp | 55°C |
| 2    |          | Fw - GTTGATGAAATGATAGTGTC
Rev - GCCATCATTTATTGCTTATCATC | 690bp | 52°C |
| 3    |          | Fw - GATAATCTAAACAATGGAACC
Rev - CGTATTTTACTATCATATCTCC | 744bp | 52°C |
| 4    |          | Fw - GCAAATTATAACACAAATGACAAG
Rev - CCTGCCCTACTATAGCACC | 875bp | 55°C |
| 5    |          | Fw - CGAAATGAACTGTAATCCAAAC
Rev - ACAGGGATTITTCATATCGACC | 842bp | 55°C |
| 6    |          | Fw - GCCAAAGTAACAGTGAGGCC
Rev - GTGGTGCTCTTTTTTAGACG | 494bp | 55°C |

Amplified DNA was purified using Exonuclease I and Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase enzymes (Fermentas, UK) and sequenced using BigDye\textsuperscript{®} Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, UK) (van Schalkwyk et al. 2013). Sequences were aligned with the Geneious Pro software (version 5.5.3; Biomatters, New Zealand) with manual editing.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraftPad Software, San Diego, California). Infections with mixed mutant/wild-type genotypes at the *pfubbp-1*, *pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU* loci were analyzed as mutant. The chi-squared distribution was used to evaluate the difference in genotype frequencies between pre-treatment samples and post-treatment samples (day fail). The Mann–Whitney test (with significance level set at 0.05) was applied to investigate whether or not there are significant differences between *in vitro* IC\textsubscript{50} estimates for each antimalarial drug and each observed polymorphism in the genes of interest. As the study was the first of its kind with these loci, and thus exploratory in nature, we did not deploy any correction for multiple tests, but retained the 5% cut-off for statistical significance.
Results

P. falciparum isolates were tested for their ex vivo susceptibility to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, DHA at day 0 (before treatment) and day fail after treatment. The mean IC\textsubscript{50} for each anti-malarial drug is presented in Table 3. No significant difference was found between mean day 0 and day fail IC\textsubscript{50} estimates (data not shown).

Table 3. In vitro susceptibility of P. falciparum isolates from Burkina Faso to quinine (QN), chloroquine (CQ), monodesethylamodiaquine (MDA), lumefantrine (LUM), dihydroartemisinin (DHA) and piperaquine (PIP) at day 0 and at day fail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} Mean (nM)</th>
<th>Range (nM)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} Mean (nM)</th>
<th>Range (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QN</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>221.4</td>
<td>10.2 – 950.3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>223.3</td>
<td>11.9 - 1421.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>8.3 – 921.8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>150.5</td>
<td>9.9 - 548.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.8 – 595.9</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>5.4 - 62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUM</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.8 – 166.1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>1.0 - 171.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.8 - 375.2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.0 - 194.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2 – 38.8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1 - 16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymorphisms in \textit{pfubp1}

A partial sequence of the \textit{pfubp1} gene was sequenced (from codons 1463-1563) in 92 isolates from day 0 and in 125 isolates from day fail after the treatment, as previously described (Henriques \textit{et al}., 2014; Chapter 5). Overall, seven polymorphic sites were observed, consisting of three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and four indels. Two of these SNPs were non-synonymous mutations, causing amino acid changes at Asp1525Glu and Glu1528Asp while only one was a synonymous mutation (at codon 1518). One indel lead to a deletion of a Glutamic acid (E) at position 1507 and the remaining three indels lead to both insertions and deletions of three amino acids at positions 1513, 1519 and 1525 (KNE, KYD and KYE, respectively). Details of the genetic polymorphisms identified, consequent encoded amino acids and corresponding prevalences are shown in Table 4. We were able to assemble 33 distinct haplotypes.
Table 4. SNPs and indels identified in the *pfubp1* gene, consequent amino acid replacements and prevalence at day 0, in reinfections and recrudescence isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>Reference sequence (3D7)</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Nucleotide substitution</th>
<th>Amino acid changes</th>
<th>Prevalence at Day 0 (N=92)</th>
<th>Prevalence in reinfections (N=113)</th>
<th>Prevalence in recrudescences (N=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1518</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>4554T</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1525</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>4575A</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1528</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>4584C</td>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deletions / Insertions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference sequence (3D7)</th>
<th>Amino acid deletion / insertion</th>
<th>Prevalence at Day 0 (N=92)</th>
<th>Prevalence in reinfections (N=113)</th>
<th>Prevalence in recrudescences (N=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1507</td>
<td>2xE</td>
<td>2.2 %</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1513</td>
<td>2xKNE</td>
<td>4.3 % / 1.1 %</td>
<td>0.9 % / 0.9 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1519</td>
<td>2xKYD</td>
<td>4.3 % / 5.4 %</td>
<td>4.4 % / 1.8 %</td>
<td>8.3 % / 0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1525</td>
<td>2xKYE</td>
<td>15.2 % / 12.0 %</td>
<td>21.2% / 18.6 %</td>
<td>0 % / 0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Polymorphisms in pfap2-mu**

Full length coding sequence of *pfap2-mu* was determined in 52 pre- and post-treatment isolates, and a partial sequence (codons 122-399) was obtained for a further 461 pre- and post-treatment isolates. DNA sequencing from the 513 isolates revealed nucleotide substitutions at 6 different positions, with 4 of these causing amino acid shifts at positions Gly99Ala, Arg146Lys, Ser160Asn and Asn233Lys. Table 5 shows detailed information on the genetic polymorphisms identified, corresponding encoded amino acids and prevalence. A second source of genetic diversity detected in the *pfap2-mu* gene was sequence length variation due to the presence of indels. The majority of the indels observed lead to insertions of the AAT codon that corresponds to an insertion of an Asn residue in the polypeptide chain at positions 226, 319 and 326 (Table 5). The remaining indel corresponds to an insertion of a Lysine residue at position 233. A total of 21 different haplotypes were identified.

The amino acid substitution at position 99 (leading to a Glycine to Alanine substitution) is a previously undescribed mutation; all the other nine polymorphisms were described in previous studies (Henriques et al. 2013; Henriques et al, 2014).
Table 5. SNPs and indels identified in the \textit{pfap2-mu} gene, consequent amino acid replacements and prevalence at day 0, in reinfections and recrudescence isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>Reference sequence (3D7)</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Nucleotide substitution</th>
<th>Amino acid changes</th>
<th>Prevalence at Day 0 (N=381)</th>
<th>Prevalence in reinfections (N=115)</th>
<th>Prevalence in recrudescences (N=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99*</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>296C</td>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>437A</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>2.6 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>479A</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
<td>4.3 %</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>489G</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>564G</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
<td>17.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>699G</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>2.9 %</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deletions / Insertions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference sequence (3D7)</th>
<th>Amino acid deletion / insertion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>7xAsn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>1xLys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>5xAsn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>4xAsn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Codon 99 was only determined in 39 Day 0 and 13 Day fail isolates.

Polymorphisms in \textit{pf26S-protSU}

Analysis of the full \textit{pf26S-protSU} open reading frame (ORF) within 96 isolates from day 0 revealed eight polymorphic sites consisting of four non-synonymous mutations resulting in amino acid changes at positions Tyr107Phe, Asn250Lys, Glu535Ala and Lys711Arg, three synonymous mutations (at codons 123, 140 and 947) and one indel (Table 6). The 535 SNP was the most prevalent polymorphism found (96.9%), being present in 93 of the 96 samples analyzed. The detected indel lead to an insertion of one or more Asn residues (numbers of Asn repeats ranged between 7 and 19). The 9xAsn and 10xAsn were the most frequent numbers of Asn repeats observed, at a frequency of 41.7 % and 26.1 %, respectively. We detected 36 distinct haplotypes.
Table 6. SNPs and indels identified in the \textit{pf26S-protSU} gene, consequent amino acid replacements and prevalence at day 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>Reference sequence (3D7)</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Nucleotide substitution</th>
<th>Amino acid changes</th>
<th>Prevalence at Day 0 (N=96)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>320T</td>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>4.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>369G</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>420A</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>750A</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>1601C</td>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>96.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>2132G</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>13.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>947</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>2841A</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference sequence (3D7)  Amino acid deletion / insertion  Prevalence at Day 0 (N=96)
429  6xAsn  7xAsn / 8xAsn  5.2 % / 8.3 %
     |         | 9xAsn / 10xAsn    | 41.7 % / 26.1 %
     |         | 11xAsn / 12xAsn   | 5.2 % / 5.4 %
     |         | 13xAsn / 14xAsn   | 1.0 % / 5.2 %
     |         | 15xAsn / 17xAsn / 19xAsn | 1.0 % / 1.0 % / 1.0 %

Correlation between polymorphisms and the \textit{in vivo} outcomes

To determine if any of the studied \textit{pfubp1} and \textit{pfap2-mu} polymorphisms was under selection, the prevalence of the different alleles was compared between baseline infections and reinfections and recrudescences. The prevalence of the 1525Glu \textit{pfubp1} mutation is higher in recrudescence (16.7%) than in pre-treatment (3.3%) or reinfections (2.7%) isolates (Table 4). However, this difference is not statistically significant (p=0.10 and p=0.12, respectively). There was no evidence that carriage of the 1525Glu mutation prior to treatment was associated with risk of recurrent infection (data not shown).

From the eight non synonymous mutations and indels described in \textit{pfap2-mu}, an insertion of an Asn residue at position 226, was the only polymorphism statistically significantly selected in recrudescent infections (20.9 % versus 41.2%; p=0.0011).
Figure 2: Association of non-synonymous SNPs in \textit{pfubp1} with responses to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. For each drug, IC$_{50}$ values for each individual isolate are shown as black dots; geometric means are shown as colored bars. Statistical significance between groups was determined by Mann–Whitney tests (p<0.05 are in red).
Figure 3: Association of Indels in *pfubp1* with responses to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. (See legend to Figure 2)
Correlation between polymorphisms and in vitro drug responses

Association between the IC$_{50}$ to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA and *P. falciparum* polymorphisms in *pfubp1* and *pfap2-mu* was assessed in pre-treatment and recurrent infections. This association was also assessed for *pf26S-protSU* gene but only in pre-treatment samples. Only indels and non-synonymous polymorphisms were included in the analysis. The Gly99Ala *pfap2-mu* locus was excluded from the analysis due to the small sample size.

Analysis of the potential associations between *pfubp1* polymorphisms and drug responses revealed a significant but borderline increase in susceptibility to LUM in isolates carrying the 1525Glu mutation (73 nM; 95 % CI: 12-135) compared with those carrying the WT allele (36 nM; 95 % CI: 30-42) (p=0.032; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 2). Parasites carrying this mutation also had diminished sensitivity to QN (231.7 nM; 95 % CI: 127.2-336.3) and DHA (2.3 nM; 95 % CI: 0.5-4.1) compared to isolates carrying the wild type allele [(QN 211.1 nM; 95 % CI:180.2-242.0); (DHA 1.7 nM 95 % CI:1.2-2.1)]. However, this difference is not statistically significant (p=0.29 and p=0.07, respectively; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 2).

No significant association was observed between *pfubp1* insertions or deletions and IC$_{50}$ estimates for any antimalarial drug (Figure 3).

Evaluation of *pfap2-mu* polymorphisms revealed that isolates carrying the mutant 146Lys allele appeared to be significantly more sensitive to QN (109.9 nM; 95 % CI:51.2-168.6), CQ (47.6 nM; 95 % CI:6.7-88.5) and MDA (13.8 nM; 95 % CI:10.8-16.9) than those carrying the wild type allele [(QN 227.1 nM; 95 % CI:209-245.3); CQ; 159.0 nM; 95 % CI:142.2-175.9); (MDA 23.4 nM; 95 % CI:21.9-24.90] (p = 0.009, 0.020 and 0.029, respectively; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 4). The trend was similar in samples carrying the 233Lys allele. The mean QN IC50 in isolates carrying the 233Lys mutation was 126 nM (95 % CI: 75.0-177.1), significantly lower than in isolates carrying the wild type allele (mean = 227.5; 95 % CI: 209.3-245.7) (p=0.023; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 4).
Figure 4: Association of non-synonymous SNPs in \textit{pfap2-mu} with responses to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. (See legend to Figure 2)
Figure 5: Association of indels in *pfap2-mu* with responses to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. (See legend to Figure 2)
Figure 6: Association of non-synonymous SNPs in pf26S-protSU with responses to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. (See legend to Figure 2).
We found a weak association between insertion of an Asn residue at position 319 of \(pfap2-mu\) and reduced susceptibility to PIP. Isolates carrying six Asn residues had a mean \(IC_{50}\) of 8 nM (95 % CI: 6.1-9.9) compared to 22.8 nM (95 % CI: 3.1-42.6) in those isolates carrying only five Asn repeats (p=0.09; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 5). In contrast, parasites carrying an extra Asn amino acid at position 326 have increased susceptibility to LUM (30 nM 95 % CI: 23-38) when compared with wild type isolates (42 nM; 95 % CI:23-38) (p=0.012; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 5).

None of the mutations in \(pf26S\)-protSU were associated with reduced sensitivity to any of the drugs analyzed (Figure 6 and Figure 7). However we found significant association of the wild type allele at amino acid positions 107 (41 nM; 95 % CI:36-45), 250 (37 nM; 95 % CI:29-46) and 711 (39 nM; 95 % CI:30-47) with reduced sensitivity to lumefantrine (p=0.036, 0.022 and 0.032, respectively; Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 6). For isolates carrying the 107Phe, 250Lys and 711Arg alleles the LUM \(IC_{50}\) mean was 31 nM (95 % CI:22-40), 19 nM (95 % CI:0-43) and 25 nM (95 % CI:0-53), respectively.

![Figure 7: Association of insertions in codon 429 in \(pf26S\)-protSU with responses to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. (See legend to Figure 2).](image)
Discussion

Chemotherapy with ACTs has proved very effective and is one of the factors contributing to recent reductions in the malaria burden (WHO, 2012). Therefore, monitoring of drug efficacy and identification of potential molecular markers as well as understanding the mechanisms modulating parasite susceptibility to both artemisinin and its partner drug is a critical step towards sustaining the efficacy of ACTs.

In this study we evaluated associations between polymorphisms in \textit{pfubp1}, \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pf26S-protSU} genes with \textit{in vitro} susceptibilities of \textit{P. falciparum} isolates from Burkina Faso to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA. We also investigated potential associations between the polymorphisms found and treatment outcomes.

Molecular analysis of antimalarial drug resistance markers showed a high degree of polymorphisms in the three loci investigated. Analysis of \textit{pfubp1}, \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pf26S-protSU} sequences revealed seven, ten and eight polymorphic regions, respectively, and thus a large number of distinct haplotypes circulating. This is compatible with our observations for \textit{pfubl1} and \textit{pfap2mu} in Kenya (Henriques et al. 2014; Chapter 5). The diversity in these genes, none of which are either membrane transporters or known drug targets, is surprising.

In the parasite population under study the 1525Glu \textit{pfubp1} mutation was significantly associated with increased LUM \textit{in vitro} susceptibility. Interestingly, this mutation also appears to be more prevalent in recrudescent isolates than in pre-treatment isolates. Of note, the majority of the recrudescences occurred in the AL treatment arm (83%) (Table 1). Taken together these results suggest that the 1525Glu \textit{pfubp1} polymorphism has a role in modulating parasite susceptibility to LUM \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} sensitivity responses.

Regarding \textit{pfap2-mu} polymorphisms, the insertion of an Asn at position 319 was significantly associated with reduced susceptibility to PIP. Furthermore, isolates carrying an extra Asn residue at position 226 were significantly more likely to recrudesce. In contrast, parasites carrying the Arg146 wild type allele were more susceptible to QN, CQ and MDA. Similarly, parasites with the Asn233 wild type allele were also more susceptible to QN. These data is in agreement with the recent suggestion that polymorphisms in \textit{pfap2-mu} may modulate \textit{P. falciparum} responses to multiple drugs (Henriques et al., unpublished; Chapter 7).
Although previous reports have shown association of \textit{pfap2-mu} 160Asn/Tyr and \textit{pfubp1} 1528Asp mutations and the ACT responses \citep{Chapter 4; Henriques et al. 2014; Chapter 5}, in this study we did not find any significant association between these polymorphisms and treatment outcome or \textit{in vitro} drug susceptibilities. The most likely explanation for this finding is that the direct correlation between point mutations and drug susceptibility may be dependent on the geographic origin of the parasites (implying different genetic backgrounds). As East and West Africa parasites may differ in terms of antimalarial drug pressures and parasite genetics \citep{Dippmann et al. 2008} it is possible that the association of \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pfubp1} mutations and modulation of drug responses observed in other studies may be dependent on the genetic background of the parasites. Furthermore, selection of the 160Asn/Tyr \textit{pfap2-mu} was noted on day 2 or 3 after the ACT treatment but was not present in recurrent infections. This finding stresses the importance of studying the parasite population dynamics during the first few days of ACT treatment, which was not done in the Burkina Faso study.

The present study provides the first data on \textit{pf26S-protSU} alleles. Reduced sensitivity to LUM was significantly associated with wild type alleles in three loci (107, 250 and 711), suggesting that mutations in \textit{pf26S-protSU} can modulate \textit{in vitro} responses to this drug. However, further studies are needed to confirm this relationship.

In conclusion, the data obtained in the present study might be used as a baseline for surveillance of resistance markers to ACT in future studies. Nevertheless, more in-depth studies are necessary to determine the implications of genetic polymorphisms in these three candidate drug resistance loci, \textit{on in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} antimalarial drug susceptibility. Furthermore, the genetic background of the parasites appears to be critical in determining drug susceptibility, so further investigation is required to validate candidate polymorphism in \textit{pfubp1}, \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pf26S-protSU} genes as molecular markers of drug susceptibility in different geographical settings.
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ABSTRACT

The emergence of drug-resistant parasites is a serious threat faced by malaria control programmes. Understanding the genetic basis of resistance is critical to the success of treatment and intervention strategies. A novel locus associated with antimalarial resistance, pcap2-mu (encoding the mu chain of the AP2 adaptor complex), was recently identified in studies on the rodent malaria parasite Plasmodium chabaudi. Furthermore, analysis in Kenyan malaria patients of polymorphisms in the Plasmodium falciparum homologue pfap2-mu found evidence that differences in the amino acid encoded by codon 160 are associated with enhanced parasite survival in vivo following combination treatments which included artemisinin derivatives. Here we characterize the role of pfap2-mu in mediating the in vitro antimalarial drug response of P. falciparum by generating transgenic parasites constitutively expressing either the wild-type Ser160 or the 160Asn mutant form of pfap2-mu. Transgenic parasites carrying the pfap2-mu 160Asn allele were significantly less sensitive to dihydroartemisinin using a standard 48-hour in vitro test, providing direct evidence of an altered parasite response to artemisinin. Our data also provide evidence that pfap2-mu variants can modulate parasite sensitivity to quinine. No evidence was found that pfap2-mu variants contribute to the slow clearance phenotype exhibited by P. falciparum in Cambodian patients treated with artemesunate monotherapy. These findings provide compelling evidence that pfap2-mu can modulate P. falciparum responses to multiple drugs. We propose that this gene should be evaluated further as a potential molecular marker of antimalarial resistance.
INTRODUCTION

Antimalarial drugs remain indispensable tools in the fight against malaria. The potent artemisinin derivatives, combined with longer half-life partner drugs, are the only efficacious treatments left for multidrug-resistant *P. falciparum*, and thus form the cornerstone of antimalarial drug therapy. The emergence of drug resistance represents one of the most serious problems faced by malaria control programmes. Historically, parasite resistance to antimalarial medicines has emerged in Southeast Asia and eventually spread towards Africa and, alarmingly, there is already evidence of reduced susceptibility of *P. falciparum* to artemisinin derivatives in Southeast Asia, as evidenced by delayed parasite clearance times *in vivo* (1-4). For now, the delayed clearance phenotype appears to be confined to the Greater Mekong sub region (5); however, this reduced artemisinin sensitivity may spread to other regions or independently arise elsewhere, including Africa, where the burden of malaria is highest and where the emergence of resistance would have a terrible impact. A better understanding of mechanisms of artemisinin resistance would be a major advance in our ability to develop and validate new tools for resistance surveillance. These are essential tools to guide national treatment policies and help the design and deployment of new drug combinations that may deter the emergence and spread of resistance.

Mutations within the k13 kelch propeller domain gene (*pfk13*) recently identified in Cambodian parasite populations have been proposed as a molecular marker of artemisinin resistance (6). Nevertheless, other genes acting together with *pfk13* in Cambodia are likely to be involved in the slow clearance phenotype, and k13-independent mechanisms may have arisen in other settings. Other potential genetic markers of artemisinin resistance have previously been identified using genome-wide sequencing of drug-pressured mutants of the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi* (7). One of these, the gene encoding the mu subunit of the adaptor protein 2 (AP2) complex involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis, exhibits polymorphism in *P. falciparum* isolates from Africa (8).

We recently reported a phenomenon of sub-microscopic persistent parasites at day 3 following treatment with artemisinin combination therapy in Kenyan children. These persistent parasites were only detectable by qPCR but the children carrying these parasites had a higher mosquito transmission potential and were more likely to go on to classical treatment failure at day 28 or 42 post-treatment (9). Sequence analysis of these parasites revealed a particular genotype, combining variants of the *pfcrt, pfmdr1, pfap2-mu* and
pfubp1 candidate drug-resistance genes, which may modulate the responses to artemisinin combination treatments (10). This study specifically demonstrated that a Ser160Asn/Thr mutation in the P. falciparum ap2-mu gene was a modulator of in vivo responses to artemisinin derivatives in Kenyan malaria patients, being significantly more common among sub-microscopic parasites surviving combination treatment at day 3 than in the pre-treatment population.

To explore the role of this putative artemisinin resistance marker, we have generated transgenic P. falciparum parasites expressing an extra copy of either the wild-type (WT) pfap2-mu gene or the 160Asn form, driven by a heterologous promoter, in addition to their endogenous WT pfap2-mu gene. The susceptibility of these parasites to several antimalarial drugs (dihydroartemisinin, quinine, chloroquine, lumefantrine, mefloquine and atovaquone) was compared to the parental Dd2\textsuperscript{attB} strain in a classical 48 hour growth inhibition assay. Dihydroartemisinin susceptibility of the parasites was further evaluated using two alternative assays, a 6 hour pulsing assay established specifically for this study and the recently described ring-stage survival assay (RSA) (11).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Culture of Plasmodium falciparum**

The Dd2\textsuperscript{attB} clone of P. falciparum was generated by integration of the acceptor attB site, derived from mycobacteriophage bxb1, into the non-essential glutaredoxin-like gene located within the cg6 locus of chromosome 7. Drug selection with 5nM WR99210 was applied throughout, to maintain the presence of this site as described (12). Parasite cultures were maintained in complete growth medium composed of RPMI 1640 growth medium supplemented with 2.5% (v/v) human AB serum, 147μM hypoxanthine, 5g/l Albumax II (Invitrogen), 10mM D-glucose and 2mM L-glutamine at 2% hematocrit in 5% CO\textsubscript{2} at 37°C. Parasite cultures were synchronized at the ring stage using sequential D-sorbitol lysis treatment (13). Where not listed, reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.
Figure 1. Site specific integration of pfap2-mu gene (WT and 160Asn) into the *P. falciparum* Dd2\textsuperscript{attB} line. (A) Schematic diagram of the integrase-mediated attB x attP recombination approach (Nkrumah et al., 2006). The top panel shows the cotransfected plasmids: plasmid pINT, carrying the integrase expression unit that catalyses the recombination, and the neomycin resistance cassette (neo); and the pDC2-CAM-pfap2-mu-bsd-attP plasmid carrying the WT or a mutant 160Asn pfap2-mu gene sequence under the control of the calmodulin promotor, a blasticidin resistance cassette (bsd) and the attP site. The middle panel shows the recipient cg6-attB recombinant locus present in Dd2\textsuperscript{attB}. The attB x attP recombination generates two sites, attL (left) and attR (right). The hDHFR represent the drug selection markers for WR99210. The lower panel represents the integration of the pDC2-CAM-pfap2-mu-bsd-attP plasmid into the cg6-attB locus of Dd2\textsuperscript{attB}. The position and orientation of the PCR primers (P1 to P6) used in the analysis of the transgenic parasites are shown. (B) PCR monitoring of integration of pDC2-CAM-pfap2-mu-bsd-attP plasmid on the transfected parasites (two independent transfection experiments, A and B, for the Wt and the 160Asn mutant). The Dd2\textsuperscript{attB} DNA was used as a control. The top panel shows integration of the blasticidin cassette into the attB recombinant locus using the primers P1 and P2 (expected fragment size: 1700 bp). The middle panel shows the presence of the pfap2-mu-hsp86 3’UTR fusion (using the P3 and P4 primers; expected fragment size 800 bp). The bottom panel shows the PCR product used to confirm the presence of the 160Asn mutation by sequencing (using the P5 and P6 primers; expected fragment size: 840 bp).

**Generation of *P. falciparum* transfection constructs**

The open reading frames of both the wild-type and mutant (160Asn) allele of the pfap2-mu gene (PlasmoDB identifier: PF3D7_1218300) were amplified, respectively, from genomic DNA of *P. falciparum* parasite line 3D7 and from a *P. falciparum* field sample previously shown to harbour the 160Asn mutation (10). The PCR primers used are...
described in Supplementary Table S1. Plasmids pDC2-\textit{cam-pfap2-mu-attP} and the pDC2-\textit{cam-pfap2-mu_{160Asn}-attP} were then engineered (Fig. 1A). The \textit{pfap2-mu} amplicons were cloned as \textit{AvrII/XhoI} fragments between the \textit{calmodulin} (PF3D7_1434200) promoter and the \textit{hsp86} (PF3D7_0708500) 3’ UTR in the pDC2 vector (14) which also encodes a blasticidin-S deaminase (\textit{bsd}) selectable marker cassette. The resulting constructs were verified by sequence analysis and DNA for transfection was purified using the Qiagen CompactPrep Plasmid Maxi Kit.

For each duplex transfection, 50µg of the plasmid containing the \textit{attP} site together with the \textit{pfap2-mu} gene (WT or the 160Asn copy) and 50µg of the pINT plasmid containing the integrase expression unit that catalyses the recombination, and the neomycin resistance cassette (each in 25µl TE buffer) were resuspended in 350µl cytomix [120mM KCl, 0.15mM \textit{CaCl}_2, 2mM EGTA (pH 7.6), 5mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 10mM K\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}/KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4} (pH 7.6) and 25mM HEPES (pH 7.6)].

\textbf{\textit{Plasmodium falciparum} transfections}

Transfections of \textit{P. falciparum} were carried out as described by Adjalley and colleagues (15), with slight modifications. Briefly, 250µL of synchronized ring stage Dd2\textit{attB} infected erythrocytes at 6-9% parasitaemia, was added to 400µL of the duplex plasmid preparation in electroporation cuvettes (Bio-Rad). Parasites were transfected by electroporation (0.31 kV, 950µF and infinite resistance; Bio-Rad Gene Pulser XCell) and then immediately transferred to culture flasks containing 10ml of complete medium and 500µl of newly harvested red blood cells. Transfectants were allowed to recover in drug-free medium for 24 hours and then selected with 2.5µg/ml blasticidin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 125µg/ml of G418 (Invitrogen, Life Technologies). Drug pressure with G418, selecting for the pINT plasmid, was applied for six days. The medium was changed daily for the first six days, and then every other day with fresh medium containing the blasticidin and WR99210 selection agents. Cultures were diluted 3:5 weekly (by the addition of 30% fresh blood cells) and monitored by Giemsa staining every 4-5 days for appearance of the transfected parasites. Drug pressure was maintained until the correct integration at the genomic \textit{attB} locus was verified by PCR. Two independent transfections for each \textit{pfap2-mu} plasmid were carried out.

Correct integration was verified by PCR using the P1/P2 primer pair (Figure 1, Table 1). The presence of the \textit{pfap2-mu} gene with the \textit{hsp86} 3’UTR and the \textit{pfap2-mu} gene was also monitored using the P3/P4 and the P5/P6 primer pairs, respectively (Figure 1, Table 1).
Sequence analysis of the mutation site G479A (160Asn) was performed using the P5/P6 primer pair.

**Table 1.** Primer sequences used in vector construction and integration confirmation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ – 3’)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>CGTAACCTAGTAGATCGATCGCGCCTATACAT</td>
<td>Amplification of the pfap2-mu ORF (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>ATGCCCTGAGCTATTTTACTGCTGATGCC</td>
<td>Amplification of the pfap2-mu ORF (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>CCAGGATCCAAAGAAGGAGGAGG</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the bsd cassette into the attB recombinant locus (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>ATGCATGCCAAGCCTTTGTCTCAAG</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the bsd cassette into the attB recombinant locus (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>GCATATTTCTCATGTGTGTACC</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the pfap2-mu-Hsp86 3’UTR fusion (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>GGGGTGATGAAAAATGGAAGATA</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the pfap2-mu-Hsp86 3’UTR fusion (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>GATATCCCAAACATTAGAAGTG</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the pfap2-mu gene (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>CCATCTGGTGGGTGAAGG</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the pfap2-mu gene (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RNA extraction and cDNA preparation**

For total RNA isolation, parasitized red cells were rapidly lysed in TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and then stored at -80°C prior to RNA extraction, which followed the manufacturer’s protocol as previously described (16). Briefly, the TRI Reagent lysates were thawed at 37°C, 0.4ml of chloroform (Sigma-Aldrich) added, and the mixture was vigorously mixed and incubated 15 minutes at room temperature before centrifugation (12,000xg, for 15 minutes at 4°C). The aqueous phase was precipitated with 0.5ml of isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich), incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature and centrifuged (12,000xg, for 10 minutes at 4°C) to allow RNA precipitation, and the pellet washed with 75% ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). The samples were stored at 4°C overnight and then centrifuged at 12,000xg, for 10 minutes at 4°C. The ethanol was removed and the RNA pellet was air-dried, before being resuspended in 20µl of nuclease free water (Promega).

Extracted RNA was treated with RQ1 ribonuclease-free deoxyribonuclease (Promega) and reverse transcribed using gene specific primers (Q2 and Q3; Table 2) and the GoScript reverse transcriptase kit (Promega), as described by the manufacturer. Each
nuclease-treated preparation was then split in two. In the control tubes reverse transcriptase was replaced with water (DNA contamination control).

Table 2. Primer sequences used in quantitative RT-PCR on P. falciparum mRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ – 3’)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>GGAAAGGTAACCTTAAAATGTC</td>
<td>pfap2-mu amplification (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>GTTACTACGGCGAGAATATT</td>
<td>pfap2-mu amplification (Reverse) and cDNA synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>TGAAAGCAGCGTAGCTCAGA</td>
<td>tRNA amplification (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>CGCGTGGGTTTCGATCCAG</td>
<td>tRNA amplification (Reverse) and cDNA synthesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitative RT-PCR on ring stage and late stage samples of P. falciparum mRNA

Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen) in a Rotor-Gene RG3000 machine (Corbett Research). Transcripts of the pfap2-mu gene were amplified using the Q1/Q2 primer pair (Table 2) and the Plasmodium tRNA methionine (PgMET) gene was used as a reference source of RNA. Previously published primer sequences Q3/Q4 (Table 2) were used to amplify the pgmet gene as described (17). Reactions were carried out in 25µl volumes using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR master mix according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The PCR cycles used were 95°C for 6 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute, for all reactions. Each parasite RNA sample was tested in triplicate in each experiment. Relative expression of pfap2-mu was calculated from the average threshold cycle (CT) values from two experiments, normalized to pgmet, using the $\Delta\Delta^{CT}$ method, where the parental line was used as the comparator.

Standard 48 hour drug susceptibility assays

Standard 48 hour drug exposure assays were performed to determine the susceptibility of parasites to dihydroartemisinin (DHA), quinine, chloroquine, lumefantrine, mefloquine and atovaquone according to protocols using the intercalating dye PicoGreen to provide a fluorescent signal, as previously deployed in our laboratory (18). The resulting $IC_{50}^{48h}$ estimates were used as primary indicators of anti-malarial susceptibility, determined from a log-dose response analysis using the Prism v6.04 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). Each assay was performed with two replicates on at least two (range 2 – 6)
independent occasions for each drug. For statistical analysis best-fit estimates of the IC\textsubscript{50} and their 95% confidence intervals were obtained by nonlinear regression fitting of the sigmoidal dose response curve for each drug across all experiments, after normalisation using control well fluorescent signals. For statistical comparison of each transgenic with the parental curve and comparison between the two transgenic curves, data were first fitted independently and then globally to find a shared best-fit value for the IC\textsubscript{50\textsuperscript{48h}}. Results were compared by a sum-of-squares F-test.

**Artemisinin 6 hour pulse assays**

To generate IC\textsubscript{50\textsuperscript{6h}} estimates for artemisinin, we devised an alternative in vitro drug susceptibility protocol. DHA was serially diluted in complete medium (250µl) in Eppendorf tubes, using the same ten concentrations generated for the standard 48 hour drug assays. 250µl of ring stage culture was added to each drug dilution (2% final hematocrit and 0.5% final parasitemia) and incubated at 37°C for 6 hours. After the incubation time half of the culture was placed in a 96-well tissue culture plate (modified 48 hour assay) and the other half was washed three times with 1000µl of RPMI, to remove dihydroartemisinin, before being replenished with drug free medium and placed in the 96-well culture plate. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 42 hours until growth assessment using the PicoGreen detection method, as described for the standard 48 hour assays, generating a measure of DHA sensitivity in the form of an IC\textsubscript{50\textsuperscript{6h}} estimate.

**Ring stage survival assays (RSA)**

The RSA was performed as described by Witkowski and colleagues (11) with minor modifications. The parasite cultures were tightly synchronized across two consecutive ring stage cycles with sorbitol treatment. Late schizont stage parasites cultures were enriched using MACS separation columns (Miltenyi Biotech GmbH) and were cultured for 3 hours at 37°C with fresh erythrocytes, and again sorbitol-treated. This early ring stage (0-3 hours) parasite preparation, at 1% parasitaemia, 2% haematocrit in 2ml final volume, was then exposed for 6 hours to 700nM of dihydroartemisinin in 0.1% DMSO. After the 6 hour exposure the cultures were washed and resuspended in drug-free culture medium and cultured at 37°C for a further 66 hours. Dihydroartemisinin susceptibility was then assessed microscopically on thin films by estimating the percentage of viable parasites that had developed into a new generation of trophozoites, 66 hours after dihydroartemisinin exposure, compared to parasites exposed to 0.1% DMSO alone.
RESULTS

Generation of transgenic parasites by integration of an additional copy of pfap2-mu in Dd2^{attB}

To investigate the potential role for the pfap2-mu 160Asn mutation in mediating reduced in vitro susceptibility of P. falciparum to antimalarial drugs, we generated transgenic parasites with an additional copy of either the wild-type Ser160 or the 160Asn mutant form of pfap2-mu gene. This was achieved using Bxb1 mycobacteriophage integrase-mediated recombination to deliver transgenes into the P. falciparum genome in a site-specific manner dictated by the presence in the parental line Dd2^{attB} of the attB target sequence, replacing the cg6 locus (12). The pfap2-mu gene, with or without the 160Asn mutation, was cloned into the pDC2 plasmid under the control of the calmodulin promoter (Fig 1A), and cotransfected into Dd2^{attB} strain with the pINT plasmid (encoding integrase) (12). After transfection the parasites were placed under drug selection with both blasticidin and G418, generating two transgenic lines termed Dd2^{attB}::WT-pfap2-mu and Dd2^{attB}::160Asn-pfap2-mu. Stably transfected parasites were obtained two to three weeks after transfection and diagnostic PCR using P1/P2 specific primers (Fig. 1 A; Table 1) revealed correct integration into the attB site. The presence of the pfap2-mu transgenes was confirmed by PCR analysis (Fig. 1 B; Table 1) and the presence of the 160Asn mutation in the Dd2^{attB}::160Asn-pfap2-mu line was confirmed by sequencing.

RT-qPCR analysis of pfap2-mu in transgenic lines

Quantitative RT-qPCR analysis of cultures of the parental and transfected parasite lines provided evidence of constitutive blood-stage expression of the introduced transgenes. In ring stage cultures, 7.9-fold (160Asn-pfap2-mu) and 23.8-fold (WT-pfap2-mu) over-expression of pfap2-mu mRNA was found in the transfectant lines compared to the parental, reflecting the known expression profile of the calmodulin promoter, but late stage cultures of both transfectant lines exhibited mRNA levels similar to those of Dd2^{attB} (Fig. 2). For each sample, parallel reactions prepared without reverse transcriptase did not generate detectable amplicons within 35 cycles, demonstrating the absence of detectable genomic DNA contaminating the RNA (data not shown). Total RNA prepared from the peripheral blood of a malaria patient as part of a previous study (18) was also analysed, and confirmed transcription of pfap2-mu by circulating P. falciparum blood stages in vivo.
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**Figure 2.** Expression levels of *pfap2-mu* transcripts on ring and late stage (trophozoites and schizonts) cultures of Dd2<sup>attB</sup>, Dd2<sup>attB::WT-pfap2-mu</sup> and Dd2<sup>attB::160Asn-pfap2-mu</sup> lines. Mean mRNA expression level of *pfap2-mu* in Dd2<sup>attB</sup> and transfected lines from RT-qPCR analysis. The expression of *pfap2-mu* was normalized to the mRNA level of PgMET. Error bars indicate standard deviations.

**In vitro sensitivity of *pfap2-mu* transfectant parasites**

The growth inhibition curves for dihydroartemisinin, quinine, chloroquine and lumefantrine for both transgenic lines are presented in Figure 3. Table 3 shows the mean (± standard deviation) IC<sub>50</sub> estimates calculated for the parental and transfected lines and the significance of any differences in sensitivity between the different lines. In four independent experiments, the transgenic parasite line harbouring the 160Asn copy of the *pfap2-mu* gene displayed a significantly reduced sensitivity to DHA (mean IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.3 nM) when compared with both the parent line (mean IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.7 nM) and WT-pfap2-mu (mean IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.5 nM) lines (Table 3). Quinine IC<sub>50</sub> increased significantly from 459.2 nM in the parental line and from 471.5 nM in the WT-pfap2-mu, to 671.2 nM in the 160Asn-pfap2-mu parasite line. The presence of 160Asn-pfap2-mu also led to reduced susceptibility to chloroquine, when compared with the parental line, whereas the WT transfected line exhibited an intermediate phenotype, not statistically different from either the parental or mutant transgenic lines. Thus decreased sensitivity to DHA, quinine and chloroquine was conferred in these experiments by the presence of the 160Asn *pfap2-mu* mutant allele.
given that the overexpression of the WT allele had no effect on parasite susceptibility to these antimalarials. In contrast, the Dd2<sup>attB</sup> :: WT-pfap2-mu line showed significantly reduced susceptibility to lumefantrine, IC<sub>50</sub> 57.7 nM, when compared with the parental line, IC<sub>50</sub> 36.1 nM. No significant difference was found between the WT and the 160Asn lines, nor between the 160Asn and the parental lines. The presence of the 160Asn-pfap2-mu did not significantly alter parasite susceptibility to atovaquone, a drug with a well-known mitochondrial mode of action that is unrelated to that of the artemisinins and aminoquinolines, nor to mefloquine (Table 3).
Table 3: *In vitro* drug susceptibility of the Dd2<sup>attB</sup> (Parental), Dd2<sup>attB::WT-pfap2-mu</sup> and Dd2<sup>attB::160Asn-pfap2-mu</sup> transfectant strains to six antimalarial drugs. The drug sensitivity of the parasites was assessed using a classic 48h growth inhibition assay. The best-fit curve for each drug was generated in Prism v6.04 and the best-fit estimate of the IC<sub>50</sub> and their 95% confidence intervals are indicated in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>N&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (nM) [95% CI]</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (nM) [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (nM) [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dihydroartemisinin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7 [2.6-2.9]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5 [2.0-3.1]</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3 [2.9-3.8]</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>459.2 [413.3-510.3]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>471.5 [442.1-502.9]</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>671.2 [582.3-773.6]</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.6 [25.9-54.5]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48.0 [29.6-78.0]</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>67.1 [58.6-76.8]</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.1429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumefantrine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36.1 [28.3-46.2]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57.7 [43.0-77.4]</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44.8 [32.3-62.1]</td>
<td>0.3028</td>
<td>0.2517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mefloquine</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.1 [10.3-16.7]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.7 [13.9-19.9]</td>
<td>0.1416</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.0 [13.6-21.2]</td>
<td>0.1488</td>
<td>0.8829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7 [2.3-3.4]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.8 [2.3-3.5]</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0 [2.7-6.0]</td>
<td>0.1014</td>
<td>0.1127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>N – Number of independent experiments, each experiment had two replicates; P-value* – The sum-of-squares F-test was used to test the significance of difference among the IC<sub>50</sub> values of the different parasites. The significant P-values are indicated in bold.
The 6 hour drug pulse assay, developed to better reflect the short exposure times to artemisinin that occur in vivo, was used to estimate DHA IC_{50}^{6h} for parental and transgenic lines. Figure 4 shows the best-fit curves generated and IC_{50}^{6h} estimates are indicated in table 4. The survival curves obtained in the 6 hour pulse assay showed a rightward shift towards higher dihydroartemisinin concentrations compared with the survival curves obtained in the modified 48 hour assay (ie with a 6 hour tube incubation prior to plating; see Methods). These curve shifts meant that DHA IC_{50}^{6h} estimates were always higher than the IC_{50}^{48h}, by approximately 2-fold. Nevertheless, no significant difference was found between the transfectant and the parental lines using either the IC_{50}^{48h} or IC_{50}^{6h} estimates (Table 4). The IC_{50} values obtained in the modified 48 hour assay were slightly higher (range: 3.9 to 4.1nM) than the ones obtained in the standard 48 hour assay (range: 2.5 to 3.3nM), possibly due to differences in drug exposure arising from the initial 6 hour incubation in microcentrifuge tubes.

The susceptibility of young ring stage (0-3 hour) cultures to a 6 hour pulse with 700nM of DHA was evaluated using the RSA of Witkowski et al. (11). Parasite viability was zero, defined as more than 99% of parasites recorded as dead at 72 hours, in all test wells (data not shown), indicating that parental and transgenic lines were fully susceptible to DHA by this test.
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**Figure 4.** Dose response curves of the Dd2^{attB} (Parental), Dd2^{attB} :: WT-pfap2-mu and Dd2^{attB} :: 160Asn-pfap2-mu transfectants to Dihydroartemisinin. The drug sensitivity of the parasites was assessed using a modified 48 hour assay (solid line) and 6 hour pulse assay (dashed line). Each point represents the mean of two independent experiments, with two replicates for each experiment. The error bars indicate ± standard errors of the means. Best-fit curves were generated by Prism v6.04. The X axis indicates the increasing concentration of dihydroartemisinin and the Y axis indicates the parasite viability.
Table 4 In vitro drug susceptibility of the Dd2<sup>attB</sup> (Parental), Dd2<sup>attB</sup> :: WT-pfap2-mu and Dd2<sup>attB</sup> :: 160Asn-pfap2-mu transfectant strains to dihydroartemisinin using a 6h pulse assay. The best-fit curves were generated in Prism v6.04 and the best-fit estimate of the IC50 and their 95% confidence intervals are indicated in the table. The sum-of-squares F-test did not show any significant difference between the IC50 values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (nM) [95% CI] Modified 48h assay</th>
<th>6h pulse assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dd2&lt;sup&gt;attB&lt;/sup&gt; (Parental)</td>
<td>4.1 [3.7-4.6]</td>
<td>10.8 [8.6-13.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dd2&lt;sup&gt;attB&lt;/sup&gt; :: WT-pfap2-mu</td>
<td>3.9 [3.8-4.1]</td>
<td>8.8 [8.1-9.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dd2&lt;sup&gt;attB&lt;/sup&gt; :: 160Asn-pfap2-mu</td>
<td>3.9 [3.6-4.2]</td>
<td>9.1 [6.3-13.1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The dihydroartemisinin susceptibility of each parasite line was assessed in both assays by two independent experiments, with two replicates for each experiment.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we aimed to better understand the role of the ap2-mu gene in mediating P. falciparum drug responses. Pfap2-mu was first implicated in artemisinin resistance in the rodent malaria parasite P. chabaudi through whole-genome sequencing of a parasite lineage resistant to artemisinin, which identified a novel Ile568Thr change as responsible for this phenotype by genome-wide association analysis (8). Several variants of this gene were identified in P. falciparum, but a polymorphism homologous to P. chabaudi Ile568Thr has not been detected in field isolates to date (8, 10). However, our recent work conducted in Kenya provides evidence that a Ser160Asn/Thr mutation can modulate the parasite response to artemisinin combination treatments in vivo (10). Consistent with these results, we now show that transgenic parasites carrying 160Asn pfap2-mu are significantly less susceptible to dihydroartemisinin in vitro, manifest as a 32% increase in DHA IC<sub>50</sub>, compared to transgenic parasites carrying an additional copy of the wild-type pfap2-mu locus, using a standard 48 hour drug susceptibility testing protocol (18). In addition, this study shows that changes in pfap2-mu sequence (for quinine and chloroquine) or expression level (for lumefantrine) also affect parasite sensitivity to other antimalarials in vivo.
vitro. Further experiments are needed to confirm that the higher early stage mRNA expression measured here is accompanied by an increased expression of the corresponding protein, but await the development of suitable reagents (i.e. specific antibodies). Additionally, it will be important to compare in vitro susceptibility of the transgenic parasites to that of Cambodian parasite lines with known clearance time phenotypes in both 48-hour exposure dose-response assessment, generating IC$_{50}$ estimates, and in the RSA$_{0.3h}$ assay.

The $pfap2$-$mu$ gene is predicted to encode the mu ($\mu$) subunit of the adaptor protein 2 (AP2) complex. AP2 facilitates formation of clathrin-coated vesicles, for the trafficking of cargo from one membrane compartment of the cell to another, by recruiting a number of other proteins to the forming vesicle (19). AP2 is located at the parasite plasma membrane and also contributes to the selection of specific cargo (20). The mu subunit binds to the cytoplasmic side of cargo molecules through recognition of specific signals and is incorporated into the mature clathrin-coated vesicle (21), suggesting that AP2-$mu$ variants may influence drug resistance by modulating cargo trafficking (8). The precise mechanism by which polymorphisms in $pfap2$-$mu$ affect antimalarial drug response remains unknown.

The $P$. chabaudi Ile568Thr mutation is predicted to lie in the C-terminus of the AP2-$mu$ protein, whereas the Ser160Asn mutation in $P$. falciparum is positioned in the N-terminal domain. Both regions are highly conserved across the genus and, by analogy to studies of mammalian homologues, it is predicted that both codons contribute to $\beta$-sheet structure lying within or adjacent to the hydrophobic pocket that binds to the recognition motif present in the cargo protein (8). These mutations may therefore mediate antimalarial drug response by reducing AP2-$mu$ binding affinity to the membrane cargo, and thus decreasing the efficiency of endocytotic trafficking of membrane proteins. A better understanding of AP2 variants requires studies of structure and function of the adaptor complex protein in $Plasmodium$ spp.

The phenotype of reduced susceptibility to dihydroartemisinin described in this study is moderate, and unlikely to confer drug resistance in itself; the phenomenon also appears to be significantly different from the slow clearance phenotype described in Southeast Asia, which implicates mutations in the $pfk13$ kelch propeller domain. Firstly, the latter is associated with prolonged parasite clearance times in vivo and with increased survival in the ring stage parasite survival assay, but not with any change in vitro susceptibility to dihydroartemisinin using a standard 48 hour drug assay. Here, the transgenic population carrying the mutant $pfap2$-$mu$ was shown to be significantly less susceptible to
dihydroartemisinin than the parental population, even though the IC$_{50}$ was only marginally increased; however, this “tolerant” phenotype was not detected using the RSA, and is thus distinct from the phenotype described by Witkowski et al. (11). Secondly, contrary to the SE Asian isolates, the persistent Kenyan parasites carrying the Ser160Asn/Thr mutation also carry the chloroquine sensitive haplotype pfcr CVMNK and were microscopically undetectable; to date the pfk13 genotypes associated with SE Asian reduction in susceptibility have not been seen in Kenyan parasites with the whole genome sequence data currently available (10).

The modulation of artemisinin sensitivity in an African context clearly manifests as a different phenotype from the one described in Cambodia, with different genes and genotypes implicated. In fact, some African slowly clearing infections are not associated with polymorphisms in the kelch protein (4), suggesting the contribution of other genetic factors. Furthermore, drug resistance may not arise in a single step, but as a long and complex process during which parasites become gradually more and more tolerant to the drug. This is believed to be achieved through the stepwise accumulation of genetic changes in the same gene or in different genes (22). Therefore, it is possible that mutations in AP2-mu are an early step during the development of artemisinin resistance, and that additional genetic changes would be required before a fully resistant parasite genotype has emerged. Alternatively, AP2-mu may contribute to a broad parasite heterogeneity that modulates the drug response to several antimalarial drugs, as seen for pfmdr1 and other members of the ABC transporter family in *P. falciparum* (23).

A potential weakness of our study is the sole use of Dd2$^{attB}$ (a multidrug resistant line sensitive to artemisinin) as our parent isolate, one that propagates more rapidly than the few other lines available harbouring the attB site (14). The genetic background of the parasite can influence the acquirement of drug resistance, so the drug responses observed in this study may vary in isolates with a different pre-existing drug sensitivity background. For example, a significant increase in lumefantrine IC$_{50}^{48h}$ to 57.7 nM was observed in the Dd2$^{attB}$::WT-pfap2-mu transgenic parasites, which is well within the range we have observed in patient isolates tested *ex vivo* (18). However, the highest IC$_{50}^{48h}$ among these patient isolates occurred in those wild-type for the chloroquine-associated resistance marker pfcr. Additionally, the Dd2$^{attB}$ clone of *P. falciparum* was generated by integration of the acceptor attB site, into the non-essential glutaredoxin-like gene located within the cg6 locus of chromosome 7. Glutaredoxin-like proteins are proteins involved in the redox homeostasis of the cell (24). Therefore, a parasite lacking the cg6 locus is probably not the
ideal parasite model to study artemisinin resistance given the involvement of this class of drugs on parasite oxidative stress (25, 26). In order to better understand the role of AP2-mu in drug resistance it is important to perform further genetic studies by performing gene replacement experiments as well as using isolates with different backgrounds, including chloroquine-sensitive genotypes.

In summary, this study shows that constitutive expression of 160Asn pfap2-mu in Dd2₅MB altered P. falciparum susceptibility to dihydroartemisinin, with transgenic parasites exhibiting significantly higher IC₅₀ₐₗₖₐₜ estimates. This confirms pfap2-mu as a locus of interest for studies of artemisinin susceptibility. Our data also provide evidence that pfap2-mu variants can modulate parasite sensitivity to quinine, chloroquine and lumefantrine in vitro.
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Abstract

*Plasmodium falciparum* is becoming increasingly more resistant to antimalarial drugs, and therefore insights into the mechanisms of drug action and resistance are critical. Recent studies have shown that naturally-occurring mutations in the *P. falciparum* mu subunit of the Adaptor protein 2 complex (AP2) can modulate artemisinin response *in vivo* and functional follow-up revealed that this gene can also modulate *in vitro* parasite sensitivity to multiple drugs. AP2 is part of a family of adaptor proteins that sort cargo into clathrin-coated vesicles for transport between membrane-bound compartments in the cell. By replacing the endogenous *pfap2-mu* gene with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged transgene, we show for the first time that the pfAP2-mu fused with GFP is expressed in trophozoites and schizonts. These transgenic parasites will allow further studies into the molecular mechanisms of clathrin-mediated endocytosis and drug resistance in *P. falciparum* malaria.
INTRODUCTION

Malaria is one of the most important infectious diseases in humans and remains a major public health and economic problem in developing countries. Antimalarial drugs remain as one of the most powerful tools in the fight against malaria with artemisinin derivatives now standing as the cornerstone of anti-malarial drug therapy. However, recent evidence of reduced susceptibility to artemisinins, manifesting itself by delayed in vivo parasite clearance (Noedl, Se et al. 2008; Dondorp, Nosten et al. 2009), is accumulating on the Thai Cambodian border and nearby countries (Carrara, Lwin et al. 2013; Kyaw, Nyunt et al. 2013; WHO 2014). It will be a public health disaster if reduced susceptibility to artemisinins spreads to Africa where the burden of disease is high, and where the loss of drug efficacy would unquestionably lead to an increase in morbidity and mortality. Therefore, there is a crucial need to better understand the mechanisms of artemisinin action and the determinants of parasite susceptibility.

Three lines of evidence indicate that components of the clathrin-mediated endocytosis pathway in Plasmodium spp. are among the determinants of parasite susceptibility to artemisinins. Firstly, whole genome sequencing in the rodent malaria parasite Plasmodium chabaudi led to the identification of a mutation in a gene encoding the mu chain of the Adaptor Protein complex 2 (AP2) that arose along with the experimental evolution of in vivo artemisinin resistance (Henriques et al., 2013; Chapter 4). Secondly, we have recently shown that naturally-occurring mutations in the P. falciparum homologue pfap2-mu modulate artemisinin response in vivo in a cohort of Kenyan children treated with ACT (Henriques et al. 2014; Chapter 5). Finally, functional studies of transgenic P. falciparum carrying an additional copy of the pfap2-mu locus revealed that this gene can also modulate in vitro parasite sensitivity to multiple drugs (Henriques et al., submitted to AAC; Chapter 7).

AP2 is one of a five member family of heterotetrameric clathrin-associated adaptor protein (AP1–5) complexes that mediate transport between membrane-bound compartments in eukaryotic cells by selecting the vesicle cargo and helping in the vesicle biogenesis (Robinson and Bonifacino 2001; Owen, Collins et al. 2004; Robinson 2004; Hirst, Barlow et al. 2011). Within this family, AP2 is specifically associated with the plasma
membrane and is involved in the internalization of extracellular molecules, cell surface receptors and other plasma membrane proteins (Le Borgne and Hoflack 1998; Traub 2003; Jackson, Kelly et al. 2010). Each adaptor protein complex is composed of four subunits: two large (beta 1-5, and either gamma, alpha, delta, epsilon or zeta), one medium (mu 1-5) and one small (sigma 1-5) (Figure 1). Each of these subunits fulfils a specific function within the complex. The mu subunit, in particular, is responsible for cargo selection by directly recognizing the YXXφ motif (where X is any amino acid and φ is a bulky hydrophobic residue), one of the most common sorting signals present in the cytosolic domains of transmembrane proteins (Ohno, Stewart et al. 1995; Owen and Evans 1998; Bonifacino and Traub 2003).

![Schematic representation of the AP complex](image)

**Figure 1. Schematic representation of the AP complex.** All four complexes consist of two large subunits: a β subunit and a more divergent subunit, either γ, α, δ, ε or ζ; a medium-sized (μ) subunit; and a small (σ) subunit. The specific divergent subunits depends on the particular AP complex (AP1: γ; AP2: α; AP3: δ; AP4: ε and AP5: ζ).

Homologues of all 4 components of the AP2 complex were shown to be present in *P. falciparum* (Nevin and Dacks 2009). In this study we modified the endogenous pfap2-mu gene of the Dd2 *P. falciparum* parasite by fusing it to green fluorescence protein (GFP) to study its temporal and spatial expression patterns during the parasite life cycle.
Material and methods

Parasite culture and transfection

The Dd2 clone of *Plasmodium falciparum* was cultured in human A*⁺* erythrocytes (National Health Blood & Transplant, UK) and in complete growth media composed of RPMI 1640 growth medium supplemented with 147 μM hypoxanthine, 5 g/l Albumax II (Invitrogen), 10 mM D-glucose and 2 mM L-glutamine at 2% haematocrit in 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Parasitaemia was monitored by Giemsa-stained thin blood smears and cultures were synchronized at the ring stage using sequential D-sorbitol lysis treatment (Lambros and Vanderberg, 1979). Where not listed, reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. For transfections, synchronized ring stage parasites were electroporated with 50 μg of plasmid DNA as described previously (Henriques et al., 2014). Transfected parasites underwent positive selection 24 h after transfection with 5 nM WR99210 (a kind gift from Jacobus Pharmaceuticals, New Jersey, USA) for five weeks with weekly replenishment of fresh red blood cells until resistant parasites were seen. Transformed parasites were selected by repeated drug on-off cycling (3 weeks off and 1 week on) to encourage loss of episomal transformants and selection of parasites in which integration had taken place.

Molecular cloning, plasmid constructs

A region encoding the 3’ end encompassing codons 146 – 621 (leaving out the stop codon) of pfap2-mu gene (PlasmoDB identifier: PF3D7_1218300) was PCR amplified from 3D7 genomic DNA using the forward C1 and reverse C2 primers introducing a 5’ PstI and a 3’ NotI (New England Biolabs) restriction sites, respectively (Table 1). The 1508 bp pfap2-mu amplicon was digested with PstI and a NotI (New England Biolabs) and cloned into similarly digested pH-GFP transfection plasmid (Witmer, Schmid et al. 2012) before GFP coding sequence, to generate pH-pfap2-mu-GFP vector (Figure 2A). The transfection vector containing the fusion construct was verified by sequencing, transformed in *E. coli*. Plasmid DNA for transfection was prepared using the Qiagen Maxiprep kit (Quiagen).
Diagnostic PCR and characterization by Southern blot analysis of Dd2 GFP-transgenic line

Genomic DNA from Dd2::pH-pfap2-mu-GFP line and Dd2 (control) was harvested from schizonts at ≥5% parasitaemia. The cells were lysed with saponin and after centrifugation the pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (500 mM Na Acetate pH 5.2, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 3% SDS). DNA was isolated with phenol/chloroform extraction at room temperature (RT) and ethanol precipitation. Integration of the GFP-tag construct in the pfap2-mu locus was confirmed by a diagnostic PCR using primer pair P1/P2 (Figure 2A; Table 1). The presence of the pfap2-mu gene was also confirmed using the P3/P4 primer pairs (Figure 2A; Table 1).

Table 1. Primer sequences used in vector integration, PCR confirmation and in probe amplification for Southern blot analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ – 3’)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>CGTACTGCAGGATATCCACAACACTTAGAAG*</td>
<td>Amplification of the 3’ end of pfap2-mu (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>CGTAGCGGGCGCATTTATACTGATGATGCCCCG**</td>
<td>Amplification of the 3’ end of pfap2-mu (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>CCGTATTAACAGAAGCAATTTC</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the GFP-tag construct in the pfap2-mu locus (forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>CCCTCTCCTGACAGAAAAAT</td>
<td>Confirming integration of the GFP-tag construct in the pfap2-mu locus (reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>GATATCCACAAACCTTACGAAGTG</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the pfap2-mu (Forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>CCTTCACCACTGGATGATGG</td>
<td>Confirming the presence of the pfap2-mu (Reverse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>CGGGCAACTTCTGATAAAGAG</td>
<td>pfap2-mu probe (forward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>GTCCCTATTAGTATATGGTGGATC</td>
<td>pfap2-mu probe (reverse)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PstI restriction site is underlined; **NotI restriction site is underlined.

For Southern blot analysis, genomic DNA was digested with Clal/NcoI (New England Biolabs) and the digested DNA was separated on a 0.8% agarose gel. The gel was depurinated in 0.25 M HCL and denatured in 0.4 M NaOH before transferred overnight to a BrightStar®-Plus positively charged nylon membrane (Ambicon) by gravitational flow. The DNA was UV cross-linked to the membrane.
The integrants were screened using probes against the 5’-end of the *pfap2-mu* gene. The *pfap2-mu* probe was PCR amplified using the S1/S2 primer pair (Table 1) with the following conditions: 15 sec denaturation at 98°C, 30 sec annealing at 55°C, and elongation 45 min at 68°C; the cycle was repeated 35 times. The PCR product was purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen).

The probe was $^{32}$P-labelled by random priming using the Redoprime-II random prime labelling system (GE Healthcare). The target DNA was denatured for 5 min at 96°C and cooled on ice for 5 min. Denatured DNA was added to the labelling reaction mix (Klenow fragments, dNTPs, random hexamers), then $^{32}$P dATP (3000 Ci/mM) was added and the mix was incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C and the reaction was stopped using TE buffer. Unincorporated nucleotides were removed using Illustra Microspin G-25 columns (GE Healthcare) and hybridization was performed overnight at 60°C, in a solution containing 6x SCC buffer, 2xDenhardt’s, 0.1% SDS, 100 μg/ml of sheared herring DNA. Membranes were washed two times at 60°C, with 2×SSC buffer and exposed to an Amersham Hyperfilm MP (GE Healthcare) at −80°C.

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Localization of fluorescent protein-tagged pfAP2-mu in transfected parasites was analyzed through direct detection of the green fluorescence in paraformaldehyde fixed parasite samples and through immunofluorescence assays (IFA). For IFA, thin smears of parasite cultures were air dried and fixed using 100% methanol at -20°C for 2 minutes. The fixed cells were washed with PBS. After washing, the slides were incubated for 1 h at RT with mouse anti-GFP (1:20, Roche) antibody diluted in 3% bovine serum albumin (w/v) in PBS. Cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with IgG-specific secondary antibody coupled with Alexa Fluor® 488 (1:400, Sigma) for 1 h at RT and then washed 3 times with PBS.

The smears were mounted for microscopic examination with vectashield mounting medium with 4’,6-diamidini-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM510 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Jena GmbH, Germany) and images were processed using Zeiss LSM Image Browser software version 4.0.0.157.
Results

To localize pfAP2-mu in the parasite, we have engineered *P. falciparum* Dd2 parasites stably expressing GFP fused to *pfap2-mu* gene under the control of its endogenous promoter, using a single-cross-over homologous recombination strategy (Figure 2A). To ensure authentic and functional expression of this chimaera, the gfp-coding sequence was appended to the 3-end of the endogenous *pfap2-mu* gene. WR99210 resistant parasites were obtained five weeks after transfection and the integration of the GFP-tag construct into the *pfap2-mu* locus was tested for by PCR and Southern blot analysis. Evidence that the plasmid had integrated was provided by the detection of PCR product that amplified the *pfap2-mu*-GFP fusion (expected fragment size: 2000) and the lack of a product for the Dd2 wild-type locus (Figure 2B). Southern blot analysis confirmed the correct integration of the GFP-tag construct into the *pfap2-mu* locus. A 2000 bp product corresponding to the *pfap2-mu*-GFP fusion was detected in schizont genomic DNA isolated from transgenic parasites but not in wild-type Dd2 parasites (Figure 2C). The transfected parasites did not show evidence of any growth defect as compared to the wild type Dd2 parasite (data not shown), indicating that the addition of a C-terminal GFP-tag did not affect asexual multiplication of the parasite *in vitro*. 
Figure 2. GFP tagging of \textit{pfap2-mu}. (A) Schematic representation of the single-cross-over homologous recombination strategy showing the wild type \textit{pfap2-mu} locus (top panel); the pH-\textit{pfap2-mu-GFP} plasmid containing ~1500 bp of the 3' end coding sequence of \textit{pfap2-mu} fused in frame with the GFP sequence (middle panel); and the recombined \textit{pfap2-mu-GFP} locus resulting from integration of the GFP-tag construct (lower panel). Transcription termination and polyadenylation of the \textit{pfap2-mu-GFP} transgene are ensured by the presence of the Hrp2-3' untranslated region (UTR) of \textit{P. falciparum} histidine-rich protein 2. The human dihydrofolate reductase (hDHFR) represents the positive drug selection marker for WR99210. The positions of PCR primers (arrows), the enzyme digestion sites used to detect integration along with the expected fragment sizes and the \textit{pfap2-mu} probe hybridization sites (blue bar) are indicated in the figure. (B) Agarose gel image showing integration of pH-\textit{pfap2-mu-GFP} plasmid by PCR on the transfected parasites. The Dd2 DNA was used as a control. The top panel shows integration of the GFP-tag construct into the \textit{pfap2-mu} locus using the primers P1 and P2 (expected fragment size: 2000 bp). The lower panel shows the presence of the \textit{pfap2-mu} locus, using the P3 and P4 primers (expected fragment size: 800 bp). (C) Confirmation of integration by Southern blot analysis of transfected parasites. Genomic DNA from \textit{P. falciparum} strain Dd2 and transgenic parasites was digested with \textit{ClaI} and \textit{NcoI} and separated on a 0.8% agarose gel. Lane 1: Molecular marker; Lane 2: pH-\textit{pfap2-mu-GFP} plasmid; Lane 3: Dd2 (control) and Lane 4: Dd2::pH-\textit{pfap2-mu-GFP} line. The blot was probed with a \textit{pfap2-mu} probe (see panel A). The band expected from a single-site crossover integration of the GFP tag into the 3'end of the \textit{pfap2-mu} gene (expected fragment size: 2000 bp) was observed. The band expected for the digested plasmid (expected fragment size: 8200 bp) was also seen. A single band was observed for the parental strain (expected fragment size: 3400 bp) that was absent in transgenic parasites.
Figure 3. Localization of pfAP2-mu protein by direct fluorescent microscopy. The transgenic parasite population was examined by direct fluorescence microscopy to detect GFP (Green). Nuclei were labelled with DAPI stain (blue) prior to analysis. The merged image of the two is also shown together with the corresponding bright field image.

Fluorescence images of fixed pfap2-mu–GFP parasites were taken at different intraerythrocytic stages. Although the fusion protein was seen in late trophozoite stage as a weak fluorescence signal (Figure 3), this result was not reproducible. On the other hand, the immunofluorescence microscopic examination of transgenic parasites using an anti-GFP antibody revealed that the pfap2-mu–GFP fusion protein was expressed during the schizont state (Figure 4). The AP2-mu-GFP chimeras appeared to localize throughout the cytoplasm in trophozoites (Figures 3 and 4). In schizonts GFP fluorescence was detected at multiple punctuated subcellular structures (Figure 4).

The lack of detectable fluorescence by direct observation can be due to improper folding or instability of the encoded chimeric GFP. Additionally, this result could be attributed to GFP expression having a lesser detection signal than immunocytochemistry using Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies, which can amplify the signal (Patterson, Knobel et al. 1997).

No expression was observed during the ring and trophozoite stages (data not shown). Fluorescent images shown in Figure 4 are representative of pfAP2-mu-GFP expression during the schizont stage. Fluorescent signal was visibly concentrated over nucleated (infected) erythrocytes, as indicated by co-localised DAPI fluorescence (Figure 4, fourth column). P. falciparum wild type Dd2 parasites were tested as a control for the specificity of GFP localization (Figure 5). In the latter, low density fluorescent signal occurred sporadically in the preparation, but was not associated with nucleated (infected) erythrocytes.
Figure 4. Confocal microscopy using anti-GFP antibody in the pfap2-mu-GFP transgenic line. Four representative fields (rows) of labelled GFP transgenic parasites, showing the localization of pfAP2-mu-GFP fusion protein in schizonts. The first column of images shows the bright field, the second column DAPI staining of parasite DNA, the third represents GFP fluorescence and the fourth column all images merged. In schizonts, small punctuated subcellular structures of GFP fluorescence were observed.
### Discussion

We have demonstrated that a GFP-tagged pfAP2-mu can be expressed and detected in the parasite. Expression of the pfAP2-mu-GFP protein was detected in trophozoites by direct observation and in schizonts using an anti-GFP antibody while protein expression was either expressed in undetectable amount or not expressed during ring stage. Additional experiments are necessary in order to determine the stage specific expression and exact localization of the AP2-mu protein in *P. falciparum*. These experiments should include the cloning of *pfap2-mu*-GFP parasites by limiting dilution, in order to obtain a pure parasite population expressing pfAP2-mu-GFP protein as a replacement for the chimaric population used in this study. Future studies will be needed to confirm the expression of

**Figure 5.** Confocal microscopy using anti-GFP antibody in *P. falciparum* wild type Dd2 parasites (negative control). Three representative fields (rows) of labelled Dd2 parasites. The first column of images shows the bright field, the second column DAPI staining of parasite DNA (blue), the third represents GFP fluorescence (green) and the fourth column all images merged. Only faint background fluorescence is visible and is not associated with nucleated (infected) erythrocytes.
pfap2-mu–GFP fusion protein by western blot and to colocalize pfAP2-mu-GFP protein using different cell compartment markers.

During the blood stage of malaria infection, the parasite internalizes and degrades massive amounts of haemoglobin in the digestive vacuole. One of the processes described for the delivery of haemoglobin into the digestive vacuole was the mechanism mediated by membrane-bound vesicles (clathrin coated vesicles) (Hong-chang et al. 2009). These membrane-bound vesicles have specific coat proteins (such as clathrin) that are important for cargo selection and direction of transport between donor and acceptor compartments. Clathrin coats contain both clathrin (acts as a scaffold) and adaptor proteins (AP), that link clathrin to receptors in coated vesicles. AP complexes connect cargo proteins and lipids to clathrin at vesicle budding sites, as well as binding accessory proteins that regulate coat assembly and disassembly (Edeling et al. 2006; Owen et al. 2004; Robinson et al. 2004). Interestingly, the pfap2-mu gene encodes a clathrin mu adaptor protein that is a subunit of the AP2 adaptor, associated with the plasma membrane and responsible for endocytosis. Consequently, mutations in this protein may alter the adaptor binding specificity affecting the transport of haemoglobin or other proteins by clathrin coated vesicles to the digestive vacuole. The implications of these mutations to artemisinin resistance phenotypes are unclear in the absence of further studies of structure and function of the adaptor complex protein in Plasmodium spp.
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Chapter 9

Discussion
Chapter 9 – Discussion

Malaria is a major public health problem which is further exacerbated by *P. falciparum* drug resistance. Over the last few decades the global spread of parasite resistance to almost every frontline antimalarial drug has challenged malaria control programs (WHO 2010a; Petersen *et al.* 2011). To deal with this situation artemisinin based combination therapies have been introduced and widely deployed in malaria endemic regions (Lin *et al.* 2010; WHO 2013f). The characteristic rapid antimalarial action of the artemisinins (White 1997), combined with their extremely short elimination half-lives (Hastings *et al.* 2002; Stepniewska and White 2008) has led to the hope that resistance to artemisinin would never emerge or would be very slow to develop, since parasite exposure to subtherapeutic drug levels is thought to be very brief. Unfortunately, even the artemisinins seem to be losing efficacy in the Greater Mekong subregion (Ashley *et al.* 2014; WHO 2014). In order to preserve the most efficacious drug in controlling malaria infections in SE Asia it is crucial that we understand the underlying mechanisms giving rise to resistance to this class of drugs.

9.1. Artemisinin Resistance or Tolerance Debate

Artemisinin resistance/tolerance remains a controversial issue ever since the discovery of the first suspected cases of resistance. The standard definition of antimalarial resistance includes treatment failure; a parasite is considered resistant if is able to survive and/or multiply despite the administration of adequate drug dosage and confirmation of adequate antimalarial concentration present in the patient’s blood. Artemisinin resistance in the Greater Mekong subregion, characterized by a phenotype of delayed parasite clearance in the first few days after the treatment, does not fulfill this standard definition of resistance. Despite the lengthening of parasite clearance times, artemisinins still cure patients with malaria after the administration of artesunate monotherapy for 7 days (cure rates at day 28 after treatment ranging from 92% to 100%) (Noedl *et al.* 2008; Noedl *et al.* 2010; Bethell *et al.* 2011; Kyaw *et al.* 2013). In any case, any sign of artemisinin decreased effectiveness is alarming. Due to its very high parasite killing rate (White 1997), a delay in the speed at which parasites are cleared after artemisinin treatment can be considered as an early sign
of resistance. Long-lasting infections increase the risk of transmission and as artemisinins become less effective, a higher number of parasites are exposed to the partner drug, thus increasing the probability that parasites resistant to both artemisinin and partner drug will emerge.

Detecting early signs of drug resistance can be very challenging, particularly in the era of ACT treatment. First, the short elimination half-life of artemisinins (Duc et al. 1994; de Vries and Dien 1996) can lead to high recrudescence rates after artemisinin monotherapy (Meshnick et al. 1996; Giao et al. 2001). Second, since artemisinins are used in combination with other drugs a decrease in artemisinin susceptibility will not be directly reflected in a significant increase in clinical failures, which are strongly influenced by resistance to the partner drug. In fact, slower clearance phenotypes are associated with high cure rates after ACT treatment (Dondorp et al. 2009; Ashley et al. 2014). Resistance to CQ and SP was only recognized after it was already widespread and after treatment failure rates were already very high. Nowadays, we have better monitoring and surveillance methods so we are in a much better position to monitor the emergence of drug resistance in “real time”. For these reasons, we should not wait until artemisinin tolerance reaches the threshold at which artemisinins start to fail and become a clinical problem to try to understand how resistance to this class of drugs may evolve and spread.

The delayed parasite clearance phenotype is now at the core of the current WHO working definition of artemisinin resistance; artemisinin resistance is confirmed if parasites persist for 7 days after treatment with artemisinin monotherapy therapy or the presence of parasites at day 3 followed by recrudescent infection during follow-up (WHO 2011a). Since news of artemisinin resistance emerged intense investigation in this subject led to the development of improved drug susceptibility assays and to identification of a potential genetic marker of resistance. Standard 48 h in vitro tests could not detect in vivo slow parasite clearance. New assays, which better simulates in vivo drug concentrations revealed the artemisinin tolerance phenotype to be associated with ring stage parasite susceptibility differences (Witkowski et al. 2013; Chotivanich et al. 2014). Additionally, the clinical slow clearance phenotype has recently been associated with several polymorphisms in the propeller region of a kelch protein (Amaratunga et al. 2014; Ariey et al. 2014; Ashley et al. 2014). These findings will improve the capacity for and utility of molecular and in vitro artemisinin resistance surveillance.
Nevertheless, we should not put “all eggs in one basket”. It is crucial that our efforts to quantify and track parasite susceptibility to artemisinins do not neglect the importance of the partner drugs, given that the high failure rates observed after ACT treatment can also be caused due to resistance to the partner drug. In fact, treatment failure rates with artemesunate and mefloquine in combination have increased in the Thai-Cambodian border (Vijaykadga et al. 2006; Carrara et al. 2009; Rogers et al. 2009) and this high failure rate can be explained by solely the presence of mefloquine resistance, which was confirmed before the introduction of the artesunate-mefloquine combination therapy (Boudreau et al. 1982; Nosten et al. 1991). Furthermore, a recent trial conducted in Cambodia reported a high failure rate after dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment suggesting that piperaquine resistance is also emerging (Saunders et al. 2014). Moreover, there is also a real risk that resistance can emerge de novo elsewhere, as a result of the same or a different set of genetic changes. Proper monitoring of \textit{P. falciparum} susceptibility to both artemisinins and partner drugs across the entire malaria endemic world is imperative, but particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, since the emergence of resistance there should it occur, would undoubtedly lead to increased morbidity and mortality.

In this context, the main aims of this thesis were to identify and characterize the genetic determinants responsible for the artemisinin resistant phenotype observed in a lineage of \textit{P. chabaudi} drug-pressured parasites. Initially, we identified a unique mutation in a gene encoding the mu-chain of the AP2 adaptor complex in a \textit{P. chabaudi} parasite displaying high level of artemisinin resistance. Afterwards we investigated the importance of this and two other molecular markers identified in the rodent model, in modulating susceptibility to artemisinins in \textit{P. falciparum} natural populations.

\textbf{9.2. ARTEMISININ RESISTANCE PHENOTYPE IN RODENT MALARIA}

In Chapter 4 we have characterized a parasite resistant to artemisinin derived from a CQ resistant parasite after prolonged and progressive artemisinin selection (Afonso et al. 2006b). Using whole-genome sequencing we identified one point mutation in a gene encoding an AP2 adaptor protein, a component of the endocytic machinery. AP2-mu 3D structure homology models indicate that the mutated residue interacts with a cargo recognition sequence, suggesting that the endocytosis and trafficking of membrane
proteins is modified in artemisinin resistant rodent malaria parasite. This provides new insights into possible mechanisms of resistance to artemisinin and its derivatives.

9.3. **Correlation of In Vitro Parasite Susceptibility and Polymorphisms in Candidate Molecular Markers**

The DNA sequence analysis of *pfap2-mu* gene in Brazil, São Tomé and Rwanda isolates revealed a total of 12 polymorphic sites, consisting of five non-synonymous and 4 synonymous mutations and three insertions. A polymorphism similar to *P. chabaudi* I568T was not detected in any of the *P. falciparum* isolates. One non-synonymous mutation (160N) was weakly correlated with *in vitro* responses to dihydroartemisinin in isolates from Rwanda (Chapter 4).

Association between the IC$_{50}$ to QN, CQ, MDA, LUM, PIP and DHA and *P. falciparum* polymorphisms in *pfubp1*, *pfap2-mu* and *pf26S-protSU* was assessed in isolates obtained from a clinical trial of AL and AS + AQ in Burkina Faso. Data revealed that polymorphisms in *pfubp1* and *pf26S-protSU*, can modulate *in vitro* responses to Lumefantrine. Additionally, this study is in agreement with the recent suggestion that polymorphisms in *pfap2-mu* may contribute to *P. falciparum* susceptibility to multiple drugs. Further studies are necessary to further confirm the importance of these new molecular markers in modulating *P. falciparum* antimalarial drug susceptibility.

9.4. **Correlation of In Vivo Parasite Susceptibility and Polymorphisms in the Candidate Molecular Markers**

In Chapter 5 we genetically characterized the recently described Kenyan sub-microscopic persistent parasites. These parasites were significantly more likely to carry mutant alleles of the *pfap2-mu* and *pfubp1* genes than the baseline population suggesting that the sub-microscopic persistency phenotype is genetically determined. These sub-microscopic parasites were also associated with a higher transmission potential and with an elevated risk of recurrent infections (Beshir et al. 2013). Taken together, these results point towards the necessity for further research on the public health impact of these sub-microscopic parasites and the role of these loci as *in vivo* markers of parasite survival after ACT treatment.
9.5. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE PFAP2-MU GENE

In order to further evaluate the role of \textit{pfap2-mu} gene in artemisinin susceptibility modulation and improve our understanding of the underlying biological mechanisms we functionally characterized this gene using transfection techniques (Chapters 7 and 8). The transgenic parasites carrying the 160Asn allele of \textit{pfap2-mu} were significantly less sensitive to DHA, QN and CQ suggesting a general mechanism which modulates the drug response to different antimalarial drugs (Chapter 7). This broad mechanism can directly contribute for the emergence of artemisinin resistance but also indirectly, by sequentially decreasing the efficacy of the partner drugs, exposing the artemisinin component to selective pressure. This selective pressure could subsequently facilitate emergence of new foci of tolerance to artemisinins. Further experiments are needed to investigate the role of pfAP2-mu in modulating parasite susceptibility to other ACT partner drugs not evaluated in this study, namely amodiaquine, piperaquine and pyronaridine.

We have generated a parasite stably expressing a GFP-fused \textit{pfap2-mu} and we showed, for the first time, that the pfAP2-mu fused with GFP is expressed in trophozoites and schizonts stages (Chapter 8). These transgenic parasites will allow further studies into the molecular mechanisms of clathrin-mediated endocytosis and drug resistance in \textit{P. falciparum} malaria.

9.6. SE ASIA PHENOTYPE VS AFRICAN PHENOTYPE

ACT efficacy in Africa remains high (Ashley \textit{et al.} 2014; Zani \textit{et al.} 2014) and until now, there are no signs of resistance in this continent. However, we recently reported persistency of sub-microscopic \textit{P. falciparum} parasites until day 3 after ACT treatment in Kenyan children. Children carrying such parasites were significantly more likely to be infectious to mosquitoes and experience late treatment failure (Beshir \textit{et al.} 2013). Interestingly, these persistent sub-microscopic parasites carry a specific genetic signature including the wild type CVMNK \textit{pfcrt} haplotype, the NFD \textit{pfmdr1} haplotype and the 160N/T \textit{pfap2-mu} and 1528D \textit{pfubp1} mutations (Henriques \textit{et al.} 2014a). It is important to note that this phenotype appears to be significantly different from the slow clearance of
P. falciparum infections reported in Southeast Asia. Firstly, by microscopy all infections were cleared before day 3 after the ACT treatment. Secondly, the Southeast Asian isolates have a different genetic signature, as they are known to carry the K76T pfCRT mutation, and pfmdr1 polymorphisms are not associated with reduced artemisinin susceptibility (Imwong et al. 2010). As far as we know, slow clearing Cambodian isolates do not carry the 160N/T pfap2-mu polymorphisms (Henriques et al. 2014a), but this requires confirmation. On the contrary, slow parasite clearance of Southeast Asian parasites has recently been linked with point mutations in the pfk13 kelch propeller domain. We are currently evaluating this gene in P. falciparum isolates from eastern Kenya. Functional analysis of pfap2-mu in vitro revealed that transgenic parasites constitutively expressing the 160Asn mutant form of pfap2-mu were significantly less sensitive to dihydroartemisinin using a standard 48 hour drug assay, but did not demonstrate increased survival in the ring stage parasite survival assay (Henriques et al., submitted; Chapter 7). Taken together, these findings suggest that modulation of artemisinin sensitivity in an African context clearly manifests as a different phenotype from the one described in Cambodia with different genes and multi-locus genotypes implicated. In fact, some African slowly clearing infections described recently are not associated with polymorphisms in the kelch protein, suggesting the contribution of other genetic factors (Ashley et al. 2014).

Our interpretation is that polymorphisms in pfap2-mu may not confer resistance per se but they could be in some way advantageous for the parasites, enhancing their likelihood of survival. This fitness enhancement could be explained by a gain in biological robustness. Biological robustness is a major evolutionary mechanism that allows mutations to accumulate in the organism background while maintaining a little changed-changed functional phenotype. The role of this mechanism during the acquisition of pyrimethamine resistance in P. falciparum was recently described. Amplification of the GTP cyclohydrolase I increased the robustness of the folate pathway allowing the fixation of subsequent mutations in DHFR that could be deleterious/disadvantageous in the absence of GTP cyclohydrolase I amplification (Kumpornsin et al. 2014). In a similar way, pfap2-mu polymorphisms can increase the robustness of the parasites by reducing the fitness cost associated with the acquisition of mutations in other genes directly involved in artemisinin resistance.

Alternatively, AP2-mu could be directly involved in the mechanism of artemisinin resistance. Drug resistance may not arise in a single step, but as a long and complex process
during which parasites become gradually more and more tolerant to the drug. This is believed to be achieved through the stepwise accumulation of genetic changes in the same gene or in different genes (Mita et al. 2014). Therefore, it is possible that mutations in AP2-mu are an early step during the development of artemisinin resistance, and that additional genetic changes would be required before a fully resistant parasite genotype is able to emerge.

9.7. POSSIBLE MODEL OF ARTEMISININ RESISTANCE MEDIATED BY UBIQUITIN-DEPENDENT ENDOCYTOSIS VIA CLATHRIN-COATED VESICLES

Transmembrane proteins are a diverse group of proteins containing receptors, ion channels, transporters, anchors and pumps that are responsible for a variety of key cellular mechanisms. The availability and localization of these proteins is dynamically regulated by endocytosis. Transmembrane proteins destined for internalization are packaged into a vesicle, which is invaginated due to the action of various accessory adaptor proteins and clathrin (Kelly and Owen 2011). The AP-2 adaptor complex interacts with the internalization signal of the cargo protein and starts recruiting clathrin and other accessory proteins involved in the clathrin mediated endocytosis (Bonifacino and Traub 2003). After endocytosis, proteins enter the early endosome where they are sorted, and are either recycled back to the plasma membrane or targeted for destruction (Le Roy and Wrana 2005).

Here we suggest a speculative model (Figure 9.1) for the involvement of AP2-mu, UBP1 and 26SPS in artemisinin resistance based on the ubiquitin-dependent endocytosis via clathrin-coated vesicles. Artemisinin resistance could conceivably have its foundation on one or a combination of the following molecular interactions:

1 – Regulation of membrane protein abundance

Several studies have shown that ubiquitination acts as a signal for internalization and sorting of certain membrane proteins (Kolling and Hollenberg 1994; Hicke 2001; Hicke and Dunn 2003). Together, ubiquitin and deubiquitinating enzymes provide a reversible modification that regulates the fate of target proteins (Bonifacino and Weissman 1998). Ubiquitination is a process by which ubiquitin monomers form covalent bonds with
the target protein. Deubiquitinating enzymes play the antagonistic role, by removing the ubiquitin monomers from target substrates (Nijman et al. 2005). A poly-ubiquitin chain of at least four ubiquitin molecules can trigger degradation of the substrate by the 26S proteasome into peptides and free ubiquitin (Hicke and Dunn 2003; Komander and Rape 2012). On the contrary, proteins modified by a single ubiquitin may escape proteasomal recognition and be recycled back to the plasma membrane through sorting and recycling endosomes (Hegde and DiAntonio 2002; Dupre et al. 2004).

Modifications in AP2-mu (mu subunit of clathrin associated adaptor protein 2), UBP1 (deubiquitinase enzyme) and 26S-protSU (subunit of the 26S proteasome) proteins may modulate artemisinin resistance by controlling the abundance of important membrane proteins, like MDR1, for example. Interestingly, it has been shown that a member of the ABC family of transporters accumulates in the plasma membrane of yeast mutants with a defective endocytosis pathway or ubiquitination-deficiencies (Kolling and Hollenberg 1994). Furthermore, changes in the clathrin-dependent endocytosis and trafficking of mdr1-encoded P-glycoprotein (in human cancer cell lines) alter both its partition between cell membrane and intracellular pools, as well as drug resistance levels (Kim et al. 1997). Finally, P-glycoprotein distributions within cancer cells and their respective drug-resistance phenotypes are also mediated by ubiquitination (Zhang et al. 2004). Therefore, it is possible that mutations on the three candidate markers increase the half-life of MDR1 by preventing its degradation by the proteasome.

2 - Regulation of stress responses

The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway plays a critical role in stress response by degrading misfolded or damaged proteins, which would otherwise accumulate and harm the cell (Dantuma and Lindsten 2010; Shang and Taylor 2011). Artemisinin action has been linked to the generation of radicals, which damage the parasite (Meshnick et al. 1993; Meshnick 1994a). Modifications on the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway could conceivably increase the probability of parasite survival despite the putative oxidative stress generated by artemisinin action.
3 – Dormancy

Resistance to artemisinins has been related to general biological features like dormancy (Cheng et al. 2012; Teuscher et al. 2012). The parasite can enter a state of reversible quiescence by perhaps activating the autophagy-dependent survival mechanism, which allows the parasite to recycle both nutrients and energy during dormancy. Interestingly it was recently described that the autophagy-mediated degradation can be regulated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Korolchuk et al. 2010; Klionsky and Schulman 2014).

Experimental studies on the molecular interactions between the ubiquitin-proteasome system, and clathrin-mediated endocytosis may provide important insights regarding artemisinin action and resistance mechanisms.
Figure 9.1 – Possible model for the involvement of AP2-mu, UBP1 and 26SPS in artemisinin resistance based on the ubiquitin-dependent endocytosis via clathrin-coated vesicles
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Future Perspectives
Chapter 10 – Future Perspectives

Pharmaceutical research has not kept up with the growing resistance to antimalarials. *P. falciparum* develops resistance much faster than the rate of new pharmaceuticals is being developed and a loss of the artemisinins to resistance would have disastrous consequences for malaria control. Although it may not be possible to prevent the emergence and/or spread of artemisinin resistant parasites, understanding their mechanisms of action and resistance can provide crucial information to maximise the life expectancy of this important class of antimalarial drugs.

The main hypothesis of this thesis, that the three loci that mediate ART resistance in experimentally selected in *P. chabaudi* will similarly modify ART response in natural parasite populations of *P. falciparum*, was partially supported by two of the three genes investigated, *pfubp1* and *pfap2-mu*. Nevertheless, there are some gaps in evidence that should be addressed in future studies:

- Directional selection of *pfubp1* and *pf26S-protSU* polymorphisms should be investigated on day 3 after ACT treatments, and not only on day fail;
- It will be important to compare in vitro susceptibility of the transgenic parasites to that of Cambodian parasite lines with known clearance time phenotypes;
- Further work should include gene replacement experiments and explore the impact of *pfap2-mu* mutations in a chloroquine sensitive background.

This thesis has shed light on the complex issue of *P. falciparum* resistance to artemisinins and will foster new studies to understand its mechanisms. It also confirmed the feasibility of coupling the *P. chabaudi* model to validation in the *P. falciparum* parasite *in vivo* and *in vitro* for identifying novel drug resistance loci.

Another weakness of our study was that some isolates of interest were not sequenced at all loci, mainly due to exhaustion of small, finite blood samples. Although the limited number of observations in each of the treatment arms precluded comparisons of effect estimates due to lack of power, an exploratory stratification was carried out, generating odds ratios with very wide confidence intervals (data not shown).
Further studies are needed to determine the public health impact of the newly observed phenomenon of persisting sub-microscopic parasites and their relation with particular mutations in the \textit{pfap2-mu} and \textit{pfubp1} genes. To achieve this we intend to analyse samples from a recent ACT clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01939886) conducted in 2013 in Mbita, Kenya, the same location where the first clinical trial was conducted, 4 years earlier (Beshir et al. 2013; Sawa et al. 2013; Henriques et al. 2014a).

We also plan to extend the functional studies of \textit{P. falciparum} \textit{pfap2-mu} polymorphisms, using Zinc-Finger Nuclease (ZFN)-mediated gene editing, a new technology that allows the production of mutant parasites with much higher efficiency and reduced timelines. We intend to generate transgenic lines harbouring the \textit{P. falciparum} 160Asn mutation and the \textit{P. chabaudi} 592Thr resistant mutation using both laboratory adapted strains and one Kenyan clinical isolate (HL1204), recently adapted to in vitro culture (van Schalkwyk et al. 2013). Parasite clones expressing the variant alleles will then be tested for their susceptibility to a panel of antimalarial drugs including artemisinin derivatives and their partner drugs. An alternative approach for gene editing is the use of clustered, regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) technology. This new strategy takes advantage of a short RNA to guide the Cas9 endonuclease to cause a double strand breaks at any specific genomic loci and has been recently adapted in \textit{P. falciparum} (Ghorbal et al. 2014).

These allelic replacement studies would significantly improve our understanding on how polymorphisms in AP2-mu can modify ART sensitivity in isolates with different backgrounds, including chloroquine-sensitive genotypes.
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