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Abstract

Objective: To validate questionnaire-based physical activity level (PAL) against accelerometry and a 24 h physical activity diary (24 h AD) as reference methods (Protocol 2), after validating these reference methods against the heart rate–oxygen consumption (HRVO2) method (Protocol 1).

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Two villages in Andhra Pradesh state and Bangalore city, South India.

Subjects: Ninety-four participants (fifty males, forty-four females) for Protocol 2; thirteen males for Protocol 1.

Results: In Protocol 2, mean PAL derived from the questionnaire (1.72 (sd 0.20)) was comparable to that from the 24 h AD (1.78 (sd 0.20)) but significantly higher than the mean PAL derived from accelerometry (1.36 (sd 0.20); P<0.001). Mean bias of PAL from the questionnaire was larger against the accelerometer (0.36) than against the 24 h AD (–0.06), but with large limits of agreement against both. Correlations of PAL from the questionnaire with that of the accelerometer (r = 0.28; P = 0.01) and the 24 h AD (r = 0.30; P = 0.006) were modest. In Protocol 1, mean PAL from the 24 h AD (1.65 (sd 0.18)) was comparable, while that from the accelerometer (1.51 (sd 0.23)) was significantly lower (P<0.001), than mean PAL obtained from the HRVO2 method (1.69 (sd 0.21)).

Conclusions: The questionnaire showed acceptable validity with the reference methods in a group with a wide range of physical activity levels. The accelerometer underestimated PAL in comparison with the HRVO2 method.

Most methods that assess physical activity in individuals are not feasible in large epidemiological studies due to their high cost, need for technical expertise or their limited ability to capture habitual activity in free-living conditions. Despite certain limitations, physical activity questionnaires are among the most widely used methods to assess physical activity in large epidemiological studies. Most questionnaires assess specific domains, in particular, leisure-time discretionary activity. In rural India, leisure-time discretionary activity (exercise and games) may not be a major physical activity domain. Manual labour in rural populations is high in occupational and household activities. Use of either job description or reported occupation as a measure of overall physical activity has been shown to be inadequate. The frequency and intensity of activities across the various physical activity domains are also likely to vary between men and women. Hence, in India, questionnaires that capture information across multiple domains of physical activity would be ideal. Some published questionnaires do assess multiple domains of physical activity, but list activities that are uncommon to India. Other questionnaires require individuals to determine the intensity of various activities and categorize them into moderate or vigorous intensity. This may be a problem, since we have demonstrated earlier that perception of intensity of an activity is dependent on age and familiarity with the activity.

*Corresponding author: Email bharathi@iphcr.res.in
Previously, we developed a questionnaire specific for an urban Indian community that assessed physical activity across multiple domains\(^{12}\). This questionnaire was subsequently modified for the Indian Migration Study (IMS) in order to obtain an estimate of physical activity in both rural and urban communities\(^{13}\).

The main aim of the present study was to examine the validity of a physical activity questionnaire to assess physical activity level (PAL); the ratio of total energy expenditure to estimated BMR\(^{14}\) using accelerometry recordings and a 24 h physical activity diary (24 h AD) as reference. Prior to the study addressing the main aim, we also performed a validation study of the accelerometer and 24 h AD against the heart rate–oxygen consumption (HRVO\(_2\)) method\(^{15}\) and data from this are also provided.

**Methods**

**Physical activity assessment methods**

**Physical activity questionnaire**

The questionnaire assessed physical activity of the past month across multiple domains including discretionary leisure time, household chores, work, sleep, sedentary activities and other common daily activities. The frequency and average duration for each activity were documented. Frequencies were ascertained using fixed categories of ‘daily’, ‘once a week’, ‘2–4 times a week’, ‘5–6 times a week’, ‘once a month’ and ‘2–3 times a month’. When all reported activities did not cumulatively account for 24 h, a standard MET (metabolic equivalent) of 1-4 was applied to the ‘residual time’, as in previous studies\(^{12}\). For manual occupational activity, the integrated energy index (IEI) of the activity was applied instead of the MET value. Unlike MET, IEI accounts for ‘rest’ periods that participants are likely to take when engaged in manual activities\(^{14}\). PAL cut-offs have been described to classify physical activity patterns into sedentary/light, moderately and vigorously active lifestyles\(^{16}\).

**24 h activity diary**

Participants documented their activities in blocks of 10 min. Illiterate participants were included in the study if an educated family member working in close proximity with the participant could document the activities. Participants and family members were instructed to document additional details of activities such as posture (sitting, standing, walking, etc.), walking speed (slow, normal, brisk) and the average weight of loads, where required. A pen attached to a string, worn around the neck, reminded participants to document the 24 h AD regularly. The 24 h AD was scrutinized after completion and the ambiguities clarified directly with the participants.

**Accelerometry**

Twenty uniaxial accelerometers (model 7164; Manufacturing Technology Incorporated, Shalimar, FL, USA) were used in the study. The accelerometers were initialized for 1 min epochs. Between-instrument variation was assessed by comparing counts after they were fixed in batches (ten in each batch) to a simple barrel mixer and rotated for a period of 15 min at 45 rpm. One accelerometer produced counts out of range in comparison with the other accelerometers and was not used in subsequent studies. The CV of the counts for the remaining nineteen accelerometers was 1%. The workings of the accelerometer and the computations used to arrive at physical activity measures have been described previously\(^{17}\). PAL was calculated as the average of the hourly MET over 24 h obtained using the customized software ActiGraph Software Analysis version 3-2 (ActiGraph LCC, Pensacola, FL, USA).

**Heart rate–oxygen consumption method**

Oxygen consumption (VO\(_2\)) was measured using indirect calorimetry (model VMax 29; SensorMedics Corp., Yorba Linda, CA, USA). Heart rate (HR) was measured using a heart-rate monitor (Polar S720; Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). Details of the HRVO\(_2\) protocol measurements have been described elsewhere\(^{18}\). Briefly, steady-state resting VO\(_2\) (4 h after breakfast) was measured for each individual, after which they performed a set of standard activities for 5–6 min which included lying down at rest, sitting quietly, walking at 2-4 and 4-8 km/h on a treadmill (no gradient) and spot jogging of 120 steps/min. Steady state was defined as ‘10 minutes during which the volume of oxygen consumed, ventilatory rate, and respiratory quotient does not vary by greater than 10%\(^{18}\). After a steady state was achieved, the mean value of VO\(_2\) over the time period of each task was used to determine the linear relationship between VO\(_2\) and HR. This relationship was in turn used to predict the VO\(_2\) from the HR\(^{15}\).

As it is possible that there is a breakpoint in the relationship between HR and oxygen consumed per minute (VO\(_2\)), a critical HR called the FHFLEX was identified below which the RMR was used to represent the metabolic rate\(^{19}\). In that study, which compared total daily energy expenditure (TEE) from the HRVO\(_2\) method with that from whole-body calorimetry, the closest estimates of TEE were obtained when an arbitrary value of FHFLEX + 10 beats/min was used as the breakpoint in the relationship between HR and VO\(_2\). All recorded HR below the breakpoint were assigned a metabolic rate that was equivalent to the measured VO\(_2\) at rest, while all HR above the breakpoint were used in an equation relating HR to VO\(_2\) for activities equal to and above slow walk, obtained by calibrating these two variables for each individual. For this study, ‘FHFLEX + 10’ was used as the method to obtain TEE using the Weir equation (TEE = [3·941 + (1·106 × 0·9)] × VO\(_2\)), where 0·9 is the assumed respiratory quotient and VO\(_2\) is volume of oxygen consumed) to subsequently derive PAL\(^{20}\).
**Protocol of experimental studies**

**Protocol 1: preliminary study to validate physical activity level derived from accelerometry and the 24 h activity diary against the heart rate–oxygen consumption method**

All subjects recruited for the experimental protocols below completed a sociodemographic questionnaire. Height and weight of each participant were recorded. A convenience sample of thirteen participants who were employees from our academic institute (St. John’s Medical College, Bangalore, India) was recruited. The study was conducted in the metabolic laboratory of the institute. Participants were required to stay overnight in the metabolic ward. This posed a problem in recruiting women as they were unable to participate due to social commitments or restrictions. Hence all participants were males, aged between 19 and 49 years (mean 28 (SD 8) years).

The accelerometer and heart-rate monitor were strapped to the participants on the experimental day. Steady-state VO2 was measured and the protocol for the HRVO2 ped to the participants on the experimental day. Steady-state VO2 was restricted to weekdays. The rural participant’s was excluded from the study. The recruitment of the entire 24 h period and those with lower-limb defects, cleaners, etc.) and residents living in nearby urban localities. The rural participants were recruited from two villages in Palamner Taluk in Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh, about 140 km from Bangalore, and consisted of housewives, agricultural labourers and farmers, among others. Participants unwilling to wear the instrument as they were unable to participate due to social commitments or restrictions. Hence all participants were males, aged between 19 and 49 years (mean 28 (SD 8) years).

The mean age of participants in this protocol was 39 (SD 13) years (range 19–61 years).

**Ethical approval**

The studies were approved by the local institution ethics review board. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants after a detailed explanatory statement of the study was provided.

**Statistical analyses**

Data for continuous variables are presented as means and standard deviations. The mean PAL values estimated from the accelerometer, questionnaire, HRVO2 method and the 24 h AD were compared using repeated-measures ANOVA and post hoc evaluation using the t test with Bonferroni correction. Pearson’s correlations were used to evaluate the relationship between the PAL values estimated from the physical activity methods. The Bland–Altman method with limits of agreement was used to assess the bias in the mean PAL estimated using the physical activity methods(21). In Protocol 2, the physical activity methods were administered on weekdays and weekends in the rural group as opposed to only during weekdays in the urban group. The mean bias of PAL estimated between the methods in the two groups was compared using an independent t test. The mean bias between the questionnaire with the detailed 24 h AD and with the accelerometer in the urban and rural groups was not significantly different; hence the urban and the rural data were combined for all analyses. A linear regression was performed to assess if age, gender or BMI predicted the mean bias estimated from the above methods. The validity of the questionnaire in ranking participants into sedentary/light, moderate and vigorously active lifestyles using standard cut-offs was assessed by evaluating the proportion of participants falling into the same and extreme categories when compared with the detailed 24 h AD(16).

The model that best predicted the relationship between the accelerometer counts for specific activities reported in this community with their intensities obtained from published sources was assessed using a linear and a curve fit model. For all tests, the level of significance of two-sided tests was set at the 5% level. All analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package version 13·0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Protocol 1: preliminary study to validate physical activity level derived from accelerometry and the 24 h activity diary against the heart rate–oxygen consumption method**

Mean BMI of the participants was 19·5 (SD 2·9) kg/m2. The mean PAL of the HRVO2 method (1·69 (SD 0·21)) was not significantly different from the mean PAL of the 24 h
AD (1·65 (SD 0·23)), but was significantly higher than that derived from the accelerometer (1·51 (SD 0·23); P < 0·001; Table 1).

The mean bias and limits of agreement of PAL derived from the accelerometer were larger (−0·17, −0·28, −0·06) than those obtained for the 24 h AD (0·04, 0·10, 0·01) when compared with the HRVO2 method. The PAL derived from the accelerometer showed a correlation of 0·64 (P = 0·018), while with that from the 24 h AD was higher at 0·91 (P < 0·001), when compared with the HRVO2 method.

### Protocol 2: validation of the questionnaire against accelerometer and the 24 h activity diary

The mean BMI of this group was 22 (SD 3) kg/m² (13% underweight, 15% overweight). The mean PAL of the questionnaire (1·72 (SD 0·20)) was not significantly different from the mean PAL of the 24 h AD (1·78 (SD 0·20)), but was significantly higher than the mean PAL of the accelerometer (1·36 (SD 0·20); P < 0·001; Table 1). Correlations of PAL from the questionnaire with that of the accelerometer (r = 0·28; P = 0·01) and the 24 h AD (r = 0·30; P = 0·006) were modest.

Using Bland–Altman plots (Fig. 1a and 1b), the mean bias of the PAL from the questionnaire was larger against the accelerometer (0·36) than against the 24 h AD (−0·06), but with large limits of agreement against both (−0·14, 0·85 and −0·59, 0·47). Age, gender or BMI did not predict the mean biases that were obtained between the above methods.

Participants were ranked into three categories of PAL (sedentary/light activity = 1·40–1·69; moderately active = 1·70–1·99; vigorously active = 2·00–2·40) obtained from published sources. Fifty-five per cent of the participants were correctly categorized by the questionnaire (using 24 h AD as the reference method) and 8% were misclassified into extreme categories (sedentary/light activity to vigorously active lifestyle or vice versa).

### Assessment of the relative validity of the accelerometer counts for individual activities

Various individual activities reported by the participants were extracted out of the 24 h AD along with the simultaneously recorded accelerometer counts from those activities. For each individual reporting an activity, the average 2 min counts were computed, and the median of all the means of individuals reporting the same activity were used in the analysis.

First, the accelerometer counts were compared with known accelerometer count cut-offs from published sources. For example, an accelerometer count of less than 1952 corresponds to a MET of 3, i.e. ‘light’ intensity category (Fig. 2). Second, the relationship of the accelerometer-derived counts with the intensity of these activities was determined using MET values of these activities from published sources.
There was a significant positive correlation between the accelerometer counts of the specific activities with their published MET values ($r = 0.56; P < 0.001$). The scatter plot of this relationship indicated that activities at higher intensities did not fit into a linear relationship. A cubic curve fit model between the accelerometer counts and the MET values ($R^2 = 0.41; P < 0.001$) predicted the relationship better than a linear model ($R^2 = 0.31; P < 0.001$; Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

The questionnaire-derived PAL had significant, modest correlations with the PAL derived from the accelerometer and the detailed 24 h AD. The strength of the correlations is similar to those observed in the validation of questionnaire-derived physical activity parameters elsewhere ($24,25$). It is conceivable that correlations between the questionnaire and other methods would be stronger if a larger number of measurement days of the reference methods (accelerometer, 24 h AD) were obtained to better reflect the one month recall period captured by the questionnaire. It is also likely that the questionnaire may in fact have stronger correlations with the 24 h AD than obtained in the present study (Protocol 2), since the 24 h AD was filled out in some instances by a family member rather than the individual (because of illiteracy). This is suggested by the rather higher correlation between accelerometer-derived PAL and 24 h AD when the 24 h AD was filled in by the participant alone ($r = 0.64$, Protocol 1) as compared with a modest correlation of $r = 0.37$ (Protocol 2) when the 24 h AD was filled in by a combination of participants and family members. In the present study, PAL from the accelerometer was significantly lower than that from the HRVO$_2$ method and the detailed 24 h AD. The underestimate of PAL by the accelerometer was confirmed when compared with the 24 h AD in the study group where the questionnaire was validated. The underestimate of PAL by the accelerometer...
may in part be due to the underestimation of accelerometer counts for the various moderate to vigorous-intensity activities observed in our study. Similar problems have been reported for this accelerometer (formerly Computer Science and Application Inc.) and for other accelerometers as well. In contrast, the mean PAL from the questionnaire compared well with that obtained with the 24 h AD although 24 h AD is prone to over-reporting as it relies on self-report.

An obvious limitation in the current study is that free-living activities and published, rather than actually measured MET were used to compare with the accelerometer-derived counts. It is conceivable that the published MET compiled from various sources may have been different from the true value due to variations in the descriptions of the activities, varied methodology in measuring MET and in the estimation of BMR to derive MET. However, it is unlikely that the underestimation of the intensity for the whole range of activities captured by the accelerometer can be explained by these limitations alone. Evidence shows that physical activities that are more complex (having a combination of both dynamic and static movements), static activities and activities involving upper-body movements are poorly captured by accelerometers. To overcome these, studies have used triaxial accelerometers, but with little improvement in assessing these activities.

In summary, the present study demonstrates that the questionnaire has reasonable validity, concordant with other published studies that capture the patterns of physical activity across a wide range of behaviours (sedentary to heavily active) in large epidemiological studies. MET values of the free-living activities, if measured, would have provided accurately the underestimations of the accelerometer and also revealed if existing published MET are valid for this community. The impact of the day-to-day variation of physical activity (within-subject) in the estimation of PAL derived from the accelerometer and the 24 h AD would have been possible if additional days of physical activity recordings were captured. Although the questionnaire is designed to also capture individual physical activity components (occupation, household activities, etc.), its validity for this purpose needs to be ascertained. There is also a need to assess the performance of this questionnaire in other regions in India.

Acknowledgements

Sources of funding: The work was funded by the Wellcome Trust, project grant GR070797MF. Conflict of interest: None declared. Contribution of the authors: A.V.B. and M.V. were involved in the study design, carrying out the study, data analysis and writing of the manuscript. R.K. and A.V.K. were involved in the study design, carrying out the study, data analysis and reviewing the final draft of the manuscript. T.T. analysed the data and reviewed the manuscript. S.E., S.K. and T.L. were involved in data analysis and reviewed the final draft of the manuscript. S.K.R. and D.P. were involved in the original conception of the Indian Migration Study and reviewed the final draft of the manuscript. Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Dr A. Jacob (Director of Emmaus Swiss Leprosy Project, Palamaner) who facilitated the study, Jayachitra P. Parimala and Mr Subramanian for supervising the field operations and data entry. The Indian Migration Study Group comprises the following individuals. Regional Principal Investigators: Professor R.C. Ahuja, Professor R.K. Saran (Lucknow), Dr Prashant Joshi (Nagpur), Professor S. Mohan Das and Dr R.K. Jain (Hyderabad) and Dr Murali Mohan (Bangalore). Collaborators: Professor Tulsi Patel, Dr Lakshmy Ramakrishnan, Dr K.V.R. Sarma, Professor Anura V. Kurpad, Dr Mario Vaz, Ms A.V. Bharathi and Professor Kamla Gupta (Mumbai), Dr Chittaranjan Yajnik (Pune) and Dr Ian Baker, Professor George Davey Smith, Professor Yoav Ben Slomo and Dr Kate Tilling (Bristol). Industrial Medical Officers: Dr N.M. Thakre (Nagpur) and Dr S.S. Potnis (Hyderabad).

References

Assessment of physical activity methods in South India


