

## REVISED Participatory Visual Methods with caregivers of

# children with Congenital Zika Syndrome in Colombia: A case

## study [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with

reservations]

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

Veronika Reichenberger<sup>1</sup>, Tracey Smythe<sup>1</sup>, Shaffa Hameed<sup>1</sup>, Luisa Consuelo Rubiano Perea<sup>2</sup>, Tom Shakespeare<sup>1</sup>, Loveday Penn-Kekana<sup>3</sup>, Hannah Kuper<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Centre for Evidence in Disability, Department of Clinical Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Fundación Casa GAMI, Cali, Colombia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health and Policy, Health Policy Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

V2 First published: 23 Mar 2022, 7:107 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17529.1 Latest published: 11 Jul 2022, 7:107 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17529.2

#### Abstract

**Background**: This study explores the acceptability and feasibility of the use of two different Participatory Visual Methods (Participatory Video and Digital Storytelling) in gathering information on the experiences and perspectives of carers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome within Colombia.

**Methods**: Participatory Video was used to assess the impact of the Juntos parent-support intervention in the lives of carers, and Digital Storytelling was used to explore the healthcare access for these children. In-depth interviews were conducted to probe participants on their views of these methods.

**Results**: One Participatory Video was produced and four Digital Stories. Of the initial eight caregivers who took part in the Participatory Video process, four completed both the Digital Storytelling process and an in-depth interview about their experiences. The main factors shaping participants' experiences related to the skills learned in making the videos, the feeling of collectiveness and the control over the processes.

**Conclusion**: Women with children with Congenital Zika Syndrome have reported feeling marginalised and misunderstood in daily life. This case study found that Participatory Visual Methods is acceptable and feasible. Moreover, these approaches can support groups in different aspects, such as providing a space to share their stories creatively, hear others in similar situations as them and increase the feeling of community.

-1			
Approval Status 💙 ? 🗸			
	1	2	3
version 2 (revision) 11 Jul 2022	view		view
<b>version 1</b> 23 Mar 2022	view	<b>?</b> view	

**Open Peer Review** 

- 1. **Debora Diniz** D, Brown University, Providence, USA
- 2. **Ignacio Zarante** D, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogota, Colombia
- 3. Andrea Mantsios, Public Health Innovation & Action, New York, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

#### **Keywords**

Participatory Video, Digital Storytelling, Participatory Visual Methods, Congenital Zika Syndrome

Corresponding author: Veronika Reichenberger (Veronika.Reichenberger@lshtm.ac.uk)

Author roles: Reichenberger V: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Smythe T: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Hameed S: Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Rubiano Perea LC: Resources, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Shakespeare T: Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; Penn-Kekana L: Writing – Review & Editing; Kuper H: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome [212156].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Copyright:** © 2022 Reichenberger V *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Reichenberger V, Smythe T, Hameed S *et al.* Participatory Visual Methods with caregivers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome in Colombia: A case study [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations] Wellcome Open Research 2022, **7**:107 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17529.2

First published: 23 Mar 2022, 7:107 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17529.1

#### **REVISED** Amendments from Version 1

We have updated that the main researcher is 'of Brazilian descent' instead of stating she is 'Brazilian'.

In the first paragraph of the results, a new sentence now states: "The other four participants did not take part in the DST and in-depth interview because of lack of time and no access to technological devices."

In the first paragraph under 'Learning and discovering new skills' in the results, a new sentence now states:

"All of them, but one participant, had smartphones and had previously experienced taking pictures and making videos on their phones."

Within the same paragraph, we have also added the words "cinematographic techniques" to clarify new skills that were learned.

We have added the age group and occupation of the participants after their quotes to support the readers.

In the last paragraph of the discussion, we have added a sentence that states: "Additionally, only four participants were involved in both methods, forming the basis for this case study. Therefore, the results cannot be extrapolated to a larger group."

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

#### Introduction

Participatory visual methods (PVM) are approaches in which research subjects develop visual material as part of the research process. PVM have roots in anthropology, as anthropologists have often used visual mediums to communicate intangible aspects of culture within their research<sup>1</sup>. PVM create data that are participant-generated and give power to the participant<sup>2</sup>, building a collegiate relationship between the researcher and the participant. This means that by working together, participants and researchers bring in different skills in a process of mutual learning<sup>3</sup>. Accordingly, PVM can lead to a reframing of the issues discussed and generate learning from both sides, participants, and researchers alike<sup>4–6</sup>.

These participatory methodologies sustain the concepts of Paulo Freire who stated that health and its social determinants should have a wider vision and understanding, where dialogue should be promoted<sup>7</sup>. As such, an appreciation of the lay knowledge and effective social participation is needed when collecting data on needs, access and impacts<sup>8</sup>. As described in the WHO Toolkit on social participation, promoting social participation is important for an equitable distribution of power<sup>9</sup>, including during the research process. It is especially important among marginalised people, who can then implement their own findings based on local needs<sup>10</sup>. As an example, Caroline Wang described photo novellas as a way of seeking empowerment of the participants, who understand their communities best, as they identify their own issues; participatory visual methods such as photo novellas are aimed at individual change as well as improvement of the quality of life of the community<sup>11</sup>. These participatory research methods complement Paulo Freire's concept of 'concientização' ("conscientization"), which means that the more people understand the issues within their community, the more they are in a position of power to create change<sup>7</sup>. Participatory approaches are therefore important research tools; however, they require additional expertise, planning and resources. Consequently, they are often not used, particularly in low-resource settings or in relation to marginalised groups such as people with disabilities<sup>12</sup>.

Two different PVM were used in this study, digital storytelling (DST) and participatory video (PV). Both processes involved a stage of reflection through group dialogue, helping to build on the stories of caregivers through sharing and listening<sup>13,14</sup>. Participants then communicate these stories through videos. DST is a process where participants create narratives telling their own stories, using a compilation of still images, videos, audio, text, and music<sup>10</sup>. PV, on the other hand, involves a collaboration between participants to create group-based videos, where participants are involved in different way in crafting the video<sup>15</sup>. A scope of the literature found that participatory visual approaches are most frequently used to explore the views and experiences of groups and have less commonly been used to evaluate interventions. In this paper we consider the acceptability, feasibility, and potential added value of the use of both methods by caregivers of children with congenital Zika syndrome (CZS) in Colombia.

The Zika epidemic struck in 2015, resulting in thousands of children born with microcephaly and other manifestations of CZS in Brazil and other countries in Latin America<sup>16,17</sup>. These children experience a range of health conditions, that include physical, sensory, and cognitive impairment. Consequently, they have high health care needs, which must be met from a broad range of providers, such as physiotherapy, speech and language therapists, audiologists, and occupational therapists<sup>18</sup>. In addition, families are crucial to supporting the complex care needs, and they may experience strain, emotional pressure, and time constraints<sup>19,20</sup>. Colombia reported 11,944 Zika cases among pregnant women by April 2016 and was the second-most affected country after Brazil<sup>21</sup>.

Health responses initially focussed on clinical needs of children with CZS; there was also a need to support caregivers in improving their skills to care for their child, as well as to provide psychosocial support to caregivers<sup>22</sup>. To fill that needs gap, the Juntos programme was developed. Juntos is a participatory group programme designed for caregivers of children with CZS based on "Getting To Know Cerebral Palsy"<sup>23,24</sup>. The participatory programme targets caregivers in a support group setting and has been found to offer many important benefits, including improved understanding, confidence and self-esteem, that result in improved care for the child<sup>25</sup>. Juntos was developed and piloted in Rio de Janeiro and Salvador in 2017. It was then adapted and pilot-tested in the Colombian context in 2019. To pilot Juntos for use in Colombia, data were collected from caregivers on lived experience and feasibility of implementation of Juntos, through pre-post intervention questionnaires, in-depth interviews<sup>26</sup> and PVM. The feasibility of the intervention is reported elsewhere<sup>26</sup>; this paper reports on the use of PVM while evaluating the intervention.

The aim of this study was to explore the acceptability and feasibility of the use of two different PVM in gathering information on the experiences and perspectives of carers of children with CZS. PV was used to assess the impact of the Juntos parent-support intervention in the lives of carers, and DST was used to explore the healthcare access for these children. In-depth interviews were conducted to probe participants on their views of these methods.

#### Methods

Data for this paper are drawn from participant and researcher experiences of the PV and the DST processes using grounded theory. These were captured through observations and semi-structured in-depth interviews with participants.

#### Participatory video

The PV process was conducted in Cali, Colombia in September 2019. The aim of the PV was to explore the impact of the Juntos programme on caregivers' lives. A group of 11 Colombian caregivers of children with CZS who had taken part in the Juntos programme were approached to take part. These 11 caregivers had formed a group on WhatsApp and were contacted by one of the Juntos facilitators present in the WhatsApp group about the participatory video. Of the 11 caregivers contacted, eight agreed to participate in the process and three refused to participate due to lack of availability. Following the InsightShare<sup>27</sup> methodology from which the facilitator did her training, a one-day workshop was led by the first author (VR). It was held at a local NGO office where the eight caregivers attended with their children. Participants consisted of six mothers, one grandmother and one sister of children with CZS.

The PV process involved a story circle, where the participants each shared their experience of the Juntos programme and how it impacted their lives. On the same day, they were taught how to film by an experienced filmmaker and facilitator (VR). With one camera available, each caregiver took turns filming another, singing to their child. They learned how to start and stop the camera, zoom, and check the sound. They then watched each practice video to understand and identify what they would want to re-create visually for the final video. Participants jointly decided through storyboarding what would be discussed in the final video, and what footage would be shot. A collective decision was made by the participant group that two caregivers would talk while others would either film or show visually what was being said in front of the camera (e.g., how to feed their child, how to play, how to make specific props learned through Juntos). All caregivers contributed to this process. Editing was then discussed, and the facilitator (VR) edited the film according to the suggestions. The final version of the film was agreed through dialogues among the facilitator and the caregivers involved, who explained what music, texts, and effects they wanted in the film. The final version was uploaded and shared with caregivers to use as they wish. The video is available in the Extended data<sup>28</sup>.

#### **Digital storytelling**

The DST project was conducted online in September 2020, following the Story Center<sup>29</sup> methodology at which the facilitator did her training. Of the eight mothers who took part in the PV process, six went on to participate in an initial online story circle which was undertaken through the Zoom platform, led by the first author (VR). The digital stories explored the experience of healthcare access for children with disabilities in Colombia, including reported facilitators and barriers.

During the story circle, participants shared their child's story on healthcare access and identified a specific story that they would like to make a video about. They were then shown examples of digital stories, so they could explore how they could portray their stories visually. One week later, another session took place online where caregivers read their story and gave feedback to each other. From there, caregivers collected photos and videos to portray their story, which were sent to the facilitator (VR). Editing was completed by the facilitator, who closely followed what the participant suggested. The videos were then shared among the caregivers. Of the initial six who took part in the story circle, four completed the digital stories and three have available videos to share.

The videos are available in the Extended data<sup>28</sup>.

# Semi-structured in-depth interviews and researcher observation notes

In total, four in-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with participants who took part in both the digital stories and PV. Questions were asked about both methods: what participants thought of the process; how they experienced the processes; and their thoughts on the outcome. Questions included topics such as empowerment and extent of ability to communicate their message. The interviews were all conducted in Spanish by an experienced qualitative researcher (VR) via Zoom and lasted approximately 45 minutes to one hour each. All interviews were transcribed verbatim and kept in Spanish for analysis to prevent losses in translation. Transcripts were returned to participants to verify that they agreed with the content before analysis. The lead researcher's (VR) observation notes were also included in the analysis, which included details such as the involvement of the participants, the different reactions and conversations held around the process. All transcripts and observation notes were coded manually. Coding was iterative: central themes were identified as they emerged, refined, and expanded through the coding process<sup>30</sup>.

One female researcher (VR) was involved in all three phases of the study. She has previous training in both PV and DST, as well as qualitative research. She is a research assistant and PhD candidate at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. She is of Brazilian descent and speaks Spanish fluently. She is not disabled herself and is not the carer of a child with disabilities.

#### Ethics

Prior to commencing the interviews, participants were provided full information about the study, any queries answered, and written ethical consent obtained. An additional oral ethical consent was obtained at the end of both PV and DST, where participants informed the researchers whether they consented to the videos being shared/used.

Full ethical review and approval was granted by the LSHTM Ethics Committee and the Ethics approval for the study was granted by the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) (No 15986 /RR/ 11098) and Comité de Etica e Investigacion Asistencia Cientifica de Alta Complejidad (CEIACAC) Bogota (No CEI-022-19).

#### Results

One PV and four digital stories were produced. The focus of the PV was an evaluation of Juntos, while the focus of the DST process was healthcare access. Of the initial eight caregivers who took part in the PV process, four completed both the DST process and an in-depth interview about their experiences. The other four participants did not take part in the DST and in-depth interview because of lack of time and no access to technological devices. Both participatory visual methods were acceptable and feasible, with key benefits identified in terms of the skills used to make the videos, the feeling of collectiveness and the control over the process.

#### Learning and discovering new skills

None of the research participants had used a camera recorder before, and all reported using a camcorder as a new experience. All of them, but one participant, had smartphones and had previously experienced taking pictures and making videos on their phones. It was observed by the facilitator that some of the caregivers in the PV workshop were initially resistant to trying to use the camera recorder. However, once they did, and watched their videos again, the delight of watching what they filmed was evident through their facial expression, laughter, and conversations about the videos. One of the caregivers, the grandmother of one of the children (61+ years old, housewife), had little experience using any sort of technological device. She showed a particular resistance in the beginning, but also the most pride in using the camera. Other caregivers photographed her as she filmed holding her granddaughter in her arms. Two caregivers were given the responsibility of filming the PV, and when asked further questions on cinematographic techniques such as angles and ways of filming, she showed an interest in video creation.

> "I learnt a lot, not only how to film but also just exploring my story in a different way, more creatively. Having to think about what I wanted to say and how I can show it in a video." Participant 3 (30–40 years old, housewife)

Specifically, from the DST process, one participant mentioned realising she both enjoys and has a skill for writing narratives. She continued saying she had plans for doing something in the future to support parents with children with disabilities, but had not known what that would be exactly. She felt more in control of what steps to take next and what that journey might be: "After writing the narrative, I realised that I would love to write a book about my son and our story." Participant 1 (26–30 years old, housewife)

#### The collective and building community

The caregivers who took part in the PVM already knew each other from the 10-week Juntos programme. The structure of both PV and DST meant that participants reflected on common themes and built on top of each other's stories, while having common outcomes to work towards (a digital story each or a PV). Particularly for the PV process, participants were given the space to tell one or two caregivers' stories through a film made collectively, but they decided to change that format to something that suited them better. Through storyboarding, they derived aspects that were common to most caregivers to be portrayed in the video and made sure to include different representations (a mother feeding her daughter orally, and a mother holding her child's gastrostomy tube). The facilitator could observe the enthusiasm of portraying their stories as one united group. It also provided a form of empowerment. For example, mothers who might otherwise shy away, wanted to be in front of the camera, mentioning they would be representing not only themselves but all mothers who may need to feed their children with a gastrostomy tube.

During the editing process, it was clear how the feeling of collectiveness and community came through. In PV, the facilitator was asked to add a slow-motion effect to one footage of all the participants walking, as they wanted to portray the strength they have in caring for their children; just like heroes are shown in films. In the digital stories, after watching each other's videos, the participants wanted certain sections to be re-edited, so they looked more like the others, searching for similarities and unity. There was a feeling of empowerment in the collective.

"You don't just feel like one mother, but you are *the* mothers of Cali." Participant 2 (30–40 years old, housewife)

Adding to this feeling of community, the processes provided a space for caregivers to learn from each other and that way, change their self-perception and build strength. Their stories were heard and watched, and they could also hear and watch what others shared and made videos about.

"Participatory video is a way of expressing ideas collectively. I liked it, it was great to learn from other people's stories and understand what is important for each one. It also supported me in understanding the journey I'm going through." Participant 3 (30–40 years old, housewife)

"In digital storytelling, it was nice to tell and hear other people's stories, we get to know each other better. I didn't know how [one mother] was so resilient and what she went through in the hospital when her daughter got sick!" Participant 1 (26–30 years old, housewife) "I enjoyed watching the different digital stories and learn more in depth what [the caregivers] went through. I thought I knew so much about the other mothers, but I realise there's so many stories that haven't been told. We all have so much to say." Participant 3 (30–40 years old, housewife)

Through hearing these stories, participants felt they were not alone and learned ways to overcome similar situations they may face in their day-to-day life.

#### Control over the process

All caregivers reported feeling appreciated by having their ideas not only heard but implemented into their videos. They also expressed the importance of having a unique role within the different processes. Every caregiver was able to frame their stories and participate in the way they preferred.

"I'm timid, but I was able to contribute to the participatory video through sitting with [my child] and feeding her while somebody filmed us. I didn't need to talk in front of the camera. I really liked that; I was able to contribute in my own way." Participant 2 (30–40 years old, housewife)

"I like the way we each could express ourselves in different ways, so some mothers talked, the others were filmed feeding their children or playing. So, there was no need for everyone to do one thing, they could pick and choose within the process what they preferred." Participant 3 (30–40 years old, housewife)

Participants were given a chance to personalise their involvement, and all caregivers were involved in storyboarding, with two leading the writing. Unlike traditional interviews, where you are expected to respond to questions posed, in a PV or DST process, you can contribute equally in other ways. Once the story was validated, four caregivers filmed the narrative (two spoke and two filmed), while the others organised the props and scenarios needed to represent the story visually. In the DST process, participants saw many examples of digital stories to be able to get ideas to create their own, and had it re-edited by the facilitator until they were fully satisfied with the outcome.

Participants reflected on the role of the facilitator, and how explaining the process as clearly and transparently as possible was key to feeling like they were truly in charge of the outcome of the videos. Fieldnotes by the facilitator reflected on the need to let go of expectations and rules attached to the different steps. For it to be a truer participatory process, the facilitator let the participants shape the process to make it theirs, while still needing to stick to the research question. The facilitator kept the "ladder of participation" in mind, where the lowest level is non-participation<sup>31</sup>. With every request to adjust the process, the facilitator felt she reached a truer level or partnership between herself and the caregivers. To have reached a higher level

of participation, closer to effective participation, some things should have been decided together prior to starting the PVM, such as which PVM to use and what research question would be asked.

> "I felt in control, and I could watch back and give instructions to [the facilitator]. Especially seeing other examples meant I could say what I wanted in what part of the video, and what music I wanted. After watching [one mother's] video, there was something I liked and [the facilitator] went back and changed it for me." Participant 1 (26–30 years old, housewife)

> "[The facilitator] was there but I didn't feel like [she] was imposing anything, we decided what we wanted and [she] helped us build it. The only thing I might have preferred was to talk about my pregnancy in the digital stories instead of health care." Participant 4 (30–40 years old, housewife)

When comparing both methods, PV provided participants with more autonomy and individualised contribution. Within the process of DST, all participants needed to record an audio and pick visuals for the video, meaning there was less space for adjustment. While in PV, no one was obliged to do any of the steps. If caregivers did not want to share their story, they did not need to, and, as mentioned previously, they could contribute in the way they wanted.

#### Discussion

Both PVM approaches were found to be acceptable and feasible to implement with a group of caregivers of children with CZS in Colombia. Moreover, through the two PVM used, these women who have reported feeling marginalised and alone in many aspects of life<sup>32</sup>, were able to find a space within these processes where they were heard and appreciated for being the experts in talking about their own lives<sup>33</sup>. PVM appeared to have the capacity in this case to create what Freire calls "conscientization", providing participants with an active role in understanding and reflecting critically on their community and life. This can lead to tackling oppression, through empowering people to question their condition and encouraging dialogue7. The importance of using participatory methods in research is well recognised, including with respect to issues around disability. However, practical constraints, such as lack of tools, skills, budget, time and planning often constrain the use of participatory approaches, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)<sup>12</sup>. This case study shows that PVM are feasible and acceptable to use in this group of caregivers of children with disabilities in Colombia, even though they had very limited videography experience. The participants were not people with disabilities themselves, so that adjustments in methods were not needed. An important factor supporting feasibility was that the process was facilitated by a trained videographer who was also a qualitative researcher and Spanish speaker. Participation was particularly observed during data collection, whereas the analysis (video editing) was led by the videographer, but with high levels of input from the participants.

PVM provide space for dialogue in many forms, such as dialoguing in a group and in the video itself. In this project, importance was given not only to expressing oneself but also being heard and hearing others. Low and colleagues talk about the act of being listened to as being one of the main transformational effects for individuals taking part in a process such as DST<sup>34</sup>. Many facilitators have identified hearing and being heard as one of the main empowerment aspects of these methods as well; having a safe and supportive space to share your story, regardless of who will watch the final films<sup>35,36</sup>. This was also observed by the facilitator in this study.

Recent approaches define empowerment as a change in self-perception and increased control over different areas of one's life<sup>37,38</sup>. This definition of empowerment encapsulates what was reported from this study, where participants' awareness of themselves and their own community grew through the process, as they learned from one another and picked up new skills that may lead to further outcomes, such as the mother who now wants to write a book for other caregivers of children with disabilities. This form of empowerment in participants has also been seen in previous studies involving PVM<sup>39-42</sup>. Within this case study, DST and PV have the common goal of empowering individuals and their communities, with an emphasis on the process over the product43,44. In their interviews, participants clearly focused on the process of dialoguing and making the videos, more than the final film itself. The act of giving participants a tool that they can themselves use to create, instead of creating something for them, is very powerful. This has been discussed by Yoly Gutierrez when explaining the experience of giving a camera to members of the Kayapó Indigenous tribe<sup>45</sup>. Tribesmen described the camera as their "weapon", as it has the power to strengthen them through providing a tool to voice their experiences as well as giving them a medium to communicate with people outside their community<sup>45</sup>. Mtuy et al. also discussed this in a Photovoice study conducted with Maasai women, where women felt empowered as they were put in a role of "educators, agents of change and a source of valued information."46. In one of her papers, Caroline Wang talks about an empowerment project that took place in Peru, where women used pencils for the first time. That helped build confidence in women who had never used pencils before<sup>11</sup>. Women are recognised and appreciated for being experts in their own lives and communities.

Regarding the role of the facilitator within a participatory process, the facilitator must have the capacity to let go and form a collegiate relationship with the participants<sup>3</sup>. The participants should be able to contribute to shaping certain aspects of the study, with the facilitator there to support that creation<sup>33</sup>. Facilitators should reflect on the nature of oppression and actively tackle it in all stages of participatory research<sup>7</sup>. In this case study, it was decided at the start that the facilitator would edit videos, which was agreed on by participants who had limited time to edit due to many factors, but that the facilitator would work as a 'tool' to create the video as the participants wanted. It is important to have an intimate and non-hierarchical relationship so that participants can be involved and accept the

goals of the research project<sup>47</sup>. For this, dialogue and transparency are important in all stages of the study.

The two main limitations of this study that might have restrained the full potential for understanding the experience of PVM, were time and number of participants. Both PV and DST processes for this study were completed over a short period of time due to time restraints. While some PV projects may take months, both PV and DST for this study took 2.5-3 weeks to complete. Additionally, only four participants were involved in both methods forming the basis for this case study. Therefore, the results cannot be extrapolated to a larger group. If more participants were interviewed and involved, more themes may have emerged and would have allowed inter-group comparisons (e.g., differences by gender, age, support from family, etc). Additionally, the structure of the methods themselves may have inhibited more effective form of empowerment or impact. This has been seen in other PVM such as Photovoice projects<sup>48</sup>. One example was the research question of the DST process; one mother made it very clear that she would have preferred talking about her experience during pregnancy rather than focusing the videos on the initial research question, which was on health care access for their children. The other mothers agreed. On a Photovoice project undertaken by Caroline Wang, she points out that the method itself might have "stopped short of engaging participants in conceptualising and participating in action steps needed to address their needs."4

#### Conclusions

Women with children with CZS have reported feeling marginalised and misunderstood in daily life. This case study shows that PVM are acceptable and feasible, and moreover supported this group through learning and discovering new skills, building the feeling of collectiveness, and providing a space to share their stories creatively.

#### Data availability

#### Underlying data

As participants are a close group of caregivers in Cali, the content of the interviews includes names of other caregivers and their children, information, addresses and personal stories. Some of the information mentioned are of participants who were not interviewed for this paper; therefore, it would not be ethical to share this information as they have not consented to it.

#### Extended data

LSHTM Data Compass: Participatory Visual Methods with caregivers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome in Colombia: A case study, https://doi.org/10.17037/DATA.00002745<sup>28</sup>

This project contains the following extended data:

- La discapacidad en medio de una sala de urgencias
- Falta\_de\_tacto\_de\_algunos\_profesionales
- Gabriela\_venciendo\_el\_Zika
- PV\_Colombia\_subs

'PV\_Colombia\_subs' is a participatory video produced by caregivers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome in Cali, Colombia. Through the workshop and video production, caregivers explored the impact of the 'Juntos' program on their lives. All participants featured in the video consented to their images and children's images being shared.

'La discapacidad en medio de una sala de urgencias' 'Falta\_de\_ tacto\_de\_algunos\_profesionales' and 'Gabriela\_venciendo\_el\_Zika' are three digital stories where caregivers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome explore one story of their child's healthcare access journey. The videos were created within the Digital Storytelling structure. All participants consented to their video being shared.

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported license (CC BY-NC-ND 3.0).

#### References

- Bateson G, Mead M: Balinese character. In: Harper D (ed.) On the Authority of the Image: Visual Methods at the Crossroads. In: Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials, by N. K., Lincoln, Y. S. Denzin, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications. 1998; 185–204.
- Mitchell CM, Sommer M: Participatory visual methodologies in global public health. Glob Public Health. 2016; 11(5–6): 521–7.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lorenz LS, Kolb B: Involving the public through participatory visual research methods. *Health Expect.* 2009; 12(3): 262–74.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Lorenz LS: Making visible the invisible: understanding lived experience with acquired brain injury through visual illness narratives. Dissertation, Waltham, MA: Brandeis University. 2008. Reference Source
- Tritter JQ, McCallum A: The snakes and ladders of user involvement: Moving beyond Arnstein. *Health Policy*. 2006; 76(2): 156–68.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kolb B: Involving, sharing, analysing potential of the participatory photo interview. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research. 2008; 9(3).
  - Publisher Full Text
- 7. Freire P: **Pedagogia do oprimido.** 25a ed. . Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra. 2005.
- Ministério da Saúde: Política Nacional de Educação Popular em Saúde no aînbito do Sistema Unico de Saúde. Portaria N.º 2.761/2013. 19 11. 2013; Accessed 09 09, 2021. Reference Source
- WHO: Tool kit on social participation: Methods and techniques for ensuring the social participation of Roma populations and other social groups in the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of policies and programmes to improve their health. Regional Office for Europe: World Health Organization. 2016. Reference Source
- 10. Lambert J: Digital storytelling: Capturing lives, creating community. Berkeley, CA: Life on the Water. 2010.
- Wang C, Burris MA: Empowerment through Photo Novella: Portraits of Participation. *Health Educ Q.* 1994; 21(2): 171–186. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kuper H, Hameed S, Reichenberger V, et al.: Participatory Research in Disability in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: What have we Learnt and what Should we Do? Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research. 2021; 23(1): 328–337.
   Publisher Full Text
- Gubrium A: Digital storytelling: An emergent method for health promotion research and practice. *Health Promot Pract.* 2009; **10**(2): 186–191.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 14. White SA: Participatory video: Images that transform and empower. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications. 2003. Reference Source
- Sitter KC: Participatory video: Toward a method, advocacy and voice (MAV) framework. Intercultural Education. 2012; 23(6): 541–554.
   Publisher Full Text
- 16. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde Ministério da Saúde: Monitoramento integrado de alterações no crescimento e desenvolvimento relacionadas à infecção pelo vírus Zika e outras etiologias infecciosas, até a Semana Epidemiológica 30 de 2018. Boletim Epidemológico. 2018; Accessed October 20, 2021. Reference Source

- Lowe R, Barcellos C, Brasil P, et al.: The Zika Virus Epidemic in Brazil: From Discovery to Future Implications. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018; 15(1):60.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ferreira HNC, Schiariti V, Regalado ICR, et al.: Functioning and disability profile of children with microcephaly associated with congenital Zika virus infection. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018; 15(6): 1107. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Moreira MCN, Nascimento M, Mendes CHF, et al.: Emergency and permanence of the Zika virus epidemic: an agenda connecting research and policy. Cad Saude Publica. 2018; 34(8): e00075718.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Scherer N, Verhey I, Kuper H: Depression and anxiety in parents of children with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2019; 14(7): e0219888.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Pacheco O, Beltrán M, Nelson CA, et al.: Zika Virus Disease in Colombia — Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383: e44. Publisher Full Text
- Kuper H, Lopes Moreira ME, Barreto de Araújo TV, et al.: The association of depression, anxiety, and stress with caring for a child with Congenital Zika Syndrome in Brazil; Results of a cross-sectional study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13(9): e0007768.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- de Sa MRC, Vieira ACD, Castro BSM, et al.: [The need to act together in every way possible: inter-sector action in health and education for children living with the congenital Zika syndrome]. Cad Saude Publica. 2019; 35(12): e00233718.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Duttine A, Smythe T, Calheiros de Sa MR, et al.: Juntos: A Support Program for Families Impacted by Congenital Zika Syndrome in Brazil. Glob Health Sci Pract. 2020; 8(4): 846–857.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Zuurmond M, Nyante G, Baltussen M, et al.: A support programme for caregivers of children with disabilities in Ghana: Understanding the impact on the wellbeing of caregivers. Child Care Health Dev. 2019; 45(1): 45–53.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Smythe T, Reichenberger V, Pinzón EM, et al.: The feasibility of establishing parent support groups for children with congenital Zika syndrome and their families: a mixed-methods study [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review]. Wellcome Open Res. 2021; 6: 158.
   Publisher Full Text
- 27. InsightShare. 2021.
  - Reference Source
- Reichenberger V: Participatory Visual Methods with caregivers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome in Colombia: A case study. [Data Collection]. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom. 2022. http://www.doi.org/10.17037/DATA.00002745
- 29. StoryCenter. 2021. Reference Source
- Guest G, MacQueen KM, Namey EE: Applied thematic analysis. SAGE Publications, Inc. 2012.
   Publisher Full Text
- Arnstein SR: A ladder of citizen participation. J Am I Planners. 1969; 35(4): 216–24.
   Publisher Full Text

- Santos-Pinto CDB, de Almeida Soares-Marangoni D, Ferrari FP, et al.: Health demands and care of children with congenital Zika syndrome and their mothers in a Brazilian state. BMC Public Health. 2020; 20(1): 762.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- MacPherson KI: The Missing Piece: Women as Partners in Feminist Research. Response to the Victimization of Women and Children. 1988; 11(4): 19–20.
- Juppi P: Engagement and empowerment digital storytelling as a participatory media practice. Nordicom-Information. 2017; 39(2): 31–41. Reference Source
- Meadows D, Kidd J: "Capture Wales": The BBC Digital Storytelling Project. In: Story Circle. Digital Storytelling Around the World. by J., McWilliam, K. Hartley, Malden, MA & Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. 2009; 91–117. Publisher Full Text
- van Hees S, Horstman K, Jansen M, et al.: Photovoicing the neighbourhood: Understanding the situated meaning of intangible places for ageing-in-place. Health Place. 2017; 48: 11–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Peterson NA, Zimmerman MA: Beyond the individual: toward a nomological network of organizational empowerment. Am J Community Psychol. 2004; 34(1–2): 129–45.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sheilds LE: Women's Experiences of the Meaning of Empowerment. Qual Health Res. 1995; 5(1): 15–35.
   Publicher Full Text
- Duffy L: "Step-by-step we are stronger": women's empowerment through photovoic. J Community Health Nurs. 2011; 28(2): 105–16.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 40. Wang CC, Morrel-Samuels S, Hutchison PM, et al.: Flint photovoice:

community building among youths, adults, and policymakers. Am J Public Health. 2004; 94(6): 911–3. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Wang CC: Photovoice: a participatory action research strategy applied to women's health. J Womens Health. 1999; 8(2): 185–92.
   PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Rouhani L: Using digital storytelling as a source of empowerment for rural women in Benin. Gender & Development. 2019; 27(3): 573–586.
   Publisher Full Text
- Downing JDH, Ford TV, Genève G, et al.: Radical Media: Rebellious Communication and Social Movements. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 2001. Reference Source
- Rodriquez C: Fissures in the Media-scape: An International Study of Citizen's Media. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press. 2001. Reference Source
- Gutierrez Y: A camera lens, a weapon in Indigenous Brazil. Landscape News. 20 06. 2019; Accessed 09 07, 2021. Reference Source
- Mtuy TB, Mepukori J, Lankoi J, et al.: Empowering Maasai women behind the camera: Photovoice as a tool for trachoma control. Res Involv Engagem. 2021; 7(1): 51.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Oakley A: Interviewing Women: A Contradiction in Terms? In: Essays on Women, Medicine and Health, by A. Oakley, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press. 1993; 221–242.
- Johnston G: Champions for social change: Photovoice ethics in practice and 'false hopes' for policy and social change. *Glob Public Health.* 2016; **11**(5–6): 799–811.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

# **Open Peer Review**

### Current Peer Review Status: 💙 ? 🗸

Version 2

Reviewer Report 01 November 2023

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19976.r67827

© **2023 Mantsios A.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



#### **Andrea Mantsios**

Public Health Innovation & Action, New York, USA

Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript.

This paper describes the acceptability and feasibility of two different participatory visual methods to capture experiences and perspecttives of caregivers of children with Congenital Zika Syndrome (CZS) in Colombia. It is a well-written and well-organized manuscript detailing the use of less commonly used qualitative methods (e.g., participatory video and digital storytelling) along with in-depth interviews to understand participant experiences with these methods.

One of my suggestions for the authors echos comments made by the previous reviewers and has to do with better understanding the group of women who ended up participating as well as those who did not, chose to decline. Given this is a case study with a small sample, I would have loved if the authors described the women who participated in greater detail to give us more of a sense of their lives and circumstances to better understand who they are more broadly as we think about the experiences they had engaging with these methods. We, of course, learn about the women highlighted in the products of this work shared in the Extended Data, but it would be helpful to get a sense of the women who participated in the study in the article to further contextualize the experiences they had. It was mentioned that the women who did not participate in the interviews did not have access to technological devices but it was also mentioned that all but 1 of the 8 women in the PVM portion of the study had smartphones which I think would have facilitated conducting the IDIs via zoom. Similarly, I'm wondering if efforts were made to conduct IDIs locally so that tech access was not a barrier to participation. In my own work, I am particularly conscious of the equity issues that arise in conducting qualitative research virtually and who may be excluded from having their voices heard and represented in the findings because of that barrier. Just a note to consider and reflect on in the limitations of this work.

Overall, I think this paper is a valuable contribution to the literature and appreciate the opportunity to provide my thoughts.

#### Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Qualitative research, caregivers of children with CZS in Brazil, HIV

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 26 August 2022

#### https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19976.r51477

© **2022 Diniz D.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



#### Debora Diniz 匝

The Center for Latin American and Caribbean Studies, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

Thanks for submitting the article to my second reading.

The authors did a great work addressing the comments and making clearer some of the previous questions, particularly regarding the methods and the participants description.

The article should be approved.

#### Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Yes

#### Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: My area of research is also Zika, women and visual methods

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 June 2022

#### https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19381.r50828

© **2022 Zarante I.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### ? 🛛 Ignacio Zarante 匝

Human Genetics Institute, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogota, Colombia

This is an article where participatory visual methods are used in families of patients with sequelae of prenatal Zika virus infection in the city of Cali, Colombia. They search for 11 families, but in the end they only manage to complete the qualitative research in 4 of them. Families should develop a digital storytelling or participatory video. Each caregiver and family was taught how to operate the camera and instructed to develop a script with the help of the researchers. These scripts were developed together and shared with the other families the experience they were developing. At the end, the information was analyzed using semi-structured in-depth interviews.

The results are remarkably interesting since the whole process generated participatory work within the family and contact with the others. It is evident that this strategy has a great feasibility to empower families with members who are disabled. The limitation of the methods was in a small sample that also ended with a small number of participants. The conclusions are interesting, but it

is not so easy to extrapolate the results to larger groups. The authors do not give much information on the reasons why some of those involved did not participate and there may be explanations for the usefulness of these strategies.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound? Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Partly

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

*Reviewer Expertise:* Birth defects, medical genetics, public health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 29 Jun 2022

Veronika Reichenberger

Dear Ignacio Zarante,

Thank you for your comments and review. We are honoured to get your important feedback on our paper.

We are also very pleased to read you found the results interesting.

With regards to not being able to extrapolate to a larger group, we have added a sentence clarifying this in the last paragraph of the results, which now states:

"Additionally, only four participants were involved in both methods forming the basis for this case study. Therefore, the results cannot be extrapolated to a larger group." We are please to inform that we have also added more information on the reasons why we did not have more participants involved. A new sentence found in the first paragraph of the results section, now states:

"The other four participants did not take part in the DST and in-depth interview because of lack of time and no access to technological devices."

We hope to have provided appropriate clarification and are available for further exchanges and modifications where needed.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 13 April 2022

#### https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19381.r49405

© **2022 Diniz D.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### ? Debora Diniz 匝

The Center for Latin American and Caribbean Studies, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

The research article describes the methodological processes involved in using visual research tools with women affected by the Zika epidemic in Colombia. It's a descriptive study about how the visual tools facilitated gathering information about the research question. The group of women involved in this research initiative was small and some of them decided to step down during the process, which was not clarified by the authors. I appreciate the opportunity to read the manuscript in advance and I hope that my comments will help the authors to further develop such an important initiative:

#### 1. Power dynamics, Empowerment

I would not describe the visual tools as mechanisms of "giving power" to participants. It's more about sharing than giving, and the replacement of verbs here is not just rhetorical: it is also deeply conceptual. It is about how the researchers understand their roles and purpose in using visual tools in the context of a research interaction. Why am I mentioning this point? The study presents two purposes for using visual tools in social research: as a way of engaging participants and as a tool for "concientizacao" or "empowerment" (as presented in the manuscript, these two concepts are not interchangeable; but the content of each one is not that clear). The first purpose is clearly stated in the descriptive narrative about the processes involved in working with different visual methodologies. The second purpose is not that straightforward, however, and I wonder if the authors should consider eliminating it. There is no evidence in the study that the visual methods empowered women: the example of one of the participants referring to "writ[ing] a book about her son" is more a regional way of expressing the idea that "I'm going to tell my story" than the literal intention to initiate a new project. I do not see the meetings for visual literacy as reflecting the concept of engaged pedagogy conceptualized by Paulo Freire or bell hooks. Finally, in my opinion, the concept of "hearing" and "being heard" by others is a key emic concept yet it was not explored by the authors as a key element of using visual methodologies.

#### 2. Caregivers, women, disability

The study was conducted among women. Yet gender is absolutely ignored by the manuscript. The study refers to them as "caregivers" or "mothers" or "grandmother". We have no other information about them, just that their visual literacy was low - an observation that I question considering the levels of digital inclusion in Colombia via the use of smartphones. We need to know more about who these women are, beyond their social roles as caregivers of children affected by the Zika congenital syndrome. In a brief reflective sentence about the positionality of the facilitator, s/he mentions that s/he is not disabled nor a carer of a child with disability. But why only mention disability here if the work was among women? Why not also consider race, class, education, gender, nationality, as crucial factors to understand the research framing and the power dynamics?

#### 3. Minor comments

I would encourage the authors to confirm if there is any updated data about Zika cases among pregnant women in Colombia. The study mentions April 2016 as the reference. I would also consider reorganizing the arguments, in case the authors prefer to maintain the argument of empowerment/conscientizacao. If they keep that argument, my recommendation would be to incorporate it as part of the analysis of the results and not as an assumption in the Introduction.

I deeply admire the study and the ethical commitment that led the authors to work with visual methods, particularly recognizing how rare and demanding it is. I'm more than happy and available to reconsider my comments if the authors do not consider them appropriate for what they have in mind.

# Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

#### Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?  $\mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{PS}}$ 

#### Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

#### Partly

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: My area of research is also Zika, women and visual methods

# I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

#### Author Response 29 Jun 2022

#### Veronika Reichenberger

Dear Debora Diniz,

Thank you very much for your review and request for clarification. It's an honour to receive a review from such a prestigious academic as yourself.

#### 1. Power dynamics, Empowerment

We would like to clarify our reasoning behind mentioning empowerment in the introduction. We were pleased to include background in the introduction to assist the reader in understanding certain concepts such as empowerment, which is a recognized concept within PV (as referenced by Caroline Wang in her extensive work with participatory visual methods such as photovoice).

With regards to writing the book, we understand the space for interpretation this left. We would like to clarify that beyond mentioning wanting to write a book, the participant went into more detail, including questions regarding publishing, which supported the understanding of wanting to write a book as opposed to an expression.

#### 2. Caregivers, women, disability

As suggested, we provided more information about who the women are after the quotes to assist the readers. We have added age group and occupation. (Example: Participant 2, 30-40 years old, housewife)

We are pleased to highlight that the reflective sentence does include more details about the facilitator, as mentioned in the last paragraph before the ethics, in the methods section: "One female researcher (VR) was involved in all three phases of the study. She has previous training in both PV and DST, as well as qualitative research. She is a research assistant and PhD candidate at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. She is of Brazilian descent and speaks Spanish fluently. She is not disabled herself and is not the carer of a child with disabilities."

We have also added a sentence to clarify about the participants visual literacy: "All of them, but one participant, have smartphones and have previously experienced taking pictures and making videos on their phones."

We also added "cinematographic techniques" to support the understanding that the new skills were specific to these techniques (lighting, angles, using a camcorder) as opposed to making a video itself, which all but one had already done.

Thank you for these important comments and requests.

<u>3. Minor comments</u>

We would like to clarify the reasoning behind the 2016 status. The zika epidemic went from 2015 to 2016, which is when Congenital Zika Syndrome was identified. This is hence the focus of our study and believe the statistics from 2016 provide better understanding on the background of the study than recent statistics.

We are honoured to hear you admire the study and recognize how rare and demanding it can be.

We hope to have clarified your comments and are available for further exchanges and clarifications where needed.

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.