JB Accepted Manuscript Posted Online 4 October 2021 J Bacteriol doi:10.1128/JB.00421-21 Copyright © 2021 Nasher et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Figure 1:









Figure 3:









g













Figure 6:

a)





Figure 7:



trpA_{Cys113Ser} **RrpA_{Cys33Ser}** rpA_{Cys13Ser}

> 4 5

 $RrpB + H_2O_2$

RrpB

3 4 5

rrpB

1 23

RrpBcys8Ser

RrpB WT

Free Probe

RrpB_{Cys8Set}

rpA_{hCvs6}

RrpA

2 1

RrpB + DTT

2

kDa M 35

25

15

10

kDa M 35

25

15

RrpB WT

RrpBcys8Ser

2 3 1

Col

Free Probe

ല്പ



1 2	MdaB and NfrA, two novel reductases important in the survival and persistence of the major enteropathogen <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>
3	
4	Running title: MdaB and NfrA Aid Survival of C. jejuni
5	
6	Fauzy Nasher ¹ *, Aidan J. Taylor ² , Abdi Elmi ¹ , Burhan Lehri ¹ , Umer Z. Ijaz ³ , Dave Baker ⁴ ,
7	Richard Goram ⁵ , Steven Lynham ⁶ , Dipali Singh ⁴ , Richard Stabler ¹ , David J. Kelly ² , Ozan
8	Gundogdu ¹ , Brendan W. Wren ¹ *.
9	
10	¹ Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical
11	Medicine, London, United Kingdom.
12	² Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield,
13	United Kingdom.
14	³ School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
15	⁴ Microbes in Food Chain, Quadram Institute Biosciences, Norwich Research Park, Norwich,
16	United Kingdom.
17	⁵ The John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, United Kingdom
18	⁶ Proteomics Facility, Centre of Excellence for Mass Spectrometry, King's College London,
19	London, United Kingdom.
20	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	*Address correspondence to Brendan W. Wren , <u>brendan.wren@lshtm.ac.uk</u> and Fauzy Nasher , <u>fauzy.nasher1@lshtm.ac.uk</u>

28

29 Abstract

30	The paralogues RrpA and RrpB which are members of MarR family of DNA binding proteins
31	are important for the survival of the global bacterial foodborne pathogen Campylobacter
32	<i>jejuni</i> under redox stress. We report that RrpA is a positive regulator of <i>mdaB</i> , encoding a
33	flavin-dependent quinone reductase that contributes to the protection from redox stress
34	mediated by structurally diverse quinones, whilst RrpB negatively regulates the expression of
35	cj1555c (renamed nfrA for NADPH-flavin reductase A), encoding a flavin reductase. NfrA
36	reduces riboflavin at a greater rate than its derivatives, suggesting exogenous free flavins are
37	the natural substrate. MdaB and NfrA both prefer NADPH as an electron donor. Cysteine
38	substitution and post-translational modification analyses indicated that RrpA and RrpB
39	employ a cysteine-based redox switch. Complete genome sequence analyses revealed <i>mdaB</i>
40	is frequently found in Campylobacter and related Helicobacter spp., whilst nfrA is
41	predominant in C. jejuni strains. Quinones and flavins are redox cycling agents secreted by a
42	wide range of cell-types that can form damaging superoxide by one-electron reactions. We
43	propose a model for stress adaptation where MdaB and NfrA facilitate a two-electron
44	reduction mechanism to the less toxic hydroquinones, thus aiding survival and persistence of
45	this major pathogen.
46	
47	Importance
48	Changes in cellular redox potential results in alteration in the oxidation state of intracellular
49	metabolites and enzymes, consequently, cells make adjustments that favor growth and
50	survival. The work we present here answers some of the many questions that have remained

- 51 elusive over the years of investigation into the enigmatic microaerophile bacterium,
- 52 Campylobacter jejuni. We employed molecular approaches to understand the regulation
- 53 mechanisms and functional analyses to reveal the roles of two novel quinone and flavin

9

reductases, both serve as major pools of cellular redox-active molecules. This work extends
our knowledge on bacterial redox sensing mechanisms and the significance of hemostasis.

57 Introduction

58 *Campylobacter jejuni* is a microaerophilic Gram-negative bacterium and is the leading cause 59 of bacterial foodborne gastroenteritis worldwide (1). The high prevalence of *C. jejuni* is 60 accredited to its ability to survive in a variety of niches including the natural environment and 61 within its avian and mammalian hosts, despite not growing in aerobic environments (2). 62

63 The sensitivity of C. jejuni to both oxygen and oxidative stress is a major defining feature 64 that has presented a conundrum in terms of the prevalence of the bacterium in the natural 65 environment and its success as a global enteric pathogen. Genomic data, mutant phenotypes 66 and biochemical analyses have shown that C. jejuni strains have an extensive complement of 67 oxidative stress protection systems (3), that allows fine tuning of its adaptation to in vivo and 68 ex vivo environments. These include the peroxidatic enzyme catalase and the thiol 69 peroxidases Tpx, Bcp and AhpC as well as superoxide dismutase (4, 5). 70 71 The production of most of the above mentioned oxidative stress protection enzymes are 72 controlled at the transcriptional level by the regulators PerR, CosR and Fur (3). However, the

re-annotation of the *C. jejuni* NCTC 11168 genome led to the discovery of two novel redoxsensing MarR-homologue transcriptional regulators that were named RrpA (*cj1546*) and
RrpB (*cj1556*) (6, 7). Using *C. jejuni* 11168H, a hypermotile derivative of the standard strain
NCTC 11168 (8, 9), a link between RrpA and RrpB with oxidative stress was reported based
on decreased viability in mutant strains after treatment with oxidative stress inducing

Journal of Bacteriology

78 compounds, hydrogen peroxide, menadione and cumene hydroperoxide (6, 7, 10).

Additionally, multilocus sequencing typing (MLST) revealed that *rrpA* was present in over
99% of 3,746 *C. jejuni* strains, but the presence of *rrpB* predominated in livestock-associated
strains (10). This suggests a correlation between possession of both transcriptional regulators
and the ability of *C. jejuni* to adapt and survive in diverse niches.

83

84 Here, we asked what genes are under the regulatory control of RrpA and RrpB and what their 85 roles are in *C. jejuni* redox metabolism. We identified *mdaB* and *nfrA*, encoding two novel 86 reductases that are under the transcriptional control of RrpA and RrpB, respectively, and we 87 revealed the regulation mechanism of RrpA and RrpB to their DNA substrates. We show 88 MdaB is a flavin-dependent NADPH-quinone reductase, which has a role in protecting the 89 cell from quinone stress mediated damage, while NfrA is an NADPH-riboflavin reductase. 90 Database searches revealed that MdaB is predominant in both *Campylobacter spp.* and the 91 related *Helicobacter spp.*, but NfrA is more often found in *C. jejuni* strains commonly 92 associated with human infection. 93 94 Quinones are amongst the main chemical compounds produced by plants and 95 microorganisms and have attracted significant interest because of their antimicrobial 96 activities (11). Quinones are well known for their active role in the electron transport chain of 97 most organisms in the form lipid-soluble electron carriers (e.g., ubiquinone and 98 menaquinones) (12). In contrast, water-soluble quinones are toxic as pro-oxidants or 99 electrophiles (13-16), and act as catalysts to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) by 100 undergoing one-electron reduction to yield semi-quinone radical anions that reduce molecular 101 oxygen. This produces ROS such as the superoxide anion (O_2^{\bullet}) and hydrogen peroxide 102 (H_2O_2) that lead to redox cycling reactions (17, 18).

9

104	Flavin mononucleotide (FMN) and flavin dinucleotide (FAD), and rarely riboflavin itself,
105	form an integral part of the redox active sites of flavoproteins as prosthetic groups (19). The
106	core of flavin compounds consist of a heterocyclic isoalloxazine ring that exist in three
107	different redox states: oxidized form, one-electron reduced radical semiquinone and two-
108	electron fully-reduced hydroquinone (20). In fact, this property makes flavin molecules
109	unique compounds in nature and fit to serve in broad roles as biocatalysts. However, similarly
110	to quinones, flavo-semiquinones can transfer an electron to oxygen generating the superoxide
111	radical (16, 21). Additionally, free flavins have been shown to transfer electrons to convert
112	Fe^{3+} into Fe^{2+} and O_2 into H_2O_2 . The simultaneous production of H_2O_2 and Fe^{2+} in cells may
113	promote the production of hydroxyl radical via the Fenton reaction resulting in cell death
114	(22).
115	
116	Given their propensity for highly toxic superoxide production, both quinones and flavins are
117	effective antimicrobials (23, 24) that C. jejuni may encounter in in vivo and ex vivo
118	environments. Thus, we propose that possession of the MdaB and NfrA enzymes allows a
119	safer two-electron reduction to the fully reduced forms of these compounds and could be a
120	significant adaptation for the persistence and prevalence of this problematic pathogen.
121	
122	Results
122	Results
122 123	Results Disruption of <i>rrpA</i> , <i>rrpB</i> and <i>rrpAB</i> shows altered transcription profiles in <i>C</i> .
122 123 124	Results Disruption of <i>rrpA</i> , <i>rrpB</i> and <i>rrpAB</i> shows altered transcription profiles in <i>C</i> . <i>jejuni</i> 11168H.
122 123 124 125	ResultsDisruption of <i>rrpA</i> , <i>rrpB</i> and <i>rrpAB</i> shows altered transcription profiles in C. <i>jejuni</i> 11168H.The pleotropic phenotype of the C. <i>jejuni</i> Δ <i>rrpA</i> , Δ <i>rrpB</i> and Δ <i>rrpAB</i> strains (6, 7, 10), led us

5

127	and $\Delta rrpAB$ defined mutants by RNA-Seq. Bacteria were cultured in brucella broth at 37 °C
128	in microaerobic condition to $OD_{600nm} = \sim 0.45$ (mid-log growth phase), five biological
129	replicates were analyzed. Genes that were significantly differentially regulated between the
130	wild type and mutant strains are presented in Table 1 (genes that were differentially
131	expressed $>1.5 \log^2$ fold change compared to the wild type were considered significant;
132	<i>p</i> <0.05).
133	
134	There was a modest difference in gene expression between the wild type strain and its $\Delta rrpA$
135	or $\Delta rrpB$ mutants; the putative autotransporter gene <i>capA</i> (<i>cj1677</i>), was significantly
136	upregulated in both the $\Delta rrpA$ and $\Delta rrpB$ mutants (Table 1). RrpB was previously reported to
137	be an autoregulator (6), and interestingly, in both $\Delta rrpB$ and the $\Delta rrpAB$ mutant strains, $rrpB$
138	and the gene directly upstream on the reverse strand, $cj1555c$, were significantly upregulated
139	(Table 1). <i>cj1555c</i> codes for a hypothetical protein of unknown function, however, a protein
140	BLAST search (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) indicated that this gene is an NAD(P)H-
141	flavin reductase, which we have named <i>nfrA</i> , <u>N</u> AD(P)H- <u>fl</u> avin <u>r</u> eductase <u>A</u> .
142	
143	Additionally, in the $\Delta rrpA$ mutant, $cj1545c$ (mdaB; modulator of drug activity B) was
144	downregulated based on individual statistical test (p< 0.05), however, when the <i>p</i> -values were
145	adjusted for multiple comparison, the significance was lost. Nevertheless, this gene was
146	included in the study (indicated with an asterisk * in Table 1), for two reasons: 1). <i>mdaB</i> was
147	previously implicated to have a role in oxidative stress defense in C. jejuni and in the closely
148	related <i>Helicobacter spp.</i> (25, 26); and 2). <i>mdaB</i> is located directly upstream of <i>rrpA</i> on the
149	reverse strand in a divergent orientation.

150

9

151 In the double mutant strain, $\Delta rrpAB$, genes that were significantly upregulated included *rrpA*; 152 which was previously also reported to be an autoregulator (7); cj1719c which encodes LeuA 153 (2-isopropylmalate synthase), an amino acid biosynthesis protein; $c_j 1454c$, encoding RimO, a 154 ribosomal methylthiotransferase that catalyzes the methylthiolation of aspartic acid residue of 155 ribosomal protein S12; cj1710c, encoding Rnj, involved in the maturation and/or decay of 156 mRNA; and *cj1711c*, encoding RsmA, which plays a role in the biogenesis of ribosomes and 157 has been shown to protect DNA against oxidative stress in some bacteria (27, 28). Two genes were significantly downregulated, cj0724; which is an uncharacterized molybdenum cofactor 158 159 biosynthesis protein and cj0265c; the TorB cytochrome c-type heme-binding subunit of the 160 TorAB, TMAO/DMSO reductase (Table 1). Tables of all genes are presented in Table. S1a, 161 Table. S1b and Table. S1c.

162

163 RNA independent from the RNA-Seq was isolated and real-time RT-qPCR was performed 164 (Fig. 1). RT-qPCR indicated a significant ~2-fold decrease in the expression of *mdaB* in both 165 $\Delta rrpA$ and $\Delta rrpAB$ mutant strains, whilst in the $\Delta rrpB$ mutant strain, *mdaB* was significantly 166 upregulated by ~1.5-fold (Fig.1a). In both $\Delta rrpB$ and $\Delta rrpAB$ mutant strains, *nfrA* was 167 significantly upregulated by ~7-fold (Fig.1b). Expression of *capA* and *rsmA* were also 168 confirmed in all the strains, and their expressions were in line with our RNA-Seq data (Fig. 169 1c and 1d).

170

171 Our RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR results showed the genes located upstream of *rrpA* and *rrpB*,

respectively, were differentially regulated in the mutant strains. Therefore, we speculated thatthese genes are under the control of RrpA and RrpB. DNAse I footprinting using dye primer

174 sequencing on an automated capillary DNA analysis system was used to test the interactions

of recombinant $\operatorname{RrpAhis}_{6}$ and $\operatorname{RrpB}_{his6}$ proteins with the regions upstream of the genes *mdaB* and *nfrA*.

177

178 **RrpA and RrpB protect regions with inverted repeat sequences.**

179

180 DNAse 1 footprinting was performed as described previously (29), a 500 ng fluorescently

181 labelled DNA fragment was incubated with various concentrations of recombinant RrpA_{his6}

and RrpB_{his6} ranging from 20 µg to 0 µg at room temperature, as described in the Methods.

183 The pattern of protection was observed by decreased fluorescent intensity of the

184 electropherogram (indicated within the dotted lines) (Fig. 2). We also noted non-specific

185 protection patterns at the highest protein concentrations in all of the samples, possibly due to

186 promiscuity at high protein concentrations due to low binding affinity.

187

188 We observed protection by purified RrpA_{his6} upstream of *mdaB* and upstream of the *rrpA*

translational start sites (Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b), respectively. Purified RrpB_{his6} showed

190 protection upstream of the *rrpB* translational start site (**Fig. 2c**). In these protected regions

191 (highlighted in grey), we identified inverted repeats (IRs) motif (underlined in bold) protected

192 by RrpA_{his6} formed by 6 nt (5'-TATCAT-3'), which are separated by 19 nt for *mdaB* (Fig. 2d)

and 24 nt for *rrpA* (Fig 2e), we also identified an IR sequence protected by RrpB_{his6} formed

194 of 6 nt (5'-TTATAA-3') separated by 17 nt (Fig. 2f). We did not observe protection by

195 RrpB_{his6} upstream of *nfrA*, possibly due to oxidation of the protein during sample preparation.

196 However, nucleotide alignment of the region preceding the translation start site of nfrA

197 identified IR sequence that matched those found within the protected region by RrpB_{his6},

upstream of the translation site of *nfrA* (Fig. 2g).

199

200	Oligonucleotides spanning the protected regions were synthesized and Electrophoretic
201	Mobility Shifts Assays (EMSA) were conducted. A DNA substrate, 50 nM , was co-
202	incubated with final concentration of 0.05 μ g of RrpAhis ₆ and RrpB _{his6} proteins in a 20 μ l
203	reaction; formation of protein-DNA complexes were observed in all the EMSAs conducted
204	(Fig. 3a-d) Specificity of binding was also tested, we found that both $RrpA_{his6}$ and $RrpB_{his6}$
205	proteins are able to bind to DNA sequences with mutation to one of the binding sequences
206	but were unable to bind to DNA that lack both binding sequences (Fig. S1a and Fig. S1b).
207	This is indicative that RrpA and RrpB recognize regions on the DNA with IR sequences,
208	which is characteristic of the MarR family transcriptional regulators (30).
209	
210	mdaB-rrpA and nfrA-rrpB gene expression respond to a range of exogenous
211	quinones and flavins, respectively.
212	
213	We performed a literature search to identify broad range of guinones that have previously
214	been shown to have an effect on <i>mdaB</i> analogs (25, 31). We selected the following
215	compounds due to their structural diversity: Coenzyme O1 (Ubiquinone). Pyrrologuinoline
216	quinone (Methoxatin). Sodium anthraquinone-2-sulfonate. 1.2-Naphthoquinone (Ortho-
217	naphthoquinone). 1.4-napthoquinone, p-Benzoquinone, 2.3-dichloro-1.4-napthoquinone
218	(Dichlone), 2.3.5.6-tetrachloro-1.4-benzoquinone (Chloranil), 2.6-dichloroquinone-4-
219	chloromide (Gibb's reagent). 2-hydroxy-1.4-naphthoquinone (Lawsone). 5-hydroxy-1.4-
220	Naphthoquinone (Juglone), 5-hydroxy2-methyl-1.4-Naphthoquinone (Plumbagin). We also
221	tested gene expression of <i>nfrA</i> and <i>rrnB</i> in response to riboflavin and its derivatives. flavin
221	mononucleotide (FMN) and flavin dinucleotide (FAD). Growing cultures of C jejuni 11168h
	inclusion and the first of and the first of the first of the first of the first of the formation of the form
223	and its mutants (mid-log $OD_{600m} = \sim 0.45$) were treated with the compounds and gene
223	and its mutants (mid-log $OD_{600nm} = \sim 0.45$) were treated with the compounds and gene

expression at 15 mins and 40 mins was determined by RT-qPCR relative to the control after
normalization using *gyrA* (Fig. 4).

227	In the wild-type strain the expression of <i>mdaB</i> and <i>rrpA</i> were greatly influenced by treatment
228	with quinone compounds. The greatest effect was observed after treatment with 2-hydroxy-
229	1,4-naphthoquinone; <i>mdaB</i> expression was increased by ~9.6-fold and <i>rrpA</i> by ~3.0-fold
230	after 15 mins (Fig. 4a) and <i>mdaB</i> expression remained significantly high (~5-fold) after 40
231	mins post treatment (Fig. S2a). Interestingly, the expression of <i>mdaB</i> was significantly
232	reduced (~3.0-fold) in the $\Delta rrpA$ mutant strain at 15 mins (Fig. 4b) and 40 mins (Fig. S2b).
233	Similarly, treatment of C. jejuni 11168H with exogenous flavins showed nfrA gene
234	expression was significantly increased up to ~12-fold after 15 mins of treatment, whilst $rrpB$
235	expression was significantly reduced (~2-fold) (Fig. 4c). nfrA expression remained
236	significantly high after 40 mins post-treatment with riboflavin and FMN (Fig. S2c). These
237	results also suggest that mdaB and nfrA are under the regulatory control of RrpA and RrpB,
238	respectively.
239	
240	Given that 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone and riboflavin had an effect on <i>mdaB-rrpA</i> and
241	nfrA-rrpB expressions, respectively, we tested their effects on C. jejuni growing cultures;
242	Optical density (OD _{600nm}) was monitored for 135 mins after the addition of 100 μ M 2-
243	hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4d) and 500 μ M of riboflavin (Fig. 4e). Addition of 2-
243 244	hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4d) and 500 μ M of riboflavin (Fig. 4e). Addition of 2- hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone led to a temporary bacteriostatic effect and reduction in
243 244 245	hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4d) and 500 μ M of riboflavin (Fig. 4e). Addition of 2- hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone led to a temporary bacteriostatic effect and reduction in maximum optical density of strain $\Delta rrpA$, $\Delta rrpAB$ and $\Delta mdaB$ compared to wild type (Fig.
243244245246	hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4d) and 500 μ M of riboflavin (Fig. 4e). Addition of 2- hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone led to a temporary bacteriostatic effect and reduction in maximum optical density of strain $\Delta rrpA$, $\Delta rrpAB$ and $\Delta mdaB$ compared to wild type (Fig. 4d), the addition of riboflavin had a modest effect on the wild type strain but the largest effect
243244245246247	hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4d) and 500 μ M of riboflavin (Fig. 4e). Addition of 2- hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone led to a temporary bacteriostatic effect and reduction in maximum optical density of strain $\Delta rrpA$, $\Delta rrpAB$ and $\Delta mdaB$ compared to wild type (Fig. 4d), the addition of riboflavin had a modest effect on the wild type strain but the largest effect was observed on $\Delta nfrA$ mutant strain. As OD can be affected by cell morphology, we also
 243 244 245 246 247 248 	 hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4d) and 500 μM of riboflavin (Fig. 4e). Addition of 2- hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone led to a temporary bacteriostatic effect and reduction in maximum optical density of strain Δ<i>rrpA</i>, Δ<i>rrpAB</i> and Δ<i>mdaB</i> compared to wild type (Fig. 4d), the addition of riboflavin had a modest effect on the wild type strain but the largest effect was observed on Δ<i>nfrA</i> mutant strain. As OD can be affected by cell morphology, we also determined viability by colony forming units (cfu) at 60 mins and 120 mins after treatment

ല്

249 with 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig. 4f) or riboflavin (Fig. 4g). These results were in 250 line with the optical density measurements. We did not observe any effect on the nfrA mutant 251 strain at a lower concentration (100 µM) of riboflavin.

252

253 MdaB and NfrA reduce quinones and flavins, respectively.

254

255 We conducted a conserved-domain search on the C. jejuni MdaB and NfrA proteins, MdaB 256 contains a flavodoxin-like fold (Cl00438), whilst NfrA is a member of a large family that 257 share a Rossmann-fold NAD(P)H/NAD(P)(+) binding (NADB) domain (Cl21454). MdaB 258 and NfrA were predicted to be a putative NAD(P)H-quinone reductase and NAD(P)H-flavin 259 reductase, respectively; we therefore tested the reductase activity of purified recombinant C. 260 *jejuni* MdaB and NfrA in the presence of quinones and flavins (riboflavin, FMN and FAD), respectively. Purified MdaBhis6 showed a flavin absorption spectrum with peaks at 454 nm 261 262 and 379 nm, characteristic of a FAD/FMN cofactor protein (Fig. 5a). The isoalloxazine ring 263 system within flavins generates a yellow color that is also responsible for light absorption in the UV and visible spectral range such as that observed for MdaB_{his6} (25, 32, 33). The 264 265 reductase activity of MdaB was determined by monitoring the oxidation of NADPH in the 266 presence of quinone substrates. Specific activities were determined in the range of 37 to 181 umol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein (Fig. 5b and Fig. 5c). Note that due to interference of substrates at 267 268 340 nm, 360 nm was used in these assays and the extinction coefficient for NADPH at 360 nm was determined as 4.61 l mmol⁻¹ cm⁻¹. We also found that MdaB had NADPH oxidase 269 270 activity under atmospheric oxygen conditions, therefore all assays were performed 271 anaerobically (Fig. S3a). Some of the quinones tested were incompatible with the assay, due 272 to insolubility in aqueous buffer (Fig. S3b), scans of all the quinone compounds tested (Fig. 273 S3c) and controls (Fig. S3d) are presented in supplementary file S1.

Journal of Bacteriology

9

Journal of Bacteriology

275	The flavin reductase activity of NfrA was determined by measuring the oxidation of
276	NAD(P)H in the presence of the flavin substrates riboflavin, FMN and FAD. The specific
277	activity with riboflavin was determined as 23.6 and 1.63 μ mol min ⁻¹ mg- ¹ protein with
278	NADPH and NADH as electron donor, respectively. This was indicative of NfrA preference
279	for NADPH over NADH (Fig. 5d). NfrA dependent reduction of FMN and FAD with
280	NADPH was also determined. NfrA had some activity towards FMN (4.9 μ mol min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ ,
281	4.8-fold lower than riboflavin) but no significant activity with FAD (Fig. 5e and Fig. S3e).
282	Riboflavin reduction by NrfA in the presence of NADPH was monitored directly by
283	performing the assay anaerobically and following the absorbance maximum of riboflavin
284	(445nm). A specific activity of 9.20 μ mol min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein was calculated from the
285	determined extinction coefficient for riboflavin at 445nm of 2.13 l mmol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ (Fig. 5f). No
286	activity was detected with either NAD^+ or $NADP^+$ (Fig. S3f). Spectra scans performed pre-
287	and post- assay to confirm quantitative reduction of riboflavin are presented in supplementary
288	file S1 (Fig. S3g).
289	
290	RrpA _{his6} and RrpB _{his6} are post-translationally modified <i>in vitro</i> by treatment with
291	redox cycling agents
292	

293 MarR-like transcription regulators utilize a redox sensing cysteine (Cys) residue "redox-

switch" for their activity. We hypothesized that the same mechanism is employed by RrpA

and RrpB. Protein sequence analysis revealed that RrpA has four Cys residues (Cys8, Cys13,

296 Cys33 and Cys113) and RrpB has one Cys residue (Cys8) within the protein.

298	We tested the effects of redox cycling compounds on recombinant $RrpA_{his6}$ and $RrpB_{his6}$
299	binding to their DNA substrates by EMSA (Fig. 6). Treatment of $RrpA_{his6}$ with 10 μ M of 2-
300	hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone or 50 μ M H ₂ O ₂ did not affect its ability to form a complex with
301	its DNA substrates; mdaB (Fig. 6a) and rrpA (Fig. 6b). Our RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR results
302	indicated that RrpB is a negative regulator of <i>nfrA</i> and <i>rrpB</i> , considering that the environment
303	within the cell is maintained in a reduced state, EMSAs were performed in the presence of a
304	reducing agent (dithiothreitol (DTT)) to mimic this condition in vitro. Interestingly, RrpB _{his6}
305	formed a higher complex (super-shift) with its substrates in the presence of DTT (Fig. 6c and
306	6d) and the addition of 25 μ M of H ₂ O ₂ attenuated this protein–DNA complex (Fig. 6c and
307	6d). This suggested that the de-repression mechanism of RrpB is mediated by redox
308	compounds.
309	
310	We investigated whether RrpA and RrpB Cys residues are modified after treatment with
311	redox compounds, in vitro. Recombinant proteins were incubated with 50 μ M of 2-hydroxy-
312	1,4-napthoquinone or H_2O_2 on $RrpA_{his6}$ and H_2O_2 on $RrpB_{his6}$ at room temperature for 30
313	mins and the proteins were analyzed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-
314	MS/MS). Total ion current (TIC) of the peptides was used to compare the treated samples to
315	the untreated samples (Table. 2).
316	
317	Analysis of $RrpA_{his6}$ treated with 2-hydroxy-1,4-napthoquinone or H_2O_2 showed a peptide
318	with a mass peak of 2460.1 Da and an m/z of 821.03^{3+} , an assigned loss of 34 Da was
319	detected on Cys8, corresponding to a dehydroalanine (Dha) modification and the second
320	modification was assigned with the addition of 32 Da, a di-oxidation (Sulfinic acid (Cys-

Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/jb on 11 October 2021 by 2a02:c7f:f09d:100:8cab:8af0:c4b6:e643.

- SO_2H)) of Cys 13. A doubly-charged peptide with a mass peak of 1110.6 Da and an m/z of 321
 - 556.30^{2+} was also detected in RrpA_{his6} treated with 2-hydroxy-1,4-napthoquinone, this 322

9

Journal of Bacteriology

325	1126.6 Da and an m/z of 564.30 ^{$2+$} , which was confirmed as a Dha modification on Cys33 ar
326	a single oxidation on Met28. Dha is a desulphurization event with the potential to destabilize
327	protein three-dimensional structure by disruption of disulfide bond formation (34). Sulfinic
328	acid (Cys-SO ₂ H) is stable and forms disulfide bonds with nearby Cys thiol groups, mediated
329	by ROS and oxidants, both Dha and sulfinic acid modifications are reversible (35). Cys113
330	RrpA _{his6} was not matched as the tryptic peptide contained only three residues and was below
331	the lower mass-to-charge fragmentation window set in the MS method.
332	
333	Analysis of $RrpB_{his6}$ treated with H_2O_2 identified a peptide with a mass peak of 1978.95 Da
334	and an m/z of 990.48^{2+} . This was identified as an addition of 64 Da and confirmed as an
335	irreversible (35) sulfur dioxide modification (Thiosulfonic acid (Cys-SO ₂ -SH)) at Cys8.
336	Thiosulfonic acid results from over-oxidation of Cys and is a unique byproduct of degraded
337	Cys-S-SO ₂ -Cys. Degradation of this disulfide bond also produces a Dha modified cysteine
338	(Fig. S4).
339	
340	Modifications to peptides in the untreated samples were also detected, possibly generated
341	upon sample preparation. PTM peptides with a lower TIC relative to the control were also
342	detected in the treated samples, as well as possible undesired non-functional amino acids. A
343	full identification table and peptide intensity values are presented in Table. S2.
344	

345 Sequence alignment (36) to other MarR family transcription regulators revealed conserved 346 Cys residues in RrpA, Cys13, and RrpB, Cys8 (Fig. 7a). To explore the role of Cys residues 347 in RrpA and RrpB further, we generated variants by substituting Cys with serine; RrpA_{Cys8Ser},

323	peptide contained a single Cys residue with a matched loss of 34 Da, confirmed as a Dha
324	modification on Cys33. RrpA _{his6} treated with H_2O_2 showed a peptide with a mass peak of
325	1126.6 Da and an m/z of 564.30 ^{$2+$} , which was confirmed as a Dha modification on Cys33 and
326	a single oxidation on Met28. Dha is a desulphurization event with the potential to destabilize
327	protein three-dimensional structure by disruption of disulfide bond formation (34). Sulfinic
328	acid (Cys-SO ₂ H) is stable and forms disulfide bonds with nearby Cys thiol groups, mediated
329	by ROS and oxidants, both Dha and sulfinic acid modifications are reversible (35). Cys113 in
330	$\operatorname{RrpA}_{his6}$ was not matched as the tryptic peptide contained only three residues and was below
331	the lower mass-to-charge fragmentation window set in the MS method.

ല്

348 $\operatorname{RrpA}_{\operatorname{Cys13Ser}}, \operatorname{RrpA}_{\operatorname{Cys33Ser}}, \operatorname{RrpA}_{\operatorname{Cys113Ser}}$ and $\operatorname{RrpB}_{\operatorname{Cys8Ser}}$. Non-reducing SDS-PAGE was used349to analyze migration of $\operatorname{RrpA}_{his6}$, $\operatorname{RrpB}_{his6}$ and their variants. $\operatorname{RrpA}_{his6}$ and its variants with the350exception of $\operatorname{RrpA}_{\operatorname{Cys13Ser}}$ migrated at the size of RrpA dimer (Fig. 7b). $\operatorname{RrpB}_{his6}$ reduced (0.5351 μ M DTT) also migrated at the size of RrpB dimer, however, $\operatorname{RrpB}_{his6}$ oxidized (50 μ M H₂O₂)352and $\operatorname{RrpB}_{\operatorname{Cys8Ser}}$ both migrated at the size of a monomer (Fig. 7b). These results indicate that353the conserved Cys residues in both proteins are critical for the dimeric forms.354

355 The ability of RrpA_{his6} and RrpB_{his6} variants to bind DNA substrates was tested by EMSA.

356 All RrpA variants were able to form protein-DNA complexes (Fig. 7c), with the exception of

357 the non-conserved RrpA_{Cys8Ser}. Similarly, RrpB mutation to the conserved sole Cys residue

358 Cys8 resulted in the inability of RrpB_{Cys8ser} to form protein-DNA complexes (**Fig. 7d**).

359

360 **Discussion**

361 We have unraveled the roles of two novel reductases, MdaB (modulator of drug activity B) 362 and NfrA (Cj1555c), that contribute to the survival and persistence of C. jejuni. mdaB and 363 *nfrA* are under the control of RrpA and RrpB, respectively, which are members of the MarR 364 family of DNA binding proteins. RrpA is highly conserved among C. jejuni strains, whilst 365 RrpB is predominant in the livestock-associated MLST clonal complex (10, 37), and are 366 prevalent in strains isolated from humans. In other organisms including *Helicobacter spp.*, 367 MdaB is a flavin-dependent NADPH-quinone reductase that has been suggested to fully 368 reduce quinones and subsequently prevents the generation of the highly reactive 369 semiquinones (38-40). NfrA is a NADPH-flavin reductase, and in other bacteria, flavin 370 reductases have been shown to have a role in iron bioavailability and maintain a supply of 371 flavin cofactors to proteins involved in cell homeostasis (41), but given that flavins are also

redox active and the control of *nfrA* by the redox responsive regulator RrpB, it seems more
likely that NfrA reduces flavins, this potentially prevents generation of toxic semiquinones.

375 Organisms with a complex lifestyle such as C. jejuni often possess MarR orthologs or 376 paralogs, which regulate genes in response to stress, including degradation of harmful 377 phenolic compounds (2, 30, 42). MarR homologs are usually in genomic loci that are 378 composed of divergently oriented genes encoding the transcriptional regulator and the 379 gene(s) under its control (43). Interestingly, mdaB and nfrA are located divergently oriented 380 upstream rrpA and rrpB, respectively. Analysis of DNA substrate interaction assays showed 381 RrpA and RrpB bind sequences with inverted repeats (IRs) upstream of the translation start 382 site of themselves and their target genes on the intergenic regions of the DNA, a common 383 feature of this regulator family (44, 45). The identified protected regions led us to speculate 384 that it is likely that the binding sites for RrpA are close enough to the promoter regions of 385 *mdaB* and *rrpA* for the RNA polymerase to initiate transcription, in contrast, RrpB binding 386 sites are distal from the RNA polymerase binding site, and RrpB repression mechanism is by 387 destabilizing the DNA open complex (43).

388

389 In C. jejuni, mdaB was reported to have a role in oxidative stress, yet the specific role for its 390 product has been elusive (3, 46, 47). We present evidence to show that both *mdaB* and its 391 regulator RrpA respond to quinones. The redox-active quinone compounds can be 392 competitively reduced to hydroquinone via a two-electron mechanism by NADPH-quinone 393 reductases (33, 38, 48). Semiquinone radicals are cytotoxic due to their ability to react with 394 molecular oxygen and in turn generate superoxide radicals (23). The two-electron transfer 395 pathway of quinones produces quinols, this two-step pathway minimizes cellular damage due 396 to the inability of quinols to cause oxidative stress. In Escherichia coli, quinols have been

Journal of Bacteriology

397

398	C. jejuni MdaB is a quinone reductase with broad substrate specificity, and treatment of C.
399	<i>jejuni</i> $\Delta m da B$ and $\Delta rrp A$ mutants with quinone showed reduction of growth compared to the
400	parental strain. In both the external environment and in the host and the gut, many organisms
401	synthesize toxic quinones (50) and derivatives that form core constituents of many
402	antimicrobial compounds (51-53). It is plausible that C. jejuni would come into contact with
403	these compounds. We propose that MdaB contributes to the protection of C. jejuni from
404	production of semiquinones by competing with the quinone one-electron reduction pathway,
405	as also suggested by Palyada et al. (26).
406	
407	The NADPH-flavin reductase described here is the product of <i>nfrA</i> gene, it belongs to the
408	family of flavin reductases that were first isolated from luminous marine bacteria (54, 55) and
409	from human erythrocytes (56). Given that it took a high concentration of riboflavin to have an
410	effect on growing C. jejuni $\Delta n frA$ mutant strain and NfrA reduces riboflavin at a much higher
411	rate than its 5' -phosphorylated form (FMN), which is produced by riboflavin kinase within
412	the cell, is suggestive that unidentified exogenous free flavins are its natural substrates. A
413	recent study has identified a chemical analogue of riboflavin that has antimicrobial activity.
414	Roseoflavin (8-demethyl-8-dimethylamino-riboflavin) is a broad-spectrum antibiotic
415	naturally produced by Streptomyces spp. and has been shown to be effective against several
416	bacterial species and protozoans (57). Import of this compound was shown to be mediated by
417	riboflavin transporters and cellular targets for roseoflavin included FMN riboswitches and
418	flavoproteins (58, 59). Many bacteria employ FMN riboswitches and all cells depend on the
419	activity of flavoproteins for homeostasis, so inhibition of these systems can be detrimental to
420	the cell. Similarly to other flavins, roseoflavin has the potential to generate highly toxic
421	reactive semiquinones (60). Thus, we speculate that NfrA reduces flavin compounds via the

shown to lower the levels of superoxide ions in cell membrane (49). Our results indicate that

422 two-electron reductant pathway, protecting *C. jejuni* against reactive flavo-semiquinones and423 flavin analogues.

424

425 The distribution of *mdaB* and *nfrA* in 374 *Campylobacter* spp. and 253 *Helicobacter* spp.

426 complete genome sequences was studied (Supplementary Table. S3a and Table. S3b).

427 *mdaB* was present in all 627 genome sequences analyzed despite the absence of *rrpA*. *nfrA* 428 was absent in *Helicobacter spp*. sequences analyzed, whilst in the majority *Campylobacter* 429 *spp.*, *nfrA* was predicted as incomplete. In the majority of *Campylobacter spp*. sequences that 430 lacked a complete *nfrA*, *rrpB* was either absent or partially present. This suggested that *mdaB* 431 is important in both organisms. Furthermore, *C. jejuni* strains that are less frequently isolated 432 in human infections lack a complete *nfrA*, this is indicative that these strains have an as yet 433 unidentified mechanism of flavin reduction.

434

435 RrpA and RrpB share conserved cysteines (Cys) with other MarR family transcription 436 regulators and in vitro post translational modification analysis indicated these Cys residues 437 are modified by oxidizing compounds. Our results showed the importance of the conserved 438 Cys13 in RrpA for dimerization but not for DNA binding. Studies have shown that MarR 439 transcription regulators form homodimers and their transcriptional regulation ability, but not 440 DNA binding ability, can depend on the dimeric form (30, 61). We speculate that RrpA 441 Cys13 is most likely important for transcription regulation. Mutation to the non-conserved 442 RrpA Cys8, led to its inability to bind DNA substrate possibly due to structure 443 destabilization. Further work will be needed to investigate the role of Cys 8 in RrpA structure. Similarly, RrpB_{Cys8Ser} was unable to dimerize or form protein-DNA complex with 444 445 its substrates, and interestingly treatment with redox cycling compound had the same effects 446 as the mutation to RrpB Cys8. In vitro PTM indicated RrpB Cys8 is modified to the

ഫ

Journal of Bacteriology

447 irreversible thiosulfonic acid, we propose that the de-repression mechanism of RrpB is due to 448 oxidation modification to Cys8. In E. coli, the SoxRS regulatory system detects and is 449 oxidized by redox cycling compounds such as quinones, and one of the members of the 450 SoxRS regulon is *mdaB* (62). From our work, it is now apparent that although C. *jejuni* has 451 long been known to lack soxRS genes, the RrpA and RrpB system described here is at least 452 partly functional analogous. A model for how we believe the regulatory proteins and 453 protective enzymes allow C. jejuni to combat quinone and flavin mediated oxidative stress is 454 shown in Fig. 8.

455

456 We also noted other genes were differentially regulated in our regulator mutants, and 457 although the expression levels were not necessarily related to functional importance, it is 458 possible that mutations in *rrpA* and *rrpB* may affect other pathways that are not investigated 459 here, in particular, ribosomal genes, autotransporters and amino acid metabolism. However, it 460 is interesting that expression of *leuA* was increased in the *rrpAB* double mutant. The 461 dehydratase enzymes (LeuCD) of the branched chain amino-acid biosynthesis pathway 462 contain labile Fe-S clusters and have long been known to be targets for ROS damage in E. 463 coli (63) and perhaps *leuA* upregulation reflects this.

464

Conclusion 465

466 MdaB and NfrA are reductases specific to their substrates and are under the control of the

467 redox regulators RrpA and RrpB, respectively. MdaB catalyzes the reduction of quinones,

468 whilst NfrA is a flavin reductase, thus it is possible that both enzymes contribute to C. jejuni

469 protection against potential reactive semiquinone species. We propose that possession of

470 these enzymes by C. *jejuni* is important during its *in vivo* and *ex vivo* life-cycle and could be

471 a significant factor for the persistence and prevalence of C. jejuni in the food chain.

472

473 Methods

474 Bacterial cultures and strains

475 Bacteria were stored using Protect bacterial preservers (Technical Service Consultants,

476 Heywood, U.K.) at - 80 °C. C. jejuni strains, were streaked on blood agar (BA) plates

477 containing Columbia agar base (Oxoid) supplemented with 7% (v/v) horse blood (TCS

478 Microbiology, UK) and Campylobacter Selective Supplement (Oxoid), and grown at 37 °C in

479 a microaerobic chamber (Don Whitley Scientific, UK), containing 85% N₂, 10% CO₂, and

480 5% O₂ for 48 hrs. *C. jejuni* strains were grown on Columbia blood agar (CBA) plates for a

481 further 16 hrs prior to use. Strain 11168H of Multilocus Sequence Type (MLST) clonal

482 complex ST-21, a hypermotile derivative of the original strain NCTC11168 that shows a

483 higher level of caeca colonization in a chick model (8) was used in this study. Construction of

484 mutants in which *rrpA* and *rrpB* have been inactivated to give isogenic mutants $\Delta rrpA$ and

485 $\Delta rrpB$ has been previously described (6, 7).

486

487 **Protein expression and purification**

488 Recombinant RrpA, RrpB and their variants RrpA_{Cys8Ser}, RrpA_{Cys13Ser}, RrpA_{Cys33Ser},

489 RrpA_{Cys113Ser}, RrpB_{Cys8Ser} and MdaB and NfrA were cloned into pET21a⁺ plasmid using

490 NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (New England Biolabs). The proteins were

491 overexpressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3). The cells were grown in LB broth containing

492 0.6% Glycerol, 0.05% glucose and 0.2% lactose and 100 μg/mL Ampicillin at 37 °C

493 overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at $4,000 \times g$ for 15 mins at 4°C and

494 resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 500 mM NaCl, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 5

495 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol containing EDTA-free Complete Protease Inhibitor Mixture (Roche))

496 for lysis. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 min at 4 °C. The

497	supernatant was incubated with Ni-NTA agarose nickel-charged resins (Qiagen) that had
498	been equilibrated in buffer A. The protein bound resin was washed with buffer A containing
499	15 mM imidazole and eluted with buffer A containing 400 mM imidazole. Primers used to
500	generate recombinant proteins are presented in Supplementary file 4 (Table. S4a).
501	
502	Gene expression by RNA-Seq and bioinformatics analysis
503	RNA-Seq was used to identify differentially expressed genes between wild type strains,
504	$\Delta rrpA$ and $\Delta rrpB$ mutants at mid-log (~6 hrs) of growth. C. jejuni 11168H wild type,
505	11168H Δ <i>rrpA</i> , 11168H Δ <i>rrpB</i> and 11168H Δ <i>rrpAB</i> were plated out on BA plates and
506	incubated at 37 °C under microaerobic conditions for 6 hrs, 25 ml of pre-incubated brucella
507	was inoculated with C. <i>jejuni</i> strains at an OD_{600nm} 0.1 and grown at 37 °C under
508	microaerobic conditions as described above. Transcription was stopped by RNA protect
509	(Qiagen), RNA was extracted by PureLink TM RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen), following
510	manufactures protocol. Ribosomal RNA was depleted using Ribominus (Invitrogen) and
511	libraries was prepared using TruSeq® Stranded mRNA (Illumina). Raw reads were obtained
512	from an Illumina MiSeq paired-end sequencing platform (Illumina). The paired-end reads
513	were trimmed and filtered using Sickle v1.200 (64), Bowtie2 (65) was used to map the reads
514	against the reference sequence; C. jejuni strains 11168H assembly GCA_900117385.1.
515	Cufflinks suite (66) was used to convert annotations from GFF to GTF format and Bedtools
516	(67) was used to generate transcript counts per samples. Statistical analysis was performed in
517	R using the combined data generated from the bioinformatics as well as meta data associated
518	with the study (multifactorial design). Adjusted p -value significance cut-off of 0.05 and log
519	fold change cut-off of >1.5 was used for multiple comparison.
520	
521	Real-time RT-qPCR

522 Expression of genes of interest were quantified by real-time RT-qPCR and normalized 523 against gyrA. A 1 µg volume of total RNA of each sample was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using RT² first strand kit (Qiagen) according to manufactures protocol. Quantification of 524 525 gene expression was achieved by real-time RT-qPCR using TaqMan primers and probes 526 created by the Assay-by-Design Service of Applied Biosystems (Table. S4b). Real-time RT-527 PCR was performed in 96-well plates using an ABI PRISM 7300 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and the relative gene expression for the different genes was calculated 528 from the crossing threshold (Ct) value according to the manufacturer's protocol ($^{2-}\Delta\Delta$ Ct) after 529 normalization using the gyrA endogenous control (68). 530

531

532 DNAse I footprinting and electrophoretic mobility shift assay

533 DNAse I footprinting was performed as previously described (29). Briefly, a 393 bp DNA 534 fragment was PCR amplified with primers modified with Fluorescein amidite (FAM) and 535 Hexachloro-fluorescein (HEX). Primers were used to amplify regions upstream of the 536 translation initiation sites of *rrpA* and *mdaB* (cj1546Ffam and cj1546Rhex), and for *rrpB* and 537 nfrA (cj1556Ffam and cj15556Rhex). PCR was performed for 30 cycles at the following 538 conditions: 95 °C for 60 sec, 58 °C for 60 sec, 72 °C for 60 sec. The FAM/HEX-labelled 539 probes were cleaned using QiAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and quantified with NanodropTM spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). For the DNase I footprinting assay, 500 ng 540 541 probes were incubated with varying concentration (from 20 μ g to 0 μ g) of RrpA_{his6} or 542 $RrpB_{his6}$ in a total volume of 40 µl in buffer containing (30 mM potassium glutamate, 1 mM 543 dithiothreitol (DTT), 5 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM CaCl₂, 0.125 mg/mL bovine serum 544 albumin (BSA), 30% glycerol in 10 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.5). After incubation for 20 min at 25 545 $^{\circ}$ C, 10 µl of 0.02 unit of DNase I (NEB) was added to the binding reaction and incubated for a further 5 mins at 25 °C. The DNAse I was inactivated by incubating the reaction at 74 °C 546

547	for 10 mins. Samples were cleaned and eluted in 20 μ l of dH ₂ O. The samples were run with
548	the 3730 DNA Analyzer and viewed with Gene mapper v6 (Applied Biosystems).
549	
550	For EMSA, purified RrpA _{his6} (0.05 μ g) was incubated with IRDye® 800 DNA fragments
551	spanning the identified binding regions upstream of the translation start sites of mdaB
552	(mdaBprobe) and <i>rrpA</i> (rrpAprobe) and RrpB _{his6} (0.05 μ g) with <i>nfrA</i> (nfrAprobe) and <i>rrpB</i>
553	(rrpBprobe), a 50 nm probe was used in a 20 µl reaction. Odyssey® Infrared EMSA kit (LI-
554	COR Biosciences) was used according to the manufacture instructions. Samples were loaded
555	in a pre-cast 6% Novex® DNA retardation gel (Life Technologies) and run at 4 °C and gels
556	were analyzed on a LI-COR Odyssey® imaging scanner (LI-COR Biosciences). List of
557	primers for DNAse I footprinting and probes for EMSA are found in Table. S4c.
558	
559	In vitro post translation modification analysis using LC-MS/MS
560	Recombinant (1 μ g) RrpA _{his6} was treated with 50 μ M 2-hydroxy-1,4-napthoquinone or 50
561	$\mu M~H_2O_2$ and $RrpB_{his6}$ was treated with 100 $\mu M~H_2O_2$ for 30 mins at room temperature.
562	Samples were resuspended in 500 µl of 50 mM tetraethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB;
563	Sigma), vortexed, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 1 min. Overnight trypsin digestion at 37
564	
501	°C was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post-
565	$^{\circ}$ C was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post- translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 µl of 2% acetonitrile in
565 566	^o C was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post- translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 μl of 2% acetonitrile in 0.05% formic acid, 10 μl of which was injected to be analyzed by LC-MS/MS.
565 566 567	 °C was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post-translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 μl of 2% acetonitrile in 0.05% formic acid, 10 μl of which was injected to be analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Chromatographic separation was performed using a U3000 UHPLC NanoLC system
565 566 567 568	 ^oC was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post- translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 μl of 2% acetonitrile in 0.05% formic acid, 10 μl of which was injected to be analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Chromatographic separation was performed using a U3000 UHPLC NanoLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were resolved by reversed phase chromatography on a
565 566 567 568 569	 ^oC was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post- translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 μl of 2% acetonitrile in 0.05% formic acid, 10 μl of which was injected to be analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Chromatographic separation was performed using a U3000 UHPLC NanoLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were resolved by reversed phase chromatography on a 75 μm C18 Pepmap column (50 cm length) using a four-step linear gradient of 80%
565 566 567 568 569 570	 ^oC was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post- translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 µl of 2% acetonitrile in 0.05% formic acid, 10 µl of which was injected to be analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Chromatographic separation was performed using a U3000 UHPLC NanoLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were resolved by reversed phase chromatography on a 75 µm C18 Pepmap column (50 cm length) using a four-step linear gradient of 80% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid. Raw mass spectrometry data was processed into peak list
565 566 567 568 569 570 571	 ^oC was performed without the reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues to protect post- translational modification. Samples were dried and resuspended in 40 μl of 2% acetonitrile in 0.05% formic acid, 10 μl of which was injected to be analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Chromatographic separation was performed using a U3000 UHPLC NanoLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were resolved by reversed phase chromatography on a 75 μm C18 Pepmap column (50 cm length) using a four-step linear gradient of 80% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid. Raw mass spectrometry data was processed into peak list files using Proteome Discoverer (Thermo Fischer Scientific; v2.2). The raw data file was

572 processed and searched using the Sequest search algorithm (69) against a bespoke database 573 containing the RrpA and RrpB protein sequences obtained from Uniprot (Q0P879 (RrpA) and 574 Q0P870 (RrpB)).

575

576 **Reductase assays**

577 An assay mixture consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 10 % v/v DMSO, 200 µM 578 NADPH and 2 μ M MdaB was sparged with oxygen-free nitrogen for 7 mins, followed by 579 incubation at 37 °C for 2 mins. Absorbance was recorded at 360 nm for 30 secs before the 580 addition of 0.2 mM substrate. NADPH consumption was recorded for a further 1.5 mins. 581 FMN and FAD were prepared in dH₂O, while riboflavin was prepared in 10 mM NaOH,

582 NfrA activity was determined at an absorbance of 340 nm and NAD(P)H consumption was

583 recorded for a further 3 mins. No protein controls were performed with all substrates.

584

585 **Growth measurement**

- 586 Brucella broth was pre-incubated at 37 °C under microaerobic conditions for 24 hrs.
- 587 Following overnight growth on BA, the bacteria cells were sub-cultured in 10 ml of the pre-

588 incubated broth in a 30 ml flask at an OD_{600nm} = 0.01 at 37 °C under microaerobic conditions

589 and grown to $OD_{600nm} = 0.4$. Compounds were added to the respective strains at a

590 concentration indicated and OD_{600nm} readings were performed at selected time points.

591

592 Statistics

593 Statistical analysis for RNA-Seq were performed in R using the combined data generated

594 from the bioinformatics as well as meta data associated with the study (multifactorial design).

- 595 Differentially expressed genes were considered significant when the *p*-value of five
- 596 independent biological experiments was below 0.05. For other experiments two-way

Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/jb on 11 October 2021 by 2a02:c7f:f09d:100:8cab:8af0:c4b6:e643.

ല്

597	ANOVA with Šídák multiple comparison test and student t-tests were performed to obtain p-
598	values using the software GraphPad Prism (Version 9, GraphPad Software, Inc.).
599	
600	Data availability: The data that support the RNA-seq findings of this study are openly
601	available in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, dataset
602	identifier: GSE174333. Data that supports the post translational modification finding of this
603	study are openly available in ProteomeXchange via the PRIDE database at
604	http://doi.org/10.6019/PXD025924, dataset identifier: PXD025924.
605	
606	Acknowledgments: We thank Nick Dorrell for his advice. We also thank Cadi Davies for
607	sharing her protocol on RNA-Seq and Teresa Cortes for her guidance to RNA-Seq analysis.
608	
609	Author contributions: F.N., conceptualized the study; F.N., A.E., A.T., D.B., R.G., and B.L.
610	performed the research; A.E., D.B., O.G., R.G., U. Z. I., and S.L., contributed analytic tools;
611	F.N., A.E., A.T., D.B., U.Z. I., D.S., B.L., S.L., and D.J.K., analyzed the data. F.N., A.T.,
612	S.L., B.L., D.J.K and B.W., wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.
613	
614	Funding: This work was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research
615	Council Institute Strategic Program BB/R012504/1 constituent project BBS/E/F/000PR10349
616	to B.W. A.J.T was supported by BBSRC grant BB/S014497/1 to D.J.K. U.Z.I. is supported
617	by NERC IRF NE/L011956/1. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and
618	interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.
619	

620 Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the

- 621 absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential
- 622 conflict of interest.

623

624 References

Igwaran A, Okoh AI. 2019. Human campylobacteriosis: A public health concern of global
importance. Heliyon 5:e02814-e02814.

- Brenner D, Krieg N, Staley J, Garrity G. 2005. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology,
 2nd edn, vol. 2B. New York: Springer.
- Flint A, Stintzi A, Saraiva LM. 2016. Oxidative and nitrosative stress defences of Helicobacter
 and Campylobacter species that counteract mammalian immunity. FEMS microbiology
 reviews 40:938-960.
- Atack JM, Harvey P, Jones MA, Kelly DJ. 2008. The Campylobacter jejuni thiol peroxidases
 Tpx and Bcp both contribute to aerotolerance and peroxide-mediated stress resistance but
 have distinct substrate specificities. Journal of bacteriology 190:5279-5290.
- 635 5. Baillon M-LA, Van Vliet AH, Ketley JM, Constantinidou C, Penn CW. 1999. An iron-regulated
 636 alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (AhpC) confers aerotolerance and oxidative stress resistance
 637 to the microaerophilic pathogen Campylobacter jejuni. Journal of Bacteriology 181:4798638 4804.
- 6396.Gundogdu O, Mills DC, Elmi A, Martin MJ, Wren BW, Dorrell N. 2011. The Campylobacter640jejuni transcriptional regulator Cj1556 plays a role in the oxidative and aerobic stress641response and is important for bacterial survival in vivo. Journal of bacteriology 193:4238-6424249.
- 643 7. Gundogdu O, da Silva DT, Mohammad B, Elmi A, Mills DC, Wren BW, Dorrell N. 2015. The
 644 Campylobacter jejuni MarR-like transcriptional regulators RrpA and RrpB both influence
 645 bacterial responses to oxidative and aerobic stresses. Frontiers in microbiology 6:724-724.
- 8. Jones MA, Marston KL, Woodall CA, Maskell DJ, Linton D, Karlyshev AV, Dorrell N, Wren BW,
 Barrow PA. 2004. Adaptation of Campylobacter jejuni NCTC11168 to high-level colonization
 of the avian gastrointestinal tract. Infection and immunity 72:3769-3776.
- 649 9. Karlyshev AV, Linton D, Gregson NA, Wren BW. 2002. A novel paralogous gene family
 650 involved in phase-variable flagella-mediated motility in Campylobacter jejuni. Microbiology
 651 148:473-480.
- 65210.Gundogdu O, da Silva DT, Mohammad B, Elmi A, Wren BW, van Vliet AHM, Dorrell N. 2016.653The Campylobacter jejuni Oxidative Stress Regulator RrpB Is Associated with a Genomic654Hypervariable Region and Altered Oxidative Stress Resistance. Frontiers in microbiology6557:2117-2117.

- 65611.Newman DJ, Cragg GM. 2016. Natural products as sources of new drugs from 1981 to 2014.657Journal of natural products 79:629-661.
- Kurosu M, Begari E. 2010. Vitamin K2 in Electron Transport System: Are Enzymes Involved in
 Vitamin K2 Biosynthesis Promising Drug Targets? Molecules 15:1531-1553.
- Kumagai Y, Koide S, Taguchi K, Endo A, Nakai Y, Yoshikawa T, Shimojo N. 2002. Oxidation of
 proximal protein sulfhydryls by phenanthraquinone, a component of diesel exhaust
 particles. Chemical research in toxicology 15:483-489.

663 664	14.	McDonald T, Holland N, Skibola C, Duramad P, Smith M. 2001. Hypothesis: phenol and hydroquinone derived mainly from diet and gastrointestinal flora activity are causal factors
665		in leukemia. Leukemia 15:10-20.
666 667	15.	Monks TJ, Hanzlik RP, Cohen GM, Ross D, Graham DG. 1992. Quinone chemistry and toxicity. Toxicology and applied pharmacology 112:2-16.

- 66816.O'brienP.1991.Molecularmechanisms of quinone cytotoxicity.Chemico-biological669interactions 80:1-41.
- Armstrong W, Spink WW, Kahnke J. 1943. Antibacterial Effects of Quinones. Proceedings of
 the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 53:230-234.
- 672 18. Cohen GM, d'Arcy Doherty M. 1987. Free radical mediated cell toxicity by redox cycling
 673 chemicals. Br J Cancer Suppl 8:46-52.
- 674 19. Mansoorabadi SO, Thibodeaux CJ, Liu H-w. 2007. The diverse roles of flavin coenzymes--675 nature's most versatile thespians. The Journal of organic chemistry 72:6329-6342.
- 67620.Kao Y-T, Saxena C, He T-F, Guo L, Wang L, Sancar A, Zhong D. 2008. Ultrafast dynamics of677flavins in five redox states. Journal of the American Chemical Society 130:13132-13139.
- 678 21. Di Francesco AM, Ward TH, Butler J. 2004. Diaziridinylbenzoquinones. Methods in enzymology 382:174-193.
- Tomonori Suzuki AA, Shinji Kawasaki, Masataka Uchino, Etsuo Yoshimura, Akio Watanabe,
 Ken Kitano, Daichi Mochizuki, Kouji Takeda, Junichi Satoh, Shinya Kimata and Youichi
 Niimura. 2020. Free Flavin Participates in Iron and Also Oxygen Metabolism in Bacteria.
 Journal of Bacteriology & Parasitology 11.
- 684 23. Bolton JL, Trush MA, Penning TM, Dryhurst G, Monks TJ. 2000. Role of quinones in toxicology. Chem Res Toxicol 13:135-60.
- Chaiyen P, Fraaije MW, Mattevi A. 2012. The enigmatic reaction of flavins with oxygen.
 Trends Biochem Sci 37:373-80.

68825.Hong Y, Wang G, Maier RJ. 2008. The NADPH quinone reductase MdaB confers oxidative689stress resistance to Helicobacter hepaticus. Microbial pathogenesis 44:169-174.

- 69026.Palyada K, Sun Y-Q, Flint A, Butcher J, Naikare H, Stintzi A. 2009. Characterization of the691oxidative stress stimulon and PerR regulon of Campylobacter jejuni. BMC genomics 10:481.
- Kyuma T, Kizaki H, Ryuno H, Sekimizu K, Kaito C. 2015. 16S rRNA methyltransferase KsgA
 contributes to oxidative stress resistance and virulence in Staphylococcus aureus. Biochimie
 119:166-174.
- 28. Zhang-Akiyama Q-M, Morinaga H, Kikuchi M, Yonekura S-I, Sugiyama H, Yamamoto K, Yonei
 5. 2009. KsgA, a 16S rRNA adenine methyltransferase, has a novel DNA glycosylase/AP lyase
 activity to prevent mutations in Escherichia coli. Nucleic acids research 37:2116-2125.
- 69829.Sivapragasam S, Pande A, Grove A. 2015. A recommended workflow for DNase I footprinting699using a capillary electrophoresis genetic analyzer. Analytical Biochemistry 481:1-3.
- 700 30. Grove A. 2013. MarR family transcription factors. Current biology 23:R142-R143.
- 70131.Ryan A, Kaplan E, Nebel JC, Polycarpou E, Crescente V, Lowe E, Preston GM, Sim E. 2014.702Identification of NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase activity in azoreductases from P.703aeruginosa: azoreductases and NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductases belong to the same FMN-704dependent superfamily of enzymes. PLoS One 9:e98551.
- 70532.Hayashi M, Ohzeki H, Shimada H, Unemoto T. 1996. NADPH-specific quinone reductase is706induced by 2-methylene-4-butyrolactone in Escherichia coli. Biochim Biophys Acta 1273:165-70770.

- 70833.Wang G, Maier RJ. 2004. An NADPH quinone reductase of Helicobacter pylori plays an709important role in oxidative stress resistance and host colonization. Infection and immunity71072:1391-1396.
- 34. Bardwell JC. 2004. The dance of disulfide formation. Nature structural & molecular biology11:582-583.

713 35. Kim HJ, Ha S, Lee HY, Lee KJ. 2015. ROSics: chemistry and proteomics of cysteine 714 modifications in redox biology. Mass spectrometry reviews 34:184-208.

- Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN, Potter
 SC, Finn RD, Lopez R. 2019. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.
 Nucleic acids research 47:W636-W641.
- 718 37. Champion OL, Gaunt MW, Gundogdu O, Elmi A, Witney AA, Hinds J, Dorrell N, Wren BW.
 719 2005. Comparative phylogenomics of the food-borne pathogen Campylobacter jejuni reveals
 720 genetic markers predictive of infection source. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 721 Sciences of the United States of America 102:16043.
- Adams MA, Jia Z. 2006. Modulator of drug activity B from Escherichia coli: crystal structure
 of a prokaryotic homologue of DT-diaphorase. J Mol Biol 359:455-65.
- 72439.Adams MA, Iannuzzi P, Jia Z. 2005. MdaB from Escherichia coli: cloning, purification,725crystallization and preliminary X-ray analysis. Acta crystallographica Section F, Structural726biology and crystallization communications 61:235-238.
- Wang Z, Li L, Dong YH, Su XD. 2014. Structural and biochemical characterization of MdaB
 from cariogenic Streptococcus mutans reveals an NADPH-specific quinone oxidoreductase.
 Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 70:912-21.
- Sepúlveda Cisternas I, Salazar JC, García-Angulo VA. 2018. Overview on the Bacterial Iron Riboflavin Metabolic Axis. Frontiers in microbiology 9:1478-1478.
- Loi VV, Busche T, Tedin K, Bernhardt J, Wollenhaupt J, Huyen NTT, Weise C, Kalinowski J,
 Wahl MC, Fulde M. 2018. Redox-sensing under hypochlorite stress and infection conditions
 by the Rrf2-family repressor HypR in Staphylococcus aureus. Antioxidants & redox signaling
 29:615-636.
- 43. Deochand DK, Grove A. 2017. MarR family transcription factors: dynamic variations on a common scaffold. Critical reviews in biochemistry and molecular biology 52:595-613.
- Otani H, Stogios PJ, Xu X, Nocek B, Li S-N, Savchenko A, Eltis LD. 2015. The activity of CouR, a
 MarR family transcriptional regulator, is modulated through a novel molecular mechanism.
 Nucleic Acids Research 44:595-607.
- 74145.Fiorentino G, Ronca R, Cannio R, Rossi M, Bartolucci S. 2007. MarR-Like Transcriptional742Regulator Involved in Detoxification of Aromatic Compounds in Sulfolobus743solfataricus. Journal of Bacteriology 189:7351.
- Guccione E, Kendall J, Hitchcock A, Garg N, White M, Mulholland F, Poole R, Kelly D. 2017.
 Transcriptome and proteome dynamics in chemostat culture reveal how Campylobacter jejuni modulates metabolism, stress responses and virulence factors upon changes in oxygen availability: Global oxygen responses of C. jejuni. Environmental Microbiology 19.
- Flint A, Sun Y-Q, Butcher J, Stahl M, Huang H, Stintzi A. 2014. Phenotypic screening of a targeted mutant library reveals Campylobacter jejuni defenses against oxidative stress.
 Infection and immunity 82:2266-2275.
- 75148.Adams MA, Jia Z. 2005. Structural and biochemical evidence for an enzymatic quinone redox752cycle in Escherichia coli: identification of a novel quinol monooxygenase. J Biol Chem753280:8358-63.
- 49. Søballe B, Poole RK. 2000. Ubiquinone limits oxidative stress in Escherichia coli. Microbiology
 146:787-796.
- 75650.Babula P, Adam V, Havel L, Kizek R. 2009. Noteworthy secondary metabolites757naphthoquinones-their occurrence, pharmacological properties and analysis. Current758Pharmaceutical Analysis 5:47-68.
- Atkinson DJ, Brimble MA. 2015. Isolation, biological activity, biosynthesis and synthetic
 studies towards the rubromycin family of natural products. Natural product reports 32:811840.
- 76252.Carcamo-Noriega EN, Sathyamoorthi S, Banerjee S, Gnanamani E, Mendoza-Trujillo M, Mata-763Espinosa D, Hernández-Pando R, Veytia-Bucheli JI, Possani LD, Zare RN. 2019. 1,4-

- 767 53. Oka S. 1962. Mechanism of Antimicrobial Effect of Quinone Compounds: Part III. Inactivation
 768 of p-Benzoquinone by its Addition Reaction with Amino Compounds in Culture MediumPart
 769 IV. Germicidal Effect and Growth Inhibiting Effect. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry
 770 26:500-514.
- 77154.GERLO E, CHARLIER J. 1975. Identification of NADH-specific and NADPH-specific FMN772reductases in Beneckea harveyi. European journal of biochemistry 57:461-467.
- Jablonski E, DeLuca M. 1977. Purification and properties of the NADH and NADPH specific
 FMN oxidoreductases from Beneckea harveyi. Biochemistry 16:2932-2936.
- 56. Yubisui T, Takeshita M. 1980. Characterization of the purified NADH-cytochrome b5
 reductase of human erythrocytes as a FAD-containing enzyme. Journal of Biological
 Chemistry 255:2454-2456.
- 778 57. Mora-Lugo R, Stegmüller J, Mack M. 2019. Metabolic engineering of roseoflavin-779 overproducing microorganisms. Microbial Cell Factories 18:146.
- 58. Langer S, Hashimoto M, Hobl B, Mathes T, Mack M. 2013. Flavoproteins are potential targets
 for the antibiotic roseoflavin in Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology 195:4037-4045.
- Wang H, Mann PA, Xiao L, Gill C, Galgoci AM, Howe JA, Villafania A, Barbieri CM, Malinverni
 JC, Sher X. 2017. Dual-targeting small-molecule inhibitors of the Staphylococcus aureus FMN
 riboswitch disrupt riboflavin homeostasis in an infectious setting. Cell chemical biology
 24:576-588. e6.
- 786 60. Muller F. 2019. Chemistry and Biochemistry of Flavoenzymes: Volume III. CRC Press.
- Hillion M, Antelmann H. 2015. Thiol-based redox switches in prokaryotes. Biological
 chemistry 396:415-444.

Gu M, Imlay JA. 2011. The SoxRS response of Escherichia coli is directly activated by redox cycling drugs rather than by superoxide. Molecular microbiology 79:1136-1150.

- Jang S, Imlay JA. 2007. Micromolar intracellular hydrogen peroxide disrupts metabolism by
 damaging iron-sulfur enzymes. Journal of Biological Chemistry 282:929-937.
- 79364.Joshi N, Fass J. 2011. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for794FastQ files (Version 1.33)[Software].
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods9:357.
- Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, Van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ,
 Pachter L. 2010. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated
 transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nature biotechnology 28:511.
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26:841-842.
- 80268.Ritz M, Garenaux A, Berge M, Federighi M. 2009. Determination of rpoA as the most suitable803internal control to study stress response in C. jejuni by RT-qPCR and application to oxidative804stress. J Microbiol Methods 76:196-200.
- 805 69. Eng JK, McCormack AL, Yates JR. 1994. An approach to correlate tandem mass spectral data
 806 of peptides with amino acid sequences in a protein database. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom
 807 5:976-89.

Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/jb on 11 October 2021 by 2a02:c7f:f09d:100:8cab:8af0:c4b6:e643

- 80870.Wösten MM, Boeve M, Koot MG, van Nuenen AC, van der Zeijst BA. 1998. Identification of
Campylobacter jejuni promoter sequences. Journal of bacteriology 180:594-599.
- 810

811

812

ല്

Figure. 1. Relative gene expression of a) mdaB; b) nfrA; c) capA; and d) rsmA. Expression of the genes were determined by real-time RT-PCR and are displayed relative to the wild type expression, after normalization with gyrA. Data presented is the mean value of at least 3 independent experiments performed on different days, error bars indicate S.D. *p \leq 0.05; paired Student t-test was used; **p \leq 0.01, ***p \leq 0.001.

819

820 Figure. 2. Electropherograms of fluorescent dye-labelled DNA fragments. Reduced

821 electropherograms signals indicate protection regions by $RrpA_{his6}$ upstream of **a**) mdaB and 822 **b**) *rrpA*. Protection regions by RrpB_{his6} upstream of **c**) *rrpB*. (Region within the lines indicate 823 protected regions, blue and green electropherograms indicate FAM and Hex fluorescently 824 labelled DNA fragments, respectively. The electropherograms are presented as arbitrary 825 scale). Panels **d** and **e**) show the protected regions by $RrpA_{his6}$ (grey highlight) including the 826 inverted repeat sequence (bold and underlined), upstream of rrpA and mdaB transcription 827 start sites, panel f) shows the protected region by RrpB_{his6} (grey highlight) upstream *rrpB* 828 (inverted repeat sequences are bold and underlined) and panel \mathbf{g}) sequence alignment of rrpB829 protected region and the region upstream *nfrA* are matched (red asterisks). Nucleotides highlighted in green indicate C. jejuni ribosomal biding site (70) and yellow highlight 830 831 indicates gene translation start site. Electropherograms are representative of three

- 832 experiments.
- 833

Figure. 3. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay. EMSA was conducted to confirm RrpA_{his6}
and RrpB_{his6} DNA binding activity; RrpA_{his6} (0.05 μg) binds upstream a) *mdaB* and RrpB_{his6}
(0.05 μg) binds upstream b) *nfrA*. RrpA_{his6} binds upstream c) *rrpA*; and RrpB_{his6} binds
upstream d) *rrpB*; Binding is indicated by shift of band (red arrows). IRDye® 800 DNA
fragments were used and imaged with LICOR.

ഫ

840	Figure 4. <i>mdaB</i> and nfrA respond to structurally diverse quinones and flavins.
841	Expression levels of a) <i>mdaB</i> and <i>rrpA</i> ; b) <i>mdaB</i> in $\Delta rrpA$ mutant strain at 15 mins after
842	treatment with 100 μ M of quinones; and c) <i>nfrA</i> and <i>rrpB</i> at 15 mins after treatment with 100
843	μM of flavins. Growth in brucella broth was determined by measuring OD_{600nm} every 15
844	mins after addition of d) 100 μ M 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone to 11168H, $\Delta rrpA$ and
845	$\Delta m daB$ strains and e) 500 µM riboflavin to 11168H, $\Delta rrpB$ and $\Delta n frA$ strains at OD600 _{nm} =
846	~0.45. Cell viability was determined at 60 mins and 120 mins after treatment with f) 100 μ M
847	of 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone and g) 500 μ M of Riboflavin. Gene expression was
848	determined by real-time RT-qPCR after treatment with compounds and is displayed relative
849	to the value of the control expression, after normalization with gyrA expression. The values
850	are the means of at least three independent experiments. Error bars = SD., $*p \le 0.05$,
851	** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$.
852	
853	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV-visible
853 854	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the
853 854 855	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the magnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purified
853 854 855 856	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the magnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purified MdaB _{his6} and NADPH (average of triplicates); c) Specific activity of MdaB _{his6} with quinone
853 854 855 856 857	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the magnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purified MdaB _{his6} and NADPH (average of triplicates); c) Specific activity of MdaB _{his6} with quinone substrates calculated from initial rate (arrow indicate <0); d) Riboflavin reductase activity of
853 854 855 856 857 858	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the magnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purified MdaB _{his6} and NADPH (average of triplicates); c) Specific activity of MdaB _{his6} with quinone substrates calculated from initial rate (arrow indicate <0); d) Riboflavin reductase activity of purified NrfA _{his6} showing preference for NADPH over NADH; e) Specific activity of
853 854 855 856 857 858 859	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV-visiblespectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows themagnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purifiedMdaB _{his6} and NADPH (average of triplicates); c) Specific activity of MdaB _{his6} with quinonesubstrates calculated from initial rate (arrow indicate <0); d) Riboflavin reductase activity of
853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the magnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purified MdaB _{his6} and NADPH (average of triplicates); c) Specific activity of MdaB _{his6} with quinone substrates calculated from initial rate (arrow indicate <0); d) Riboflavin reductase activity of purified NrfA _{his6} showing preference for NADPH over NADH; e) Specific activity of NrfA _{his6} with flavin substrates and NADPH; f) Direct measurement of riboflavin reduction by NrfA _{his6} and NADPH anaerobically by following the riboflavin absorbance maximum of 445
 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 	Figure 5. Reductase activity of purified recombinant MdaB and NfrA. a) UV–visible spectrum of MdaB showing characteristic flavin cofactor absorbance peaks, inset shows the magnified view of the absorption spectra; b) Traces of quinone reductase assay with purified MdaB _{his6} and NADPH (average of triplicates); c) Specific activity of MdaB _{his6} with quinone substrates calculated from initial rate (arrow indicate <0); d) Riboflavin reductase activity of purified NrfA _{his6} showing preference for NADPH over NADH; e) Specific activity of NrfA _{his6} with flavin substrates and NADPH; f) Direct measurement of riboflavin reduction by NrfA _{his6} and NADPH anaerobically by following the riboflavin absorbance maximum of 445 m. All assays were performed at pH ~7.5.

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

9

Journal of Bacteriology

871	RrpAhis6, RrpBhis6 and their variants were subjected to non-reducing SDS-PAGE analysis;
872	c) RrpA and RrpB variants with mutation to cysteine residues were generated and EMSAs
873	were conducted to determine their role DNA substrate binding; e) RrpA _{cys8Ser} , RrrpA _{cys13Ser} ,
874	$RrpA_{cys33Ser}$, $RrpA_{cys113Ser}$ and f) $RrpB_{cys8Ser}$. Approximately 0.05 ng of RrpA and 0.05 ng of
875	RrpB variants in the presence of 50 nM DNA substrate was used (the red arrows indicate
876	protein-DNA substrate complexes). Clustal Omega was used for multiple sequence
877	alignment, yellow highlight with red asterisk indicate conserved Cys across all MarR family
878	transcription regulators, grey highlight indicates non-conserved Cys in RrpA.
879	
880	Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA by
880 881	Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA by RrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by other
880 881 882	Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA byRrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by otherbacteria in the intestinal microbiota or other environments encountered by <i>C. jejuni</i> enter the
880 881 882 883	 Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA by RrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by other bacteria in the intestinal microbiota or other environments encountered by <i>C. jejuni</i> enter the cell where they easily abstract single electrons from the flavins or metal centers of cellular
880 881 882 883 884	Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA byRrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by otherbacteria in the intestinal microbiota or other environments encountered by <i>C. jejuni</i> enter thecell where they easily abstract single electrons from the flavins or metal centers of cellularredox enzymes (62) to form partially reduced semiquinones (SQ) or flavo-semiquinones
 880 881 882 883 884 885 	 Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA by RrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by other bacteria in the intestinal microbiota or other environments encountered by <i>C. jejuni</i> enter the cell where they easily abstract single electrons from the flavins or metal centers of cellular redox enzymes (62) to form partially reduced semiquinones (SQ) or flavo-semiquinones (FSQ). In the presence of molecular oxygen, these can lead to the formation of toxic
 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 	 Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA by RrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by other bacteria in the intestinal microbiota or other environments encountered by <i>C. jejuni</i> enter the cell where they easily abstract single electrons from the flavins or metal centers of cellular redox enzymes (62) to form partially reduced semiquinones (SQ) or flavo-semiquinones (FSQ). In the presence of molecular oxygen, these can lead to the formation of toxic superoxide and other ROS (hydrogen peroxide), which may be dealt with by superoxide
 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 	Figure 8. Model for the activation of expression and function of MdaB and NfrA byRrpA and RrpB. Structurally diverse quinones (Q) and flavins (F) produced by otherbacteria in the intestinal microbiota or other environments encountered by <i>C. jejuni</i> enter thecell where they easily abstract single electrons from the flavins or metal centers of cellularredox enzymes (62) to form partially reduced semiquinones (SQ) or flavo-semiquinones(FSQ). In the presence of molecular oxygen, these can lead to the formation of toxicsuperoxide and other ROS (hydrogen peroxide), which may be dealt with by superoxidedismutase, catalase and thiol peroxidases (AhpC, Tpx and Bcp). Elevated ROS leads to

Figure 6. The effect of redox cycling compounds on protein-DNA complex. EMSA was

used to test the effect of 10 µM 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone and 50 µM H₂O₂ on 0.05 µg

0.05 μ g of RrpB_{his6} binding to its substrates c) *nfrA* and d) *rrpB*. Binding is indicated by shift

of RrpA_{his6} binding to its DNA substrates **a**) *mdaB* and **b**) *rrpA*; and the effect of H_2O_2 on

Figure 7. Identification of conserved cysteine residues in RrpA and RrpB proteins. a)

Sequence alignment of RrpA and RrpB with other MarR family transcriptional regulators; b)

of band (red arrows). IRDye® 800 DNA fragments were used.

888	oxidation of Cys13 of RrpA and Cys8 of RrpB, although the exact species which effects this
889	oxidation is not known. It is also possible that Q/F- Cys adduct formation (by Michael
890	addition) may occur (dashed line). Oxidized/modified RrpA C13 to C13-SO ₂ H leads to an
891	increased activation of the divergently transcribed gene <i>mdaB</i> , leading to production of
892	MdaB, which is a quinone reductase that can fully reduce quinones to quinols (HQ) by two-
893	electron transfer from NADPH. RrpB is a negative regulator of the divergently transcribed
894	nfrA gene, encoding an NADPH dependent flavin reductase (NfrA). We presume that
895	oxidation/modification of RrpB C8-SH produces a C8-SO ₂ -SH and C8-Dha (dehydroalanine)
896	which destabilizes the dimer and in turn leads to the de-repression of nfrA expression,
897	allowing NfrA to catalyze the formation of fully reduced flavin hydroquinone (FHQ). The
898	two-electron transfer catalyzed by both MdaB and NfrA avoids the semiquinone-mediated
899	production of ROS. It is not known if or how cycling back to the oxidized forms occurs. This
900	mechanism leads to a decrease in the toxic threat from exogenous quinones and flavins, but it
901	comes at the expense of a drain on the cellular NADPH pool.

902	Table 1. Significantly differentially expres	sed genes at mid-log growth phase in	the mutant strains ∆ <i>rrpA</i> , ∆ <i>rrpB</i> and ∆ <i>rrpAB</i>
-----	--	--------------------------------------	---

Strain	Gene name	Product	Function	Log ² Fold
11168H ∆ <i>rrpA</i>				
	cj1677 (capA)	CapA - Campylobacter adhesion protein	Adhesion protein	2.17
	cj1545c (mdaB)	MdaB -NAD(P)H-quinone reductase	Putative reductase	-1.98*
11168H ∆ <i>rrpB</i>				
	cj1556 (rrpB)	RrpB - MarR-like transcriptional regulator	Putative transcriptional regulator	3.85
	cj1555c (nfrA)	NfrA - Putative NAD(P)-dependent reductase	Putative reductase	2.81
	cj1677 (capA)	CapA	Campylobacter adhesion protein A	1.88
11168H <i>ArrpAB</i>				
	cj1556 (rrpB)	RrpB	Putative transcriptional regulator	3.17
	cj1555c (nfrA)	NfrA - Putative NAD(P)-dependent reductase	Putative reductase	2.50
	cj1719c (leuA)	LeuA - 2-isopropylmalate synthase	Amino acid biosynthesis	1.98
	cj1546 (rrpA)	RrpA - MarR-like transcriptional regulator	Putative transcriptional regulator	1.77
	cj1454c (rimO)	RimO - methylthiotransferase	Ribosomal protein methylthiotransferase	1.69
	cj1710c (rnJ)	Rnj - Ribonuclease J	An RNase that has 5'-3' exonuclease and possibly endonuclease activity	1.69
	cj1711c (ksgA)	KsgA/RsmA - methyltransferase	Ribosomal RNA small subunit methyltransferase A	1.60
	cj0724	Putative Molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis protein	Uncharacterized	-2.01
	cj0265c (torB)	Cytochrome C-type heme-binding periplasmic protein	Putative cytochrome <i>C</i> -type heme- binding periplasmic protein	-1.50

903 * Individual statistical test indicated gene was upregulated (p<0.05).

For the complete dataset for 11168H and $\Delta rrpA$, $\Delta rrpB$ and $\Delta rrpAB$ mutants see **Table. S1a**, **Table. S1b** and **Table. S1c**.

905 906 907 Table 2. PTM identification following database searching and manual verification of the matched fragmentation spectra for RrpAhis6 and $RrpB_{his6}$ proteins.

Sample	Peptide	m/z	Charge	Mass	Residue	TIC intensity
RrpA (Control)						
• • •	⁴ ENSPC _{Dha} NFEEC _{diox} GFNYTLALINGK ²⁵	821.03	3	2460.1	Cys8; Cys13	208300
	²⁸ MSILYC _{Dha} LFR ³⁶	556.30	2	1110.6	Cys33	204200
	²⁸ M _{ox} SILYC _{Dha} LFR ³⁶	564.30	2	1126.6	Met28; Cys33	110600
RrpA (2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone)						
	⁴ ENSPC _{Dha} NFEEC _{diox} GFNYTLALINGK ²⁵	821.03	3	2460.1	Cys8; Cys13	1119000
	²⁸ MSILYC _{Dha} LFR ³⁶	556.30	2	1110.6	Cys33	877900
RrpA (H ₂ O ₂)						
	⁴ ENSPC _{Dha} NFEEC _{diox} GFNYTLALINGK ²⁵	821.03	3	2460.1	Cys8; Cys13	849400
	²⁸ M _{ox} SILYC _{Dha} LFR ³⁶	564.30	2	1126.6	Met28; Cys33	259400
RrpB (Control)					2	
L ` /	⁴ YHSLC _{sulfdiox} PIETTLNLIGNK ²⁰	990.48	2	1979	Cys8	37140
RrpB (H ₂ O ₂)					•	
	4YHSLC _{sulfdiox} PIETTLNLIGNK20	990.48	2	1979	Cvs8	82780

908 909

Complete data set is presented in Table. S2. 910

a