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Evaluation of a PfHRP₂ and a pLDH-based Rapid Diagnostic Test for the Diagnosis of Severe Malaria in 2 Populations of African Children
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Background. Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) now play an important role in the diagnosis of falciparum malaria in many countries where the disease is endemic. Although these tests have been extensively evaluated in uncomplicated falciparum malaria, reliable data on their performance for diagnosing potentially lethal severe malaria is lacking.

Methods. We compared a Plasmodium falciparum histidine-rich-protein2 (PfHRP₂)–based RDT and a Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH)–based RDT with routine microscopy of a peripheral blood slide and expert microscopy as a reference standard for the diagnosis of severe malaria in 1898 children who presented with severe febrile illness at 2 centers in Mozambique and Tanzania.

Results. The overall sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive values of the PfHRP₂-based test were 94.0%, 70.9%, 85.4%, and 86.8%, respectively, and for the pLDH-based test, the values were 88.0%, 88.3%, 93.2%, and 80.3%, respectively. At parasite counts \( \frac{1000 \text{ parasites}}{\mu L} (n = 173) \), sensitivity of the pLDH-based test was low (45.7%), compared with that of the PfHRP₂-based test (69.9%). Both RDTs performed better than did the routine slide reading in a clinical laboratory as assessed in 1 of the centers.

Conclusion. The evaluated PfHRP₂-based RDT is an acceptable alternative to routine microscopy for diagnosing severe malaria in African children and performed better than did the evaluated pLDH-based RDT.

The clinical diagnosis of severe malaria is unreliable, because signs and symptoms overlap with other life-threatening febrile illnesses, including pneumonia, meningitis, and bacterial sepsis [1–3]. Because severe malaria kills rapidly, prompt diagnosis and management are vital [4, 5]. On the other hand, overdiagnosis of severe malaria in African children is common and diverts attention from other infectious causes, which has been shown to contribute to mortality [6]. A rapid and reliable parasitological diagnosis of severe malaria is thus essential for proper management of patients with severe febrile illness.

Microscopy remains the reference standard [7], but this requires the availability of a good microscope, significant technical skills, good-quality reagents, and clean slides. The diagnostic quality of microscopy is highly variable in routine hospitals in sub-Saharan Africa [8, 9].

Compared with microscopy, malaria rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) do not require extensive training or well-maintained equipment. They are increasingly used for malaria diagnosis. Malaria RDTs are immunochromatographic tests that identify malaria antigens, most
commonly Plasmodium falciparum histidine-rich-protein2 (PfHRP2) or Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH). Disadvantages are that test results are qualitative and do not provide prognostic information, such as parasite staging and neutrophil pigment [10, 11].

RDTs have been evaluated extensively for the diagnosis of uncomplicated malaria but not for severe malaria [12], and diagnostic test requirements are different in severe disease; eg, a high sensitivity is of utmost importance, because missing a case may result in inappropriate treatment and death. We therefore compared the diagnostic performance of a commonly used PfHRP2-based RDT (Paracheck; Orchid Biomedical) and a pLDH-based RDT (OptiMAL-IT; DiaMed) with that of expert microscopy, which was used as the reference standard, for the diagnosis of severe malaria in children with severe febrile illness who were admitted to 2 African hospitals in areas with different malaria transmission intensities.

METHODS

The study sites were in Teule Hospital in Muheza, Tanzania, and Hospital Central da Beira in Beira, Mozambique. The sites were chosen because of their different transmission dynamics, because this determines the a priori probability of the diagnosis, which influences test performance. The study was part of a large multicenter clinical trial that compared quinine and artesunate, which influences the capillary refill time and the presence of a temperature gradient with a normal systolic blood pressure (70 mmHg). Decompensated shock was defined as a systolic blood pressure <70 mmHg. Severe respiratory distress was defined as nasal alar flaring, costal indrawing or recession or the use of accessory muscles, or severe tachypnoea, whereas severe acidosis was suspected if deep breathing was present. A blood glucose level <3 mmol/L or clinical improvement in the level of consciousness immediately after administration of 10% dextrose was regarded as hypoglycemia. Anemia was defined as severe pallor combined with respiratory distress. Hemoglobinuria was assessed by caretaker history or observation of dark or black discoloration of the urine. Jaundice was assessed by clinical examination.

Definitions

Fever was defined as an axillary temperature $\geq 37.5^\circ C$ or by a history of recent fever. Coma was defined as a Blantyre Coma Score $\leq 2$ for children $<2$ years of age or a Glasgow Coma Score $\leq 10$ for older children. Prostration was defined as the inability to sit unsupported (for children $>6$ months of age) or the inability to drink or breast-feed in younger children. Convulsions were recorded in cases in which the duration was $>30$ min or the frequency was $>2$ within the 24 h preceding hospital admission. Compensated shock was defined as a peripheral capillary refill time $\geq 3$ sec or the presence of a temperature gradient with a normal systolic blood pressure ($\geq 70$ mmHg).

Sampling and Slide Reading

Paracheck test (Orchid Biomedical; Mumbai, India; 0.65 USD/test), OptiMAL-IT test (DiaMed AG; Cressier, Switzerland; 1.70 USD/test) and peripheral blood slides were prepared from blood samples taken by finger prick. Thin and thick blood films were stained with 5% Giemsa for 20 min. These slides were read by local laboratory technicians (routine practice slide reading), with later assessment by expert microscopy at the reference laboratory at the Mahidol Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit in Bangkok, Thailand.

In Beira, the slides were read by microscopists working in the routine hospital laboratory. These microscopists were blinded to the RDT results and reported thick film results using a semi-quantitative method with a 5-point scale [18]. In Muheza, microscopists were unblinded, and hence their findings were not included in the analysis.

Microscopists in the reference laboratory were blinded to the RDT results. The slide findings were reported as negative if no parasites were encountered per 500 white blood cells (WBCs) counted. Parasitemia was quantified in the thick film if $<1$
parasite was encountered per 1000 red blood cells (RBCs) in the thin film, using the formula parasites/μL = (parasites/200 WBCs) × 40, assuming a WBC count of 8000 WBCs/μL. Parasitemia was quantified in the thin film if >5 parasites were seen per field in the thick film, using the formula parasites/μL = (parasites/1000 RBCs) × 30 × 125.6, assuming a hematocrit of 30%. Slides with gametocytes but no asexual parasites were scored as negative. Hemoglobin testing, HIV testing, and blood cultures were not performed routinely.

**Data Management and Analysis**

Data were double-entered using Access database software (Microsoft) and analyzed using Stata, version 10.0 (Stata). Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated using expert laboratory microscopy as the reference standard. Categorical variables were compared using the χ² or Fisher’s exact test, and continuous variables were compared using Student’s t test or the Mann-Whitney U test, depending on the distribution of the data. Sensitivities and specificities between methods were compared using McNemar’s test [19]. To determine the prognostic significance of the clinical signs and symptoms, a logistic regression model was constructed with the positive malaria slide by the reference laboratory as the dependent variable and the age group and signs and symptoms of severe disease as independent variables. Age groups <3 and ≥3 years were chosen on the basis of the age distribution within sites. Using a backwards stepwise approach, only variables with P < .05 were retained in the final model.

**RESULTS**

From July 2005 through April 2009, 2190 patients were screened (Figure 1). Paired PfHRP2 and pLDH test and slide results were available for 1898 patients, after excluding 40 patients (2%) who did not fulfill clinical severity criteria, 235 patients (10.7%) who lacked an evaluable slide for the reference laboratory, and 17 patients (0.8%) who were without a valid PfHRP2- or pLDH-based test result. Excluded patients did not differ with respect to age, sex, or severity criteria.

Patients differed in age and clinical signs and symptoms between sites (Table 1). In Muheza, related to the higher malaria transmission rate, children were younger, and severe respiratory distress and prostration were the most frequent presenting symptoms. Severe anemia with respiratory distress was more prevalent at the Muheza site than it was at the Beira site. In Beira, the most common presenting symptoms were convulsions and coma. Hemoglobinuria and severe jaundice were rare at both sites. Peripheral blood parasitemia at hospital admission did not differ significantly between sites (P = .711).

One slide from the Muheza site showed mixed infection of *P. falciparum* and *P. ovale*. In 141 slides (7.4%), *P. falciparum* asexual parasites were detected but could not be quantified because of poor slide quality, which was most commonly caused by precipitations of the Giemsa stain.

A total of 345 patients had discordant results between the 3 diagnostic tests (Table 2). Most frequent were the combinations of a positive PfHRP2 test result and negative slide findings (in 197 [10.4%] of 1898 patients) and a negative pLDH test result with positive slide findings (147 [7.7%] of 1898). Patients with a positive peripheral blood slide finding and a negative PfHRP2-based test result all also had negative pLDH-based test results.

A small number of the patients with false-positive RDT results (5 [2.5%] of 197 PfHRP2-based test results and 1 [1.3%] of 79 pLDH-based test results) showed *P. falciparum* gametocytes on the slide. The presence of gametocytes in the patients with negative blood slide findings was associated with a positive PfHRP2 test result (5 of 197 with false-positive results vs 1 of 480 with true negative results; P = .009).

The PfHRP2-based test was more sensitive than was the pLDH-based test (94.0% vs 88.0%; P < .001), but the pLDH test was more specific (88.3% vs 70.9%; P = .001). This difference in sensitivity and specificity was observed at both sites. Both RDTs performed better at the Muheza site than at the Beira site (Table 3).

RDT sensitivity correlated positively with peripheral blood parasitemia, as shown in Figure 2. The sensitivity of both tests was <50% with parasite counts <100 parasites/μL. For the PfHRP2-based test, sensitivity increased substantially with higher parasite densities (85% at parasite counts of 100–1000 parasites/μL and >90% at parasite counts >1000 parasites/μL). With the pLDH-based test, the sensitivity increased >90% only at parasite densities >10,000 parasites/μL.

The peripheral blood slides assessed by hospital microscopists in Beira were compared with slide readings of the reference laboratory. Results were available for 861 of 874 patients. Using expert microscopy as the reference standard, the sensitivity of routine slide reading was significantly less than that of both...
RDTs: 78.0% (95% confidence interval [CI], 74.4%–81.2%) versus 92.2% for the PfHRP 2-based test and 84.8% for the pLDH-test (P < .001 for both). The specificity of routine slide reading was 84.0% (95% CI, 79.0%–88.2%), which is higher than that of the PfHRP2 test (64.9%; P < .001) and the same as that of the pLDH test (82.5%, P = .552). The positive and negative predictive values of routine slide reading using expert microscopy as a reference test were 91.8% (95% CI, 89.0%–94.0%) and 62.5% (95% CI, 57.2%–67.6%), respectively.

The sensitivity of the RDTs improved with increasing numbers of presenting signs and symptoms of severe disease (data not shown). The sensitivity of both RDTs was highest in patients who presented with reduced consciousness, severe anemia with respiratory distress, and hypoglycemia (Table 4).

A logistic regression model was used to identify independent predictors of slide positivity on the basis of clinical parameters and age group (<3 or ≥3 years of age). Reduced consciousness (adjusted odds ratio [AOR], 4.0; 95% CI, 3.2–5.1; P < .001), and convulsions (AOR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.3–2.3; P < .001) were associated with slide positivity in the final model, adjusted for site. There was a significant interaction between age and severe anemia (P = .024), indicating a higher risk of severe anemia with younger age (for the <3-year-old age group: AOR, 5.8; 95% CI 3.6–9.4; P = .001; for the ≥3-year-old age group: AOR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.1–4.4; P = .024). Shock, severe respiratory distress, severe jaundice, and hypoglycemia were associated with slide positivity in the final model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Muheza (n=1024)</th>
<th>Beira (n=874)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>527 (51.5)</td>
<td>463 (53.0)</td>
<td>.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median months (IQR)</td>
<td>18 (9–35)</td>
<td>36 (24–65)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Presenting symptoms

| Severity criteria per patient, median no. of criteria (range) | 2 (1–7) | 2 (1–6) | .006 |
| Coma | 177 (17) | 417 (48) | < .001 |
| Prostration | 412 (40) | 338 (39) | .488 |
| Convulsions | 211 (21) | 724 (83) | < .001 |
| Decompensated shock | 16 (2) | 10 (1) | .434 |
| Compensated shock | 76 (7) | NAa | |
| Severe respiratory distress and/or acidotic breathing | 636 (62) | 27 (3) | < .001 |
| Hypoglycemia | 109 (11) | 36 (4) | < .001 |
| Severe anemia with respiratory distress | 156 (15) | 85 (10) | < .001 |
| Hemoglobinuria | 3 (<1) | 16 (2) | .001 |
| Severe jaundice | 12 (1) | 17 (2) | .171 |

### Slide results/parasitemia

| Slide negative | 409 (40) | 268 (31) | < .001 |
| Slide positive | 530 (52) | 550 (63) | |
| Geometric mean parasites/μLb(95% CI) | 35,196 (27,533–44,990) | 32,974 (25,841–42,076) | .711 |

**NOTE.** Data are no. (%) of patients, unless otherwise indicated. CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range; Pf, Plasmodium falciparum.

### Table 2. Rapid Diagnostic Test Results Compared with Expert Microscopy Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Slide positive (n=1221)</th>
<th>Slide negative (n=677)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pHRP2 positive</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHRP2 negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** PfHRP2, Plasmodium falciparum histidine-rich-protein2; pLDH, Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase.
hypoglycemia, hemoglobinuria, and severe jaundice were not independent predictors of slide positivity.

Discussion

This is a large comparative study of RDTs for diagnosing severe malaria in severely ill children presenting to African hospitals. In our evaluation, the PfHRP2-based test was a reliable alternative to routine microscopy for the diagnosis of pediatric severe malaria and was more sensitive than was the pLDH-based RDT, but this was at the expense of a lower specificity. The PfHRP2-based test sensitivity of 96.9% (95% CI, 95.7%–97.9%) for parasite densities >100 parasites/μL is above the WHO-recommended threshold of 95% [20]. The pLDH-based test had a sensitivity of 91.2% (95% CI, 89.3%–92.9%) for parasite densities >100 parasites/μL. Severe malaria requires a diagnostic test with high sensitivity, because missing the diagnosis and withholding treatment may well cause death. Conversely, suboptimal specificity leads to underdiagnosis of other severe infections [6, 21].

It should be reminded that, even with slide-proven severe malaria, a substantial proportion of children have concomitant invasive bacterial infections that warrant antimicrobial treatment [14, 22].

The a priori probability of severe malaria depends on malaria transmission intensity and the prevalence of alternative diseases causing severe febrile illnesses, notably HIV/AIDS. Because HIV/AIDS prevalence is high in sub-Saharan Africa, including Mozambique [16], and with decreasing malaria transmission in several African countries [23], alternative diagnoses will become increasingly prevalent. In our study, all children met at least 1 of the WHO-defined criteria for severe malaria [17], but these clinical signs did not have a strong predictive value for peripheral blood parasitemia, confirming the findings from earlier studies [6]; only reduced consciousness had a predictive value for the diagnosis. Severe anemia was more common in the younger age group, as reported in other studies [24, 25].

We identified only 2 small studies that evaluated RDT performance for the diagnosis of severe or cerebral malaria [12, 26], whereas numerous studies have compared the performance of various PfHRP2-based and pLDH-based RDTs in the laboratory or for diagnosis of uncomplicated malaria in the field. The

### Table 3. Comparison of the Performance of 2 Malaria Rapid Tests for the Diagnosis of Pediatric Severe Falciparum Malaria Compared with Expert Microscopy as the Reference Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Muheza (n=1024)</th>
<th>Beira (n=874)</th>
<th>Combined (n=1898)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slide positive</td>
<td>615 (60)</td>
<td>606 (69)</td>
<td>1221 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfHRP2-based test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfHRP2-based test positive</td>
<td>692 (68)</td>
<td>653 (75)</td>
<td>1345 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>95.8 (93.9–97.2)</td>
<td>92.2 (89.8–94.3)</td>
<td>94.0 (92.5–95.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>74.8 (70.3–79.0)</td>
<td>64.9 (58.9–70.6)</td>
<td>70.9 (67.3–74.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>85.1 (82.2–87.7)</td>
<td>85.6 (82.7–88.2)</td>
<td>85.4 (83.4–87.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>92.2 (88.7–94.8)</td>
<td>78.7 (72.7–83.9)</td>
<td>86.8 (83.7–89.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLDH-based test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLDH-based test positive</td>
<td>592 (58)</td>
<td>561 (64)</td>
<td>1153 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>91.1 (88.5–93.2)</td>
<td>84.8 (81.7–87.6)</td>
<td>88.0 (86.0–89.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>92.2 (89.1–94.6)</td>
<td>82.5 (77.4–86.8)</td>
<td>88.3 (85.7–90.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>94.6 (92.5–96.3)</td>
<td>91.6 (89.0–93.8)</td>
<td>93.2 (91.5–94.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>87.3 (83.8–90.3)</td>
<td>70.6 (65.2–75.6)</td>
<td>80.3 (77.2–83.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** CI, confidence interval; PfHRP2, *Plasmodium falciparum* histidine-rich-protein2; pLDH, *Plasmodium* lactate dehydrogenase.

![Figure 2](cid1104_2.png)  
**Figure 2.** RDT sensitivity according to the level of peripheral blood parasitaemia (expressed as log10 parasites/μL) from n=1080 patients with positive slide and parasite count. ○, *Plasmodium* lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH)-based test; ●, *Plasmodium falciparum* histidine-rich-protein2 (PfHRP2)-based test. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
WHO and the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) evaluated 68 RDT, including both RDTs used in our study [10, 11]. Although assessed under laboratory conditions, the FIND evaluation confirmed that, with parasite counts <200 parasites/μL, detection rates decreased substantially for most RDTs. The WHO/FIND recommendation for RDT procurement requires a minimum detection score of 50% at a *P. falciparum* parasite count of 200 parasites/μL [27]. The pfHRP2-based test evaluated in our study complied with this, whereas the pLDH-based test did not.

In field studies evaluating pfHRP2- and pLDH-based RDTs for the diagnosis of uncomplicated malaria, some studies report sensitivities >95% for both RDTs [28–31], but most studies that directly compare PfHRP2 with pLDH-based tests confirm a higher sensitivity (and lower specificity) for RDTs detecting PfHRP2 [32]. For example, a study by Hopkins et al [33] found a sensitivity of 92% for a PfHRP2-based test and 85% for a pLDH-based test, which was mainly attributable to a better performance of the PfHRP2-based test at low parasite densities.

Although, in our evaluation, both RDTs performed poorly at very low parasite densities, even at very low parasite densities, the sensitivity of the PfHRP2-based test was significantly better, and this better performance was apparent at parasite counts up to 100,000 parasites/μL (Figure 2).

The persistence of PfHRP2 in the bloodstream for an extended period of up to 1 month following successful malaria parasite clearance is well documented [34–36]. This is in contrast with the kinetics of pLDH, in which enzyme activity is no longer detectable after parasite clearance [37], which contributes to the higher specificity of the pLDH test. In addition, gametocytes are known to produce PfHRP2, which contributes to false-positive PfHRP2 test results [38, 39]. Indeed, in patients with negative slide findings, the presence of gametocytes was associated with false-positive PfHRP2-based test results.

False-negative PfHRP2-based test results occurred in 1% of patients with parasite counts >100,000 parasites/μL, including 1 patient with a parasite count of 1,073,880 parasites/μL. This could be related to the so-called prozone effect that has been reported for PfHRP2-based RDTs, which is the phenomenon that an excess of either antigen or antibodies can cause a false-negative test result. The prozone effect has not been observed in association with pLDH-based tests [40]. Alternative explanations for false-negative RDT results are PfHRP2 gene polymorphisms that potentially change the antigenicity of PfHRP2 [41–43]. This has also been postulated for the gene encoding pLDH but, to date, this has not been substantiated.

RDT performance was better at the site in Tanzania than at the site in Mozambique. Most likely, these differences are explained by operator-related issues, because in Tanzania, the tests were operated by research staff. A contributing factor could
have been that the pLDH-based test was perceived as more complicated to operate, because it requires multiple steps [10, 11, 31]. Additionally, weak positive results are easier to detect with the PfHRP₂-based test, in which a thin test band can be observed, than with the pLDH-based test, in which a faint test band indicates a weak positive result.

A limitation of our study was the presence of only a single observer to report the RDT result and the absence of batch or stability testing. However, the RDTs were shipped to the study sites by cold-chain transport, and the study site coordinator and the independent monitor assured that batches were used within the expiration date, as well as stored in air-conditioned, temperature-controlled rooms. The PfHRP₂-based tests are relatively heat-stable, but some of the pLDH-based tests, particularly the one evaluated in this study, are known to be heat-unstable [10, 11, 31]. At both study sites, the staff were regularly trained and supervised in slide preparation and RDT operation.

RDTs have mainly been promoted for outpatient management of uncomplicated malaria, but the challenges of microscopy in sub-Saharan Africa are likely to extend their use to inpatient settings [8, 44]. At the study site in Mozambique, which is a tertiary care center with experienced microscopists, the sensitivity of routine microscopy was significantly lower than that of both RDTs, despite the provision of good quality reagents and training. Where the current WHO guidelines leave uncertainty about the best method for a parasitological diagnosis of severe malaria in young children, the findings of our study suggest that a PfHRP₂-based RDT is considerably better than routine microscopy. To optimize the diagnosis of severe malaria and severe illness, a diagnostic algorithm could be employed in which only negative RDT results should be confirmed by reliable microscopy. A reduction in the workload of the hospital laboratory could improve microscopy quality. A negative RDT result should trigger contemplation of an alternative diagnosis. A positive RDT result does not exclude co-existing bacterial infections, and antimicrobial treatment is recommended.

In conclusion, this study shows that the PfHRP₂-based RDT is a reliable and easy-to-perform alternative to routine microscopy for the diagnosis of severe malaria in African children and performs better than does a pLDH-based test.
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