## **Open Access**



# Correlates of HIV self-testing among female sex workers in China: implications for expanding **HIV** screening

Cheng Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Ya-Jie Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Joseph D. Tucker<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Ming-Zhou Xiong<sup>1,2</sup>, Hong-Yun Fu<sup>6</sup>, M. Kumi Smith<sup>7</sup>, Wei-Ming Tang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jason J. Ong<sup>4,8</sup>, He-Ping Zheng<sup>1,2</sup> and Bin Yang<sup>1,2</sup>

## Abstract

Background: Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) self-testing may help improve test uptake among female sex workers. China has implemented many HIV self-testing programs among men who have sex with men, creating an opportunity for promotion among female sex workers. However, there is a limited literature on examining HIV selftesting among female sex workers. This study aimed to examine HIV self-testing experiences and its determinants among female sex workers in China.

Methods: A venue-based, cross-sectional study was conducted among Chinese female sex workers in 2019. Participants completed a survey including social-demographic characteristics, sexual behaviors, and HIV self-testing history, the distribution of which were analyzed using descriptive analysis. Multivariable logistic regression was conducted to identify associations with HIV self-testing.

Results: Among 1287 Chinese female sex workers, 1072 (83.3%, 95% confidence interval [CI] 81.2-85.3%) had ever tested for HIV, and 103 (8.0%, 95% CI 6.6–9.6%) had ever used HIV self-testing. More than half reported that the selftest was their first HIV test (59.2%, 61/103), around one-fifth reported HIV self-testing results influenced the price of sex (21.4%, 22/103). A minority of individuals reported ever experiencing pressure to undertake HIV self-testing (6.8%, 7/103). After adjusting for covariates, HIV self-testing was positively associated with receiving anal sex in the past month (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 2.2, 95% Cl 1.4–3.5), using drugs before or during sex (aOR = 2.8, 95% Cl 1.8–4.5), injecting drugs in the past 6 months (aOR = 2.6, 95% Cl 1.2–6.0), being diagnosed with other sexually transmitted infections (aOR = 1.6, 95% Cl 1.0–2.5), tested for other sexually transmitted infections in the past six months (aOR= 3.4, 95% Cl 2.1–5.5), ever tested in the hospital (aOR = 3.4, 95% Cl 2.0–5.6), and ever tested in the community (aOR= 1.5,95% CI 1.2-1.9).

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that HIV self-testing could expand overall HIV testing uptake, increase HIV testing frequency, reach sub-groups of high-risk female sex workers and has limited potential harms among female sex workers. HIV self-testing should be incorporated among Chinese female sex workers as a complement to facility-based HIV testing services.

Keywords: HIV, Self-testing, Female sex workers, China

\*Correspondence: wangcheng090705@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Dermatology Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



## Background

An estimated 85% of all HIV infections are transmitted through heterosexual sex worldwide [1]. Female sex workers are at high risk of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and other sexually transmitted infections

© The Author(s) 2020. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. (STI) acquisition [2]. The World Health Organization recommends frequent HIV testing in female sex workers to increase engagement in HIV prevention services and reduce risk of onward transmission [3]. However, HIV testing uptake remains low among female sex workers in low- and middle- income countries (LMIC) [4, 5]. In China, studies suggest that approximately half of female sex workers remain unaware of their HIV serostatus [6, 7].

Although facility-based HIV testing have helped increase testing coverage among female sex workers in China [7, 8], many barriers persist. These include concerns about confidentiality of status [9], lack of privacy [7], fear of social stigma and condemnation [10], lack of health care providers and inconvenient testing systems [11].

Self-testing for HIV may help improve test uptake among female sex workers. Self-testing is the process whereby a person collects a specimen, performs the test, and interprets the result themselves. Studies in female sex workers in Uganda [12], Kenya [13], Malawi [14], and Zambia [15] have shown that HIV self-testing can increase the frequency of HIV testing and safer sex practices, decrease stigma associated with HIV testing, provide a user-friendly, rapid, accurate and private setting of testing, as well as an opportunity for decentralized HIV testing and alternative service delivery models. However, there have been few studies examining HIV self-testing among female sex workers in countries outside of sub-Saharan Africa, including China [16–18]. Currently, a total of 59 countries globally have policies supporting using HIV self-testing among key populations [19]. In China, highly sensitive and specific HIV self-test kits are available through community-based organizations or e-commerce platforms [11]. China has implemented many HIV self-testing programs and gained experience among men who have sex with men (MSM) [20, 21], creating an opportunity to promote HIV self-testing among female sex workers. The aim of this study was to examine HIV self-testing experiences and its determinants among female sex workers in China.

## Methods

## Study design and participants

A venue-based, cross-sectional study was conducted in eight cities (Beijing, Tianjin, Shenzhen, Kunming, Jiaozhou, Yunfu, Xiangyang and Longnan) within seven provinces in China between August 17 and October 17, 2019. These eight cities were selected based on local capacity and the availability of ongoing public health outreach programs for female sex workers.

We partnered with eight local female sex workers community-based organizations (CBO) in those eight

cities with experience of conducting female sex workers outreach programs including condom promotion, sexual health education, HIV and syphilis rapid testing and counseling, and linkage to care (accompaniment to clinical services for infected individuals).

Prior to this study, a mapping of the sex work venues was performed by local CBO in each study site according to geographic area and type of venue. A convenience sampling method was used to recruit female sex workers in selected venues in each city. We categorized the sex work venues into high tier and low tier based on the clientele's socioeconomic status [22]. Low tier venues include foot bathing shops, hair salons or barber shops, massage parlors, roadside restaurants, roadside shops, guesthouses, streets or public outdoor places. High tier venues include karaoke bars, hotels, sauna, and nightclub. At each site, at least 30% of participants were lowtier sex workers.

The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: born biologically as a female; aged 18 or above; exchanged sex at least once for money/goods in the past three months; willing to participate and complete the survey.

## Data collection

The survey questionnaire was created on Wenjuanxing (Changsha Haoxing Information Technology Co., Ltd., Changsha, China) based on discussions with local CBO stakeholders, policy makers and international HIV experts. We also piloted the survey with 50 volunteer female sex workers. The purpose of this formative research was to ensure the survey was simple to complete and consistent with our written survey content. This pilot data was not included in the final analysis.

In the formal survey, each questionnaire was selfadministered by eligible participants with the help of outreach workers. Participants would receive United States dollars (USD) 2 on completion of the study. The questionnaires submitted within five minutes were deemed invalid based on our pilot testing prior to the study that a minimum time of five minutes were required to respond all the survey items.

## Measures

Social-demographic and sexual behavior characteristics [23] Social-demographic and sexual behavior characteristics included: age, marital status, place of residence, annual income, education, time of providing commercial sex in the current location, number of cities worked for selling sex, number of clients served in the past month, charge for vaginal sex, whether condoms were used consistently when engaged in commercial sex in the past month, illicit substance use, STI testing history. Consistent condom use in the past month was defined as always using a condom during commercial sex.

## Self-testing history for HIV

Self-testing history for HIV included location where the kit was obtained, self-test results, post-test healthcare

seeking behaviors, change in testing frequency after the first use of a self-test kit, experienced pressure from selftesting, whether giving or selling a self-test kit to a client, influences of performing self-testing on sex exchange. Categories of pressure included physical violence, threats of violence, verbal abuse, psychological pressure,

	Table 1	Social demogra	phic characteristics among	female sex workers, a cros	ss-sectional survey in China, 2019
--	---------	----------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

Characteristics	Total	HIV testing, n (%)		
		Self-tester	Facility-tester	Non-tester
Total*	1287	103 (8.0)	969 (75.3)	215 (16.7)
Age				
18–25	271 (22.5)	13 (13.4)	221 (24.2)	37 (19.2)
26–35	376 (31.3)	28 (28.9)	269 (29.5)	79 (40.9)
36–45	312 (25.9)	32 (33.0)	221 (24.2)	59 (30.6)
>45	244 (20.3)	24 (24.7)	202 (22.1)	18 (9.3)
Workplace				
High tier	549 (42.7)	49(47.6)	428 (44.2)	72 (33.5)
Low tier	738 (57.3)	54 (52.4)	541 (55.8)	143 (66.5)
Legal marital status				
Never married and not cohabiting	227 (17.6)	15 (14.6)	170 (17.5)	42 (19.5)
Never married but cohabiting	167 (12.9)	10 (9.7)	141 (14.5)	16 (7.4)
Married	564 (43.8)	47 (45.6)	405 (41.8)	112 (52.1)
Divorced or widowed	329 (25.6)	31 (30.1)	253 (26.1)	45 (21.0)
Highest education				
Elementary school or below	481 (37.4)	40(38.8)	369 (38.1)	72 (33.5)
Junior high school	582 (45.2)	45(43.7)	419 (43.2)	118 (54.9)
Senior High school or above	224 (17.4)	18(17.5)	181 (18.7)	25 (11.6)
Annual income (USD)				
<2000	12 (0.9)	1 (1.0)	11 (1.14)	0 (0.0)
2001–5000	202 (15.7)	16 (15.5)	113 (11.7)	73 (34.0)
5001–9000	398 (30.9)	37 (35.9)	281 (29.0)	80 (37.2)
9001-\$14 000	327 (25.4)	22 (21.4)	267 (27.6)	38 (17.7)
> 14 000	348 (27.0)	27 (26.2)	297 (30.7)	24 (11.1)
Employed status				
Self-employed	572 (44.4)	46 (44.7)	436 (45.0)	90 (41.9)
Employed by a boss	715 (55.6)	53 (55.3)	524 (55.0)	123 (58.1)
Length of time working in current location				
0–6 months	383 (29.8)	31 (30.1)	225 (23.2)	127 (59.1)
7–12 months	254 (19.7)	8 (7.8)	201 (20.7)	45 (20.9)
Over 1 year	650 (50.5)	64 (62.1)	543 (56.1)	43 (20.0)
Number of cities worked in for selling sex				
1	730 (56.7)	62 (60.2)	536 (55.3)	132 (61.4)
2	261 (20.3)	16 (15.5)	217 (22.4)	28 (13.0)
3	110 (8.6)	9 (8.7)	86 (8.9)	15 (7.0)
>3	186 (14.5)	16 (15.5)	130 (13.4)	40 (18.6)
Residence				
Sampling province	662 (51.4)	60 (58.3)	480 (49.5)	122 (56.7)
Other province	625 (48.6)	43 (41.8)	489 (50.5)	93 (43.3)

USD United States dollar; HIV Human immunodeficiency virus

excessive control of activities, withholding of household resources, and threats to end a relationship [23].

## Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was conducted to describe the distribution of the sample regarding background characteristics, substance use, sexual behaviors, HIV and syphilis testing.

Univariable and multivariable logistic regression was conducted to explore socio-demographic and behavioral variables associated with HIV self-testing. In the multivariable model we adjusted for age, legal marital status, educational attainment, and annual income. All analyses were conducted on SAS (V9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

## **Ethic review**

This study was approved by the Dermatology Hospital of Southern Medical University (2019017). A verbal informed consent was obtained from all the participants who agreed to participate in this study.

## Results

Overall, 1443 women met the inclusion criteria. Eightyone individuals declined to participant the study, and 77 completed the questionnaire less than five minutes. Finally, a total number of 1287 women completed the survey. Among those participants, 1072 (83.3%) had ever tested for HIV, and 103 (8.0%) had ever used HIV self-testing.

## Study participants characteristics

Most participants were between 18 and 35 years old (53.8%), from low tier venues (57.3%), married (43.8%), had a junior high school degree (45.2%), and had an annual income between USD 5001 and USD 14 000 (56.3%). The majority were employed (54.4%), residing in the province where the study was done (51.4%) and working in current location over one year (50.51%). The socio-demographic characteristics of respondents who ever used HIV self-testing were comparable to women who never used HIV self-testing (Table 1).

## **Sexual behaviors**

Of 1287 individuals, the median number of clients served in the past month was 22 (Interquartile range [IQR]: 12–56). The median amount of payment received for vaginal sex was USD 20 (IQR: 15–45). And 42.1% (542/1287) reported using condoms consistently when engaged in commercial vaginal sex in the past month. For oral sex, 58.3% (750/1287) reported

providing oral sex in the past month, of whom only 16% (120/750) reported using condom consistently. For anal sex, 18.9% (243/1287) reported receiving anal sex in the past month and 41.6% (101/243) reported using condom consistently. The vast majority of women (78.4%, 1009/1287) reported having never used drugs before or during sex. Almost three-fifth of women (59.7%, 768/1287) reported bulk purchasing of condoms, and a small proportion of individuals (5.2%, 67/1287) have experience of bulk purchasing HIV self-testing kits (Table 2).

Table 2 Sexual behavior characteristics among female sex workers, a cross-sectional survey in China, 2019

Variables	Total	HIV testing, <i>n</i> (%)			
		Self-tester	Facility-tester	Non-tester	
Total*	1287	103 (8.0)	969 (75.3)	215 (16.7)	
Number of	clients served i	in the past mor	nth, median (IQR)		
	22 (12–56)	20 (10–60)	25 (13–60)	20 (10–30)	
Received m	oney for vagin	al sex (USD), m	edian (IQR)		
	20 (15–45)	25 (15–45)	25 (15–45)	20 (15–30)	
Consistently	/ used condon	n in commercia	l vaginal sex in pas	t month	
	542 (42.1)	39 (37.9)	418 (43.1)	85 (39.5)	
Provided or	al sex in the pa	ast month			
	750 (58.3)	68 (66.0)	588 (60.7)	94 (43.7)	
Consistently	/ used condom	n in commercia	l oral sex in past m	onth	
	120 (16.0)	12 (17.7)	83 (14.1)	25 (26.6)	
Provided an	al sex in the pa	ast month			
	243 (18.9)	32 (31.1)	188 (19.4)	23 (10.7)	
Consistently	/ used condom	n in commercia	l anal sex in past m	nonth	
	101 (41.6)	13 (40.6)	77 (40.9)	11 (47.8)	
Used drugs	before or duri	ng sex			
	278 (21.6)	37 (35.9)	187 (19.3)	54 (25.1)	
Injecting dr	ugs in the past	t 6 months			
	56 (4.3)	8 (7.8)	43 (4.4)	5 (2.3)	
Received ar	ny kind of HIV/S	STD-related serv	vices in the last yea	ar	
	1232 (95.7)	101 (98.1)	951 (98.1)	180 (83.7)	
Bulk purcha	se of condoms	s			
	768 (59.7)	64 (62.1)	625 (64.5)	79 (36.7)	
Bulk purcha	se of HIV self-t	est kits			
	67 (5.2)	22 (21.4)	36 (3.7)	9 (4.2)	
Tested for o	ther STIs in the	e past 6 months	5		
	646 (50.2)	77 (74.8)	522 (53.9)	47 (21.9)	
Diagnosed	with other STIs	;			
	394 (30.6)	38 (36.9)	320 (33.0)	36 (16.7)	
Ever tested	in the hospital				
	692 (53.8)	82 (79.6)	610 (62.9)	-	
Ever tested	in the commu	nity			
	794 (61.7)	65 (63.1)	729 (75.2)	-	

IQR Interquartile range; STI Sexual transmitted infection

## **HIV self-testing experience**

Among the individuals who had self-tested for HIV, more than half reported that the self-test was their first ever HIV test (59.2%, 61/103). A minority of individuals reported ever giving a HIV self-test kit to a client (12.6%, 13/103) or selling a HIV self-test kit to a client (2.9%,

3/103). Approximately one-third of individuals reported an increase in HIV test frequency after their first use of HIV self-testing (30.1%, 31/103). The most common place to obtain a HIV self-test kit was from a communitybased organization (75.7%, 78/103), followed by from an online vendor (22.3%, 23/103) (Table 3).

Table 3 Past HIV self-test experience, post-test health services utilization, and potential harms of HIV self-testing among Chinese female sex workers

Characteristics of self-testingIO3Location where self-test it was obtained78 (75 )Community-based organization23 (223)Hospial21 (204)Hospial13 (125)Phirmacy8 (78)Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (78)Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (78)Reactive8 (78)Na sure9 (803)Nots use9 (803)Post-test actions11Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (818)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (818)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (813)2 4 weeks-1 - 3 months-2 5 months-2 Commother Self again9 (22)Specialist STI service9 (22)Specialist STI service3 (33)Center for Dissase Control and Prevention2 (22)Pharmazy/Ohinic counseling/others2 (22)Specialist STI service13 (26)Solf-test kit to a client13 (26)Solf-test k	Attributes	HIV self-tester ( <i>n</i> = 103, %)
Location where self-test kit was obtained28 (25)Community-based organization28 (22.3)Hospilal21 (20.4)Friend21 (20.4)Friend80 (20.5)Pharmacy80 (20.5)Self-testing results (last self-test)81 (20.6)Reactive80 (20.6)Not sure80 (20.6)Not sure80 (20.6)Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result90 (20.6)Post-test actions90 (20.6)Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care90 (20.6)-2 -4 weeks60 (60.7)-3 months30 (30.3)-3 months90 (20.6)-4 weeks10.3-5 months20.22Specialis STI service20.22Paramacy/Online counseling/others20.22Solf care shelf rest kit to a client10.3Solf care selving uplake after first-ling negation10.3Solf care selving uplake after first-ling negation10.3Solf care selving uplake after first self-test10.3Solf care selving uplake after first self-test10.3	Characteristics of self-testing	103
Community-based organization?8 (75.)Online drug store23 (22.3)Hospital21 (20.4)Friend13 (12.6)Pharmacy8 (78)Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (78)Reactive8 (78)Not sure8 (78)Not sure9 (81.8)Post-test actions11Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care9-2- weeks666.71-4- weeks1-3 months3 (33.3)-3 months2Location for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others13 (30.1)Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Self-test sup self-test kit to a client13 (20.1)Self-test sup self-test kit to a client13 (20.1)Pholice kerpt self-test kit to a client13 (20.1)Physical deflecting influenced sex pricing negotiation2 (29.1)Physical deflecting influenced sex pricing negotiation2	Location where self-test kit was obtained	
Online drug store23 (22.3)Hospital21 (20.4)Friend13 (26.6)Self-esting results (last self-test)8 (7.8)Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (7.8)Not sure3 (2.9)Negative92 (89.3)Post-test actions9 (81.8)Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care9-0-2 weeks-1-3 months3 (3.3)2-4 weeks-1-3 months3 (3.3)2-5 months2 (22.2)Specialist ST service2 (22.2)Specialist ST service2 (22.2)Specialist ST service2 (22.2)Pherefits103Solf-test as their first-time test103Solf-test as their first-time test3 (20.9)Increased testing unplate after first self-test13 (20.9)Self-test as their first-time test3 (20.9)Solf-test st tot a client3 (20.9)Increased testing unplate after first self-test103Self-test st tot a client103Self-test st tot a client103Self-test st or delend se pricing negotiation20.2)Presend self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation103Phole keyt self-test kits as levelence to accuse you of selling sex20.9)Phole keyt self-test kits as levelence to accuse you of selling sex103Specialist persure20.9)20.9)Verbal abuse20.9)20.9)<	Community-based organization	78 (75.7)
Hospital21 (20.4)Friend131 (26.6)Pharmacy8 (7.8)Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (7.8)Not sure8 (7.8)Not sure8 (7.8)Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Three since reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Three since reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)1-2 weeks6 (66.7)2-4 weeks6 (66.7)1-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months9General hospital2 (22.2)Benefits10.3Specialist ST service2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits13 (30.1)Sold a self-test kit to a client13 (30.1)Adverse events3 (30.1)Syster setting influenced sex pricing negotiation13 (20.1)Norease testing influenced sex pricing negotiation13 (20.1)Physical violence10.3Physical violence10.3Physical violence10.3Physical violence10.3Physical violence10.3Physical violence10.3Physical violence2 (3.9)Physical violence<	Online drug store	23 (22.3)
Friend13 (12.6)Phrmacy807.80Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (7.8)Reactive8 (7.8)Nats une9 (200.3)Negative9 (200.3)Post-test actions10Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result6 (66.7)-2 weeks6-2 weeks6-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months2 (22.2)General hospital3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others103Self-test int to a cleint13 (13.6)Self-test ing influenced sex pricing negotiation13 (13.6)Self-test kit to a cleint13 (13.6)Self-	Hospital	21 (20.4)
Pharmacy8 (78)Self-testing results (last self-test)8 (78)Reactive8 (78)Not sure9 (28)Post-test actions9 (818)Time following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (818)Time sine reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (818)Time sine reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (818)2-4 weeks6 (710)2-4 weeks102-4 weeks3 (33)3 months3 (33)2-5 months2 (22)Socialit STI service9 (22)Specialit STI service3 (33)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others103Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (33)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (32)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others3 (33)Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation3 (32)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others3 (32)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (32)Pharease testing uptake after first self-test3 (32)Pharease testing uptake after first self-test3 (32)Pharease testing influenced sex pricing negotiation3 (32)Pharease testing influenced sex pricing negotiation3 (32)Physical violence3 (32)Phy	Friend	13 (12.6)
Self-testing results (last self-test)Redive8 (78)Not sure3 (29)Negative92 (89.3)Post-test actions91 (81.8)Time since resctive/uncertain self-testing result92 (81.8)Time since resctive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care92 (81.8)0-2 weeks6 (66.7)1-3 months3 (33.3)2-3 months2 (22.2)Specialit STI service3 (33.3)2 General hospital2 (22.2)Specialit STI service3 (33.2)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Parmacy/Online counseling/others3 (30.2)Self-test kit to a client3 (30.2)Presure self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (30.2)Presure self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (30.2)Presure self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (30.2)Presure self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (30.2)Presure self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (30.2)	Pharmacy	8 (7.8)
Reactive87.89Not sure32.99Negative92.69.30Post-test actions94.10Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result94.10Ime since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care96.100-2 weeks66.67.102-4 weeks33.33.103-3 months33.30> 3 months34.33.10Caction for seeking care94.10General hospital22.20.10Specialist STI service34.33.10Center for Disease Control and Prevention22.20.10Pharmacy/Online courseling/others103.10Self-test as their first-time test61.(59.20.10Gave a self-test kit to a client31.(20.10Nerverse31.30.10Adverse events31.30.10Adverse events10.30Persure Self-test kit to a client31.20.10Adverse events22.21.40Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex32.90Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex76.80Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex71.00Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex71.00Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex71.00Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex71.00Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex71.00Pressure Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex71.00 <td>Self-testing results (last self-test)</td> <td></td>	Self-testing results (last self-test)	
Not sure3 (2.9)Negative92 (89.3)Post-test actions11Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result98.18)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care90-2 weeks6 (66.7)2-4 weeks6 (86.7)1-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months9Content for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Specialis TSI service2 (22.2)Phamacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Renerfs2 (22.2)Phamacy/Online counseling/others3 (3.0)Self-test as their first-time test3 (3.0)Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (3.0)Adverse events103Self-test ing influenced sex pricing negotiation2 (21.4)Pressure Gentred sex pricing negotiation3 (2.9)Pressure Gentred frest self-test3 (3.0)Adverse events3 (3.0)Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation3 (2.9)Pressure Gentred sex pricing negotiation3 (2.9)Pressure Gentred fresting orbitale3 (2.9)Pressure Gentresting up take after first self-test3 (3.0)Adverse orbital3 (2.9)Pressure Gentresting up take after first self-test3 (3.0)Adverse orbital influenced sex pricing negotiation3 (2.9)Pressure Gentresting up take after first self-test3 (3.9)Pressure Gentresting3 (3.9)Pressure Gentresting3 (3.9)Pressure Gentresting3 (3.9)<	Reactive	8 (7.8)
Negative92 (89.3)Post-test actions11Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9 (81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care6 (66.7)-2 weeks6 (66.7)-4 weeks3 (33.3)-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months2 (22.2)Socation for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Specialist STI service2 (22.2)Specialist STI service2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits103Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client31 (30.1)Adverse events31 (30.1)Adverse events22 (21.4)Pheruscy/Online counseling/others22 (21.4)Pholice kept self-test kit as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex31 (30.1)Adverse events21 (21.4)Pholice kept self-test kit as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex21.9)Pholice kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse y	Not sure	3 (2.9)
Postest actions11Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result9(81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care90-2 weeks6(66.7)2-4 weeks3(33.3)1-3 months3(33.3)> 3 months3(33.3)> 3 months2(22.2)General hospital3(33.3)General hospital2(22.2)Pperalist STI service3(33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2(22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2(22.2)Benefits103Self-test shir first-time test13(12.6)Gold a self-test kit to a client3(2.9)Increased testing influenced sex pricing negotiation2(2.1)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3(2.9)Types of pressure3(2.9)Pressured self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3(2.9)Types of pressure3(2.9)Pressured self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3(2.9)Pressured self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3(2.9)Pressured self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3(2.9)Problegical pressure3(2.9)Verbal abuse2(1.9)Withholding of household resources3(2.9)Withholding of household resources3(2.9)Time testing to end a relationship3(2.9)	Negative	92 (89.3)
Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care9 (81.8)Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care90-2 weeks6.67.12-4 weeks3(33.3)> 3 months3(33.3)> 3 months9Location for seeking care9General hospital2(22.2)Specialist TS ervice2(22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2(22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2(22.2)Benefits03Self-test kit to a client3(12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3(12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3(2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31Adverse events3(2.9)Pressure disting uptake after first self-test3(2.9)Types of pressure3(2.9)Types of pressure2(1.9)Verbal abuse2(1.9)Verbal abuse2(1.9)Withholding of household resources3(2.9)Withholding of household resources3(2.9)Threats on thole sources3(2.9)Threats on the observed set of sources3(2.9)Verbal abuse2(1.9)Withholding of household resources3(2.9)Threats on the observed set of sources3(2.9)Threat on the observed set of sources3(2.9)Types of pressure3(2.9)Threat call and hold resources3(2.9)Threat call and hold resources3(2.9)Threat call and hold resources3(2.9)<	Post-test actions	11
Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care90-2 weeks6 (66.7)2-4 weeks-1-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months-Location for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Specialist STI service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits103Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-test set first-time test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-test kit to a client31 (30.1)Adverse events103Persured setting influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Presured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure3 (2.9)Phareace for violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Verbal abuse3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities3 (2.9)Wittholding of household resources3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities3 (2.9)E	Sought care following reactive/uncertain self-testing result	9 (81.8)
0-2 weeks6 (66.7)2-4 weeks-1-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months-Location for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Specialist STI service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits03Self-test as their first-time test03Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Physical violence3 (2.9)Physical violence3 (2.9)Physical violence3 (2.9)Physical violence103Ypes of pressure7 (6.8)Types of pressure2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threaten ing to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Time since reactive/uncertain self-testing result to seeking care	9
2-4 weeks-1-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months-Location for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Specialits STI service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits0.3Self-test as their first-time test0.3Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (20.0)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (30.1)Adverse events31 (30.1)Adverse events31 (30.1)Phessured testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Physical kiton colence3 (29.0)Pressured self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex31 (30.1)Physical violence3 (29.0)Physical violence3 (29.0)Physical violence3 (29.0)Physical violence3 (29.0)Verbal abuse3 (29.0)Phychological pressure3 (29.0)Withholding of household resources3 (29.0)Withholding of household resources3 (29.0)Threatening to end a relationship3 (29.0)	0–2 weeks	6 (66.7)
1-3 months3 (33.3)> 3 months-Location for seeking care9General hospital2 (2.2.)Specialist STI service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits61 (59.2)Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test103Self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test3 (2.9)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex2 (2.1,4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (3.0)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Verbal abuse3 (2.9)Physical rolence3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threat not violence3 (2.9)Physical rolence3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threat not violence3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threat not on a relationship3 (2.9)	2–4 weeks	-
> 3 months-Location for seeking care9Location for seeking care2 (2.2)General hospital3 (3.3)Specialist STI service3 (3.3)General for Disease Control and Prevention2 (2.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (2.2)Benefits03Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events3 (3.0)Self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Pressure self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressure self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence2 (1.9)Physical violence2 (1.9)Physical pressure3 (2.9)Physical pressure3 (2.9)Witholding of household resources3 (2.9)Witholding of household resources3 (2.9)Types of pressure3 (2.9)Physical violence3 (2.9)Witholding of household resources3 (2.9)Keense vents3 (2.9)Physical pressure3 (2.9)P	1–3 months	3 (33.3)
Location for seeking care9General hospital2 (22.2)Specialist STI service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Bernets03Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events03Self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Pressured sets frigt negotiation2 (2.14)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Physical violence10.3Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Phytological pressure3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	> 3 months	-
General hospital2 (22.2)Specialist STI service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits03Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test13 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-test kit s as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Types of pressure103Physical violence10.10Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Withholding of nousehold resources3 (2.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Location for seeking care	9
Specialits Ti service3 (33.3)Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits103Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client3 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence11 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Pychological pressure3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	General hospital	2 (22.2)
Center for Disease Control and Prevention2 (22.2)Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.2)Benefits103Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client13 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test103Adverse events103Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex103Types of pressure7 (6.8)Types of pressure11 (10)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources3 (2.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Specialist STI service	3 (33.3)
Pharmacy/Online counseling/others2 (22.)Benefits103Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client13 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-test in influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Types of pressure7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Kerse sevent3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Center for Disease Control and Prevention	2 (22.2)
Benefits103Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client13 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Types of pressure7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Pharmacy/Online counseling/others	2 (22.2)
Self-test as their first-time test61 (59.2)Gave a self-test kit to a client13 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence11 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Benefits	103
Gave a self-test kit to a client13 (12.6)Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Self-test as their first-time test	61 (59.2)
Sold a self-test kit to a client3 (2.9)Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Gave a self-test kit to a client	13 (12.6)
Increased testing uptake after first self-test31 (30.1)Adverse events103Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Sold a self-test kit to a client	3 (2.9)
Adverse events103Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Increased testing uptake after first self-test	31 (30.1)
Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation22 (21.4)Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Adverse events	103
Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex3 (2.9)Pressured self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Self-testing influenced sex pricing negotiation	22 (21.4)
Pressure self-testing7 (6.8)Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Police kept self-test kits as the evidence to accuse you of selling sex	3 (2.9)
Types of pressure103Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Pressured self-testing	7 (6.8)
Physical violence1 (1.0)Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Types of pressure	103
Threats of violence2 (1.9)Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Physical violence	1 (1.0)
Verbal abuse2 (1.9)Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Threats of violence	2 (1.9)
Psychological pressure3 (2.9)Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Verbal abuse	2 (1.9)
Excessive control of activities2 (1.9)Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Psychological pressure	3 (2.9)
Withholding of household resources2 (1.9)Threatening to end a relationship3 (2.9)	Excessive control of activities	2 (1.9)
Threatening to end a relationship 3 (2.9)	Withholding of household resources	2 (1.9)
	Threatening to end a relationship	3 (2.9)

STI Sexual transmitted infection; HIV Human immunodeficiency virus

#### Characteristics HIV self-tester (n = 103) n (%) cOR (95% CI) aOR (95% CI)<sup>a</sup> Number of clients served in the past month, median 20 (10-60) 1.000 (0.997-1.002) 0.999 (0.996-1.003) (IOR) Number of clients served in the past month ≤30 ref ref 65 (63.1) 31-60 0.8 (0.5-1.5) 0.7 (0.4-1.4) 15 (14.6) 61-90 6 (5.8) 0.7 (0.3-1.7) 0.6 (0.2-1.6) > 90 17 (16.5) 1.3 (0.7-2.3) 1.3 (0.6-2.4) Consistently used condom when engaged in commercial vaginal sex in past month Yes 39 (37.9) 0.8(0.5-1.2)0.9(0.6-1.4)No 64 (62.1) ref ref Provided oral sex in the past month 1.4 (0.9-2.2) 1.4 (0.9-2.4) Yes 68 (66.0) No 35 (34.0) ref ref Consistently used condom when engaged in commercial oral sex in past month 1.14 (0.6-2.2) 1.1 (0.5-2.2) Yes 12 (17.6) 56 (82.4) ref No ref Provided anal sex in the past month 32 (31.1) 2.1 (1.3-3.2)\*\* 2.2 (1.4-3.5)\* Yes No 71 (68.9) ref ref Consistently used condom when engaged in commercial anal sex in past month Yes 13 (40.6) 0.9 (0.4-2.0) 0.9 (0.4-2.2) No 19 (59.4) ref ref Used drugs before or during sex 37 (35.9) 2.2 (1.4-3.4)\*\*\* 2.8 (1.8-4.5)\*\*\* Yes No 66 (64.1) ref ref Injected drugs in the past 6 months 8 (7.8) 2.0 (0.9-4.3) 2.6 (1.2-6.0)\* Yes No 95 (92.2) ref ref Received any kind of HIV/STD-related services in the last year Yes 101 (98.1) 2.4 (0.6-9.8) 2.3 (0.6-9.8) No 2 (1.9) ref ref Bulk purchased condoms 1.2 (0.7-1.8) Yes 64 (62.1) 1.1 (0.7-1.7) No 39 (37.9) ref ref Tested for other STIs in the past 6 months 3.4 (2.1-5.5)\*\*\* Yes 77 (74.8) 3.2 (2.0-5.1)\*\*\* No 26 (25.2) ref ref Diagnosed with other STIs 1.4 (0.9-2.1) 1.6 (1.0–2.5)\* Yes 38 (36.9) No 65 (63.1) ref ref Ever tested in the hospital 82 (79.6) 2.7 (1.8-4.0)\*\*\* 3.4 (2.0-5.6)\*\*\* Yes No 21 (20.4) ref ref Ever tested in the community 1.5 (1.2-1.9)\*\*\* 1.5 (1.2-1.9)\*\* Yes 65 (63.1) No 38 (36.9) ref ref

## Table 4 Factors correlated with HIV self-testing among Chinese female sex workers, 2019

cOR Crude odd ratio; aOR Adjusted odd ratio; CI Confidence interval; IQR Interquartile range

\**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001

<sup>a</sup> Multivariate logistic regression adjusted with age, legal marital status, educational attainment, monthly income

A small proportion of individuals reported a reactive result in their last HIV self-test (7.8%, 8/103), or an indeterminate result (2.9%, 3/103). The majority sought care following reactive/indeterminate HIV self-testing result (81.8%, 9/11). Among those individuals who sought care after HIV self-testing, most women sought care within two weeks (66.7%, 6/9), and either at a specialty sexually transmitted infection (STI) clinic or in a health facility run by the Centers for Disease Control (Table 3).

Among individuals who had HIV self-tested, around one-fifth reported HIV self-testing results influenced the price of sex (21.4%, 22/103). A minority of individuals reported ever experiencing pressure to undertake HIV self-testing (6.8%, 7/103). The most common pressure was psychological pressure (2.9%, 3/103), followed by threatened to end a relationship (2.9%, 3/103) (Table 3).

## Difficulties and reasons for performing HIV self-testing

A majority of HIV self-testers reported some difficulties in performing HIV self-testing (71.84%, 74/103). Pricking fingers (59.5%, 44/74) or using collection tube to collect blood (50.0%, 37/74) were the most commonly reported difficulty. The most commonly reported reasons for using HIV self-testing were that they wanted to know their infection status (55.3%, 57/103), and they recently had high risk contact (54.4%, 56/103). The most common reason for not performing self-testing was that they had never heard of HIV self-testing before (42.6%, 504/1184) (Additional file 1: Table S1).

## Factors correlated with HIV self-testing

In the multivariable model adjusted for age, legal marital status, educational attainment and annual income, the following factors were positively correlated with HIV self-testing: receiving anal sex in the past month (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 2.2, 95% *CI* 1.4–3.5), using drug before or during sex (aOR = 2.8, 95% *CI* 1.8–4.5), injecting drugs in the past six months (aOR = 2.6, 95%*CI* 1.2–6.0), being diagnosed with other STIs (aOR= 1.6, 95% *CI* 1.0–2.5), tested for other STIs in the past 6 months (aOR = 3.4, 95% *CI* 2.1–5.5), ever tested in the hospital (aOR = 3.4, 95% *CI* 2.0–5.6), and ever tested in the community (aOR = 1.5, 95% *CI* 1.2–1.9) (Table 4).

## Discussion

Female sex workers are at high risk of HIV acquisition and transmission [24]. Our study suggests that self-testing for HIV could expand overall testing uptake, increase testing frequency, and has limited potential harms among female sex workers. This study expands the literature by focusing on HIV self-testing among female sex workers, including women from multiple provinces, and exploring associated benefits and harms. Findings from this study Page 7 of 9

can help inform HIV self-testing interventions among female sex workers.

Our study suggests that few female sex workers in China have performed HIV self-testing. This is consistent with rates of HIV self-testing reported in Malawi [14], Zimbabwe [15], Uganda [12], and Kenya [13]. Since the World Health Organization released guidelines recommending HIV self-testing among under-served and high-risk populations in 2016 [25], many studies in the sub-Saharan Africa have shown that HIV self-testing has a good acceptability and feasibility for female sex workers [12, 14, 15, 26]. Studies have suggested that adding HIV self-testing to existing community-based testing and counseling services among female sex workers is acceptable, cost-effective and efficient to improve linkage to care [15, 26, 27]. China should take more effort to explore strategies integrating HIV self-testing to existing facility-based testing services. Additionally, in the context of wide online availability of HIV self-testing kits in China [11], our data could help to inform interventions.

Our study found low frequency of physical violence or other types of violence related to HIV self-testing among female sex workers. The frequency of physical violence associated with HIV self-testing is comparable to that reported in Zambia [15] and Kenya [13]. Intimate partner violence is common and could be exacerbated by self-testing services that inadvertently allow a sex worker manager or partner to influence testing behaviors [13, 28]. Our results suggest that self-testing is not associated with physical violence, although further research and attention are needed.

We found that self-testing for HIV can effectively reach high-risk female sex workers, and facilitate higher frequency testing. In our study, HIV self-testing was correlated with receiving commercial anal sex in the past month and drug use before or during sex. This finding is consistent with studies conducted among men who have sex with men in China [21] and France [29]. Additionally, our study found that a large proportion of HIV selftesters reported having never been tested for HIV before the self-testing, and approximately one-third of HIV selftesters reported increasing testing uptake after initial use of HIV self-testing. This suggests HIV self-testing has the potential to increase the frequency of HIV testing among female sex workers, specifically among individuals not reached by provider-based strategies [11, 30].

Our study has several limitations. First, the survey captured a convenience sample of female sex workers population in China, likely resulting in selection bias. Although the convenience sampling method can generally be implemented more easily, faster and with fewer resources [31], the study sample might not be representative of the population as a whole, which limits the statistical inference and generalizations [32]. Second, this study was conducted among female sex workers in cities with relatively high involvement in HIV prevention programs. The results of this study may not be generalizable to female sex workers in cities that have fewer HIV prevention programs. Third, all the data were collected through self-report, which may be prone to information bias.

Although self-testing for HIV may be effective in expanding female sex workers testing uptake and frequency, several challenges remain. Individuals with a reactive result require a clinic visit for confirmation of infection, which can be inconvenient, and create a barrier for female sex workers to linkage to care [33]. Female sex workers tend to be high degree of mobility and vulnerable to criminality [34], highlighting the need of social support for training, counseling, and ancillary self-testing services [15]. Adequate linkages to counseling, treatment, and care for HIV self-testers who test positive, and test quality assurance remain essential [35].

## Conclusions

Innovative approaches are needed to improve HIV testing uptake among female sex workers. Our findings suggest that HIV self-testing has the potential to expand overall testing uptake, enable more frequent testing, reach sub-groups of high-risk female sex workers, and has limited potential harms among female sex workers. Self-testing for HIV should be incorporated among Chinese female sex workers as a complement to facilitybased testing services. Future studies to explore effective mode of promoting HIV self-testing among female sex workers are warranted.

## Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40249-020-00765-5.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Difficulties and reasons for performing HIV self-testing among Chinese female sex workers.

#### Abbreviations

HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; STI: Sexually transmitted infections; LMIC: Low- and middle-income countries; *CI*: Confidence interval; *cOR*: Crude odd ratio; a*OR*: Adjusted odd ratio; IQR: Interquartile range.

#### Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to all the participants who participated in this study. The authors wish to acknowledge Kunming Pingxing Sexual Health Support and Promotion Center, Shenzhen Hongci Women Service Center, Tianjin Sunflower Service Center for Migrant Women, Yunfu Zhongyue Center for HIV/ AIDs Prevention, Jiaozhou Love Health Service Center, Sister Healthy Home of Panlong District, Beijing Fireworm Women Group, Xiangyang Ziweiyuan Health Consulting Studio, Longnan Longjiang Love Group for having helped for participants recruitment.

#### Authors' contributions

CW, MX and BY developed the initial concept for the manuscript. CW drafted an initial draft. YW conducted the statistical analysis. The remaining authors (JDT, HF, WT, MKS, JJO, HZ) edited and contributed content to the final draft. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Guangdong Medical Research Foundation (A2019402).

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Dermatology Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. <sup>2</sup> Southern Medical University Institute for Global Health and Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. <sup>3</sup> University of North Carolina Project-China, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. <sup>5</sup> Institute for Global Health and Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina At Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, USA. <sup>6</sup> Division of Community Health and Research, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA, USA. <sup>7</sup> Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, Minneapolis, USA. <sup>8</sup> Central Clinical School, Monash University, Victoria, Melbourne, Australia.

## Received: 26 May 2020 Accepted: 14 October 2020 Published online: 22 October 2020

#### References

- UNAIDS. UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic. 2010. https://www. unaids.org/globalreport/Global\_report.htm.
- Chen B, Chen J, Shao Y, Hu D, Ding X, Wen Y. Need for intervention services for promotion of condom use by female sex workers to consider size of entertainment venues: a cross-sectional study. Med Sci Monit Basic Res. 2019;25:1–7.
- World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on HIV testing. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- Hall BJ, Chen W, Latkin C, Ling L, Tucker JD. Africans in south China face social and health barriers. Lancet. 2014;383(9925):1291–2.
- Global AIDS update 2016. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2016. 2016. https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/docum ents/2016/Global-AIDS-update-2016.
- Wang L, Tang W, Wang L, Qian S, Li YG, Xing J, Li D, Ding Z, Babu GR, Wang N. The HIV, syphilis, and HCV epidemics among female sex workers in china: results from a serial cross-sectional study between 2008 and 2012. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;59(1):e1-9.
- Liem A, Wang C, Wariyanti Y, Latkin CA, Hall BJ. The neglected health of international migrant workers in the COVID-19 epidemic. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7:e20.
- Hui X, Hui L, Yuan YW, Peng L, Di W, Yuan Z, Peng ZD. Analysis of situation and influencing factors of providing HIV rapid testing service by community based organizations. Chin J AIDS STD. 2016;7:556–9.
- Ong JJ, Fu H, Smith MK, Tucker JD. Expanding syphilis testing: a scoping review of syphilis testing interventions among key populations. Expert Rev Anti-Infect Ther. 2018;16(5):423–32.

- Tucker J, Ren X, Sapio F. Incarcerated sex workers and HIV prevention in China: social suffering and social justice countermeasures. Soc Sci Med. 2010;70(1):121–9.
- Wang C, Cheng W, Li C, Tang W, Ong JJ, Smith MK, Fu H, Marks M, Nie J, Zheng H, Tucker JD, Yang B. Syphilis self-testing: a nationwide pragmatic study among men who have sex with men in China. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;70(10):2178–86.
- Ortblad K, Kibuuka Musoke D, Ngabirano T, Nakitende A, Magoola J, Kayiira P, Taasi G, Barresi LG, Haberer JE, McConnell MA, Oldenburg CE, Barnighausen T. Direct provision versus facility collection of HIV self-tests among female sex workers in Uganda: a cluster-randomized controlled health systems trial. PLoS Med. 2017;14(11):e1002458.
- Thirumurthy H, Masters SH, Mavedzenge SN, Maman S, Omanga E, Agot K. Promoting male partner HIV testing and safer sexual decision making through secondary distribution of self-tests by HIV-negative female sex workers and women receiving antenatal and post-partum care in Kenya: a cohort study. Lancet HIV. 2016;3(6):e266–74.
- Napierala S, Desmond NA, Kumwenda MK, Tumushime M, Sibanda EL, Indravudh P, Hatzold K, Johnson CC, Baggaley RC, Corbett L, Cowan FM. HIV self-testing services for female sex workers, Malawi and Zimbabwe. Bull World Health Organ. 2019;97(11):764–76.
- Chanda MM, Ortblad KF, Mwale M, Chongo S, Kanchele C, Kamungoma N, Fullem A, Dunn C, Barresi LG, Harling G, Barnighausen T, Oldenburg CE. HIV self-testing among female sex workers in Zambia: a cluster randomized controlled trial. PLoS Med. 2017;14(11):e1002442.
- Figueroa C, Johnson C, Verster A, Baggaley R. Attitudes and acceptability on HIV self-testing among key populations: a literature review. AIDS Behav. 2015;19(11):1949–65.
- 17. Indravudh PP, Choko AT, Corbett EL. Scaling up HIV self-testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a review of technology, policy and evidence. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2018;31(1):14–24.
- Chang YM, Sevekari T, Duerr A, Molina Y, Gilada T. HIV self-testing in Pune, India: perspectives and recommendations of female sex workers and peer educators. AIDS Care. 2020;32(2):182–5.
- Sweileh WM. Global output of research on the health of international migrant workers from 2000 to 2017. Global Health. 2018;14(1):105.
- Zhong F, Tang W, Cheng W, Lin P, Wu Q, Cai Y, Tang S, Fan L, Zhao Y, Chen X, Mao J, Meng G, Tucker JD, Xu H. Acceptability and feasibility of a social entrepreneurship testing model to promote HIV self-testing and linkage to care among men who have sex with men. HIV Med. 2017;18(5):376–82.
- Han L, Bien CH, Wei C, Muessig KE, Yang M, Liu F, Yang L, Meng G, Emch ME, Tucker JD. HIV self-testing among online MSM in China: implications for expanding HIV testing among key populations. J Acqu Immune Deficiency Syndr. 2014;67(2):216–21.
- Chen X-S, Yin Y-P, Liang G-J, Wang Q-Q, Jiang N, Liu Q, Fu G-F, Yang B, Zhou Y-J, Shi M-Q, Wang B. The prevalences of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections among female sex workers in China. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:121.
- Ong JJ, Wu D, Huang W, Fu H, Desmond N, Ma W, Kang D, Liao M, Marley G, Wei C, Tang W, Liu C, Zhang Y, Pan SW, Yang B, Yang L, Huang S, Tucker JD. Pressured HIV testing "in the name of love": a mixed methods analysis of pressured HIV testing among men who have sex with men in China. J Int AIDS Soc. 2018;21(3):e25098.

- Shannon K, Goldenberg SM, Deering KN, Strathdee SA. HIV infection among female sex workers in concentrated and high prevalence epidemics: why a structural determinants framework is needed. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2014;9(2):174–82.
- 25. World Health Organization. Guidelines on HIV self-testing and partner notification: supplement to consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.
- Maheswaran H, Clarke A, MacPherson P, Kumwenda F, Lalloo DG, Corbett EL, Petrou S. Cost-effectiveness of community-based human immunodeficiency virus self-testing in Blantyre, Malawi. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66(8):1211–21.
- Cambiano V, Johnson CC, Hatzold K, Terris-Prestholt F, Maheswaran H, Thirumurthy H, Figueroa C, Cowan FM, Sibanda EL, Ncube G, Revill P, Baggaley RC, Corbett EL, Phillips A. The impact and cost-effectiveness of community-based HIV self-testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a health economic and modelling analysis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2019;22(Suppl 1):e25243.
- Choko AT, Kumwenda MK, Johnson CC, Sakala DW, Chikalipo MC, Fielding K, Chikovore J, Desmond N, Corbett EL. Acceptability of woman-delivered HIV self-testing to the male partner, and additional interventions: a qualitative study of antenatal care participants in Malawi. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017;20(1):21610.
- Greacen T, Friboulet D, Fugon L, Hefez S, Lorente N, Spire B. Access to and use of unauthorised online HIV self-tests by internet-using French-speaking men who have sex with men. Sex Transmitted Infect. 2012;88(5):368–74.
- Bil JP, Prins M, Stolte IG, Dijkshoorn H, Heijman T, Snijder MB, Davidovich U, Zuure FR. Usage of purchased self-tests for HIV and sexually transmitted infections in Amsterdam, the Netherlands: results of populationbased and serial cross-sectional studies among the general population and sexual risk groups. BMJ Open. 2017;7(9):e016609.
- Weir SS, Merli MG, Li J, Gandhi AD, Neely WW, Edwards JK, Suchindran CM, Henderson GE, Chen X-S. A comparison of respondent-driven and venue-based sampling of female sex workers in Liuzhou. China Sexually Transmitted Infect. 2012;88(Suppl 2):i95–101.
- Pascom ARP, Szwarcwald CL, Barbosa JA. Sampling studies to estimate the HIV prevalence rate in female commercial sex workers. Braz J Infect Dis. 2010;14(4):385–97.
- Stekler JD, Swenson PD, Coombs RW, Dragavon J, Thomas KK, Brennan CA, Devare SG, Wood RW, Golden MR. HIV testing in a high-incidence population: is antibody testing alone good enough? Clin Infect Dis. 2009;49(3):444–53.
- Ranebennur V, Gaikwad S, Ramesh S, Bhende A. Addressing vulnerabilities of female sex workers in an HIV prevention intervention in Mumbai and Thane: experiences from the Aastha project. HIV AIDS (Auckl). 2014;6:9–18.
- Napierala Mavedzenge S, Baggaley R, Corbett EL. A review of self-testing for HIV: research and policy priorities in a new era of HIV prevention. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57(1):126–38.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

