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Secular trends in self reported sexual activity and satisfaction in Swedish 70 year olds: cross sectional survey of four populations, 1971-2001

Nils Beckman, Margda Waern, Deborah Gustafson, Ingmar Skoog

ABSTRACT

Objective To study secular trends in self reported sexual behaviour among 70 year olds.

Design Cross sectional survey.

Settings Four samples representative of the general population in Gothenburg, Sweden.


Main outcome measures Sexual intercourse, attitudes to sexuality in later life, sexual dysfunctions, and marital satisfaction.

Results From 1971 to 2000 the proportion of 70 year olds reporting sexual intercourse increased among all groups: married men from 52% to 68% (P<0.002), married women from 38% to 56% (P=0.001), unmarried men from 30% to 54% (P=0.016), and unmarried women from 0.8% to 12% (P<0.001). Men and women from later birth cohorts reported higher satisfaction with sexuality, fewer sexual dysfunctions, and more positive attitudes to sexuality in later life than those from earlier birth cohorts. A larger proportion of men (57% vs 40%, P<0.001) and women (52% vs 35%, P=0.001) reported very happy relationships in 2000-1 compared with those in 1971-2. Sexual debut before age 20 increased in both sexes: in men from 52% to 77% (P<0.001) and in women from 19% to 64% (P<0.001).

Conclusion Self reported quantity and quality of sexual experiences among Swedish 70 year olds has improved over a 30 year period.

INTRODUCTION

Most elderly participants in surveys on sexual behaviour developed their views during the early part of the 20th century. We examined secular trends in sexual behaviour and attitudes to sexuality in later life in 70 year olds from Gothenburg, Sweden.

METHODS

Sample populations

Four representative samples of 70 year olds from Gothenburg were surveyed in 1976-7, 1992-3, and 2000-1, to study secular trends in health. The samples were obtained from the Swedish population register. No significant differences existed between responders and non-responders (see bmj.com).1 2

Sample 1—participants born between 1 July 1901 and 30 June 1902 on dates ending with 2, 5, or 8 were invited to a health examination in 1971-2; 392 of 460 (85.2%) participated in a psychiatric examination.1

Sample 2—participants born between 1 July 1906 and 30 June 1907 on dates ending with 2, 5, or 8 were invited to a health examination in 1976-7; 404 of 513 (78.8%) participated in a psychiatric examination.2

Sample 3—70 year old women born in 1922 on days 6, 12, 18, 24, or 30 were invited to a health examination in 1992-3; 249 of 381 (65.4%) participated in a psychiatric examination.

Sample 4—70 year old men born in 1930 on days 3, 6, 12, 18, 21, 24, or 30 were invited to a health examination in 2000-1; 500 of 767 (65.2%) participated in a psychiatric examination.

The psychiatric examination included questions on sexual behaviour: attitudes to sexuality in later life, frequency of intercourse during the past year, and age of sexual debut and its timing in relation to marriage. Sexual activity was defined as having had intercourse during the past year. Inter-rater agreement on frequency of intercourse and attitudes to sexuality at age 70 were high.

One of the researchers (IS), a psychiatrist, was trained those who did the examinations in 1992 and 2000. Inter-rater agreement on frequency of intercourse and attitudes to sexuality in later life in 70 year olds improved over a 30 year period.

Statistical analysis

We categorised marital status as married or cohabiting compared with unmarried. Educational level was dichotomised as compulsory or more than compulsory. Differences in proportions were tested using Fisher’s exact test. The Cochran-Armitage \( \chi^2 \) test was used to test for trends. We used an asymptotic permutation test of trend for differences in the median age of sexual debut. Data were analysed by strata of sex and marital status. For regression analyses we also pooled data from all the samples. We used binary logistic regression...
models to estimate the odds of reporting intercourse (yes or no within the past year) by sample (1971-2 plus 1976-7 v 1992-3 plus 2000-1), marital status, male gender, sexual debut before age 20, a positive attitude towards sexuality in later life, diagnosis of depression, educational level, and three year mortality. We present the associations as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. In all analyses we used two tailed tests. We considered results significant at P<0.05.

RESULTS
Among both sexes the proportion of participants who were divorced, cohabiting, or in a relationship but living apart increased over the 30 years of sampling (see bmj.com). Among those who had a partner, the proportion reporting a happy relationship increased in both sexes. Compared with men, women in all samples were less often married or cohabiting, more often widowed, and more often had an older partner.

The proportion of 70 year olds reporting that they were sexually active, that sexuality had been a positive factor in their life, and that had a positive attitude to sexuality in later life increased during the study period, both among married and cohabiting participants and among unmarried participants (table). Fewer people in later cohorts reported never having had intercourse. Among those reporting intercourse, the proportion that had intercourse at least once a week increased over the 30 year period. Concurrently the reported median age of sexual debut decreased in both sexes and the proportion reporting premarital intercourse increased in women. Reported intercourse was more common among men than among women in all four samples, and men reported an earlier age of sexual debut than women although the differences between the sexes for this variable diminished among those from later born samples.

In a logistic regression analysis including the entire sample, being in a later born cohort increased the odds of having intercourse (odds ratio 1.48, 95% confidence interval 1.10 to 2.00), independent of marital status, sex, sexual debut before age 20, a positive attitude to sexuality in later life, depression, educational level, and three year mortality.

The proportion of women reporting high or very high sexual satisfaction increased and reports of no sexual satisfaction decreased from the second to the last samples (see bmj.com). Among those who had a partner, both sexes reported that in most cases cessation of intercourse was due to male related factors (see bmj.com). This pattern did not change over the 30 year period.

DISCUSSION
Self reported sexual activity among 70 year olds in Gothenburg, Sweden increased from 1971 to 2001. At the same time among elderly people attitudes to sexuality became more positive, and the proportion reporting a very happy relationship increased. Furthermore, the proportion reporting high satisfaction with sexual activity and that sexuality was an important factor in life increased. Consistent with population studies of younger samples of later born cohorts the median age of sexual debut decreased and the proportion that had their sexual debut before age 20 increased. The one year prevalence of intercourse in the two earliest birth cohorts was similar to that among septuagenarians reported from studies in the 1950s and 1960s.

Self reported sexual behaviour and attitudes in four samples of 70 year olds from Gothenburg, Sweden, examined in 1971-2, 1976-7, 1992-3, and 2000-1. Values are number who answered question of total number examined (percentage) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive attitude towards sexuality in old age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td>121/148 (82)</td>
<td>39/203 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>200/207 (97)</td>
<td>147/229 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexuality a positive factor in life</td>
<td>139/173 (80)</td>
<td>113/166 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual intercourse during past year</td>
<td>72/152 (47)</td>
<td>35/209 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td>71/133 (53)</td>
<td>39/213 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>113/166 (68)</td>
<td>81/232 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual intercourse once weekly or more</td>
<td>7/72 (10)</td>
<td>7/39 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual debut before age 20 (median age at sexual debut)</td>
<td>77/148 (52)</td>
<td>39/203 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual intercourse before marriage</td>
<td>123/149 (83)</td>
<td>86/180 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually inexperienced</td>
<td>1/156 (1)</td>
<td>23/210 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of participants varies within cohorts as some declined to answer some questions.

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001 (Fisher’s exact test) for difference between sexes in birth sample, or difference between participants who were married or cohabiting and not married.

†Fisher-Armitage test for sample trend.

‡Fisher’s exact test.

§Test for column trend with asymptotic permutation test of trends.
Attitudes to sexuality have become more positive in this age group. The prevalence in the two younger birth cohorts is similar to a European study in 2001-29 and a US study in 2005-6.20

Whether elderly couples continue to be sexually active seems to a large extent to be determined by men.11 This pattern, which did not change over time, was also reported in studies in the 1950s12 and in 2005-6.20

In agreement with previous reports self reported sexual activity was more common in men, regardless of marital status.8,10,12,16 Differences between the sexes in self reported sexual behaviour, however, decreased from 1971 to 2001 among the 70 year olds in our study. Overall, men reported an earlier age of sexual debut and a higher proportion of premarital sex than women in the 1970s, but this sex difference diminished among those in later born samples. Finally, whereas 70 year old men in the 1970s more often reported positive attitudes to sexuality than women, there were no sex differences in attitudes in 2000-1. Thus attitudes to sexuality cannot entirely explain observed differences between the sexes in sexual activity. Women were less likely to be married or in other intimate relationships than men, as reported by others.8,10 As in other studies,8,10,12 the proportion of elderly people reporting sexual activity was higher among married participants, especially in women.

In 2000 around two thirds of adults reported high sexual satisfaction, a substantial increase from 1976, especially in women. The proportion of women who reported no or low satisfaction decreased, whereas the proportion of men with low satisfaction increased. It could be speculated that it has become more permissible for men to admit failure in sexual matters or that a real difference exists.

It was beyond the scope of this study to examine in detail the reasons for self reported secular changes in sexual behaviour over 30 years. It could be speculated that the changes reflect higher educational levels and better socioeconomic status in the later birth cohorts. Furthermore, cohabiting and living apart became more common. The proportion never married decreased and the proportion divorced increased in the later born samples. These samples also experienced better general health.17

When several of these factors were taken into consideration in a logistic regression analysis, birth year was still related to sexual activity, suggesting that several unidentified factors might be important. Changes in legislation may have influenced public attitudes to sexuality during the 20th century. Sex education became compulsory in schools in Sweden in 1955. By the end of the 1950s condoms were available in vending machines in public places. The “sexual revolution” followed in the 1960s, with the contraceptive pill and intrauterine devices.18

**Strengths and limitations**

This study was based on four population samples examined similarly over a 30 year period. The interviews were part of a comprehensive investigation on ageing and thus people were not recruited explicitly to talk about their sexuality.

This study had several limitations. Firstly, although higher than in most studies on sexual behaviour, the response rate declined from 80% to 65% during the 30 year period. No differences were identified between responders and non-responders for several factors. The secular trends in reported sexual behaviour over the study period were, however, pronounced. We cannot exclude the possibility that non-responders had more sexual problems than responders. Secondly, we examined 70 year olds thus we cannot draw conclusions on sexual behaviour before this age. Thirdly, sexual behaviour is a sensitive matter to report. Semistructured interviews were, however, done by doctors or psychiatric research nurses. Fourthly, the first three cohorts were examined by psychiatrists and the fourth by nurses, but differences were not large. Fifthly, changes in evaluations of responses over time may have influenced the results. One researcher (IS) was trained by those who carried out the examinations in the 1970s, who in turn trained those doing the examinations in 1992 and 2000. Inter-rater reliability between the researcher and examiners in the 1970s and 1990s was high. Sixthly, the study is based on self report, lending itself to reporting bias. More positive attitudes to sexuality in 70 year olds in later born cohorts might have resulted in more participants reporting intercourse. It is possible that our results reflect a more open minded attitude in society to sexual matters rather than real changes in sexual behaviour. Seventhly, the definition of sexual activity was limited to intercourse between heterosexuals. Thus we cannot generalise our results to other types of sexuality. As we aimed to describe secular trends, we were limited to those questions used in the 1970s. Finally, depression is common in elderly people and is well known to affect sexual activity. Our results for prevalence, however, did not change when we excluded depressed participants, and year of birth was still related to sexual activity in 70 year olds when depression was controlled for in logistic regression analyses including all four samples.

**Conclusions**

Self reported quantity and quality of sexual experiences among 70 year olds improved over a 30 year period. At the same time, a relatively large proportion of participants had ceased having intercourse. Our study, however, shows that most elderly people...
consider sexual activity and associated feelings a natural part of later life.
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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective** To estimate the one year prognosis and identify prognostic factors in cases of recent onset low back pain managed in primary care.

**Design** Cohort study with one year follow-up.

**Setting** Primary care clinics in Sydney, Australia.

**Participants** An inception cohort of 973 consecutive primary care patients (mean age 43.3, 54.8% men) with non-specific low back pain of less than two weeks’ duration recruited from the clinics of 170 general practitioners, physiotherapists, and chiropractors.

**Main outcome measures** Participants completed a baseline questionnaire and were contacted six weeks, three months, and 12 months after the initial consultation. Recovery was assessed in terms of return to work, return to function, and resolution of pain. The association between potential prognostic factors and time to recovery was modelled with Cox regression.

**Results** The follow-up rate over the 12 months was more than 97%. Half of those who reduced their work status at baseline had returned to previous work status within 14 days (95% confidence interval 11 to 17 days) and 83% had returned to previous work status by three months.

**Introduction**

There is evidence that the type of advice given to patients can alter the course of an episode of low back pain. For this reason, most management guidelines recommend that patients should be reassured that they have a favourable prognosis. Several guidelines state that 90% of patients recover within six weeks. Such disability (median recovery time 31 days, 25 to 37 days) and pain (median 58 days, 52 to 63 days) took much longer to resolve. Only 72% of participants had completely recovered 12 months after the baseline consultation. Older age, compensation cases, higher pain intensity, longer duration of low back pain before consultation, more days of reduced activity because of lower back pain before consultation, feelings of depression, and a perceived risk of persistence were each associated with a longer time to recovery.

**Conclusions** In this cohort of patients with acute low back pain in primary care, prognosis was not as favourable as claimed in clinical practice guidelines. Recovery was slow for most patients. Nearly a third of patients did not recover from the presenting episode during a year.

**Prognosis in patients with recent onset low back pain in Australian primary care: inception cohort study**
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statements, however, might be too optimistic. While patients typically improve rapidly, the risk of developing chronic low back pain (that is, pain persisting for more than three months) is uncertain. Estimates of this risk vary from 2% to 50%.

To provide individualised advice, it is necessary to consider prognostic factors. All guidelines for low back pain recommend identification of adverse prognostic factors, commonly described as “yellow flags.”

The lack of consensus regarding the prognosis and prognostic factors for recent onset low back pain has been attributed to methodological shortcomings of previous studies.

We conducted a cohort study with the primary aim of determining the long term (one year) prognosis for people with recent onset low back pain presenting to primary care clinicians. Our secondary aim was to identify patients’ characteristics that could be readily assessed by a primary care clinician and were associated with poor prognosis.

METHODS

The protocol for this study has been published previously. We recruited an inception cohort of 973 participants from a socioeconomically diverse region in the Sydney metropolitan area of Australia. We invited all general practitioners, physiotherapists, and chiropractors within the study area to participate. Participating clinicians screened all patients with the primary complaint of low back pain who presented to their clinics from November 2003 to July 2005.

Low back pain was defined as pain in the area bounded superiorly by T12 and inferiorly by the buttock crease, lasting for more than 24 hours but less than two weeks, and preceded by a period of at least one month without back pain. See bmj.com for exclusions. The clinicians were given a copy of the most recent clinical guidelines for low back pain and asked to follow the guidelines when appropriate.

Baseline data were collected at the first consultation with the primary care clinician. These data were used to describe the cohort and to evaluate putative predictors of outcome. The individual variables were grouped into seven factors. Low back pain and disability were also measured at baseline with adaptations of items 7 and 8 of the SF-36. Clinicians were paid for participating.

Researchers conducted follow-up assessments by telephone at six weeks, three months, and 12 months after the initial assessment. We sampled three dimensions of recovery—pain intensity, disability, and work status—which participants were asked to rate at each time point. Interviewers also established whether the patient had recovered on each of these dimensions and if so the date of recovery. A fourth measure of recovery—“complete recovery”—required the patient to recover on all three dimensions.

Data analysis—We used the dates on which participants returned to pre-injury work status and/or had no disability and/or had no pain to construct survival curves. Median survival time (days to recovery) was determined for each of the three recovery measures individually and for attainment of all three recovery

### Cox regression model for time to complete recovery from acute low back pain (LBP) with hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Crude (unadjusted)</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.05 (0.91 to 1.23)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain/disability (χ²=31.32, P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity*</td>
<td>0.79 (0.73 to 0.86)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference with function†</td>
<td>0.85 (0.90 to 0.91)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological (χ²=81.51, P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain control§</td>
<td>1.04 (1.01 to 1.07)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension/anxiety¶</td>
<td>0.94 (0.91 to 0.96)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feelings of depression‖</td>
<td>0.91 (0.89 to 0.93)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of persistence¶</td>
<td>0.89 (0.87 to 0.92)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current history (χ²=36.72, P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensable LBP¶</td>
<td>0.56 (0.45 to 0.69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently taking medication for LBP</td>
<td>0.75 (0.65 to 0.88)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of reduced activity due to LBP¶</td>
<td>0.96 (0.93 to 0.99)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg pain¶</td>
<td>0.71 (0.59 to 0.86)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of pain sites‡</td>
<td>0.83 (0.75 to 0.91)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of episode</td>
<td>0.97 (0.95 to 0.99)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical red flags (not included in model)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of positive red flags</td>
<td>0.95 (0.91 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pain intensity scale: 1=none, 2=very mild, 3=mild, 4=moderate, 5=severe, 6=very severe. †Disability scale: 1=not at all, 2=little bit, 3=moderate, 4=quite a bit, 5=extreme.
‡Rated on scale from 0-10, with higher score indicating better ability to control pain. ¶Rated on scales from 0-10, with higher scores indicating more tension and anxiety, more feelings of depression, or higher risk of persistent pain.  §One point for each pain site: neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, and leg.
WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
Clinical practice guidelines suggest that recovery from an episode of recent onset low back pain is usually rapid and complete.
Recent systematic reviews suggest that the risk of developing chronic low back pain is uncertain.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
In this cohort of patients, recovery from recent onset low back pain was much slower than has been reported and nearly a third did not recover within a year.
Older age, back pain associated with compensation cases, higher pain intensity, longer duration of low back pain before consultation, more days of reduced activity because of low back pain before consultation, feelings of depression, and a perceived risk of persistence were all associated with poorer prognosis.

measures. We used Cox regression to identify independent associations between the seven factors and the time to complete recovery from acute low back pain. See bmj.com for further details.

RESULTS
A total of 1254 clinicians in the study region were identified and contacted. Of these, 170 (73 general medical practitioners, 77 physiotherapists, and 20 chiropractors) were trained in the study protocol. They screened 3184 consecutive patients with low back pain from November 2003 to July 2005. Of these, 973 patients (mean age 43.3, 54.8%) were eligible to participate. The follow-up rate remained above 97% over the 12 month period. Participants for whom we did not have complete follow-up data and who had not reported recovery from their low back pain were censored at the time of their last follow-up.

Full baseline demographic and clinical features of participants are on bmj.com. We used the participant’s postcode and data from the Australian census to judge socioeconomic disadvantage: 21.4% fell in the most disadvantaged quarter, 8.4% in the second quarter, 14.8% in the third quarter, and 55.1% in the fourth quarter.

There were 770 (79.5%) participants who reported working before the onset of their episode of acute low back pain. Of these, 291 (37.8% of workers, 30.0% of the total cohort) reported changing their work status as a result of their low back pain. The median time to return to previous work hours and duties for these 291 participants was 14 days (95% confidence interval 11 to 17 days). The cumulative probability of returning to pre-back pain work hours and duties for those who reduced their work status at baseline because of low back pain was 74.6% at six weeks, 83.2% at 12 weeks, and 89.5% one year after consulting a primary care clinician for acute low back pain. The median time to recovery in terms of disability was 31 days (25 to 37 days). By six weeks the cumulative probability of having no disability was 54.9%. This probability increased to 73.3% by 12 weeks, and 83.3% by one year. The median time to recovery in terms of pain after an episode of acute low back pain was 58 days (53 to 63 days). The cumulative probability of being pain-free was 39.9% by six weeks, 58.2% by 12 weeks, and 72.5% by one year.

Complete recovery from recent onset low back pain, determined by recovery on all three dimensions (return to work, no disability, and no pain) took a median time of 59 days (53 to 65 days). Six weeks after presentation to primary care, the cumulative probability of recovery was 39.0%. By 12 weeks the probability was 57.4%, and this increased to 71.8% by one year.

At six weeks, three months, and 12 months, 40%, 52%, and 57% of participants reported being pain-free; 60%, 71%, and 75% reported being disability-free. Immediately before the onset of the episode 77% were working full time and this reduced to 48% at baseline, rising to 69%, 72%, and 72% at six weeks, three months, and 12 months. At 12 months the participants’ responses to the question “If you had to live with the symptoms you have right now, how would you feel about it?” were generally positive, though 133 of the 969 participants reported feeling very dissatisfied and 106 somewhat dissatisfied.

After adjustment for age, sex, intensity of pain, and interference with function, psychological characteristics were most closely associated with time to recovery (table). Of the other factors, only factors related to current history further contributed significantly to the model. Seven individual variables were independently associated with time to recovery: age, intensity of pain, feelings of depression, risk of persistence, low back pain in compensation cases, days of reduced activity, and duration of the episode.

DISCUSSION
In this study of 12 month prognosis in patients with recent onset low back pain, recovery was typically much slower than previously reported. Nearly a third of patients did not recover from the presenting episode within a year. Return to work and recovery from disability and pain did not occur synchronously. We identified seven factors that were associated with speed of recovery and can be considered by clinicians when advising their patients about the prognosis for their episode of acute low back pain.

Strengths and weaknesses
We enrolled an inception cohort from the three main primary care providers who manage low back pain and measured pain, disability, and work status over a 12 month period with high rates of follow-up. Our previous review of prognostic studies of low back pain found that few studies of acute low back pain have achieved these benchmarks.4 Socioeconomically disadvantaged people were under-represented in the cohort. Also we did not record participants’ occupation so we were unable to assess whether this factor influenced the speed with which people returned to work.

Comparison with other research
There are only a few methodologically sound prognosis studies that have followed patients beyond three
Research

Routine care of peripheral intravenous catheters versus clinically indicated replacement: randomised controlled trial

Joan Webster,1,2 Samantha Clarke,1 Dana Paterson,1 Anne Hutton,1 Stacey van Dyk,1 Catherine Gale,1 Tracey Hopkins1

ABSTRACT

Objective To compare routine replacement of intravenous peripheral catheters with replacement only when clinically indicated.

Design Randomised controlled trial.

Setting Tertiary hospital in Australia.

Participants 755 medical and surgical patients: 379 allocated to catheter replacement only when clinically indicated and 376 allocated to routine care of catheter (control group).

Main outcome measure A composite measure of catheter failure resulting from phlebitis or infiltration.

Results Catheters were removed because of phlebitis or infiltration from 123 of 376 (33%) patients in the control group compared with 143 of 379 (38%) patients in the intervention group; the difference was not significant (relative risk 1.15, 95% confidence interval 0.95 to 1.40). When the analysis was based on failure per 1000 device days (number of failures divided by number of days catheterised, divided by 1000), no difference could be detected between the groups (relative risk 0.98, 0.78 to 1.24). Infusion related costs were higher in the control group (mean $A19.71;$E12.00;€9.34) than intervention group ($A17.71;$E11.38;€8.75). The rate of phlebitis in both groups was low (4% in intervention group, 3% in control group).

Conclusion Replacing peripheral intravenous catheters when clinically indicated has no effect on the incidence of failure, based on a composite measure of phlebitis or infiltration. Larger trials are needed to test this finding using phlebitis alone as a more clinically meaningful outcome.

Registration number Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry ACTRN12605000147684.

INTRODUCTION

Intravenous catheterisation is the most common invasive procedure among inpatients, with about half receiving intravenous therapy.1 Between 2.3% and 67% of patients will develop phlebitis.2,3 Despite the ubiquitous use of catheters and the almost universal acceptance of the need for routine replacement, the
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practice has received little rigorous evaluation. We therefore carried out a randomised trial to compare routine changes of catheters with clinically indicated changes.

METHODS

We recruited inpatients from a general teaching hospital. Patients were eligible for inclusion if they were at least 18 years old, had no current bacteraemia, were not receiving immunosuppressive therapy, and were scheduled or expected to have a peripheral venous catheter for at least four days. The patient was the unit of measurement, therefore we entered those requiring multiple or consecutive catheters into the study once only.

We randomised patients either to routine care (control group), with catheters scheduled to be replaced every three days according to hospital policy, or to replacement only when clinically indicated (clinically indicated group). For each group we recorded reasons for replacement and deviations from the replacement protocol.

Participants were randomised by an investigator with no clinical involvement in the trial. We stratified by admission for an oncology related procedure. A research nurse telephoned a contact who was independent of the recruitment process for allocation consignment. Clinical staff were subsequently aware of the treatment group, to ensure that catheters were changed as scheduled and that those in the intervention group were not removed if functional and there was no sign of inflammation or infection.

The research nurse collected baseline personal, clinical, and catheter related data (see bmj.com). Choice of catheter and gauge was at the discretion of the professional inserting the catheter. Insertion sites were inspected daily by a nurse from the intravenous therapy team. A nurse removing the catheter recorded the reasons for catheter removal on a specially designed form. Any deviations from the protocol for catheter replacement were recorded. We collected data for up to five consecutive catheters for each patient.

Outcome measures

For the primary outcome we used a composite measure of catheter failure as a result of phlebitis or infiltration (see definitions on bmj.com). Secondary outcomes included infusion related cost—those associated with catheters inserted for intermittent administration of intravenous drugs or for continuous infusion. For patients receiving intermittent drugs we calculated a total cost of $A16.4 per insertion (see bmj.com) and for those receiving a continuous infusion we calculated $A28.84 per insertion (see bmj.com). We also included other reasons for catheter failure as secondary outcomes: occlusion or blockage, local infection at the insertion site, or catheter related bloodstream infection. We also included phlebitis and infiltration as independent secondary outcomes.

Statistical analysis

Trial data were analysed on an intention to treat basis. We calculated the relative risks (95% confidence intervals) for the proportion of patients with a failed catheter and for the individual factors that made up the composite measure. We also calculated the failure rate for each group per 1000 device days (number of failures divided by number of days catheterised, divided by 1000), which is a more meaningful measure for this outcome. When appropriate, we used a two sided Fisher’s exact test to compare discrete data; results are presented as P values. We used the independent sample t test to compare the differences in the infusion related costs and total catheterisation time between groups.

RESULTS

Overall, 755 of 1620 potentially eligible participants (46.6%) were included in the trial (see bmj.com): 376 were randomised to routine care of catheters (control group) and 379 to replacement of catheters only when clinically indicated (intervention group). Twenty two participants (6%) in the intervention group had catheters changed routinely. One hundred and twenty five participants (33%) in the control group had a catheter in place for more than 72 hours. Follow-up from medical records was possible for all participants.

The groups were similar for baseline personal, clinical, and catheter related characteristics for most risk factors (see bmj.com). The intervention group had higher rates for a history of phlebitis and presence of a wound infection or infected ulcer.

Each catheter was in place for a greater mean length of time in the intervention group than in the control group (see bmj.com). As a result more catheters were placed in the control group (n=749) than in the intervention group (n=679), despite the average number of intravenous therapy days being less in the control group: 6.3 (SD 5.1) in the intervention group versus 5.4 (SD 3.8) in the control group. The number of days catheterised was 2020 in the control group and 2393 in the intervention group.

Effect of intervention

Overall, 123 (33%) participants in the control group and 143 (38%) in the intervention group had catheters removed because of phlebitis or infiltration (table); the difference was not significant (relative risk 1.15, 95% confidence interval 0.95 to 1.40). When the analysis was based on failure per 1000 device hours, no difference could be detected between the groups. Infusion related costs per episode of care were higher in the control group than in the intervention group (mean $A41.02 vs mean $A36.40). Both groups had low rates of phlebitis (4% in intervention group, 3% in
control group). Infiltration was the most common reason for failure (36% in intervention group, 32% in control group). A total of 196 (26%) catheters in control participants were replaced after three days, according to hospital policy, despite functioning well.

**DISCUSSION**

The routine replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters has no effect on the incidence of catheter failure, on the basis of a composite measure of phlebitis or infiltration. The result replicates findings from an earlier study by us, which used narrower inclusion criteria but a broader definition of failure.10 These studies have increased our confidence in changing intravenous lines according to signs and symptoms, rather than using predetermined times.11 Changing our policy would bring the practice in adults in line with recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for changing peripheral intravenous lines in children—that is, replace catheters only when clinically indicated.

Our overall combined rate for phlebitis and infiltration was 35%, similar to other reports.12 13 The phlebitis rate in both groups was on the low side of ranges reported in recent studies,14 15 despite our population being elderly and almost 75% having at least one comorbidity. Reported rates depend on definitions used, and although we applied a standard definition, interpretation of signs and symptoms could be affected by subjectivity or omission of reporting. It is perhaps more useful to use the composite measure of infiltration or phlebitis to avoid misdiagnosis.

Despite group allocation, participants showed little difference in dwell times. Two factors contribute to this. Firstly, it is not possible to modify all routinely scheduled changes precisely 72 hours after insertion. Secondly, many of the catheters in the intervention group failed before 72 hours—although catheters remained in place longer than in the control group, the average dwell time was within the 72-96 hours recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. This confirms that all catheters fail eventually but that many remain functional for prolonged periods (about 3% remained trouble free for over seven days and some for as long as two weeks). We therefore believe that routinely changing catheters may be an unnecessary, painful, and costly intervention.

Potential cost savings of about 25% for infusion related costs could be made if our policy was to be changed in line with recent evidence. Cost estimates used in our study were conservative, based on a simple intravenous event.

The study was not sufficiently powered to show differences in our secondary clinical outcomes. Despite this, non-significant results favoured the control group for lower rates of phlebitis, blockage, and local infection.

**Strengths and limitations**

The major strengths of the study were the processes used to eliminate selection bias, ensure allocation concealment, and ensure that the study was adequately powered to detect differences in our primary outcome. We also included a range of participants and did not impose caveats on how or by whom catheters should be inserted. This was to match normal practice and to enable the extrapolation of results to other inpatient populations. We enrolled 47% of eligible patients.

**WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC**

Peripheral intravenous catheterisation is the most common invasive procedure among inpatients

Changing catheters every three days to prevent infection is standard procedure but the practice has not been rigorously tested

**WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS**

Catheters may be safely left in place for longer than 72 hours if no contraindications are present

When catheters are replaced only when clinically indicated 25% of infusion related costs are saved
Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris—incidence and mortality in the UK: population based cohort study

S M Langan,1 L Smeeth,2 R Hubbard,3 K M Fleming,3 C J P Smith,3 J West1

ABSTRACT

Objective To determine the incidence of and mortality from bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris in the United Kingdom.

Design Retrospective historical cohort study.

Setting Computerised medical records from the health improvement network, a large population based UK general practice database.

Participants Patients with pemphigus vulgaris and bullous pemphigoid diagnostic codes and age, sex, and practice matched controls.

Main outcome measures Incidence and mortality compared with the control population by calendar period, age group, sex, geographical region, and degree of social deprivation.

Results 869 people with bullous pemphigoid and 138 people with pemphigus vulgaris were identified. The median age at presentation for bullous pemphigoid was 80 (range 23-102) years, and 534 (61%) patients were female. The median age at presentation for pemphigus vulgaris was 71 (21-102) years, and 91 (66%) patients were female. Incidences of bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris were 4.3 (95% confidence interval 4.0 to 4.6) and 0.7 (0.6 to 0.8) per 100 000 person years. The incidence of bullous pemphigoid increased over time; the average yearly increase was 17% (incidence rate ratio=1.2, 95% confidence interval 1.1 to 1.2). An average yearly increase in incidence of pemphigus vulgaris of 11% (incidence rate ratio=1.1, 1.0 to 1.2) occurred. The risk of death for patients with bullous pemphigoid was twice as great as for controls (adjusted hazard ratio=2.3, 95% confidence interval 2.0 to 2.7). For pemphigus vulgaris, the risk of death was three times greater than for controls (adjusted hazard ratio=3.3, 2.2 to 5.2).

Conclusions Incidences of bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris are increasing. The reasons for the changes in incidence are not clearly understood but have implications for identifying causative factors. Both disorders are associated with a high risk of death. Previous estimates may have underestimated the risk of death associated with these diseases.
Crude and adjusted survival analysis for patients with bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deaths</th>
<th>Person years</th>
<th>Crude hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bullous pemphigoid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>9765</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>2.11 (1.82 to 2.44)</td>
<td>2.29 (1.98 to 2.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pemphigus vulgaris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1763</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2.82 (1.86 to 4.27)</td>
<td>3.38 (2.21 to 5.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTRODUCTION**

Previous studies of bullous pemphigoid have reported incidences between 0.2 and 3 per 100 000 person years; these are higher in older age groups, and some studies report associations with sex.1-4 Wide variation in mortality is reported, with one year mortality varying between 6% in the United States and 41% in France.5-8

Hospital based studies of the epidemiology of pemphigus vulgaris report incidence varying between 0.076 and 1.6 per 100 000 person years.2 9 Several studies have suggested a higher incidence in women.9-10 Two studies report one year mortality of 4.8% and 54%, with no clear estimate of overall disease specific mortality.10-11

The published studies are mainly small and hospital based. We carried out a large population based study in people with bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris to provide data on incidence and demographic data in the UK and to resolve controversies about mortality from these autoimmune disorders, which cause blistering of the skin and mucous membranes.

**METHODS**

The health improvement network is a computerised longitudinal general practice database with demographic data similar to the general population. The version we used contained data from 328 general practices that use “In Practice Vision” software. The quality of the data has been validated.12

**Study population**

Between 1996 and 2006, we identified all patients with a diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid or pemphigus vulgaris from the health improvement network database. In order to exclude prevalent cases, we imposed a lag period of three months after registration with their general practitioner to differentiate between incident and prevalent cases. We selected a control group of up to four controls per case, matched randomly by age, sex, and general practice, and contributing data to the database. We excluded people aged under 20 years. We assigned a date of “pseudodiagnosis” to controls, which was the date of diagnosis for the matched case.

**Outcomes**

We recorded incident diagnoses and dates of death. The follow-up period began on the date of first diagnosis or “pseudodiagnosis.”

**RESULTS**

Our cohort included 869 people with bullous pemphigoid contributing 1993 person years and 3453 matched controls contributing 9765 person years. The median age at first presentation for bullous pemphigoid was 80 (range 23-102) years, and 534 (61%) patients were women. One hundred and thirty eight people with pemphigus vulgaris contributed 380 person years, and 551 matched controls contributed 1763 person years. The median age at first presentation for pemphigus vulgaris was 71 (21-102) years, and 91 (66%) patients were women. The median length of follow-up for people with bullous pemphigoid was 1.6 (range 0-9) years, and that for pemphigus vulgaris was 2.0 (0-10.4) years. Losses to follow-up were similar in cases and controls in both diseases (by the end of year 2, bullous pemphigoid cases and controls 34% lost; pemphigus vulgaris cases 30% lost, controls 31% lost).

**Bullous pemphigoid**

*Incidence*—The crude incidence of bullous pemphigoid was 4.28 (95% confidence interval 4.01 to 4.58) per 100 000 person years. Incidence increased with age and in later calendar periods (figure). The increased...
incidence over calendar time persisted after adjustment for age group and sex (P for trend <0.0001). The estimated increase in the incidence of bullous pemphigoid per increase in calendar year was 17% (rate ratio 1.17, 95% confidence interval 1.14 to 1.20; P<0.0001) after adjustment for sex and age group, corresponding to a 4.8-fold increase in incidence over the 11 year period or a crude increase of 5.2-fold during the study. Applying this data to the UK population between 2001 and 2005 gave an average of 2996 (95% confidence interval 2485 to 3602) new cases a year.

Mortality—The table shows mortality for the bullous pemphigoid cohort. The overall crude mortality was 131.99 (95% confidence interval 116.96 to 148.95) per 1000 person years for bullous pemphigoid and 61.86 (57.12 to 66.99) per 1000 person years for controls. The absolute excess mortality in the bullous pemphigoid cohort was 70 per 1000 person years. The one year mortality for bullous pemphigoid was 19% (95% confidence interval 16.2% to 21.8%). The table shows crude and adjusted hazard ratios. We found no evidence of effect modification by calendar time.

Pemphigus vulgaris

Incidence—The crude incidence of pemphigus vulgaris was 0.68 (0.58 to 0.80) per 100 000 person years. Incidence was higher in women and in older age groups. We found evidence of an estimated 11% increase in incidence per calendar year (incidence rate ratio 1.11 (1.04 to 1.17) per year; P=0.001) [figure]. We saw a slight reduction in incidence in the most recent period from 3.5 (1.9 to 6.2) in 2002-4 to 2.4 (1.2 to 4.5) in 2005-6. Applying these data to the UK population between 2001 and 2005 gave an average of 467 (297 to 643) new cases of pemphigus vulgaris a year.

Mortality—The table shows mortality for the pemphigus vulgaris cohort. The overall crude mortality was 94.64 (68.268 to 131.205) per 1000 person years for pemphigus vulgaris and 32.89 (25.43 to 42.55) per 1000 person years for controls. The absolute excess mortality in the pemphigus vulgaris cohort was 62 per 1000 person years. The one year mortality for pemphigus vulgaris was 12% (8% to 19%). The table shows crude and adjusted hazard ratios.

Comparison with other studies

The mean age of patients with bullous pemphigoid in our study is similar to other published data. However, with the exception of one regional study, all studies were hospital based; therefore, although they may have had excellent validity, they may be less representative of the disease in the population. The mean age of patients with pemphigus vulgaris in our study was much higher than previous reports. However, no data on the epidemiology of pemphigus vulgaris in western Europe have been published and all of the studies are from hospital centres in the form of either cross sectional surveys or case series.
The incidence of bullous pemphigoid in our study is similar to that described by others; the incidence of pemphigus vulgaris is within the range of the previous papers, and the sex distribution is also similar.\textsuperscript{8-10} The increasing trend in incidence of bullous pemphigoid has not been previously shown. A possible explanation is increased ascertainment, but if this is the case the increasing trend has not levelled off.

One year mortality from bullous pemphigoid is 19%, intermediate between that described in the United States (11%) and Europe (23-41%).\textsuperscript{11-13} One year mortality for pemphigus vulgaris is higher than that described in a study in Turkey (4.8%) but lower than that in a study from the United States (54%: mean age of cases not given).\textsuperscript{10,11} The designs used in both of these studies are susceptible to selection bias, and drawing robust conclusions from the findings is difficult.

Interpretation and implications

Our study shows that rates of bullous pemphigoid seem to be increasing over time. Rates of pemphigus vulgaris are increasing to a lesser degree despite the facts that both disorders are more common with increasing age and that the multivariate analysis was adjusted for age. Possible explanations include ascertainment bias, misclassification, and a true increase in incidence. Our study has also shown high mortality associated with these diseases.
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Drips, drains, and dressings

After a restless night at home with increasing abdominal pain, followed by bilious vomiting in casualty and an ultrasound scan that showed “a thick-walled gall-bladder with multiple stones, some impacted in the neck,” the diagnosis of cholecystitis was obvious. So, my infrequent episodes over 20 years of retrosternal pains and unrelated rigors diagnosed by me as due to oesophageal spasm and viral infections had been nothing more tenderness to have an empyema, but how else to explain the developing picture? And for all of us; a thick-walled gall-bladder containing multiple stones, some impacted in the neck—

Like his registrar, the consultant had plenty of time to take a full history and make a thorough examination. Some fullness over the gallbladder area was noted, and the first thought was to continue intravenous treatment—but wait. Rigors a few days after antibiotics, a rising neutrophil count, and some abnormality on examination led to a rethink: “Well, you don’t look ill enough and should have more tenderness to have an empyema, but how else to explain the developing picture?” And thank heavens for that time honoured surgical aphorism, “If in doubt, cut it out.”

The findings: gallbladder stuck to colon and duodenum, thick walled in part, but thin and friable in others, containing multiple stones, some impacted in the neck—and containing 150 ml of pus. So perhaps for me, postponement of a failed interview with the heavenly choir. And for all of us; don’t be slavishly led by test results, but allow them to do their job in building up the complete clinical picture. Thank you to the on-call surgeons for taking the time to listen.

Anthony Craighill GP principal, Shoreham, Sevenoaks
susann.craighill@btinternet.com
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