Review

Voluntary agreements between government and business – a scoping review of the literature with specific reference to the Public Health Responsibility Deal

Abstract [200 words]

Objectives: A scoping review was conducted to synthesise the findings of evaluations of voluntary agreements between business and government. It aimed to summarise the types of agreements that exist, how they work in practice, the conditions for their success and how they had been evaluated.

- Methods: Voluntary agreements were included if they involved a transparent signing-up process and where businesses agreed to carry out specific actions or to achieve specific outcomes. Studies of any design published in English were included.
- Results: 47 studies were identified. Voluntary agreements may help to improve relationships between government and business, and can help both parties agree on target-setting and datasharing. Governments may also use the experience to help develop subsequent legislation. For voluntary agreements to be successful, targets should be ambitious and clearly defined, with robust independent monitoring. Public knowledge of agreements can help encourage
 - Conclusions: If properly implemented and monitored, voluntary agreements can be an effective policy approach, though there is little evidence on whether they are more effective than compulsory approaches. Some of the most effective voluntary agreements include substantial disincentives for non-participation and sanctions for non-compliance. Many countries are moving towards these more formal approaches to voluntary agreements.

Keywords: literature review; public health; evaluation; voluntary agreement

Main text: 5110 words

participation and ensure compliance.

1. Introduction

The Public Health Responsibility Deal (RD), launched in England in March 2011, aims to bring together public sector, academic, commercial and voluntary organisations in five networks (covering food, alcohol, physical activity, health at work and behaviour change) to help meet public health goals. Central to the approach is the development of collaborative relationships between business, the voluntary sector and government. The RD was described as follows by the Department of Health [1]:

 "The Public Health Responsibility Deal tap[s] into the potential for businesses and other organisations to improve public health and tackle health inequalities through their influence over food, alcohol, physical activity and health in the workplace... Partners signing up ... have committed to take action to improve public health. This action is expressed as a series of pledges covering food, alcohol, physical activity and health at work. These pledges are not intended to replace Government action."

In his foreword to the launch report [2], the Secretary of State for Health also stated that:

"By working in partnership, public health, commercial and voluntary organisations can agree practical actions to secure more progress, more quickly, with less cost than legislation".

The RD acts as a mechanism which aims to bring about voluntary partnerships to produce specific pledges and is one among several national [3] and international [4] voluntary agreements designed to contribute to public health objectives. Some previous voluntary agreements have reported positive results. For example the EU Pledge Programme, a voluntary agreement by food and drink companies to change their advertising strategies targeted at children has been independently monitored on an annual basis and reports a positive record of compliance [4]. However, drawing on the long-standing experience of tobacco control [5, 6], the public health community has been sceptical of the long-term effectiveness of such voluntary initiatives. Investigations into the public health effectiveness of previous voluntary agreements by the food and drinks industries to self-regulate have found the agreements to be inadequate [7], with discrepancies between promised and actual changes made, [8, 9] and little sign that they have been effective in reducing consumption and reducing harm [10, 11].

One of the main criticisms which has been levelled at voluntary agreements like the Public Health RD is that industry's views and interests are prioritised, and that organisations with financial and commercial interests that may be at variance with public health goals are put in a position to set the agenda for health improvement [12]. Critics also point to evidence that government regulation is more effective in bringing about public health benefits, as supported by studies of the positive health impact of alcohol control policies [11, 13-15], and have suggested that voluntarism means that governments have largely renounced their responsibility to implement a comprehensive, evidence-based and cross-sectoral strategy to improve the public's health [16].

Since there is experience of similar agreements outside public health, there is a strong case for exploring the conditions and the degree to which these voluntary agreements are effective, and whether there are lessons for public health and the development of the RD to be learned about the conditions affecting the success, or lack of success, of previous voluntary agreements. This paper reports on the findings of a scoping review on the operation and evaluation of such voluntary agreements between government and business. The review was undertaken as part of a wider project sponsored by the Department of Health in England to help plan evaluation of the RD in England. Its objectives were to identify the rationales for voluntary agreements in public health and other sectors, and to identify their impacts and the conditions that appeared to be associated with more and less successful agreements in terms of public policy goals. It also aimed to obtain pointers to ways in which the RD could be changed or implemented differently to enable it to operate more effectively. The review therefore sought to answer the following specific questions:

- 1. What are the different types of voluntary agreements?
 - 2. Why do governments develop voluntary agreements?
 - 3. Why do businesses join voluntary agreements, and which businesses join?
 - 4. How are voluntary agreements received by those who do or do not participate?
 - 5. What are the potential problems and enabling factors? Are voluntary agreements effective in achieving their goals?
 - 6. Are voluntary agreements worthwhile (do benefits exceed costs)? and,
 - 7. How have voluntary agreements been evaluated and what can be learned for future evaluations of voluntary agreements?

2. Methods

Scoping reviews aim "to map rapidly the key concepts underpinning a research area and the main sources and types of evidence available... where an area is complex or has not been reviewed comprehensively before" [17]. Thus they are suitable for preliminary examination of a field of research, sometimes as a precursor to a full systematic review [18]. They differ from full systematic reviews in that they are conducted to identify the range and type of evidence within a field, without conducting an in-depth appraisal of each study. We adopted the following definition of a voluntary agreement for the purposes of the review – "a contract between the government and industry, or negotiated targets with commitments and time schedules on the part of all participating parties" [19]. The focus was on identifying evidence from previous studies of voluntary agreements between governments and businesses, within any sector, that were structurally or operationally similar to the RD.

2.1 Inclusion criteria

Voluntary agreements between governments or government bodies and individual businesses or industry groups were included, where there was a transparent signing-up process and where businesses agreed to carry out specific actions or to achieve specific outcomes. Industry sectors were classified using an amended version of the Office for National Statistics' Standard Industrial Classification of Economic Activities [20].

Studies evaluating processes or outcomes were included, and these could be either primary or secondary analyses. Studies of any design were eligible. Only English language studies from any country were included. Eleven databases were searched: ASSIA, Business Source Premier, Econlit, Greenfile, HMIC, MEDLINE, NHS Economic Evaluation Database, PAIS, Science Citation Index, Social Policy and Practice and Social Science Citation Index. Full details of the search strategy are available from the authors.

Data relevant to each of the main review questions were extracted and are summarised below. Data on the setting, aims and methods of evaluation where this could be deduced were also extracted, and are summarised narratively. We did not undertake a quality assessment of included studies because of the diversity of methods and the lack of methodological information reported in many studies. This approach is consistent with the aims and methods of scoping reviews in general.

3. Results

The search initially resulted in 2368 titles and abstracts. From these, 47 studies met the inclusion criteria, representing evaluations of 36 different voluntary agreements (Table 1 - some voluntary agreements were evaluated in more than one study). Over half were from

- Europe (Table 2), and most were from the last 20 years (Table 3). Half were on general
- environmental agreements (e.g. improving energy efficiency) (Tables 1 and 4).
- The findings are presented below under the main themes/review questions.

153154

- 3.1 What are the different types of voluntary agreements?
- 155 A review of environmental programmes suggested that there are three categories of voluntary
- agreements [21]: (i) Agreements that are completely voluntary where businesses have a
- totally free choice on whether to join and there are no sanctions for non-compliance. (ii)
- Voluntary agreements that use the threat of future regulations or taxes as a motivation to
- participate, and (iii) Voluntary agreements implemented in conjunction with an existing tax
- policy or strict regulations. These agreements usually include well-specified targets,
- 161 comprehensive monitoring systems and sanctions for non-compliance. The financial
- disincentives for non-participation in these agreements are often so costly for businesses that
- they may not be seen as truly 'voluntary'.

164165

- The Public Health Responsibility Deal appears to be a hybrid of the first two approaches.
- The current pledges on physical activity could be included under the first category, whereas
- the pledges on food and alcohol could be included under the second category. Although there
- is no specific threat of legislation for the food and alcohol industries, there appears to be an
- understanding among many stakeholders that there are alternative options; legislation has
- been identified as a potential policy approach by public health groups and has been
- introduced in other countries [22, 23].

172173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

3.2 Why do governments develop voluntary agreements?

A government's rationale for taking action through a voluntary agreement was described in two-thirds of the included studies, and included the perception that voluntary agreements are a cheaper, quicker alternative mechanism for changing the behaviour of business and the public compared to introducing, monitoring and enforcing legislation [24, 25]. A government may wish to be seen to take rapid action on a controversial policy issue [26, 27] or to take action whilst protecting the economic interests of the country and thus be attracted to the voluntary option. For example, in an environmental agreement in Germany there was substantial pressure from the ministry of economic affairs to attempt a voluntary approach, in order to avoid any potentially negative economic impacts of regulation [28].

182 183 184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191 192

193

194

195

Voluntary approaches may also be a result of pressure from industry, particularly where the specific industry group can exert political power [29] or where governments may adopt voluntary agreements to improve relationships with industry[30]. Governments may wish to join forces with industry to promote improvement in societal attitudes, such as in an agreement on making workplaces more inclusive [31]. Governments may also want to access industry data [32, 33], or to adopt voluntary approaches where a policy area is underdeveloped, as in the case of a voluntary agreement in Germany to gradually reduce the levels of acrylamide (a potential carcinogen) in food products [34]. Voluntary agreements may also be introduced in order to help develop subsequent legislation [33]. They may also be introduced as part of a wider policy package. A policy issue may be complex and multifaceted, requiring a range of actions – for example, a UK agreement on alcohol labelling was seen as part of a wide-ranging campaign to raise awareness on alcohol-related harm [24].

They may also be a preferred alternative, or supplement, to weak national legislation [35].

196 197 198

3.3 Why do businesses join voluntary agreements, and which businesses join?

The initial proposal to develop a voluntary agreement often comes from industry, particularly when there is a threat of legislation [27, 36]. Businesses may do so to avoid mandatory actions, or to prepare for compliance if necessary [36]; or to avoid or reduce the financial costs related to legislation, such as financial penalties for non-compliance [37] and implementation costs [24]. Businesses may also participate in order to help governments better understand which actions and targets are achievable and which are not [33]. They may wish to achieve recognition for actions already being taken, for example if they have concluded that the likely government target is achievable and are already working towards it [26]. Businesses may also join a voluntary agreement for marketing purposes, especially if they have a poor public image [25, 30] or if there is increasing pressure from the public or from lobby groups [38, 39]. Participating in a voluntary agreement may be seen by the public as better behaviour than being 'forced' to take action through legislation, thereby raising the reputation of a firm [27]. Some voluntary agreements explicitly offer public recognition as an incentive to businesses, for example, by allowing businesses to use a logo on products [27], by publishing a list of participants [40] or by offering awards for outstanding achievements [25]. Businesses may also simply want to improve their relationship with government [41], and to take advantage of incentives. For example, governments have offered an expensive environmental audit of a business [42], have conducted workshops and provided an information exchange to facilitate the sharing of information and learning between businesses and the regulatory agency [25] and have offered exclusive access to advantageous business locations [39].

199

200

201

202

203

204205

206

207

208

209

210

211212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

225226

227

228229

230

231232

233

234

235

236237

238

239

240241

242

243

244245246

247248

Voluntary schemes that are highly visible to businesses and the public appear to have high participation rates and coverage. Public image can be used to help encourage compliance and to strengthen an agreement: for example a public announcement of poor performance by a firm within an agreement can be used as an effective sanction and encourage compliance.

Some agreements encountered difficulties persuading businesses to sign up. For example, a UK agreement on alcohol labelling was unsuccessful in recruiting some of the major alcohol producers, resulting in limited market coverage and problems with achieving the target which was based on a percentage of the market share. This was believed by some commentators to be due to of a lack of commitment to labelling on the part of the drinks industry [24].

However, agreements signed with industry groups or closely linked to large, prominent national schemes appear to have high participation rates and/or coverage [26, 43]. High participation rates were also found for agreements that offered a reduction in, or avoidance of, a costly tax [44-46].

Some studies investigated differences between participating and non-participating businesses. For example, an evaluation of a voluntary agreement on pollution prevention in the United States found that large businesses, those with high advertising expenditures, those with small market shares and those already participating in other voluntary environmental programs were most likely to participate [25]. In one Chinese study, businesses that participated in a voluntary agreement already had good management and monitoring systems, as well as high levels of social responsibility [47].

3.4 How are voluntary agreements received by those who participate and those who do not? Nine studies investigated how voluntary agreements were received by interested parties. In five out of six studies of those directly involved in a voluntary agreement (governments and

businesses), the participants viewed the agreements positively [27, 32, 40, 48, 49]. Five studies presented data on the opinions of those not directly involved in a voluntary agreement. Two studies found that environmental organisations held positive opinions about agreements [49, 50], and another three studies found concerns expressed by those outside a voluntary agreement [26, 27, 37]. In a UK agreement on energy efficiency in the chemical industry, doubts had been expressed as to whether the targets represented a real improvement [26].

3.5 What are the potential problems and enabling factors with voluntary agreements?

There are many potential barriers to successful implementation of a voluntary agreement. Conflict within government may result in a lack of a credible threat of legislation, thus resulting in a stronger negotiating position for businesses. Governments may be concerned that legislation could reduce consumer choice by reducing the number of products entering the market – for example, because of strict alcohol labelling standards [24]. In addition, industry may encourage a government to introduce a voluntary agreement by arguing that proposed legislation would primarily have a negative impact on sales, resulting in unemployment [51]

Specific problems identified here relate to the content of the agreement, the signing-up process, the targets agreed, incentives, sanctions, monitoring of compliance, management of the agreement and non-government support for implementation.

Some agreements may be limited by focusing only on one aspect of a problem [28, 37, 52-54]. For example, a UK agreement on tobacco advertising only focused on restricting poster advertising, even though shop-front advertising was more prevalent [53, 54]. However, although a voluntary agreement may not include all important measures to address a specific policy issue, it may be viewed as a significant development which can subsequently be built upon [37].

If an agreement does not involve a strong commitment, its achievement depends solely on the good will of businesses to take the actions as agreed [31, 37]. Requiring senior managers to sign an agreement may help to ensure an organisation's commitment to achieving the targets set [27]. However, this is only the case if senior managers are keen to implement the agreed measures and to support the staff members implementing the changes [42]. Some voluntary agreements are signed by industrial associations, which may result in a risk of communication difficulties with individual member firms, and potential non-compliance [26]. In an Italian environmental agreement signed by local industrial associations, only 22% of constituent businesses had heard of it [32].

Some targets may not be ambitious enough [30, 55]. Some agreements set targets purposely low at first to allow a settling-in period and then make them more stretching [36]. Some agreements may gradually introduce new measures, thereby allowing potentially harmful business practices to continue for a period of time. For example, a UK voluntary agreement on tobacco advertising allowed businesses to continue to use old health warnings for consumers even though new warnings had been developed [56].

Clearly defined agreements are an enabling factor; conversely a lack of clearly defined targets may be seen as a lack of commitment to the proposed actions. Some agreements may be complex or ambiguous, making it difficult to ascertain whether the targets have been

achieved. For example in the case of a UK agreement on tobacco advertising, it was not clear what constituted a breach in the agreement [53, 57]. Targets that are not quantifiable or that lack time frames make it extremely difficult to assess achievement [28, 32, 37, 42].

Some voluntary agreement targets may represent minimal actions. In a UK agreement on energy efficiency, it was found that the main driving factors for improvement would still have existed without the agreement, including the fact that businesses were already working towards the target under a different voluntary programme [26]. Others may omit important aspects and allow businesses and individuals to circumvent the measures. For example, a payment ban on internet tobacco sales in the United States only applied to credit cards and not to personal cheques, allowing internet companies to continue selling tobacco [52]. If businesses perceive the targets to be unrealistic there may be issues with compliance [32, 58]. In addition, if a baseline for targets is set substantially earlier than the beginning of the agreement, businesses could agree to a target that they are already on their way to achieving [26].

Incentives such as public recognition and information exchange, as mentioned above, can also be enabling, though the benefits need to be large enough to encourage good performance and need to be clearly specified by government to ensure that businesses are aware of, and can take advantage of, them [47]. It is also generally assumed that there will be a business case for participation in a voluntary agreement. Conversely agreements may include sanctions for individual businesses, or for entire industries, if they do not achieve the targets – often involving a concrete threat of legislation. There is a risk that agreements without any sanctions at all [26, 27, 30], or with no credible threat of legislation [29], may allow businesses to gain the benefits of participation with little effort to achieve the targets.

Some agreements have strong monitoring systems in place, usually with a combination of self-reporting and independent verification checks [26, 45]. Businesses usually have to provide their own self-reported monitoring data in a voluntary agreement. If the agreement process is not transparent, or if monitoring data are not published or are of poor quality, the credibility of actions taken under the agreement may be questioned [30, 32]. Strong management of a voluntary agreement by the government can help to ensure its success [42].

Non-governmental organisations (NGOs) may play a key role in the negotiation and implementation of a voluntary agreement. Involving third parties in a voluntary agreement generally increases its credibility.

3.6 Are voluntary agreements effective in achieving their goals?

All voluntary agreements include goals, based on implementing processes (e.g. introducing a recycling system) and/or achieving outcomes (e.g. reducing emissions). Agreements with vague, unquantifiable goals are difficult to evaluate, compared to those with well-specified targets and robust monitoring systems. Some agreements were considered by authors of studies to be effective based on change against targets [25, 33, 36], whilst others had difficulties with achieving their targets [24, 59-61]. Several studies found non-compliance with a UK agreement to limit tobacco advertising, with tobacco companies sponsoring events particularly appealing to young people to circumvent the agreement [60] and with advertisements breaching one or more of the agreement rules [53, 54]. A UK agreement on alcohol labelling only resulted in 15% compliance compared to a target of 50% of labels [24]. This was mainly due to the non-participation of some major alcohol producers.

A number of studies were unable to evaluate the effectiveness of voluntary agreements, for example where the targets of the agreement had not been clearly defined [42]. In general, it appears that those agreements that include appreciable sanctions for non-compliance and/or credible monitoring with publicity are most likely to be effective, though the volume and quality of evidence is such that this conclusion is tentative.

Among the secondary positive outcomes identified in these studies were strengthened relationships between governments and businesses [26, 48, 50]. Positive impacts on attitudes and awareness of businesses on a specific issue [27, 42, 48] and increased competitive advantage for businesses over non-participants were also cited. Through working together on a voluntary agreement, governments and businesses may also benefit from improved expertise and a shared understanding on a specific issue [26] [27]. There may also be spill-over effects to non-participating businesses. For example, an evaluation of a large, national agreement on reducing the use of toxic chemicals in the United States found that non-participating businesses also achieved a reduction [25].

Negative secondary outcomes were also observed, including compensation effects, in which businesses participate in a voluntary agreement which addresses a certain issue, but subsequently increase activities that are not included within the agreement in order to maintain profits. For example, a South African agreement banning television advertising of tobacco resulted in a large increase in radio advertising (which reaches a greater proportion of the population) and sponsorship of sporting events, including those broadcast on television [62].

3.7 Are voluntary agreements worthwhile (do the benefits outweigh the costs)?

In any evaluation an assessment of the balance of benefits and costs is important to determine whether it is worthwhile to different groups of participants. Few studies attempt such an analysis or indeed any form of economic evaluation of voluntary agreements. Nine studies were found which addressed costs and/or benefits, though most were only able to calculate costs. For example, the UK government was not able to calculate the exact costs and benefits of a voluntary agreement on alcohol labelling, due to insufficient evidence on its impact on consumption [24]. Three environmental studies found that voluntary agreements were not particularly costly policy options [27, 30, 55] whereas other studies found that participation in a voluntary agreement was costly for businesses [28, 33]. Other studies found that voluntary agreements were costly to government and/or industry [42] [26, 63]. Overall, given the limited data it is unclear whether voluntary agreements in general are more or less costly than statutory legislation.

3.8 How have voluntary agreements been evaluated?

The two most common types of evaluation were investigations of whether an agreement was successful in achieving its targets and investigations of the reasons why governments or businesses developed, or joined, a voluntary agreement. In general, interviews and surveys were the main methods used in process evaluations, and independent data analysis was the main method in outcome evaluations. Studies that investigated why governments and business enter voluntary agreements used a range of research methods – interviews were conducted with government representatives [30, 39, 42, 63], business representatives [27, 31, 42, 63] and independent consultants involved in implementation of an agreement [42, 63]; surveys of businesses were undertaken [55], and independent analysis of business-level data conducted [35, 64]. Other methods included media analysis [49]. Studies which aimed to

assess whether agreed targets had been achieved most often involved independent data analysis based on monitoring data [24, 34] or on businesses' self-reported data [48]. Routine data sources were also used in some cases [65]. Researchers carried out their own data collection in some studies, for example, using surveys [60] or observations of business activities [53, 57]. One study based its assessment on publicly available government and industry publications [37], and one study analysed internal industry documents [66]. Scenario modelling was also used to ascertain whether an agreement was likely to be successful [67] [26].

4. Discussion

If properly implemented and monitored, voluntary agreements can be effective and businesses can help to achieve public policy aims, but without a full systematic review it is not possible to determine whether, and in which circumstances, they are likely to be more effective than regulation. However, it should be noted that some of the most effective agreements do not appear to be particularly 'voluntary'; that is, some of the most effective are those with substantial and financially important incentives and sanctions for non-participation or non-fulfilment of targets. The evidence on whether voluntary agreements are worthwhile (i.e. whether the benefits exceed the costs) is very limited such that no conclusions can be drawn. This lack of evidence may not matter to policymakers and industries advocating their use, if their purpose is primarily political - for example, to avoid the implementation of legislation. This has been claimed by critics of the public health RD who for example have suggested that it is in industry's interests to oppose effective binding regulation by promoting self-regulation via voluntary codes. [77]. It is also possible that assessments of the effectiveness of voluntary agreements are biased, in that there may be a tendency to overclaim their effectiveness by participants in order to avoid more coercive approaches.

However, the review has several important implications for developing voluntary agreements in public health, including the Public Health Responsibility Deal. The main one is that agreements without appreciable sanctions for non-compliance and/or credible monitoring with publicity are less likely to be effective. The available evidence also shows that a wide range of factors affects the credibility and effectiveness of a voluntary agreement between business and government. For example, the way in which industry joins a voluntary agreement is important. Sign-up by industry associations may result in wider coverage of eligible businesses, and a strong, public commitment signed by senior managers of businesses may also be effective in ensuring commitment. Targets for businesses need to be realistic, but stretching, to achieve real change. If un-ambitious targets are set, then they should be seen as a starting point to be built upon after a settling-in period.

Targets also need to be clear and specific. Complex or ambiguous targets are not useful; clearly defined, quantifiable targets with time frames, and with a specified baseline for the purpose of comparison, allow evaluation of progress and improve the credibility of the agreement.

The existing evidence also highlights the role of incentives and benefits to making voluntary agreements "work". Any benefits offered by a government as part of a voluntary agreement need to be clearly specified to help ensure that businesses are aware, and can take advantage, of them. Benefits may include low-cost measures, such as training schemes or public recognition through logos or awards, or higher-cost measures such as environmental audits or co-financing of initiatives. Sanctions for non-compliance also need to be made clear to

businesses, even in voluntary agreements. Without any sanctions, or in the absence of a credible threat of legislation to encourage compliance, businesses may gain the benefits of participation whilst making little effort to achieve the targets, thus undermining the credibility of the agreement. Independent monitoring of progress toward those targets is essential, with checks on data quality.

It is also clear from the review that public image plays an important role, both as a benefit and a sanction. When voluntary schemes are highly visible to businesses and the public and are strongly promoted, participation rates are often high. Public image can therefore be used to help encourage compliance. A public announcement of poor performance by a firm within an agreement can also be used as an effective sanction and encourage compliance.

International organisations have also made recommendations for governments developing voluntary agreements that support the findings of this scoping review. OECD recommends that agreements have clearly defined targets with a specified baseline, robust monitoring systems, third-party participation, information-oriented benefits (such as technical workshops), sanctions for non-compliance and a credible threat of legislation [68]. The European Commission (EC) also provides guidelines for voluntary agreements, with an emphasis on transparency [69]. These include prior consultation with industry and NGOs, a legally binding commitment, quantified targets and the independent verification and publication of results. However the presence of a legal commitment is a departure from the principle of voluntarism, and indeed few voluntary agreements in the literature meet these criteria. For example, the RD partly meets some of these criteria but not others; in particular there are few substantial incentives or benefits to business to participate, legislation appears to be absent as an alternative, and the use of quantified targets is limited.

Implications for evaluation of voluntary agreements

Based on the findings of this review, a robust evaluation of voluntary agreements requires that targets are quantitative, clearly-defined and time-bound, and that a monitoring system is in place from the start, ideally with independent verification of data. It is also important that the evaluation (or different stages of an evaluation) addresses both process and outcomes. For example, Sullivan's (2005) comprehensive set of criteria for evaluating environmental agreements seems to apply equally to public health agreements and includes effectiveness, economic efficiency, transaction costs (for governments and businesses), competitiveness, "soft" effects (such as attitudinal changes), innovation, acceptability, inclusiveness and public participation, and law and public policy issues (e.g. compatibility with existing institutions) [70]. The evaluation also needs to assess unintended outcomes and spillover effects – that is, effects which extend beyond the main goals of the agreement, such as effects on non-participants. Some form of economic evaluation can also be helpful in bringing together the range of positive and negative impacts of an agreement, in fully understanding its costs and in comparing a voluntary agreement to alternative policy approaches, though such analyses are very difficult to do definitively.

Strengths and limitations

The main strength of this review is that it draws on evidence on how voluntary agreements work in practice in different business sectors, countries and political contexts. Although a scoping review, it was informed by systematic review methods. It aimed to identify common themes and conditions for success, rather than to comprehensively review all previous studies

of voluntary agreements. However, the relevance of some of the voluntary agreements included to public health policy does needs consideration. Half of the studies were on environmental agreements which have had a relatively long history, and are likely to be further advanced than voluntary agreements in other policy areas and industries, including public health. The industries involved are also likely to be different, facing different economic imperatives.

Because the inclusion criteria were based on both the type of study and the type of voluntary agreement, potentially useful studies may have been omitted from this scoping review if there was no clear indication of the nature of the voluntary agreement being evaluated. Possible publication bias also has to be considered, as some voluntary agreements may only have been evaluated in certain circumstances, for example, if they had been particularly effective or ineffective. However, the studies included in this review did provide generally consistent findings for each of the research questions.

5. Conclusions

Voluntary agreements can be an effective policy approach for governments to take to persuade businesses to take actions, although there is very little evidence on whether, and in which circumstances, voluntary agreements are likely to be more (cost-) effective than compulsory approaches. Targets should be ambitious and clearly defined, and a robust monitoring system should be in place. The role of businesses' public image can be very important both to encourage participation and to ensure compliance. Finally, it is important to note that some of the most effective voluntary agreements are those with substantial disincentives for non-participation and costly sanctions for non-compliance. Many countries are moving towards these more formal and even legally-binding approaches to voluntary agreements.

Funding and acknowledgements

Anonymised

534 535 References

536 537 [1]

- Department of Health. Public Health Responsibility Deal. 2011.
- Department of Health. The Public Health Responsibility Deal. 2011. 538 [2]
- 539 [3] Peeler C, Kolish E, Enright M. The children's food & beverage advertising initiative
- 540 compliance and implementation in action. report on during 2009
- (http://www.bbb.org/us/storage/0/Shared%20Documents/finalbbbs.pdf). Arlington: Council 541
- 542 of Better Business Bureaus, 2010.
- European Union. EU Pledge, 2011 Monitoring report 543 (http://www.eu-
- 544 pledge.eu/sites/eu-pledge.eu/files/reports/EU Pledge 2011 Monitoring Report.pdf). 2011.
- 545 Glantz S, Slade J, Bero L, Hanauer P, Barnes D. The cigarette papers. Berkeley CA: [5] 546 University of California Press, 1996.
- 547 Daynard RA. Lessons from tobacco control for the obesity control movement. J 548 Public Health Policy 2003; 24:291-5.
- 549 Lang T, Rayner G, Kaelin E. The food industry, diet, physical activity and health: a
- 550 review of reported commitments and practice of 25 of the world's largest food companies
- 551 (http://archive.oxha.org/knowledge/publications/lang foodindustry april2006.pdf). London:
- 552 Centre for Food Policy, City University, 2006.
- 553 Lewin A, Lindstrom L, Nestle M. Food industry promises to address childhood [8]
- obesity: preliminary evaluation. J Public Health Policy 2006; 27:327-48. 554
- 555 Ludwig DS, Nestle M. Can the food industry play a constructive role in the obesity
- 556 epidemic? Jama 2008; 300:1808-11.
- 557 Babor T, Caetano R, Casswell S, Edwards G, Giesbrecht N, Graham K, Grube J,
- 558 Gruenewald P, Hill L, Holder H, Homel R, Osterberg E, Rehm J, Room R, Rossow I.
- 559 Alcohol: No Ordinary Commodity. Research and Public Policy. Oxford: Oxford University 560 Press, 2010.
- 561 Anderson P, Chisholm D, Fuhr DC. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of policies
- 562 and programmes to reduce the harm caused by alcohol. Lancet 2009; 373:2234-46.
- UK Parliament. House of Commons Health Select Committee. Twelfth Report. Public 563 564 Health
- 565 (http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmhealth/1048/104802.htm).
- 566 2010.
- 567 Toomey TL, Wagenaar AC. Policy options for prevention: the case of alcohol. J [13]
- 568 Public Health Policy 1999; 20:192-213.
- Wagenaar AC, Salois MJ, Komro KA. Effects of beverage alcohol price and tax 569
- 570 levels on drinking: a meta-analysis of 1003 estimates from 112 studies. Addiction 2009;
- 571 104:179-90.
- 572 Wagenaar AC, Tobler AL, Komro KA. Effects of alcohol tax and price policies on
- 573 morbidity and mortality: a systematic review. Am J Public Health 2010; 100:2270-8.
- Swinburn BA, Sacks G, Hall KD, McPherson K, Finegood DT, Moodie ML, 574
- Gortmaker SL. The global obesity pandemic: shaped by global drivers and local 575
- 576 environments. Lancet 2011; 378:804-14.
- 577 Mays N, Roberts E, Popay J. Synthesising research evidence. In: Fulop N, Allen P,
- 578 Clarke A, Black N, editors. Studying the organization and delivery of health services:
- 579 research methods. London: Routledge, 2001:188-220.
- 580 Gough D, Oliver S, Thomas J. An Introduction to Systematic Reviews. London: Sage [18]
- 581 Publications, 2012.
- 582 International Energy Agency. Voluntary actions for energy-related CO2 abatement.
- 583 1997.

- 584 [20] Office for National Statistics. UK Standard Industrial Classification of Economic
- 585 Activities 2007 (SIC 2007). 2009.
- 586 [21] Price L. Voluntary Agreements for Energy Efficiency or GHG Emissions Reduction
- in Industry: An Assessment of Programs Around the World. Proceedings of the 2005 ACEEE
- 588 Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Industry, 2005.
- 589 [22] Danish Ministry of Food AaF. Transfatty acid content in food
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} 590 & (\underline{http://www.foedevarestyrelsen.dk/english/Food/Trans\%20fatty\%20acids/Pages/default.aspx) \\ \end{tabular}$
- 591 . 2012.
- 592 [23] Department of Health and Mental Hygiene NY. The Requirement to Post Calorie
- 593 Counts on Menus In New York City Food Service Establishments (Section 81.50 of the New
- York City Health Code). How to Comply: What Your Establishment Needs to Know about
- 595 Posting Calories on Menus and Menu Boards
- 596 http://www.nyc.gov/html/doh/downloads/pdf/cdp/calorie_compliance_guide.pdf. no date.
- 597 [24] Department of Health. Consultation on options for improving information on the
- labels of alcoholic drinks to support consumers to make healthier choices in the UK. 2010.
- 599 [25] Arora S, Cason TN. A voluntary approach to environmental regulation: the 33/50 program. Resources 1994; 116.
- 601 [26] Salmons R. The energy efficiency agreement with the UK chemical industries
- association. In: De Clercq M, editor. Negotiating environmental agreements in Europe:
- 603 Critical factors for success. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2002.
- 604 [27] Sullivan R. The Australian Greenhouse Challenge. In: Sullivan R, editor. Rethinking
- Voluntary Approaches in Environmental Policy. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.:
- 606 Elgar, 2005.
- 607 [28] Jorgens H, Busch PO. The voluntary pledge regarding the environmentally sound
- 608 management of end-of-life vehicles. In: De Clercq M, editor. Negotiating environmental
- agreements in Europe: Critical factors for success. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton,
- 610 Mass.: Elgar, 2002.
- 611 [29] Blackman A, Sisto N. Voluntary Environmental Regulation in Developing Countries:
- A Mexican Case Study. Natural Resources Journal 2006; 46:1005-42.
- 613 [30] Aggeri F. Agreements on the use of CFCs in France. In: De Clercq M, editor.
- Negotiating environmental agreements in Europe: Critical factors for success. Cheltenham,
- 615 U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2002.
- 616 [31] Midtsundstad TI. Inclusive workplaces and older employees: an analysis of
- 617 companies' investment in retaining senior workers. International Journal of Human Resource
- 618 Management 2011; 22:1277-93.
- 619 [32] Wallace-Jones J. The Province of Vicenza Negotiated Agreements. In: De Clercq M,
- 620 editor. Negotiating environmental agreements in Europe: Critical factors for success.
- 621 Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2002.
- 622 [33] Vicini G, Wallace-Jones J. The agreement on the quality of gasoline in Italy. In: De
- 623 Clercq M, editor. Negotiating environmental agreements in Europe: Critical factors for
- success. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2002.
- 625 [34] Gobel A, Kliemant A. The German minimization concept for acrylamide. Food
- 626 Additives & Contaminants 2007; 24 Suppl 1:82-90.
- 627 [35] Blackman A, Lahiri B, Pizer W, Planter MR, Pina CM. Voluntary environmental
- 628 regulation in developing countries: Mexico's Clean Industry Program. Journal of
- 629 Environmental Economics and Management 2010; 60:182-92.
- 630 [36] Alexander M. Developing markets for old newspapers: voluntary agreements and
- 631 legislated mandates for recycled content newsprint have produced a market development
- 632 winner. Resource Recycling 1994; 13:? 20 (1-88).

- 633 [37] Moyo T, Rohan S. Corporate citizenship in the context of the financial services
- sector: what lessons from the Financial Sector Charter? Development Southern Africa 2006;
- 635 23:289-303
- 636 [38] Sam AG. Impact of government-sponsored pollution prevention practices on
- 637 environmental compliance and enforcement: evidence from a sample of US manufacturing
- facilities. Journal of Regulatory Economics 2010; 37:266-86.
- 639 [39] Welch EW, Hibiki A. An Institutional Framework for Analysis of Voluntary Policy:
- 640 The Case of Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Kita Kyushu, Japan. Journal of
- Environmental Planning and Management 2003; 46:523-43.
- 642 [40] Grant D, Trautner MN. Employer Opinions on Living Wage Initiatives. Working USA
- 643 2004; 8:71-82.
- 644 [41] Jimenez O. Innovation-oriented environmental regulations: direct versus indirect
- 645 regulations; an empirical analysis of small and medium-sized enterprises in Chile.
- 646 Environment and Planning A 2005; 37:723-50.
- 647 [42] Linden AL, Carlsson-Kanyama A. Voluntary agreements a measure for energy-
- 648 efficiency in industry? Lessons from a Swedish programme. Energy Policy 2002; 30:897-
- 649 905.
- 650 [43] Dawson NL, Segerson K. Voluntary Agreements with Industries: Participation
- Incentives with Industry-Wide Targets. Land Economics 2008; 84:97-114.
- 652 [44] De Clercq M. Negotiating environmental agreements in Europe: Critical factors for
- 653 success. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar; distributed by American
- 654 International Distribution Corporation, Williston, Vt., 2002.
- 655 [45] de Muizon G, Glachant M. The UK Climate Change Levy Agreements: Combining
- Negotiated Agreements with Tax and Emission Trading. In: Baranzini A, Thalmann P,
- editors. Voluntary approaches in climate policy. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.:
- 658 Elgar, 2004:231-48.
- 659 [46] Bjorner TB, Jensen HH. Energy taxes, voluntary agreements and investment
- subsidies-a micro-panel analysis of the effect on Danish industrial companies' energy
- demand. Resource and Energy Economics 2002; 24:229-49.
- 662 [47] Hu Y. Implementation of voluntary agreements for energy efficiency in China.
- 663 Energy Policy 2007; 35:5541-8.
- 664 [48] Anon. Agrochemical suppliers insist voluntary initiative is working and housing
- policy will have severe environmental impacts. Ends Report 2005:38-9.
- 666 [49] Lutsey N, Sperling D. Canada's Voluntary Agreement on Vehicle Greenhouse Gas
- 667 Emissions: When the Details Matter. Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and
- 668 Environment 2007; 12:474-87.
- 669 [50] Hofman PS, Schrama GJI. Dutch Target Group Policy. In: De Bruijn T, Norberg-
- Bohm V, editors. Industrial Transformation: Environmental Policy Innovation in the United
- States and Europe. Cambridge and London: MIT Press, 2005:39-64.
- 672 [51] De Clercq M, Ameels B. The Belgian agreement upon the collection and recycling of
- batteries. In: De Clercq M, editor. Negotiating environmental agreements in Europe: Critical
- factors for success. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2002.
- 675 [52] Ribisl KM, Williams RS, Gizlice Z, Herring AH. Effectiveness of state and federal
- 676 government agreements with major credit card and shipping companies to block illegal
- Internet cigarette sales. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] 2011; 6:e16754.
- 678 [53] Amos A, Hillhouse A, Robertson G. Tobacco advertising and children--the impact of
- the voluntary agreement. Health Educ Res 1989; 4:51-7.
- 680 [54] Amos A, Robertson G, Hillhouse A. Tobacco advertising and children: widespread
- breaches in the voluntary agreement. Health Educ Res 1987; 2:207-14.

- 682 [55] Jimenez O. Voluntary agreements in environmental policy: an empirical evaluation
- 683 for the Chilean case. Journal of Cleaner Production 2007; 15:620-37.
- 684 [56] Robertson W, Archer P, Jeffreys W, Parfitt D. Survey of tobacco advertising and
- display of the 'under-16' sign: influencing compliance with the voluntary agreement. Health
- 686 Education Journal 1996; 55:382-8.
- 687 [57] Robertson W, Rooney SE, Field NJ, Aston NR. Voluntary agreement for tobacco
- advertising at retail premises not being adhered to. BMJ 1998; 316:69.
- 689 [58] Blackman A, Lyon TP, Sisto N. Voluntary Environmental Agreements When
- Regulatory Capacity Is Weak. Comparative Economic Studies 2006; 48:682-702.
- 691 [59] van Rooijen SNM, van Wees MT. Green electricity policies in the Netherlands: an
- analysis of policy decisions. Energy Policy 2006; 34:60-71.
- 693 [60] Sengupta S, Sterry J, Jordan C, Edwards R. Students and smoking Targeted tobacco
- 694 promotion in the North East of England. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH
- 695 2000; 10:301-3.
- 696 [61] Sibert JR, Clarke AJ, Mitchell MP. Improvements in child resistant containers.
- 697 ARCHIVES OF DISEASE IN CHILDHOOD 1985; 60:1155-7.
- 698 [62] Yach D. Beware of voluntary agreements with the tobacco industry. SOUTH
- 699 AFRICAN MEDICAL JOURNAL 1994; Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Geneeskunde. 84:6.
- 700 [63] Johannsen KS. Combining voluntary agreements and taxes an evaluation of the
- Danish agreement scheme on energy efficiency in industry. Journal of Cleaner Production 2002; 10:129-41.
- 703 [64] Brouhle K, Griffiths C, Wolverton A. Evaluating the role of EPA policy levers: An
- examination of a voluntary program and regulatory threat in the metal-finishing industry.
- Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 2009; 57:166-81.
- Rouw M, Worrell E. Evaluating the impacts of packaging policy in The Netherlands.
- Resources Conservation and Recycling 2011; 55:483-92.
- 708 [66] Hastings G, MacFadyen L. A day in the life of an advertising man: review of internal
- documents from the UK tobacco industry's principal advertising agencies. British Medical
- 710 Journal, London 2000; 321:366-71.
- 711 [67] Garratt J, Kennedy A. Use of models to assess the reduction in contamination of water
- bodies by agricultural pesticides through the implementation of policy instruments: A case
- study of the Voluntary Initiative in the UK. Pest Management Science 2006; 62:1138-49.
- 714 [68] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Voluntary approaches for
- 715 environmental policy: An assessment. 1999.
- 716 [69] Commission of the European Communities. Communication from the Commission to
- 717 the Council and the European Parliament on environmental agreements. 1996.
- 718 [70] Sullivan R. Rethinking Voluntary Approaches in Environmental Policy. Cheltenham,
- 719 U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2005.
- 720 [71] Dawson M, Moore RC, Bishop SC. Progress and limits of PrP gene selection policy.
- 721 VETERINARY RESEARCH 2008; 39.
- 722 [72] Nelson E, Charlton A. Children and advertising: does the Voluntary Agreement
- work? Health Education Journal 1991; 50:12-5.
- 724 [73] Aggeri F, Hatchuel A. A Dynamic Model of Environmental Policies: The Case of
- 725 Innovation Oriented Voluntary Agreements. Voluntary approaches in environmental policy
- 726 Carraro, Carlo Leveque, Francois, eds, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Series on Economics,
- 727 Energy and Environment, vol 14 Dordrecht; Boston and London: Kluwer Academic,
- 728 1999:151-85.
- 729 [74] Aggeri F, Hatchuel A. A Dynamic Model of Environmental Policies: The Case of
- 730 Innovation Oriented Voluntary Agreements [German example]. In: Carraro C, Leveque F,

- 731 editors. Voluntary approaches in environmental policy. Boston and London: Kluwer
- 732 Academic, 1999:151-85.
- 733 [75] Fisher-Vanden K, Thorburn KS. Voluntary Corporate Environmental Initiatives and
- 734 Shareholder Wealth. CEPR Discussion Papers: 6698, 2008.
- 735 [76] Perl A, Dunn JA. Reframing automobile fuel economy policy in North America: The
- 736 politics of punctuating a policy equilibrium. Transport Reviews 2007; 27:1-35.
- 737 [77] Gilmore A, Savell E, Collin J. Public health, corporations and the New Responsibility
- 738 Deal: promoting partnerships with vectors of disease? Journal of Public Health 2011; 33 1: 2–
- 739 740
- 741
- 742
- 743
- 744

TABLES

Table 1 – Brief description of included studies

Industry	Voluntary agreement name / identifier	Overall aim	Country	Start date	Reference	Study design / methodology
Agriculture	The Voluntary Initiative	Reduce the environmental impact from pesticides	United Kingdom	2001	Anon, 2005 [48]	Analysis of parliamentary inquiry evidence on the effectiveness of pesticide manufacturers and farmers Voluntary Initiative
					Garratt & Kennedy, 2006 [67]	Modelling study on the effect of changes in farmer behaviour on pesticide contamination of environmental water bodies
	National Scrapie Plan	Reduce the incidence of scrapie (a fatal neurodegenerative disease in sheep)	United Kingdom	2001	Dawson et al, 2008 [71]	Review article describing a UK voluntary programme to control classical scrapie and strategies to minimise future disease risks
Food	German minimization concept for acrylamide	Reduce the levels of acrylamide (a potentially carcinogenic chemical) in food products	Germany	2002	Gobel & Kliemant, 2007 [34]	Quantitative analysis of the change in levels of acrylamide in food products as a result of a voluntary agreement to reduce levels
Alcohol	UK-wide voluntary agreement on alcohol labelling	Include alcohol unit and health information on labels of alcoholic drinks	United Kingdom	2007	Department of Health, 2010 [24]	Consultation report to inform the Government's decision on how best to improve unit and health information for consumers on alcohol labels across the UK.
Tobacco	US voluntary agreement on internet cigarette sales	Reduce payment and shipping options for internet tobacco sales	United States	2005	Ribisl et al, 2011 [52]	Longitudinal study of internet cigarette vendors over 5 years to assess whether they were in business and to monitor their advertised sales practices
	Cigarette adverts on television & vending machines	Ban television advertising of tobacco and improve management of vending machines	South Africa	1980s	Yach, 1994 [62]	Review of studies on voluntary agreements with the tobacco industry, illustrated by two South African case studies

Industry	Voluntary agreement name / identifier	Overall aim	Country	Start date	Reference	Study design / methodology
	Voluntary Agreement on Advertising and	Restrict tobacco advertising, e.g. near schools	United Kingdom	1971	Amos et al, 1989 [53]	Cross-sectional survey of tobacco promotions near places used predominantly by children and young people
	Labelling				Amos et al, 1987 [54]	Cross-sectional survey of tobacco promotions near places used predominantly by children and young people
					Hastings & MacFadyen, 2000 [66]	Qualitative analysis of five UK advertising agencies' internal documents covering tobacco related business in the previous five years
					Nelson & Charlton, 1991 [72]	A survey of 2,433 children from 3 secondary schools to determine whether they saw and remembered cigarette advertisements in national newspapers, magazines or comics in their homes
					Robertson et al, 1996 [56]	Survey of local garages to assess the extent of tobacco advertising and compliance with the Voluntary Agreement on tobacco advertising
					Robertson et al, 1998 [57]	Repeated cross-sectional survey of 56 off- licences and their compliance with the voluntary agreement on tobacco advertising
					Sengupta et al, 2000 [60]	Cross-sectional survey of 16-24 year old students, assessing the receipt of smoking-related 'junk mail' and attendance at music-oriented events sponsored by tobacco companies.
Pharmaceuticals	Voluntary agreement on child resistant containers	Introduce child resistant medication containers	United Kingdom	1981	Sibert et al, 1985 [61]	Analysis of hospital records from 1980-4 for children under 5 years admitted to hospital because of accidental poisoning to test effect of a voluntary agreement on child resistant pharmaceuticals containers

Industry	Voluntary agreement name / identifier	Overall aim	Country	Start date	Reference	Study design / methodology
Waste & recycling	French end-of-life vehicle voluntary agreement	Reduce landfill from old vehicles	France	1993	Aggeri & Hatchuel, 1999 [73]	Qualitative decision analysis
	Voluntary pledge regarding the environmentally	Reduce landfill from old vehicles	Germany	1996	Aggeri & Hatchuel, 1999 [74]	Qualitative decision analysis
	sound management of end-of-life vehicles				Jorgens & Busch, 2002 [28]	Secondary review of studies on the voluntary agreement
	US voluntary agreements on old newspaper recycling	Increase the use of recycled paper in newspapers	United States	1989	Alexander, 1994 [36]	Policy review, description of voluntary agreements and legislated mandates for recycled content newsprint in an area of the US
	Dutch Packaging Covenant	Reduce the use of packaging materials	Netherlands	1991	Rouw & Worrell, 2011 [65]	Quantitative analysis of the consumption, recycling, recovery and disposal levels of packaging materials at different stages of the covenant to reduce total packaging volume
	Agreement on collection and recycling of batteries	Establish a battery collection and recycling scheme	Belgium	1997	De Clercq & Ameels, 2002 [51]	Interviews and data analysis
Finance	Financial Sector Charter	Improve access to financial services	South Africa	2002	Moyo & Rohan, 2006 [37]	Secondary review of publicly available government and industry publications
Environment	33/50 Program	Reduce industry use of toxic chemicals	United States	1991	Arora & Cason, 1994 [25]	Quantitative analysis of likelihood of participation in the agreement, by various aspects of businesses (e.g. amount spent on advertising)
	Agreement on the quality of gasoline	Improve the quality of petrol	Italy	1989	Vicini & Wallace-Jones, 2002 [33]	Secondary review of internal industry documents

Industry	Voluntary agreement name / identifier	Overall aim	Country	Start date	Reference	Study design / methodology
	Agreements on the use of CFCs	Reduce the use of CFCs	France	1989	Aggeri, 2002 [30]	Qualitative study, interviews with government representatives
	Clean Industry Program	Improve the environmental performance of industry	Mexico	1992	Blackman et al, 2010 [35]	Quantitative analysis (duration model and propensity score matching) of likelihood of participation in the agreement by various aspects of over 100,000 industry plants (e.g. size of plant), and impact on environmental performance
	Cleaner Production Agreements	Improve the environmental performance of industry	Chile	1999	Jimenez, 2005 [41]	Propensity scores analysis on innovation as a result of participation in voluntary agreement, using data from cross-sectional survey of 322 businesses
					Jimenez, 2007 [55]	Propensity scores analysis of likelihood of participation in the agreement by various aspects of businesses (e.g. turnover), using data from cross-sectionalsurvey of businesses
	Climate Change Levy Agreements	Reduce energy consumption by industry	United Kingdom	2001	de Muizon & Glachant, 2004 [45]	Scenario analysis of voluntary agreement
	Climate Leaders	Reduce greenhouse gas emissions by industry	United States	2002	Fisher-Vanden & Thorburn, 2008 [75]	Economic analysis of shareholder wealth effects of 46 firms participating in the agreement
	Energy efficiency agreement	Improve energy efficiency within the chemicals industry	United Kingdom	1997	Salmons, 2002 [26]	Policy analysis
	EPA pollution prevention voluntary agreements	Reduce industry use of toxic chemicals	United States	1990	Sam, 2010 [38]	Statistical analysis using a two-way fixed effects Poisson model to investigate the impact of 43 EPA-sponsored pollution prevention practices on compliance and enforcement for a sample of facilities in the US manufacturing sector

Industry	Voluntary agreement name / identifier	Overall aim	Country	Start date	Reference	Study design / methodology
	Greenhouse Challenge	Reduce greenhouse gas emissions by industry	Australia	1995	Sullivan, 2005 [27]	Qualitative study using interviews with key stakeholders and analysis of parliamentary inquiry's evidence
	Pilot Project of Energy Conservation Agreements	Reduce energy consumption by industry	China	2003	Hu, 2007 [47]	Policy analysis of voluntary agreements pilot project in two iron and steel companies in Shandong Province, and review of policy and legislation development for voluntary agreements
	Strategic Goals Program	Improve the environmental performance of industry	United States	1998	Brouhle et al, 2009 [64]	Regression analysis of 199 industry facilities on likelihood of participation agreement by various aspects of facilities (e.g. local population density), and impact of agreement on emissions
	Swedish Eco- Energy Programme	Improve energy efficiency within industry	Sweden	1994	Linden & Carlsson- Kanyama, 2002 [42]	Qualitative analysis of voluntary agreement through interviews with key stakeholders and document analysis.
	Target Group Policy agreements	Improve the environmental performance of industry	Netherlands	1989	Hofman & Schrama, 2005 [50]	Secondary review of studies
	The Province of Vicenza negotiated agreements	Improve the environmental performance of industry	Italy	1997	Wallace-Jones, 2002 [32]	Qualitative study through stakeholder interviews
	Voluntary agreement on reducing automotive	Reduce greenhouse gas emissions from vehicles	Canada	2005	Lutsey & Sperling, 2007 [49] Perl & Dunn,	Modelling study on the effectiveness of the voluntary agreement, based on different scenarios (e.g. full compliance) Policy and media analysis
	greenhouse gas emissions				2007 [76]	
	Voluntary agreements on	Increase the use of renewable energy	Netherlands	1990	van Rooijen & van Wees, 2006	Analysis of policy decisions using the policy arrangement concept

Industry	Voluntary agreement name / identifier	Overall aim	Country	Start date	Reference	Study design / methodology
	green electricity				[59]	
	Voluntary agreements on leather tannery pollution	Reduce pollution from leather tanneries	Mexico	1987	Blackman & Sisto, 2006 [29]	Cross-sectional survey of 137 tanneries, interviews with key stakeholders and document analysis
	Voluntary agreements on pollution	Improve the environmental performance of industry	Japan	1970s	Welch & Hibiki, 2003 [39]	Interviews with four government representatives and document analysis
	Voluntary energy agreements	Improve energy efficiency within industry	Denmark	1995	Bjorner & Jensen, 2002 [46]	Modelling study of the effects of the carbon dioxide tax, voluntary agreements and investment subsidies to improve energy efficiency
					Johannsen, 2002 [63]	Two case studies, based on stakeholder interviews and document analysis
Employment / workforce	Good Business Partnership program	Introduce a living wage for employees	United States	1999	Grant & Trautner, 2004 [40]	Cross sectional survey of two sets of employers in the same community, with 59 responses (a group of contractors subject to an living wage ordinance and the other a group of businesses that voluntarily pledged to pay their workers a living wage)
	Tripartite Agreement on a More Inclusive Working life (the IW Agreement)	Make workplaces more inclusive	Norway	2001	Midtsundstad, 2011 [31]	Cross-sectional survey of a representative sample of 456 companies, to assess voluntary policies to prevent the exclusion of older employees

Table 2 – Country or region of voluntary agreement studied

Country / Region	Number of studies	Percentage
Continental Europe	15	32
United Kingdom	14	30
United States & Canada	9	19
Other	9	19
Total	47	100

Table 3 – Start date of voluntary agreement studied

Start date of voluntary agreement	Number of studies	Percentage
1970-79	4	9%
1980-89	10	21%
1990-99	20	43%
2000-09	13	28%
Total	47	100%

Table 4 – Industry sector of voluntary agreement studied

Industry sector	Number	Percentage
Environment (general)	24	51%
Tobacco	9	19%
Waste & recycling	5	11%
Agriculture	3	6%
Employment & workforce	2	4%
Food	1	2%
Alcohol	1	2%
Pharmaceutical products	1	2%
Finance	1	2%
Total	47	100%