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## 1.1- Benars.1

## A Bncicel protaes




















## Pefinitione





$$
F(e)-p(x=e) . \quad \varepsilon \geqslant 0
$$

The diatuributing fuactian or tim theo

$$
\left.F(e)=2-F(t)=p^{(T} \in t\right), \quad t=0
$$




With aorremponaling cimat lecive mezerd function fiven by

$$
\Delta(e)=\int \Delta(u) d u .
$$














## Eremply

Minly in the eapilar part of elfin werli. the followimg
 112urtrate acme of the techniquan dimeumed, A trial van


 of retendon, in trake, vera racorded and are eivan is eabla 1.1.

| Madel.2. | Date rrom erfal conparing 6-10 and a Precebo on <br>  uniel are veak. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $6-2$ |  |
| Placema | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 8 & 12 \end{array} 12$ |

- Semot al a cemecred obmervelion.


## M.En Trate of gengring

## For 1 Detinition

Lot $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{b}$ e randoa variable reprenenting aurvival time. if ehe ooly informetion reterdine $=0$ ok \#ervetion 0 on fin that




 in pletht-ceneoring, so that thio work fill he connemed mimiy eith


#### Abstract

  ecanored for conveslence. Any cevialion frem ebisa will be fediened vame eppropiara.       right-remsorel ist $\ddagger 巳$.    be molemmert. For aragia. in indugirial 12fertanting a  difinilbing parformane, poiar to feilura.


## Cennuriack Mecbaning

 If wi21 be camvaciect to mak mannaption mbout the underiying



 T1..... Tin are independent readom verimblem, with Ti reproeent ing the aurfival time for the $1^{\text {th }}$ individul having afaeributian function $F_{T_{1}}($.$) . Under tha Fandem cencorehtp model it ie genined shot there$





$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}=\operatorname{lol}\left(\Phi_{g}, Y_{i}\right) \\
& i= \begin{cases}0 & 1 \varphi \Psi_{i}=Y_{i} \\
1 & i f T_{i}=\Psi_{1}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

The diatributian fumetion or $T_{i}$, is tive by

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(8) \quad 1-\left\{1-F_{\mathrm{m}}(\varepsilon)\right) \quad(1-\pi(\varepsilon)) \quad i \pm 1, \ldots, n
$$

Alternalively, Martel and Myors [1971] wegemt that for esel
 betwea antry Inta the atudy and taximintion of the trial for the

 the Ig'e however may mot alyays ba avallable. Thie wobl hil be


## Cennories Potigytu

To coniva enio hee bets ictroduaed by Oeban (2965a), W11 be Almeureed.

ensered and fre are esact, Lat

$$
{ }^{2}(1)^{*}(2) * \ldots<t(E)
$$





```
to) 0 and t(k+1) m-4
```




${ }^{2}$ (0)
(1)
( ( 1 )

Wote thet $\sum_{i=0} d_{i}=a-c$ and $\sum_{i=0} i, \infty$

## 1723. Tantheticr of a nurvivo finction

## Introduction

If the individuale prosemt in a etudy can remonably be aplit into - Piaita nubar of reletively homenteoul makata eccording to the Intependant nerintlea, a wherul viaun imaieation of reir erfect oo aumivill experimace may be bletined by ploteing ontimeted murnivar function vity in eech mutint.

## 

Faplan and Molen (1958) hava gropoed ehe following mathod fer antimeting murvivor fumetion. Buppose thet indeporodect




$$
t_{(1)} k t_{(2)}+\ldots+t_{(k)} \quad * \operatorname{m}
$$


 Prolver Limie (ru) eat fate Y(e) or F(e) If berfaed by

$$
P(e)= \begin{cases}1 & e \in s(2) \\ \pi \\ t_{(i)}^{\pi t}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) & e \in(1)\end{cases}
$$

 wemared) not late than (1)


 fractionl. Thes euthern proviac axgression for echoutina the variance


 The atepletion of tbe PL entimat fop internel-temected dete hoe been Aimeveed by Feta (1973).

## Alenbuler' antimete

An -iterratite method of antimating memplvor function han
bean propoied by Altahuler (2.970) who euggemtethet a noturnl

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{+}(5)^{4} \\
& \text { The reubleing entimetor of F(t) Ia thed } \\
& F(e)=\text { axp }\{-a(e)\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Terime tbe neturel loentitha of Fit) it folloue enet
mo that for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$. 1.

$$
\log P(t)=-\sum_{(i)^{i t}}^{a_{i} d_{1}}=\sim e(t)
$$

## 

Sewaral athora (Kelbetedmeh end Preqtiee (1971), Brenlow (297h))
 cantert, wich wil be digeuned leter in id. 3. It la uneful however
 Ralbfleiach and Prantice begin by mppromimetina $\lambda(t)$ me eter funce ion

$$
a(t)=i_{1} \quad t \in\left[b_{i-2}, b_{i}\right\}=I_{1} 1=1, \ldots, r
$$

 aultable abdiviaion of the tive monle. The urviwor fumetion and p.d.f. of T aro then given reapectively by

$$
F(t)= \begin{cases}\arg \left(-d_{1} t\right) & \sum_{j=1} \\ \exp \left\{-1_{1}\left(t-b_{i-1}\right)-\sum_{1} 1_{j}\left(b_{j}-b_{j=1}\right)\right\} e \in I_{1}\end{cases}
$$

$$
1=2, \ldots \mathrm{E}
$$

If Fiar selaz.... dmate the obearvetfom on mwrvivel tiee in in of which $D_{f}$ are vact and hy cepoored, the loz 11 kelifhood fanction


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{-1} x_{i}\left(b_{i}-b_{i-1}\right) \sum_{i=i \leqslant 1}^{T}\left(p_{i}+q_{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Prom Aich it followe thet, for 1 wl,2,... $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2}$, the



 Altarnalively, Areslon ehoomes intervel.
and treate all cabioringe oceurrine in $I_{1}$ an having occwryed at
t.f-iy Eotimetion or 2 yroewer an avava and

$$
i_{y}= \begin{cases}a_{i-1}\left(\pi_{1} h_{i L i}{ }^{-1} / i-1\right)^{i i^{-1}} & i=i_{0}, \ldots . . \\ i=k \in 1 .\end{cases}
$$

 conmoring timea and coneovinge necurring prior \&o the fiset denth Ere ignored. Thia deun of infornetion conld be amere if the eemple 10 heavily omnored, partiewarly it ifiquationa where meveral jare




#### Abstract

Examode The Kalbrlaiach and Prentica epproach, vith 1 atarvil wiath"a of 3 unite for the 6-10 frous nad 2 unite for the placebo croup. han been uned to grodure eetimeted survitor functiomitiofigure z.l for the anta of armple $I$. It la elear that 6 if is the mupertor treacment.



7ig 1.1.

6-VIP group
Placebo group



荋

Chaster ?


## Bul. Poteatetle

## Einele itaicetor var lable

Tha etetietieal proble maniderad in chis chapter to ome in
 variable mich afvicam the aemy dato two croupa. Individuale wiende ench group ere memumed heognneoum in thermit thet eroup mondersip If tho only rector thought vo apfect urrimal.
 Fill be cobelaered and procthartif for eatenaloe 20 yore then two
 used throughout the chapter to \{11uacrate how eno ecomiequel may be applind.

## Motertion

Although this chepter alinly conofaere the two group coae it

 Fariable reprentheing aurvival time with diatribution fuaction




if $\varepsilon_{31}$ fa ctacorling.
In adaizion, let $n=\sum_{j=1}^{\pi} D_{j}$ asd desote the dintinct ordered uncenmored


For $1=0,2, \ldots, k$ int
$a_{j i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \quad i=0 \\ \text { number of group } j \text { uncensored obe ervetione equni to } t(i)\end{array}\right.$

$$
i=1, \ldots, k .
$$

$L_{3 i}=$ number of group $j$ censored observations in $\left[t(i) * t_{(i+1)}{ }^{t}\right.$
$m_{j i}=\sum_{p=1}^{k}\left(a_{j p}+a_{j p}\right) m_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} m_{j i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(a_{j}+e_{j}\right)$

## Comparian of teate

The relntive marit of the tentin of this chnpter will be soseased in two ways. Firstiy, in large mumples, the eriterion of smymptotic relntive efficiency (A.R,E) will be used (Kendsil sid Btunrt (2973), p.276). A.B.E. menwures the limiting ratio of nemple sizes reguired by two tests to produce the snme power for s sequence of parameter values which approseh the nult value being tested. Secondly, small semple rover will be inventigeted by the following Nonte Cerlo procedures proposed by Gehan and Thomes (1969) snd used subsequently by Lee, Desu and Gehan(2975). The eliniend trinal siturtion is simulsted by these suthors by smouming that indiv:"unln In each group enter the trisiat a constant rate in the interval $\left(0, T^{*}\right)$. (Note thet this corresponds to the random eenaorship model with

$$
\left.H_{Y_{1}}(y)=H_{Y_{2}}(y)=\frac{z}{T} * y \in(0, T *)\right) .
$$

For $i=1,2$, group i individunle fsil secording to the Weibull distritution (see 52.2) with p.d.f.

$$
r_{i}(t)=\lambda_{i} a t^{a-1} \exp \left(-\lambda_{i} t^{a}\right) . \quad t>0
$$

In the two group case, the null hypothesis of interent is $H_{2} \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}$ ageinst the one-sided alternative $H_{1}: \lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}$.

Shagit: vi2 be generated mecordiag to the faliowing plam

| a | 61 | ${ }^{18}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.25 | 0.92598 | 0.92175 | 2. 17283 |
|  |  | 1.24916 | 1.90527 |
|  |  | 2. 39136 | 2.819197 |
|  |  | 1.64650 | 1.72807 |
|  |  | 1. 90766 | 1.63490 |
|  |  | 2.17620 | 1. 55695 |
| 1.00 | 2,00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1.20 | 2.79341 |
|  |  | 1. 60 | 1.64302 |
|  |  | 2.60 | 1.92016 |
|  |  | 1. ${ }^{0} 0$ | 1. ${ }^{\text {\% }} 371 \mathrm{lh}$ |
|  |  | 2.00 | 2. 5319 |
| 0. 15 | 1.23982 | 1.13982 | 1.63007 |
|  |  | 1.30684 | 2. 4800t |
|  |  | 1.1.6700 | 1.3T775 |
|  |  | 1.62159 | 1.28807 |
|  |  | 1.77129 | 2.21529 |
|  |  | 2.91694 | 1.15300 |

 that \{ret eppendix A for detaily,



 are chow wn whe that the men time to failura is 1 for group 1


 $\frac{1}{2}\left(2-0^{-2}\right)$ so that is all reaninine seplen. To sa chamen to


$\frac{1}{7} *\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left(\arg \left(-\operatorname{m}_{1} y^{0}\right)+\operatorname{arp}\left(-k_{2} y^{m}\right)\right) d y\right]=1-e^{-2}$,
 In the evo eroupt

## Q.2. A perevetric model

Tre Yeibull aiecribution
A matural ehoice for tho didtributico of Eurofval tine T if the Mabbull diatribution, which my be detioed theough ita hearard Ametlom ae tollme

$$
\lambda_{T}(t)=1 a t^{a-1}
$$

$$
\text { a. }+ \pm \theta_{+}+\geqslant 0 \text {. }
$$

The Aieftribution fumetion and p. A.f. of I are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{T}(e)=1-\operatorname{sep}\left(-1 t^{0}\right) \\
& F_{5}(t)=2 t^{-1} \operatorname{axp}\left(-2 t^{m}\right) \quad 2=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The axponontial Eintribution in es important epecial emere viou e=1,
For $3 \mathrm{ml}, 2$, let $Y_{I_{j}}(t)=1-\exp \left(-x_{j} t^{a}\right) \quad 2.1$
where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda$ and $\lambda_{2}=4 \lambda$. Nlote that

$$
\left[1-F_{T}(t)\right]-\left[1-F_{T_{g}}(t)\right]
$$

 She "shape" parenetor ain Allowed to toke Alftereat Delian in the two groups this property no longer holds. Under theme maubptione, the low likelihood function is given by



The maximin likelihood ostimeten . A. af 0. 1. . are then the solution of the mquetior.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{0_{2}^{2}}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} t_{2 i}^{0}=
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2} E(1, A, a)}{x^{2}}=-\frac{1}{\theta_{i=1}^{2}} \sum_{i} \\
& \frac{3^{2}+1 \cdot d-1-1}{2 \phi^{22}}=-\sum_{5}^{2} t_{21}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expected velume of the eecond derivetivee cannot be rvelueted
 Sovover, the amyptotic covariabed metili of ( $0,2,0$ ) my be estimated conidetantly by $y$ - fig. ebe ixveree of the megetive of the meris
 ant jeten, and mympt.ot inally

$$
(\phi, i, a)^{\prime} \leftarrow \underline{1}\left((6, n, \infty)^{\prime}, \mp\right) \text {. }
$$

 alternativee an ther berformed uning the relation
 otatheric

$$
\mathrm{L} \cdot-2[\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e})-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{y}=1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~A})] \text {, where }
$$



 and Lin teate ranpectively.

## The Lerogentind Distribution





 atifinter. Thee aecond derivetiver ere fiven by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} 2(9, \lambda)}{\partial \theta^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}^{2}} \delta_{2 i} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} 2(t, \lambda)}{\partial \phi \partial \lambda}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} t_{2 i} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} 2(9, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda^{2}}=-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n 3} \delta_{3 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Tantiof ofpothacen coscaraling the phrionetar can to parformed all


Alenough the ML and in veate are quivilant mymptoticelly, it In of iezeraez to compare their performane In 22 ample.

 ehin eectiea in the apecial cane of expmontial aurvivel iffen.

## 

 the empected velued of the meaond partiel derivativeo eq the lag
 yremented in ebe exponeatial cene.

Firatiy, uncor the fimed oboervation tim mand if $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{j}}$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left\{-\frac{\partial^{2} 1\left(\frac{\rho}{2} \lambda\right)}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right\}=\frac{2}{i 2} \sum_{i=1}^{p 2}\left(1-e^{-\lambda \phi Y_{2 i}}\right)=I_{11}(\phi, \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \equiv\left\{-\frac{\partial^{2} 2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \lambda\right)}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right\}=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\left\{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{1=1}^{E_{1}} e^{-\lambda Y_{11}}+\sum_{i=1}^{p_{2}} e^{-\lambda d Y_{21}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The corrompending quatisfec in the Neibull cade may iniliarly he
 manx icelly.
 the canmorise Aistribution for eroup 9 memera

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{11}(\phi, \lambda)=\frac{\lambda n_{2}}{\phi} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda \phi t}\left\{1-H_{Y_{2}}(t)\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$


If the stove mituntion the eayototic coveriance metrix
 To the the urpeenione $2_{n} h_{1}$, knowleage of $Y_{1}($.$) ase w_{2}($.$) te$
 in certaly situaviong be Fomonenle.

## The Fotent

 random variahlea, vith perameter i then $y=2 \pi \int_{1=2} T$ hae a $x_{20}^{z}$ diatribution and it rollow that the ganimu likelimood eatimeor

 1s cromp 1 is obeerved util o rized auber fity or deevhe heve
 and in good apponimetion (Cor (1953)) If the tekel obervetion
 tart procedurn baed on the above, knowa men the Ftest, ls
 and of thil wection with that of the vi and th terte diacuneed earliar,

Table 2.1 shove the rwalte of fitting Weibull and Eyponential codinl to the datim of mitaple I.

$L_{1}=-2\left(E\left(0-1, \frac{1}{2}, \pi\right)-1(0,2,0)\right)-29.65 ?$

$2=\frac{\phi-1}{\sqrt{\text { ver }(t)}}+\frac{t+r y}{}$

Cemprition of modelv I and II yielda the test metatiotice


1) $L_{1}$ is an oburvition on $x_{1}^{2}$ eni in miamificent et 0,1 pt..
(i) 2 fan obecrvetion on $M(0.1)$ mieh in not vigxiffeent at $10 \%$ pt.

Comprimoe of modele I and TII yielda the fent efetiatic $L_{1}$
 sho 55 pt.

The above tenta have beer comidered in the comtaxt of two ided elterginf fren.

## 

 If this ametion uling tive Nast Cario prociedura diacumeni in I2. I. Twe alatribution of euryival efotin each of the two githupe in


 rexereted aceording to the fallowitg plam

| 1 | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $T$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 0.2 | 6.63051 |
|  |  | 0.6 | $1.764 h_{h}$ |
|  |  | 0.6 | 2.79772 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 2.303 hin |





 Tatrien for mapiem of wise 90.100 mad 200 mre vemb culculated frotm 1000


 (50 or 200) where the purformace of tha 10 tent is very phot. The

 Ahont identical for all menple nieen.




| 8 cra | 20 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 1000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 18. | 18 | 7 | M. | 14 | 1 | 12 | L | ? | M | 14 | 7 | 12 | Li | 7 |
| 0.2 | 0.998 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1,000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 0.6 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.979 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1,000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 0.6 | 0.46 | 0.2*? | 0.285 | 0.653 | -. 528 | 0.527 | 0. 868 | 0.79 | 0.797 | $0.99 \%$ | 0.992 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 0.8 | 0.194 | 0.095 | 0.097 | 0.235 | 0.139 | 0.242 | 0.332 | 0.211 | 0.212 | 0.50 | 0.47 T | 0.177 | 0.800 | 0.760 | 0.760 |
| 1.0 | 0.098 | 0.040 | 0.0.0 | 0.075 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 0.055 | 0.046 | 0.045 | 0.04 h | 0.042 | 0.012 | 0.0\%0 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| 1.2 | 0.029 | 0.059 | 0.062 | 0.020 | 0.102 | 0.103 | 0.066 | 0.163 | 0.16 | 0.240 | 0.394 | 0.354 | 0.910 | 0.600 | 0.620 |
| 3.4 | 0.000 | 0.122 | 0.117 | 0.058 | 0.230 | 0.232 | 0.231 | 0.123 | 0.42 l | 0.726 | 0, 808 | 0.800 | 0.960 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 1.6 | 0.003 | 0.205 | 0.208 | 0.135 | 0.623 | 0.426 | 0.505 | 0.709 | 0.709 | 0.942 | 0.960 | 0.900 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 1.6 | 0.005 | 0.301 | 0.304 | 0.266 | 0.501 | 0.385 | 0.150 | 0.092 | 0.890 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 2.0 | 0.009 | 0. 602 | $0 . \operatorname{Los}$ | 0.304 | 0.730 | 0.830 | 0.890 | 0.958 | 0.956 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.000 |
| 10. of atoul- |  | 1000 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 100 |  |

### 12.3. Cogifaeper zablen

## Model


 bing tereed the iteh intermel. All cemored obrervations wich



 of aroup $\$$ uncenmored and cuntored obmemetione * $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$. The dnte may then be muearised by k, 2n2 tablea. whera the 1tb tesio In of Qbe Iorm


 trungform of gi in derimed by

$$
n_{2 x}=\log \left|\frac{P_{11}}{\left(-s_{i 1}\right.}\right|
$$

The model to tom eondifated 1a

$$
n_{21}=v+H_{i} \quad x_{21}=v * 1 \quad \nabla_{i} \quad \mid+1, \ldots . \quad 2.5 .
$$

and withowe loar of ceowrality it yy be adured that $\sum_{i \in 1}^{5}=0$.
 alturnative $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ : $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ to for at latit one 1.





Ualig * ntraightiorvari modificetion of the methode eivem log Ealen
 be baed on the diafriburtion of Ba coodiefonal on the oheoryad velum of B. $5_{1}$ and $\Xi_{2}=$ aiven by



and the nu tion in the denominelor in over the wet

Under $H_{0}, 2.6$. reduces to

The tail probabiliky sasocisted with s test of $H_{0}$ sgsinat $H_{1}$ is then

$$
P=\sum_{\underline{y} u} P_{0}\left(D_{2}=\underline{y} \mid E=\Sigma\right)
$$

 For large $s_{i}$, the diatribution of $\mathrm{n}_{21}$ conditionnl on $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Fr}_{5}$ ie approximntely $H\left(u_{i}, \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}\right)$ where

$$
u_{i}=\frac{r_{i} n_{21}}{s_{i}} \text { and } \#_{0}^{2}=\frac{r_{i} s_{1 i} s_{2 i}\left(s_{1}-r_{i}\right)}{s_{1}^{2}\left(s_{1}-1\right)} \quad i=2 \ldots, k \text {. }
$$

Thus, under $H_{0}, \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(R_{2 i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2} / a_{i}^{2}$ is distributed snymptoticnily as $x^{2} k$. These results were given by Zelen (1973) for the esne of extrese censoring. He then modirled them to deel with the general eensoring situation using an spproximation due to Feldetein (2973).

Mantel's. atat.jat. ic
Mnntel (1966) alvo convidered the two-group problem and proposed the teat utstistic

$$
n=\left(\sum_{1=1}^{k} r_{2 i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i}\right)^{2} / \sum_{1=1}^{k} \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}
$$


 would ta the mopropelate ceme ceazimele if the modm at z. 3 Ее**

$$
\lambda_{11}=u * \dot{H}_{1} \cdot \lambda_{21}=v * H_{1} *=
$$



 te 2. A. Rbac the difrereme between the two aurvival probabilitian
 tea obmervet long vienin mefi croup mro from an migonential
 of the comoection butwnen mubmegumen methode nod Montel* atekielie. ente elain Is affifoult so mpport.

## Aumink late

 Le ma eviat srbitrary it vill be comvomient for congarimon purpoute latar to conmiter the rolioning perticular eltuntion. Uning the






|  |  | Acet ma | Tees 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geroup 2 | $\mathrm{Ha}^{-4} 1$ | 41 | ${ }^{11}$ |
| Orcoup ! | $\mathrm{max}^{-4}$ | $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{21}$ |
| Totel | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{c}_{1}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{1}$ |

In tbia cane the amyptotic eoer tretatic
$\sum_{i=2}^{\sum_{2}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2 L^{-w_{1}}}\right)^{2 / 4} 4^{2}$ reducan ton $a_{a}=\int_{i a_{1}}\left\{\frac{a_{21}-v_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right\}^{*}$


 thet the firat table io ipmored i.e. concoringe prior to the firut denth contribute ad informelioa.
vith $x_{i}, e_{1}^{2}$ al ntorea.

Por the dita of exanple I. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}=37.09, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=16.73$.
 ob the a. 21 yoint of ath $_{15}$

## 

## Baham'

Mileaman (2ghs) propoesed atiotintle for comparing twa proupn af abampatioat. An artolidion of the Milearon proceatra,

 an fewnomit


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1,}=\left\{\begin{array}{llllll}
-1 & \text { if } & d_{11}=2 & \text { wien } & 2_{11}=t_{21} & \text { and }
\end{array} 6_{2 j}=1\right. \\
& \text { on } \quad 4_{11}: 2_{23} \text { ana } 6_{23}=0
\end{aligned}
$$




 This eeet diy be zeferted to es the $x$ teet.



 cemaldering a Affarame meppemenegtion of bo Cehan metatatic, han mimplified em celculption of elve permutetion dietribution of $M$ and ito vepianee under $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}$ "


#### Abstract

Modsctcation  wiet intervel consorima.

Peelor (1970) poferte out thet Gohias' bla rmault o conceralog the monente of Harf applicable only under 2he rando canearibit model, wih common canmoring alatributione,  Wich this mannpilon ia not valid. Elemlow dimeumen thena  hee propoled mevarill miricethone of the shove proceduree whem  


Empand:
。

$$
\pm-272, E(v)=0, \quad \operatorname{Fer}(u)=56+6.39
$$





## 

Ohhen (1964e) hee compered the W tout oad tha F tent of 12.2
 1 and 2 ere than minued to be expoontial vith parteatera and



ii) Under the rento eememrming endel attb cumonlind ilutributiom $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{j}}(y), j=1,2$ defined by

$$
x_{1}(y)=x_{x_{2}}(y)=y f_{e} \quad y=10+x+1
$$

In teach of thear canea. Geman'a reaulte imalceta rbat the wert
 in efficiancy fincrean ab it lyormame

## 

## Whe K Tran enat: Gemorel epmoneti

Prto and Putc (1972) propoce a method of comparina K(32) crowpe of obestratione oùject en cemornhip and le will be ennemiont to formiare kiolt remulta in thin more meemel cotreat.
 anociat with the $j^{t h}$ group If of the farm

$$
\left\{2-F_{T_{j}}(\varepsilon)\right) \pm\{1-F(t)\}^{0} 3 \quad j+1 \ldots \ldots \pi
$$

(Leheanfeniy of eurvivor rumetiona), the hypothenin of fibencent




$$
\text { wich under } \quad \text { Ie redueen to }
$$

DStreseneleting 2.12. producet
and Malias the propertiee that 由ypopetically

$$
\mid+3 \ldots \ldots+1
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{j}=-0_{i n} \log \left(2-P\left(\varepsilon_{\left.\left.j \frac{1}{}\right)\right]}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{E}\left(0_{j}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \cdot 0$ and $\operatorname{var}\left(0_{j}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=E\left(0_{j}\right)$ a $\mathrm{I}\left\{\mathrm{t}_{j}\right)$
so that amstagtot fically

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{x}\left(0_{y}-x\right)^{1+}+y_{i}+x^{2}
$$

- at 2.13. my than to wed to provide an entimeta of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and rince $\sum_{j=1}^{K} a_{j} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathrm{~B}_{j}$

Tha salculation of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}$ requirea knowledge of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})$. Kovever Peto and

 - $\{\&\}=$


The teat שeatiayde I荲 the日 of the form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{K}\left(O_{3}-\sum_{j}\right)^{2} / \frac{K_{3}}{3}
$$

boving null dieeribution $x^{2} x-\Sigma^{\circ}$
 thw monion conmorahis mad. hut net alaumind equal centoring
 Mote thet
-a that when $K=2,2.1^{2}$ reduces to

The two Mroup case: Loarapl eest
In the two group ceee Peto (1972) dimcumem a towt whlch in a modificution of the move. Info cone, enlled the logrank teat. in bared on the perautation dintiribution of ng soorme from einist poganation of $n_{1}$ * mitmecree, one for eech member of the semple, and my be formulated an follone- Ulehout lone of enonarnilty it my be eanumed thet $\boldsymbol{1}_{1}=1$. $\hat{C}_{2}=T$ eo that tho hypotheaia of intereat fa Ho: Tm enefont the general memrontive Histol. The loge likelibcod fre 2.12 in then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i=1}^{2} \log \left(1-F\left(\varepsilon_{2 i}\right)\right) \cdot(108-) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}^{2}} d_{2 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follow inat

$$
\left.\frac{12(y)}{k i}\right|_{\mathrm{vel}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2} \log \left\{1-F\left(t_{21}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} g_{21}
$$

The logrank ntatiatic. $t$, 1t obtainod on raplacion lag $(1)-T(E))$
 function, that

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left[-\sum_{t(i)^{e t}} a_{2 i} / m_{2}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} s_{2 i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left[a_{2 x}-\frac{a_{1} n_{21}}{n_{k}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$



$$
1=1,2, d_{0}, \ldots .
$$



$$
=\sum_{i=2}^{E_{2}} \operatorname{lin}_{2 i}
$$

Pato \{1972) and Pate and Patc (1972) aurgent that an emactiant of
 ncorat randinily alected froen the flulte population of $n_{1}$ * $n_{2}$ meores. The ramiting parmintation tant, howevar, will only be valid umare the randion conancuhip model with con on canmoring diveributione In tha twa graupe.

Comatiluce of equet lon 2.15 and 2.10 mow thet the loprant


The batilial kurent tott
Th the Afecuevion of Peto and Poto (2972), Curuow (2972) bid
 aurnivor function in prafermece to the ML eatimete. Une of the PL
 terned che modfiad logrank atatietid. eiven by

 Af\#tritution ma Affer hetwrar mroupe he ehovn thet i ie


Tato pato (1972) indicata procedurise for the oartenaiob
 eerietion betwem imAlviduale is more mremaive.

## Lasale






## 

Peto (1972) claima that the logrand seat hes optimal pover loanly although Crouras (197h) afmputen thic clein and mumenta an eltarnetive jumtificatian or the Lagrank atativtle. For the epertel cene of entree cencorina the lochily mot powertul property han bean eatebliabed by Joluneot ana Mohrotre (1972).


 $T_{3}$ and $T_{2}$ are diatrimiod erponmeinily vith permetmra i and on






- indieat en coneored ovencrifion.
$I=10.2545 \quad L=9.7239$
$0_{1}=9,0_{2}-22, \boldsymbol{t}_{3}=19.2460$ and
$\left(0_{1}-E_{1}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{1}{Z_{1}}+\frac{1}{\left(0_{1}+0_{2}-I_{1}\right)}\right]=15.210_{1}$
(25.218) io aipoifiemat at ehe 0.28 polnt of $x_{1}^{2}$ )

$$
H_{1}(y)=1-e^{-y} \cdot y_{2}(y)-1-e^{-\infty} \quad y>0 \quad \geq 0
$$


#### Abstract

 


## 12, 6 Diseungion

Lee, Datiu and Geban (1975), uillige the Monte Cerlo procadura Aftewated in thin compare the mall enople power ereapet ond
 in finto enction memely

1) Tent


 th F turt an tho tremeformed obrervetiont.

1v) M test The aqmpenic form of the Comeralifed wileoze teat.
-1 I toet. An epproximeion to phe Logrent teet, treting It, under Ho: an narmaliy distributed vieb Earo mang mod permutation=1 variane

$$
x_{2} m_{2}^{m_{1}} \sum_{i x_{1}}^{m_{n}} L_{2} f\left(n_{2}-n_{2}\right)\left(s_{1} \omega_{n_{2}}-1\right)
$$

 se fevel

In wholing from the expooertial dimeribution, the Fi seat doan not apgly and of the reiving rive tanta, th f cant is mot porertul.


 tente the Fi tent de mote powarful followed by the ie tert. The M. t and $\underline{U}$ enete then follow in order of decreening pormer, Thment
 4\%, Muriher memplee rere genereted fieh elffering mentie sieer
 of the temt coneidered it men found that power increated vith inereasing acmple site and decrentimg concorint rate. The ehowe muthore also genereted gemplem $\left(\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}-50\right)$ from the Hadbull dimtribution with difletrent ahape parametera (ael in group 1, awo. B5 in crour 2) and

 anatpefon that the aurvivor function is the 2 eroupa derive From a

 Erron (1967).

## 4z Ine gotuer ion

## Rymenion modete




















 Hentita fly

Mof ation
 extanion of thet latroducua ia 12.1.
 survival time for the itth individunl with dietribution function $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}$ ( t ) and cormapoadisa imbepandant virimblen $y_{i}^{\prime}=\left(x_{i 1}, I_{i z}, \ldots, x_{i p}\right)$.


$$
A_{\&}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { ir } \varepsilon_{1} \text { in a doadb } \\ 0 & \text { if } \varepsilon_{i} \text { is a omenowinim }\end{cases}
$$

Additional notetion to be med vili the introducad at the tegonaind of the mproprimto section

### 13.2. Logiatic-Fmomentiel Modal

Hots and Ar-1ryiv
Por $1=1, \ldots, n$ eatum that 7 , is exponeatially aletributed with




 probmbility of imaifidual I urviving mit inearral ecadirional on
 by $R(\lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{T_{i} \log Q_{i}+\delta_{i} \log \left[\frac{1-q_{i}}{q_{i}}\right]\right\}$.
Hyers et. Al. (2973) propose a model in which $\log \left[\frac{1-Q_{1}}{Q_{1}}\right]$ is Iinesr in the iküpentime verifmien, i.d.

It follown thet
$\log Q_{1}=-\log \left\{1+\exp \left(B_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j} x_{31}\right)\right\}=-\lambda_{1}$.




Mers et. al. hovever noted that the derinition of what eonstitutes a time interval has a direot effect upon the realiting parameter entimater. To nchleve time acale invarinnce they postwated the existence of a perneter $\%$ and madiried their model much that

$$
\log \left[\frac{2-q_{i}^{W}}{a_{i}^{W}}\right]=s_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} s_{3} x_{3 i}
$$

where $Q_{1} W=e^{-\lambda_{1}} i^{W}$ is the epnaitionel probebility of individund i surviving on intervel of length $W$. The resulting log likelihood $i\left(\theta_{0}, B, W\right)$ is as abowe with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log a_{i}=-\frac{1}{u} \log \left\{1+\operatorname{sip}\left(B_{a}+\frac{p}{2=1} \beta_{j} x_{j 1}\right)\right\} \text { and } \\
& \log \left[\frac{1-a_{1}}{Q_{1}}\right]=\log \left[\left\{1+\exp \left(B_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} B_{j} x_{31}\right)\right\} X^{-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The above suthors encountered dirfieulties in untimating $\frac{\theta}{}$ and in this modified model due to a lack of dependence of the Pi t on W and suggented that $w=$ ght be prespecified to overcome this problem.

Time dependent exponential pareneter
Nontel and Hnnkey (2975) considered this nodel further and iuggonted an altermative generalisation or the model at 3.2. They questioned the validity of sesumine ${ }^{1}$; to be independent of time and ineluded in their model a continvous time function $g(t)$ wuch that

$$
x_{i}(t)=106\left[1+\exp \left\{0_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} i_{j} x_{j i}+g(t)\right\}\right]
$$

This lesds te an sliernative speciriention of the nodel at 3.2 sa

$$
\log \left[\frac{2-q_{i k}}{Q_{i k}}\right]=s_{0}+\frac{p}{j=1} B_{j} \pi_{j i}+E(k) \text {. }
$$

where $Q_{i k}$ is the conditionnl probability of individunl i surviving the kth unit interval. Asauning that $g(k)$ may be approximated by $\sum_{i=1} r_{f} k^{2}$, a


$$
2\left(B_{0}, \underline{\theta}, \eta\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\tau_{i}} \quad \log \theta_{i k}+s_{i} \log \left[\frac{1-\theta_{i \tau_{i}}}{a_{i \tau_{i}}}\right]\right\}
$$



Mantel and benkey devilog procedured for entimeting the paretimin is


## Thimeticn of convinge metix

 In the model et 3.1 (mad 3.2) evaluet the expectad vivas of ehe seond
 tism model. Bowever, thatal mad limkey quanelion the we of thin procedure

 ratio procedure.

Altermetivaly, the engected velu=, mey be wieluated by betiag that


$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\delta_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{1} e^{-2}\left\{2-x_{4}(E)\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$




 Two Introduetion of the functiong Enkea the we of enje teehmiqua for Che sodel it 3.1 comptintionnily conbleg.

## 

The Oor mortel



$$
\left.\operatorname{sonex} \mathrm{I}, x_{i}(\mathrm{x})=x_{0}(\varepsilon) \text { (1) } x_{i}\right)
$$




$$
\left.x_{1}(\varepsilon)-\lambda_{j}(\varepsilon) \text { expen }\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)\right)
$$

no that the model is of tbe proportional helarde trpe. An merective

 $\lambda_{0}(t)$ enker a mpecirie forn will bo cominiderad.

Prentice (19T3) ham aomiderad two mola la wiak rbe nuecion
A (e) eanes mapocitic forn.


-0. $0_{0}$



 nosi III and Meibill vodur model II.

> 10 the ewo grouy canm
noin II reducea so



Model IXI ven flyt conmidared by Glamar (196个) for a minela
Imdrytncont Varionia.
Mera ti. al. (2973) point out minteranting oonmetion betwem
 ead for anoll $\%$,

$$
\log \left[\frac{1-\theta_{i}^{W}}{\theta_{i} H}\right]=\log \left[e^{\lambda_{1} K}-1\right]=\log \left(\lambda_{1} H\right)
$$

Bo that

$$
x_{1}=\frac{1}{2} e^{0}+x\left(g^{\prime} z_{1}\right)
$$

Which io equivalent to the erpreseico for $A_{1}(\ell)$ in model IIt. Byer and


Gpalunion of atrate
 act mitipldectirtiy on the herert function wiy yot ba true. To Itcorporeti

 model 1 .
fuppore that the individuale may be orlit into obtrate mecording to the velves or the independent rariahle(a) violating the angegt koen of medel I for moe of itimery foeremy and for $i=1, \ldots, 1,1 \Rightarrow 1, \ldots$, || $1=1$ Fif be a candom veriable repreaenting murvivel \&ime of the ith individual In the jth itratin. The ebove anthor propomen a model In whith the bacerd Paction of ty is Eiveriby
NoDER If i $X_{j i}(t)=x_{0 j}(t) \exp \left(B^{\prime} \underline{Z}_{j i}\right)$.
Where $z_{A 1}$ is the vector of independent verinblee to be included in tha dancription of the godel for the ith menter of the Jet atratum. Bimilarly,

 MaveL VI : $z_{j 1}(\varepsilon)=x_{j}$ eng $\left(s X_{j}\right)$.
 for ach of tbe abov minle. Further posernlizy gy le obefmea ea
 effere metreen etreta.


 the peaceral within etrata modala givan abova fa thet int noductiog of new
 moln IVI. The romultiog mathodm of Inforebe will pot be comidered here and the reethr ie reforred es Holt and Franeleo for cetelle

Anditia modele
aleboum sodele I co vi yid provide the min inhjuct For etudy


 aanily be artended to the itratifled eltuation in an obvious my.

Firatiy, mocel proponed by Fiact and Zelon [2965], anauman tame the hereve froctiec fer Indidduel it io eiven by

$$
x_{1}(t)-\left(\theta+A^{t} x_{1}\right)^{-1}
$$





 althoupb analynin ramaina cuhermons.

Beconaly, Grembern et. A. (297h) propoce amodel in mif

$$
x_{1}(t)=t+t+y_{1}
$$

 Hiniler Afticulaia.

 of the above blould coly be ued it their approxitation to the true
 1 *o YI.

```
The form az exp '4" है
```




 1e obteined by ving, for $1=1, \ldots .$. .

$$
s_{13}-z_{13}-\bar{x}_{1}+\bar{z}_{3}-\sum_{m_{1}}^{E_{13}}
$$




In edaition Cox (2972) suberatial tbat the dependent independant



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}(t)=\int \lambda_{0}(t) \quad \text { crout } 1 \text { menera }
\end{aligned}
$$

Suen rariablen nimilerly be ueas in mode II tc VI. Hota bouever
 (The commata of Kelbelelach and Prentice (2972a) on how ouch varimblea voula afrect modal I are bialeadingl. Discumatoc of the validiey of includiag


will be assumed that independent variables are prespecified and not functions of $t$ ime.

## 53. 4. Sumpiny:

The remninder of this work will be primarily eoncerned with models I to VI. Chapter 4 investigntes the eatimntion of, and signiricance teats concerning, relevant paraneters in the models. The erficiency of these Inferentinl procedurvs will be discussed in chapter 5 and technlques for sasesming 'goodness of fit' to the nodels in chapter 6. Chapter 7 illustrates the use of those models in an example.

## Chapter -

THE ALALYBTS OF PROPCRTYIOVAL HAZARD MODEIS

## 敛 Ih Introduction

gールロy


#### Abstract

  by the methods of malyin 2ikelithood（04．2 and ith，3）whough  （14．T）and Zyanien（ 14.8 ）vill bw coaddered．It，inventi－ster gethode of anti＝ting cavariance merieen of televant paraeter  their veluen．For the gereneric pdelif，fenulte wl2 usually he givec for codel．II and $Y$ only．Correegondind expreanione ror nodele III and VI my be dedued at apeciel reeet．


## Tied Det寊

It 111 be manned khroughout thet resdow varlablen repreement uurvival time mre contianoun．Frequently，bowrer，date yill be Fecorded $i=$ form involving $t 1 \mathrm{em}$ ．If themrera $=12$ in mumber． a rendom braking of the tien dil unvelly be edequate．Te eover
 logiatic model clanely raletad to model $I$ ．Kelbriafech and Premefice （1973）axtend their mareinel ifkalihnod mppreneh ta incorgorate tied
 of thean methoile my boployed vithin etrata，under mocel IV．
 unatfected by the poetibllity of tiod ata．

## Matat Lan

 depentent chawrwtione with ecrrempoadien lacieatera

$$
t i^{\circ}= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } t_{i}^{*} \text { io a aeneh } \\ 0 & \text { ir } i_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$ tha orderad unemabred aywival ifmen.

When deeling with motin IV, \% and II the notation may be





## 

Model I
Com (2972). Im comphtia tbe likelimood ruaction under model I,


 1a


The required lizelincod in tham obtalned en the protuct, over deatha, of mueh tearman and




## 



4.2


 arrace, of terme

BeA Eha

$$
4-3 .
$$



 whare $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $g^{\prime}=\left(\sigma_{2} \ldots \ldots \infty_{\ldots}\right)$ -

## Model I

Froe h. 1. the los Litelihoad fuaction for A Sa civan by

Differmentating
ned



$$
=U_{\mathrm{kH}}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \text {, k=1, } \ldots+5 \quad \text { 4. T. }
$$


 Likalimood may be tabulated diractiy to obtain parmerter eptimata.
 4.7 vill yield B. Corputatien of the eacond partíd exivativen at 4. 7. howevar, my prowe tedion mad ammel method uming
 sheecmotational sapecte of model tittio in chapter 7 . The proble of estimeting $A_{d}(t)$ hat been conaldered by aeverel nut hore. Kalbfiefech and Preat ice (2973) begin by approwimating

 and siven 8 the dbows euthore nhow that the maximu 1ikelifood



4.t.

 -atime of the unvivor faction $F(t)=\operatorname{enp}\left\{-\sin ^{\prime} z \int_{0}^{1} 4(x) d u\right\}$ for in fadividual witi deAopandent varlablea for thea
 - \& $I_{1}$




 bava that the grollbility of survivima interwel If condtifonel en matering It by bertimates by
and in corperponding ant lett of the murvivor fametion In

$$
f_{z}(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1 & t \in I_{1} \\
\prod_{k=1}^{i-2}\left\{1-e^{\prime} z\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\prime} \dot{b}^{\prime} z^{n} j\right)^{-1}\right\} t=I_{1} \quad\{=2 \ldots, k
\end{array}\right.
$$

Oaker ( 2972 ) and CoE (1972) have al ac conaidered the ertimetion of

 perforea acpamet maximulikelihood entimation procedure for
 aurviver fumction is a furthar genarelisation of the PL eatimate.
Hedeln II and III

Frou h. 2 ebe log 11tel fhood under model II fic

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(g, \lambda, a) & =(\log \lambda+\log a) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}+(a-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \log t_{i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} B^{\prime} \underline{X}_{i}-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{a} \exp \left(\underline{e}^{\prime} \underline{x}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Differantiating.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial R\left(g_{1} \lambda, a\right)}{\partial \beta_{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} x_{i j}-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} t_{i}^{a} \exp \left(B^{\prime} x_{i}\right) \quad j=1, \ldots=p \\
& \frac{\partial R\left(B_{1} \lambda, a\right)}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}{ }^{a} \exp \left(B^{\prime} x_{i}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial R\left(\theta_{1} \lambda, a\right)}{\partial a}=\frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \log t_{i}-\lambda\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log t_{i}\right) t_{i}^{a} \exp \left(g^{\prime} x_{i}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{816 i+x}{a^{2}}=-\frac{1}{x^{2}} \sum_{1-1}^{0} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

 HEh madel I .

Modele IV, V snd VI
From 4. 3. the log 1ikelihood under model IV is

$$
x(B)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \pi_{j i} *\left[2^{\prime} x_{j i}-\log \left\{\sum_{k=i}^{n_{j}} \exp \left(E^{\prime} x^{*} k i\right)\right\}\right]
$$

and first and second derivatives of i(g) sre simply muns over strate of terms like 4.6 snd 4.7 . The runctions $\lambda_{\text {ol }}(),. \ldots, \lambda_{\text {ou }}($.$) may be$ entimated by performing Eeperate entimntion procedures, ne for model I,within ench etratum. Under model $V$, the $\log 1$ ikelihood $\mathrm{E}(\underline{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{g})$ and ita derivetives my be computed directily fron 4.9 snd 4.20 .

### 34.4. Evaluntion of eovariance matricen

## Eatimation using maxime 1ikelihood entimen

The covariance matrix of relevmat parnmeter entimators in each of the models may be entimnted as the fnverse of the negative of the matrix of second partini derivntives evnlunted nt the maximum likelihood estinates. The usunl large anmple distributionsl results for maximum Ilkelihood entimnten nre vnifd, although these propertlen for estimstora reaulting from models I and IV require further justification (see 54.7). Anymptotically in the within strate modeln is meant in the
 while the total somple size $n=\sum_{j=1}^{n} n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$.

## Uncensored enate

Unless mssumptions concerning the censoring mechsnism sre made, expected values or second partisl derivativea in esch of the models cannot be evslunted. Relatively simple resulte hovever may be obtained In the uncensored case (all individunla observed to death). Under model II, it may be shown that (see Appendix A for deteils),
$E\left(T_{i}^{a}\right) \quad=\frac{e^{-\frac{B^{\prime}}{A} X_{i}}}{\lambda} L(1,0)$
$E\left\{\left(\log T_{i}\right) T_{i}{ }^{\alpha}\right\}=\frac{e^{-B^{\prime} X_{i}}}{\alpha^{\lambda}}\left\{L(1,1)-\left(\log \lambda+B^{\prime} X_{i}\right) L(1,0)\right\}$
$E\left(\left(\log T_{i}\right)^{2} T_{i}{ }^{\alpha}\right)=\frac{e^{-B^{\prime} X_{i}}}{a^{2} \lambda}\left(L(1,2)-2\left(\log \lambda+\beta^{\prime} X_{i}\right) L(1,2)\right.$
$\left.+\left(1 \log \lambda+B^{\prime} X_{i}\right)^{2} L(1,0)\right)$,
where $L(2,0)=1, \quad L(1,1)=1-u, \quad L(1,2)=(v-1)^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-1$,
and $\omega=0.5772$. . . . is Euler's constsnt.
The asymptotic covnrinnce matrix of the maximu likelinood entimntors $\hat{\mathbb{B}}, \dot{\hat{x}}$ and $\dot{a}$ is then $I^{I I}(\mathbb{B}, \lambda, a)^{-1}$ where $I^{I I}(\mathbb{E}, \lambda, a)=\left[I_{i, j}^{I I}(B, \lambda, a)\right]$ is a symetric matrix with elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p+2}^{I I} p+1|2-1+2|=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\log \lambda+8^{\prime} x_{j}{ }^{3}{ }^{2}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$






$$
\cdot\left(1 \propto x_{1} \cdot 8^{\prime} x_{j} 1^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

## 

Under the fixed obeorvetion time mod in, ir. for imp..... in
I. rejtementa the mime observable tie for individmal i, then is
model II
voen of 1, wreluation of tho latt two ternem canoot be echieved malyticeliy. Bonever in the mipomontimi cmrw, thete terial ore not meeded and the reguired guantitian mer

Under the rando eaneorahip model

$$
E\left(\left(20 g T_{1}\right) F_{1}\right)-\int(20 \varepsilon) e_{0} c_{1}\left(2, g_{0} \lambda, m\right) \text { dt }
$$

and for $\mathrm{i}=2, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ fan rendom verimable rapronentina period
 sinilar panclt, are obtaived in an obviou my for male v end VI.

## 

## Etervilee procedurea


 -1folefcent errect upon mumimal. Tniamay be merfieved by a formard deevolie procesure afinilep ea that uard in mennara muleiplo Fegradelon, tho effect of each new indepandant varileble introducod
 retio tent procedure. A bechverd atepulae procedurp. fitting a malel vith all indepandent veriablee inciuded and ailminatine ach one in twr. in on eltercei ive mpronch uned in e releted contemet by oreanberg and fayerd ( 197 h ). The farmer method will prove mor* unerul ror mpplication in shich the nueber or indepmodent verimblem


 ierge 4 mple teet mentionnd bbove.

Madel 1 - connection with lopraniz tart
In diecuening Eodel I, Cos (1972) Indicmten thet the clabel

 aleteimuted, uncar li, with zarc man vertor and coveriance Eatrix $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{g})^{-1}$ where $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{g})=\left[-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}\right]$. In the two group cmeat where

$$
\chi_{1}{ }^{\circ}=r_{i} * f_{i}^{0} \text { group } 1 \text { membera }
$$

thic atatietic reduce to

$$
\text { Comparifon with } 2.20 \text { chown that the abore tewt ia aquivileat to }
$$

Mental" tamt bumed on than utatlatic Md. The connectfoa with the

## If.6. Murkingl 1ihelihood egranch

## gntroduction


 and hy Kalbrleineth end Eyrote (19TO). fot the purtume of elinimatimg mulience parentertil.


#### Abstract

Moder Eaverel contributork ta the aimeunelna of Cox (1972) wer unhmpy about the formetion of the likelihnod function et $\mathbf{k}, 2$ ent MnikMeinch and Promtice (2973) hate jumifine ite form withim the framewark of earginal likelthood. Thmew euthorm ergue that in the uncuanored cene, therank vector illiufficiant for R'In the   proportional to the Afetribution of the renk vector. In the cenaored cave, the foll panir vector in not obserwed end Kalbel=1eot and  probinility that the rank vector in bane of thome poanible wader the obsorved maple. The renultime expression in Identicel to the form 4.1. It if importent to note thet thle arteonion to the cenmored cme cennct be fumtified formolly witifn the contert of marginal Hhelihood, In addition, the merginal likelihood appromeh enembea thet Ao(.) is not identically gero over an apen laterval of the poitive read line, and that independert reriablem ere not functiona of time. The maginel mufficiency mrgumente bresk dow if time depondent covariater are includer in the bodel.


## Modela II and III

For modal II, in the unemaored came, $A=\left(\Lambda_{2}, \ldots, h_{0}\right)^{\prime}$,
 the marginal likelihood L(R. a) of E.0 ia proporeionsi ta the p.d.P.


Applying en andelvarinte traneformetion

$$
T_{1}=T_{1}, \quad T_{1}=A_{1} T_{1} \quad i=2, \ldots, n
$$

and fotegratiof $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ frem the reaultine expreowice ls follora that


- L(8. $\quad$ )


 be Juatifled formbil). Without loan of genernilyy it may be mesumed ethet

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4_{1}=b_{2}=\ldots=b_{r}=2 \\
& 8_{r+1}=t_{r+2}=\ldots=b_{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the evant of interant in

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(r-1): a_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{i}^{B_{i}(a-1)} e x p\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{1} E^{\prime} x_{1}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}^{a} e^{\prime} x_{i}\right)^{-r} \\
& \text { = L(2, a) }
\end{align*}
$$

Modele. IV, V and VI
 1fhelíhood of g arimea out of ino soive ofneritution af che ent
 each stratum, and the reaurina appreesion le laentiesl to b.3.
 Inforent isl pror esuren
 II and III have seen afecueped by Praceice (2973), he sumente
 model III te conducted by ecmparing the mull veluev to be tented vith

 modelo mituble entimeore of the paremetora era proviaod withe



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{P} \delta_{i} \log t_{i}-r \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_{i}^{\hat{i}} \dot{B}^{+} \Delta i\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

 to thoge obtalofd uelme the weanderd merimu Ithelihood procedure.
 obtein $\begin{gathered}\text { by mavinu dikelihood. }\end{gathered}$

The large ample papertifa of maximul likalibood, hotrevar, heve
 Impliektione of Kulbrieiseh end Prentice (1993), that theme whuld


 abetion.

## 14. 7 Partind jikelihood epproact.

## Enさialtiog and propartien






 gernitetar 2 , Then
$r^{r} X_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{3}, B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, S_{3}=\prod_{j=1}^{T} f_{j}, B_{3} / x_{1}, \ldots, X_{j-1}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{j-1}$
$=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{x_{j}} / x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{j-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{B}_{3} / x_{1}, \ldots, x_{3}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{j-1}}$
and the aecond tero of ehia swateaijon In defined an the pertial


 ab). It a uitmble trmaformetion in avalloble auch thet the partisl L4Eelibood dependa oaly on the partacherm of Intarent then inferencen concerning theee paranetera by baied on thia iflelithood. Cok stechees eeveral profote amochated oith thr uaiquenem and fommeiou of gareiel likelihooda. In sddition he shomet thet the ntanderd


1) Eeymptotic normalicy of marametar entimetore.
2) ecpeifetemcy of the merix of 2nd partial derivativen,
evelunted me ither the paranatar netimet or the true permeeter velum, in the entimition of the caverience merin,

1ii) lerge emple $x^{2}$ tent procedure bened on the likalihood racic, ere ell velid when dewimg vith partial lizeliboodi.
fatole 5 and II

 and let $X_{j}$ repmramert the ereut that deatb occura at $t_{j}$ "mand kn
 argues thet the remultina partiol likelinood for model I is 4. 1. frowley (197L) mken them point whenticelly axplicit for the two menle yroblem. A further point of inportance ia inat thte mpreech allowe the fmelumico of time dependent covarifter.

It followe directly thet the likelihood under model IV, eit.3.
 Acpendent covmrimea im moo pernitted in thio sodel.

## 14.B. Bavenian appromer

Mor-1a II and II


 uader madel 11 In chan
and uning the ronule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { that tho marcinel poeterior Aenaley of a. } \frac{1}{2} \text { is } \\
& \left.-(\&, E)=\int+12,+\pi\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { of zreport (endity). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## halelorath11

$$
\text { In model } V \text { with pribor atraity }
$$


the maginal poaserior Aabaity ot de is evo product ovar etrate


Chapter 5
EFPICINACY COMPARISONS

## 15-1 Intexduntion

## 

Thim ehepter io concolitied minly uith the raletive esticiency of

 meo conniflered.
 In Eivom rn Kendial and gevert (1972, s.29) for eatimeorm wich are
 efficient entimitor of the partiawler of component of fatorant and E, im another antimeor with
 reletive erficiency of $B_{2}$ (eompared to $\beta_{1}$ ) is eiven by

$$
\mathrm{B}_{2,1}=\left(\frac{e_{1}}{n_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} .
$$


 Im mil applicetioma to be eomaitered here, $=1$. heouta concerning the meynptotic erficiency of eentias proceduraw my bebtined by axploizime the combection betveen A.R.T. and antimifng fffelancy outhined by Kendeli and seumet (1992. p 28b/5).

Bintan Corlo methode. Efmilar to thoce of 12.1 will be weit to
 -w when. Erriciency comperisons in amnil samplea will almo be ehisved by symuletion.

The vienin aryate modela are inventipted in 15.5. The lario and




## 

It in maveniont 解 thif etage to conlder emin ebo reaule
 parentric midela in the vectudurad ceae. Under model II. tho



- $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ ? merim man memen

 eaoveniently written all
where $M=\left(B^{-C} B^{-2} G^{\prime}\right)^{-2}$ and the erginal diatribution of $E$ is




$$
E=\left[E_{1} ; E_{0} \text { where } E_{1}:+ \text { aro } p=\|\right. \text { metricem with blement. }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{\lambda_{k j}}=\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j=2}} \mathbf{x}_{\text {jik }} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=1 \ldots \ldots \text {. } \mathrm{b} \text {. } 1 \ldots . . \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l:c}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{~B}_{\text {Ac }} \\
\hdashline \mathrm{B}_{20} & \mathrm{~B}_{a}
\end{array}\right]
$$

thare $B_{x}$. Aiac. $\left(n_{j} / x_{j}^{2}\right)$
 and


 Reault, for modele 1 II and YI sre obviona mpecial canea of the obove.

## 15.? The two and $K$ grour emer

## Targe nample efficiency

 croup can in Identicel to the logrank etatigtic wt 2.25. The resulte at the and of 12.5 indicece that the tent beand on thim atatiatic in anyaptotically fully erfielent under randian cenournity, when the eaneoring dintribut lons in the evo aroupa are equal and the true Aferibution of aurvivel tim in axpoeentifal. Purther ascoptotic reaulte have aleo bean alaeuened is that atection.

In the K-grous
 unieg the etetiatic eivan in fit. 9 and under tho

$$
\left[\frac{\partial R(Q)}{\partial Q}\right]^{1} \mathrm{Q}(Q)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial L(\Omega)}{\partial g}\right]^{\text {saymp }} x_{K-1}^{2} \quad 5.2
$$

Crowlay (2973) hea extanded the mbove 2 spoup rimulea uadar dameteal
 efficient, Agein lonem in efficiency oecur for unequal cansorina dietribut fore

Sunnat satayle poyri
In the two grouy case, Lee, Damu and Gehen (1975) uniog tho Nome Carlo procedur of $\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{1}$ have evisluated the bll seaple power or the



 favowrably with el the F-teat when the erue dietribution of murvival
 Yeibull. The ptall menple erficienay of maimum linelinood etimetion Bent on mosel I to that under model IIT. fir tbe 2 grow cene in


15.3 A aipcle inderendent variarle

The reeutte of Kmbrietimet
The relative efficiancy of the mathod of entibetion bered on model I congered to thet beeed on model III hew been cometered in the aiggle inderpetndent varieble unceanored ceev by tazbrleiacb(197ha).

Ueing the marginal likelihood L(B) of B in model III, he evalustes the information $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{III}}(\beta)$ shout $\beta$ oontained in the statistie $A$, on Which this marginal likelihood is based as

$$
I^{I I I}(B)=E\left\{-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \log L(\theta)\right\} .
$$

In model $I$, the information $I^{1}(B)$ contained in the rank vector in eveluated st $B=0$. The efriciency $c_{n}(0)$ of an entimetion procedure based on the diatribution of the rank vector (model I) compared to one besed on the distribution of A (marginal likelinood nppronch to model III) et $8 \mathbf{0} 0$ and in a snmple of sise $n$ is then the ratio or the informations contsined in these statiaties and

$$
c_{n}(0)=I^{I}(0) / /_{1} 111(0) \quad 5.2
$$

$c_{n}(0)$ is tabulated for various values of $n$ and

$$
c(0)=\frac{11}{n} c_{n}(0)=1
$$

For non-zero valuen of $B, I^{1}(B)$ onnnot be evnlunted nnalytienliy and Kalbrleisch obtaine on spproximntion to $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{B})$, velid in the neighbourbood of $\hat{\beta}=0$, by expending $\log I^{I}(B)$ as a Tnylor series nbout日*0. The resulting efficiency mensure $\varepsilon_{n}(B)$ is evnlunted for inrge n to yield an asymptotic measure $c(B)$.

The interpretation of these asymptotic resulte in terms of two particular estimators is unclear as the methode given by Kalbrleisech in model III sre besed on the concepts or marginal likelihood (see coments in $\$ 4.6$ on inferential procedures). These dirficulties sre overcome in this section by replacing the mnrginal likelihood $L$ ( $B$ ) by the standard 1 ikelinood $L(B, \lambda)$. The detniled derivntion given, runs parallel to that of Kalbrleisch.

## Large anmle efficiency in uncensored cane

It will be convenient to tranaform the independent variable $x$ by putting $z_{i}=x_{i}-\bar{x}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$ where $\bar{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i} / n$.

The rwale of 15.1 with gmi Indiomta that the model III tratormetion metrix if given by
$\left.L^{1+2}(ब . \lambda)+\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}n & 0 \\ 0 & v / 22\end{array}\right.\right]$
 of the rinite population $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{EE}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. It them followe thet



Under Model I, the 2fkilhood function can the written

and uaing f.7., the 2nd derifetive of the log lilelinood in $\frac{a^{2} a(\beta)}{a B^{2}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} n^{2} e^{B z_{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{B z_{j} j^{n}}\right)-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} n e^{\beta j^{n}}\right)^{2}\right\}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{B} e^{\beta z_{j} n}\right)^{-2}$

- $-a_{2}(8)$
where

$$
c_{1}(s)=-\frac{x}{x+2}
$$

 -12 F ! pomeible rent vectore 5 , it follow that meymptoticaly by


 -y to obtained, where

and E denoten erpectetion over the pernutetion aletribut lon of the


$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{D}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{B} z_{j} * 2\right)=(n-i+1) \mu_{2} \text { and } \\
& E_{D}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{P} z_{j} *\right)^{2}\right\}=(n-1+1) \mu_{2}\left(1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right), \\
& I^{I}(0)=\frac{n \mu_{2}}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{n-1+1}=\frac{n \mu_{2}}{n-1} n_{n}=n \mu_{2}+o(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-i}{n-i+1} \text { snd } f(n)=0(n) \notin \sum_{n+\infty}^{n} \frac{f(n)}{n}=0
$$


 efficimacy of i (comperad to ily) ia 1. Thum the mothod of atimation, II, uniag model I In maymotically fully afficiont rban toc and the comaction batwesn unimatiod efficiesacy mod A, H, R, mantioned marliar
 A. R.E. equal to 1 when compared to the mymptoticaly ofricient tent besed on the Ereinal Al crithution of $11 t^{4}$


the vilue $\begin{gathered}\text { ano }\end{gathered}$
$\log I^{I}(B)=\log I^{I}(0)+\frac{B}{I^{T}(0)} \frac{3 I^{I}(0)}{\partial B}$
$+\frac{B^{2}}{2\left\{I^{1}(0)\right\}^{2}}\left[I^{I}(0) \frac{\partial^{2} I^{I}(0)}{\partial B^{2}}-\left\{\frac{\partial I^{2}(0)}{38}\right\}^{2}\right]+$
Note that the log transformation ensures that $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{I}}(8)$ remnins positive for ell valuen of $\boldsymbol{c}_{\text {. }}$
Twalutian tern by tarn.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{\Sigma}(0)=m+10(0) \\
& \pi^{t}(0)=E_{D}\left(E_{0}(0)-a_{2}(0) e_{1}(0)\right)-d(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-2 \mathrm{BH}_{2}+0(\mathrm{~B}] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Por details of the caleulatione leading to theot realinimec Falbilelach fig7tel. Trom 5.3 it followe that, in tbe nelghtourhood
 II et $\frac{1}{\text { a }}$ efvan by

whar. 9 [3, vish
$B_{11}=\frac{n_{21}}{n_{2}} B_{12}-\frac{n}{n_{2}}(2-m-\log 2)-B_{21}$ and

$C P^{-1} \xi^{\prime}=C_{82} B_{11}\left(B_{11} B_{22}-B_{12}^{2} y^{-1}-m^{2} v^{2}\left(\frac{8}{2}_{6}^{2}+n^{2} \mu^{2}\right)^{-1}\right.$
-a Lhat asymitotically
 or $=0$
$R_{I, I I}(B)=*^{-3} \theta^{2}\left|1+\frac{6 \beta^{2} \mu^{2}}{\pi^{2}}\right|$
Worte that $N_{I, I I}(0)=1$ and that, Eince
$e^{p^{2} B^{2}} a 1+\frac{6 A^{2} H_{2}}{N^{2}} 31$,



Mote eleofrce tae above mnaymiln the
$\mathrm{r}_{I I, I I I}(\theta)=\left(1+\frac{6 B^{2}-4}{n^{2}}\right)^{-1}$.

Topere anymotic efficioncien have been evaluated in table 5.1.

 and - Qual number in ach croup thit eoprodyonda es pratia

 - coot epproximition whem the efintibution of the firito populetion 2. z2....s $x$ in eymetric but discrapancien occur if qha dietribution
 cansorima on the arficiancy rebule. tbese ans other oinuletions are eiten here. 1000 ovaertetiens verp rendomily genarated (500 in each troup) for model III with a=1. Pmi anc $z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}-1 & \text { group } \frac{1}{2} \\ +1 & \text { eroup vor values of } B=-0.5(0.1)\end{array}\right.$ 0.5. On each occsaion, entimates $\bar{B}_{I}$ nad $\bar{B}_{11 I}$ or $\bar{\beta}$ vere obtained uning a Vivton-Repheon mathod. Thí procedure ven repeeted 20 then and roz teet value, onmole variencer or $\vec{B}_{1}$ and $\hat{B}_{\text {III }}$ celculated. The renule are givan futable 5.2A). Two further aituation vere
 comeitured a zendom maple from a mtanderd noran dietribution and mecondiy fron unit exponential diatribution. In ench came the finite popoulation swe standmraimed

| TS8*O | т98*0 | $062{ }^{\circ} 0$ | H9ES*O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $998 *$ | $269 * 0$ | $6 L 2 * 0$ | $05 \cdot 0$ |
| $068{ }^{\circ}$ | $276{ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{LL}_{8}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $57^{\circ} 0$ |
| 526*O | SE6*0 | 258*0 | $0 \square^{*} 0$ |
| TE6* | TS6.0 | $588{ }^{\circ} 0$ | SE*O |
| $846{ }^{\circ}$ | 796*0 | ע76*0 | $x * 0$ |
| $896 *$ | 526*0 | $686 \cdot 0$ | $52^{\circ} 0$ |
| 9.66 | 796*0 | т96\% | ¢ ${ }^{\circ} 0$ |
| $2.96 \cdot 0$ | т66.0 | 126*0 | ST*0 |
| \% $66{ }^{\circ}$ | $966^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $066 \cdot 0$ | Ot*O |
| $866 * 0$ | $666^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $866{ }^{\circ} 0$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |
| ז | t | $\tau$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| $(8)^{\text {III* }} 1 \mathrm{II}_{4}$ |  | (8) $\mathrm{IIT}^{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ | \| 181 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *5* } 0\left(50^{*} 0\right) 0=|8|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * \%'ड उसणघ }
\end{aligned}
$$

 or $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ compared to $\mathrm{i}_{111}$ for $=-0.5(0.2) 0.5$
4) Tro group ange. (20 nifulationa)

| - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & R_{1}, 112 \\ & =18) \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} B_{112} \\ \text { nexianco }\left(x 10^{2}\right) \end{array}\right.$ | $\omega_{1}$ average | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { I memple } \\ & \text { verince }\left(=10^{x}\right) \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | 0.779 | $\bigcirc 0.6827$ | 0.1596 | -0.4848 | 0.1758 | 0.906 |
| -0.4 | 0.852 | -0.3029 | 0.2594 | -0.3848 | 0. 1694 | 0.941 |
| -0. 3 | 0.914 | -0.2829 | 0.1594 | -0.2851 | 0.2591 | 2.001 |
| -0.2 | c. 961 | -0.1829 | 0.1594 | $-0.2842$ | 0.1580 | 1.004 |
| -0.1 | - 990 | -0.0829 | 0.2596 | $\bigcirc .0 .0836$ | 0.1603 | 0.996 |
| 0.0 | 1.000 | 0.0271 | 0.2594 | 0.0170 | 0.2611 | 0.989 |
| 0.1 | 0.990 | 0.2172 | 0.2594 | 0.2182 | 0.2590 | 1.002 |
| 0.2 | 0. 961 | 0.2171 | 0.1594 | 0.2196 | 0.2661 | 0.959 |
| 0.3 | 0.914 | 0.3171 | 0.159 | 0.3211 | 0.1557 | 0.907 |
| 0.12 | 0.852 | 0.6272 | 0.1596 | 0.4230 | 0.2807 | 0.882 |
| 0.5 | 0.779 | 0.5172 | 0.1596 | 0.5253 | 0.1897 | 0.840 |



| - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathrm{III}(\mathrm{~B}) \\ & \mathrm{wnlim} 5.5 . \end{aligned}\right.$ | - 21 mvarage | IIr enple varlimene\{ $10^{2}$ \} |  | - rimee $\left(=20^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ene imated } \\ & R_{1} I I I^{(0)} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | 0.779 | -0.4967 | D. 1127 | -0.503h | 0. 2012 | 0.560 |
| -0.d | 0.852 | -0.3987 | 0. 1129 | -0.601h | 0.1800 | 0.696 |
| -0. 3 | 0.986 | -0.2987 | -. 1129 | -0.3011 | 0.1587 | 0.733 |
| -0.2 | 0.962 | -3.1987 | 0.1127 | -0.2009 | 0.1313 | a. 850 |
| -0.2 | 0. 990 | -0.0987 | Q. 2129 | -0.1001 | 0.3110 | 1.015 |
| 0.0 | 2.000 | 0.0013 | 0.2127 | 0.0015 | 0.1061 | 1.068 |
| 0.1 | 0. 990 | 0.2013 | 0.1127 | 0. 2020 | 0.1060 | 1.063 |
| 0.2 | 0.961 | 0.2013 | 0. 1299 | - 90006 | 0.1073 | 1.000 |
| 0.3 | 3 0.924 | 0.3023 | 0.1127 | 0. 3046 | 0.1243 | 0.986 |
| o. ${ }^{4}$ | 0.052 | 0.4013 | 0.13¢ | 0.4e69 | 0.1200 | 0.948 |
| 0.5 | 50.779 | 0.5013 | 0.1127 | 0. 5086 | 0.1265 | 0. 891 |

c) Indmpesdent mazimbled abeervilion from voit aspoaextial diatributico.


| 8 |  | ${ }^{6} 111$ avarege | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{8} \text { IIX } \\ & \text { virimene }\left\{10^{2}\right\} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1}$ average | 1 eenple variance $\left(-20^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ont inged } \\ & { }_{1}, 11 x^{(d)} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0. 5 | 0.779 | -0.9088 | 0.1422 | -0.5096 | 0. 2300 | 0.618 |
| $\rightarrow 0.6$ | 0.052 | -0.403s | 0.2422 | -0.4093 | 0.2392 | 0.593 |
| $-0.3$ | 0.92 b | $\cdots$ - 30 里 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0, 2420 | $-0.32=0$ | $0,206 n$ | 0.687 |
| -0.2 | 0.961 | $\bigcirc .2088$ | 0.1422 | -0.2122 | 0.1502 | 0,899 |
| -0,21 | 0.990 | -0.1088 | 0.1422 | -0.1206 | 0.2629 | 0.878 |
| 0.0 | 1.000 | -0.0088 | 0.2422 | -0.009? | 0.162 c | C. 996 |
| 0.2 | 0. 990 | 0.0912 | 0.1422 | 0.0919 | -. 1468 | 0.969 |
| 0.2 | 0.961 | 0.1912 | 0.2422 | 0.1937 | 0. 1515 | 0.939 |
| 0. 3 | 0.914 | 0.2912 | 0.1420 | 0.3954 | 0.1631 | 0.883 |
| 0.4 | 0.852 | 0.3912 | 0.1422 | 0. 3972 | 0. 1120 | 0.827 |
| 0.5 | 0.779 | 0.4919 | 0.1422 | - 4.4978 | 0.1722 | 0.827 |





 almulation, although the ranulta arie cenerinly lana atable than thone

 mot eler.

## Erfects of cangorips

To emana the affect of chamorima on the efticieney remunem of ene










for P-0.3 and 0.6 . Tables 9.3 and 5.6 preanent tha ramita for pmo. 3 ene $p=0.4$ rampect $4 v=15$ -

Table 5.3. Sample neans and variances or $\vec{i}_{I}$ and $\dot{B}_{\text {III }}$ and eatimated A.R.E. of $\hat{B}_{I}$ oonpared to $\hat{B}_{111}$ for $B=-0.5(0.1) 0.5$ and 305 eennoring.
A) Tvo group csese ( 20 simulations)

| B | $\$_{111}$ Evernge | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{511} \text { angle } \\ & \text { varinnce }\left(\times 10^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{8}$ I average | $\left[\begin{array}{l}B_{1} \text { sumple } \\ \text { varinance }\left(\times 10^{2}\right)\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { estimated } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{T, 111}(\mathrm{~B}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | -0.4812 | 0.2136 | -0.480l4 | 0.2541 | 0.840 |
| $-0.4$ | -0.380E | 0.2261 | $-0.3815$ | 0, 04,72 | 0.896 |
| -0.3 | -0.2804 | 0.1828 | -0.2802 | 0.2034 | 0. 899 |
| -0.2 | -0.1828 | 0.1184 | -0.1827 | 0.1241 | 0.954 |
| -0.1 | -0.0817 | 0.1535 | -0.0815 | 0.1570 | 0,978 |
| 0.0 | 0.0275 | 0.2570 | 0.0277 | 0.1565 | 1.003 |
| 0.1 | 0.1196 | 0.1860 | 0.1197 | 0.1872 | 0.994 |
| 0.2 | 0.2255 | 0.1538 | 0.2259 | 0.1543 | 0.997 |
| 0.3 | 0.3280 | 0.1777 | 0. 3218 | 0.1783 | 0.997 |
| 0.4 | D. 4232 | 0.1573 | 0.4242 | 0.1609 | 0.978 |
| 0.5 | 0.5233 | 0.1987 | 0.5247 | 0.3004 | 0.991 |

 ciferitution.

| $\leqslant$ | (1II avarate |  | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ avaruse | 41 anmel variance $\left(=10^{2}\right)$ | Ertimated 4, 1+1 ${ }^{\text {(t) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | -0.505T | 0.3112 | -0. 5066 | 0.3115 | 0.999 |
| $-0.1$ | -0.4136 | 0. 2936 | -0. -152 | 0. 3200 | 0.918 |
| -0.3 | -0. 3016 6 | 0. 3119 | -0.30t6 | 0. 3299 | c.946 |
| -0. 2 | -0.2058 | 0.2644 | -0.2058 | 0. 2731 | 0,968 |
| $\bigcirc 0.1$ | -0.1059 | 0.1881 | -0.1075 | 0.1932 | 0.97\% |
| 0.0 | 0.0070 | 0.2748 | 0.0050 | 0.2724 | 1.009 |
| 0.1 | 0.2071 | 0.2208 | 0.1077 | 0.2077 | 1. 061 |
| 0.2 | 0.2176 | C. 2359 | 0.2160 | 0.2037 | 1.158 |
| 0.3 | 0. 3063 | c. 3660 | 0.3065 | 0.1932 | 0.963 |
| 0.h | 0. 2108 | 0.2744 | 0.4210 | 0.1642 | 1.210 |
| 0.5 | -. 5076 | 0.2063 | 0.5098 | 0.2091 | 0.987 |

 (x0 at-netione)

| - | ${ }^{\text {SII }}$ avarage |  | ${ }^{6}$ average | $4_{1}$ amg 14 variance ( $-10^{2}$ ) |  $R_{I_{0}} I I^{(B)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | -0.4939 | 0. 1067 | -0.49e6 | 0.1200 | 0.992 |
| -0.4 | -0.3990 | 0.3135 | -0.4003 | 0,316a | 0.980 |
| $-0_{1.3}$ | -0.2943 | 0.1782 | -0.299 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0. 1792 | 0.994 |
| -0.2 | -0,2020 | 0.1606 | -0.2030 | 0.1485 | 1.082 |
| -0.1 | -0.1005 | 0.20h4 | -0.0996 | 0.1969 | 2.036 |
| 0.0 | -0.0069 | 0. 3000 | -0.00\% | C. 9076 | 0.975 |
| 0.1 | 0.0903 | 0.2863 | c.090\% | 0.1916 | 0.943 |
| 0.2 | 0. 1866 | 0.2163 | 0.1872 | 0.2289 | 0.965 |
| 0. 3 | 0.2902 | 0.1580 | 0. 2921 | a. 2081 | 0.757 |
| 0. ${ }^{1}$ | 0.39614 | 0.1078 | 0. 3993 | 0.1289 | 0.857 |
| 0. 5 | 0.4972 | 0.2235 | 0.9009 | 0.1957 | -. 794 |

Tahle 5,4. Sample means and verisnces of $\hat{B}_{I}$ and $\bar{B}_{\text {III }}$ snd estimnted A.R.E. of $\hat{b}_{1}$ compered to $\hat{b}_{I I I}$ for $\bar{B}=-0.5(0.1) \quad 0.5$ and $G 05$ censoring.
A) Two group onse ( 20 simulations)

| 8 | $\mathrm{B}_{111}$ average | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathrm{B}}_{\text {III }} \text { anample } \\ & \text { variance }\left(\times 10^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{B}_{1}$ sverage | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \hat{E}_{I} \text { sample } \\ & \text { varianee }\left(\times 20^{2}\right) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eetimnted } \\ & \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{III}(\mathrm{~B}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | -0.4626 | 0. 34.76 | -0.4627 | 0.3634 | 0.956 |
| -0, 4 | -0.3715 | 0. 3015 | -0.3705 | 0.3080 | 0.976 |
| -0.3 | -0.2640 | 0. 3148 | -0.2631 | 0.3172 | 0.992 |
| -0.2 | -0.1751 | 0.1764 | -0.17149 | 0.1719 | 1.027 |
| -0.2 | -0.0678 | 0.4003 | -0.0676 | 0. 3952 | 1.013 |
| 0.0 | 0.0282 | 0.2773 | 0.0276 | 0.2778 | 0.998 |
| 0.1 | 0.1308 | 0.3300 | 0.1302 | 0. 3296 | 1.001 |
| 0.2 | 0.2290 | 0.1545 | 0.2283 | 0.1466 | 2.054 |
| 0.3 | 0. 3338 | 0.2323 | 0.3319 | 0.2280 | 1.019 |
| 0.4 | 0.4360 | 0.3434 | 0.43h 4 | 0.3403 | 2.009 |
| 0.5 | 0.5272 | 0.2332 | 0.5236 | 0.2292 | 1.017 |

 (10 admater foge)

| $\cdots$ | *213****** | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{111} \text { aapplo } \\ & \operatorname{varianen}\left(=10^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 7, muaraga | T uacpled varlance $\left(\mathrm{m} 0^{2}\right.$ ) | mant imated $m_{1}, 111^{(s)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | -0.9036 | 0. 3270 | -0.5078 | 0.3212 | 0.999 |
| -0.6 | -0,3093 | 0.5069 | -0.3911 | 0.5799 | 1.012 |
| $-0.3$ | -0.2952 | 0. 3616 | -0.2964 | 0.3302 | 1.034 |
| -0.2 | -0.1737 | 0.4937 | -0.1739 | C. ${ }^{\text {a }} 8.78$ | 1.012 |
| -0.3 | -0.0905 | 0.37th | -0.0918 | 0.3695 | 1.021 |
| 0.0 | 0.0180 | 0.5277 | 0.0186 | 0.5251 | 2.004 |
| 0.1 | 0.0989 | -.61 6 | 0.0998 | 0.6076 | 1.023 |
| 0.2 | 0.2302 | -. 33ar | 0.2313 | 0.3304 | 0.999 |
| 0. 3 | a. 3268 | 0. 5139 | -0.3258 | 0. 5061 | 1.015 |
| 0.6 | - \$181 | 0.2264 | 0.6179 | 0.2129 | 1.063 |
| 0.5 | 0.5186 | 0.2510 | 0.3180 | 0.2577 | 1.052 |

 (10 - fl-geticma)

| s | 1115 ararese |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ vienke | s esepis Veriame $\left(=10^{2}\right)$ | entinged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.9 | -0.6625 | 0.7823 | -0.1.610 | 0.7927 | 0.987 |
| -0.4 | -0.3T1 5 | 0.5730 | -0.3712 | 0. 9632 | 1.017 |
| -0.3 | -0.2622 | -. 28.8 | -0.261T | 0. 2898 | 0.992 |
| -0.2\| | -0.1756 | 0.6420 | -0.1761 | 0.64日 | 0. 990 |
| -0.2 | -0.0879 | 0.3472 | -0.0871 | 0.3654 | 2.005 |
| 0.0 | 0.0233 | 0.3053 | -.0245 | 0.3060 | 0.996 |
| 0.1 | 0.0981 | 0.4214 | 0.0979 | 0.4111 | 1.025 |
| 0.0 | 0. 1969 | 0.2932 | 0.1968 | 0.2862 | 1.031 |
| 0. 3 | 0.2968 | 0.20閏8 | 0.2973 | 0.2887 | 0.986 |
| 0.6 | 0.6253 | 0.1896 | 0.4169 | 0.1217 | C. 989 |
| 0.1 | 0.4956 | 0.2437 | 0.4956 | 0.2395 | 2.026 |

Theae remale edaerly indiate thet, finamrel, the lerge


 particularly marled in eza cuo eftumeloas where tbe Indegendent


 Wen cacoorlat propartian 0.6. Copreapanding lowas mounan in the
 A enpertively.

## 




$$
H_{I, I I I, n}(s)=c_{n}(0) e^{-N_{2} t^{2}}
$$

vere $c_{n}(0)=\frac{n+1}{n(n-1)} n_{n}$ is the Natuo of the informition retio oticainad it 5.2. by Kalbilaimeto. The validity of thia epgrorimation



 sol emacorim reapacively. The axprealon 5.6. Im almo ernlumied In teblee 5.54).
 obtained by eimulation in the 2 croup case ed to censorlra.

| \# | uige implated 5.6 eatime |  |  |  | ue at billantod 5.6 entiet. |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { unise almated } \\ & 5 . E \operatorname{anc} i=t e \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | to uaing rimalated 9.6 enษi |  | 110 ution simuleted 5.6 exticte |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.5 | 0.706 | 0.560 | 0.722 | 0.593 | 0.731 | 0.588 | 0.730 | 0.641 | C.715 | 0.716 | 0.795 | 0.781 |
| -0. 1 | 0.72 | 0.571 | 0.790 | 0.666 | 0,000 | 0.668 | 0.807 | 0.697 | 0.819 | 0.794 | c.035 | 0.856 |
| -0.3 | 0.826 | 0.369 | 0.84? | 0.935 | 0.858 | 0.720 | 0.866 | 0.766 | 0.419 | 0.094 | 0.806 | 0.916 |
| -0.2 | 0, 071 | 0.567 | 0.890 | 0.786 | c. 902 | 0.753 | 0.920 | 0.823 | 0.984 | 0.843 | 0.931 | 0.914 |
| -0.1 | 0.897 | 0.597 | 0.917 | 0.800 | 0.929 | 0.777 | 0.938 | 0.803 | 0.952 | 0, 866 | 0.960 | 0.927 |
| 0.0 | 0.906 | 0.732 | 0.927 | 0.733 | 0.939 | 0.779 | 0.947 | 0.857 | 0,562 | $0.87 \%$ | 0.969 | 0.820 |
| 0.1 | 0.897 | 0.791 | 0.917 | 0.761 | 0.929 | 0.754 | 0.930 | 0.852 | 0.952 | 0,881 | 0.960 | 0.692 |
| 0.2 | 0.87 | 0.980 | 0.890 | 0.787 | 0.902 | 0.72? | 0.910 | c. 813 | 0.921 | 0.871 | 0.931 | 0.831 |
| 0.3 | 0.880 | 0.673 | 0.8 n ? | 0.661 | 0.058 | 0.936 | 0, 866 | 0.777 | 0.019 | 0.867 | 0.806 | 0.73 |
| 0.1 | 0.772 | 0.672 | 0.790 | 0.637 | 0.800 | 0.660 | 0.007 | 0.765 | 0.819 | 0.819 | 0.826 | 0.725 |
| 0.5 | 0.706 | 0.569 | 0.722 | 0.596 | 0.731 | 0.555 | $0.73{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.767 | 0.769 | 0.756 | 3.755 | 0.705 |
| mo. of isar intion: | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | T\% |  | 50 |  |

B) Eistimsted velues or reletive errieiency for $\mu_{2}=1$ and $80-0.5(0.1) 0.5$ obteined by simvintion. $z$ eroup cese with $30 \%$ censoring.

| B | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -0.5 | 0.672 | 0.726 | 0.671 | 0.746 | 0.817 |
| -0.4 | 0.668 | 0.771 | 0.743 | 0.797 | 0.829 |
| -0.3 | 0.641 | 0.833 | 0.727 | 0.766 | 0.955 |
| -0.2 | 0.688 | 0.772 | 0.888 | 0.765 | 0.943 |
| -0.1 | 0.715 | 0.755 | 0.822 | 0.898 | 0.932 |
| 0.0 | 0.851 | 0.756 | 0.825 | 0.891 | 0.921 |
| 0.1 | 0.862 | 0.704 | 0.917 | 0.952 | 1.037 |
| 0.2 | 0.864 | 0.888 | 0.863 | 0.953 | 0.843 |
| 0.3 | 0.823 | 0.723 | 0.838 | 0.900 | 0.814 |
| 0.4 | 0.707 | 0.774 | 0.678 | 0.890 | 0.896 |
| 0.5 | 0.712 | 0.688 | 0.703 | 0.811 | 0.830 |


 to full effledency in at congerable rete. The ramults in table 5.5B) egein indieete that ine rolntion efticiency imcreene whem cemoring la impored.

## 

Introdureion
The miturion of two independent marimblei conaidered in thie mection if on that frequentiy occure in modicmi metimtice, whera




## 

Thm celewnetform involved fn the tho rerimble uncunmored cobe ere neturel extemion of thoe in 15.3. For $j e 1,2,1$ et
$z_{i j}=x_{i, j}-z_{j}, i=1, \ldots, n$,
where $\frac{x}{i}=\left(x_{11}, x_{12}\right)$ and $\bar{x}_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 j}$

Under model III
$\Sigma^{I I I}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \lambda\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\Delta & \vdots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & . . . & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & n)_{\lambda 2}\end{array}\right]$ where $A=n\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mu_{2}, 0 & \mu_{1}, 1 \\ \mu_{1,1} & \mu_{0,2}\end{array}\right]$
and $u_{j, k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{P} z_{i 1}{ }^{j} z_{i 2} k$. Note that $u_{0,2}=\mu_{1,0}=0$,
*6 that aypptaticelly

$$
\operatorname{SIII}^{\infty}\left(\underset{\sim}{0}=A^{-1}\right)
$$

The Likelihood function under model I in thin unemmorna cere In



Putting


$=\mathbb{I}_{2,1}^{1}\left(\Theta_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$.
$I_{2,2^{2}}^{\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)}=E\left(\sigma_{0} 2^{\left.\left(\theta_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)\right)}=\sum_{0} \varepsilon_{0} 2^{\left(\theta_{1}, B_{2}\right)} \dot{L}\left(s_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\right.$.





 relatively ulitio reaula may be obtelaed at $\|_{1}=i_{2}=0$.


$I_{22}^{1}(0,0)=E_{R}\left\{0,2^{(0,0)}\right\} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi-1}=v_{0,2}$
nell


10 that to $t_{1}-B_{2}=0$, themprototic ralaitve arriciensz $R_{1}$, III $(0,0)$ of $\mathrm{B}_{11}$ ecomered volifth in mqual to 1. Thi Lropimethat at $\mathrm{s}_{2}=0$, a tant of $H_{0} \mathrm{E}_{1}$ e o based on the marafmel diatribution of $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ is amymptoticelly fuly efficient.

An Is the winie iodepondent veriable came epprozintion to









It by be ahoun (the decaila ere diven in Appatifx bit the fin the


and lamee







40 the meletbourheod of $(0,0)$.
Puttina P1,2 0 in 5.7.


 (1974)。 thet 5.h. In egoos ruide is multiparaneter proble provided thet the 1ndependent teyleblee ere nemrly uncorrelefed



## whete

$\mathrm{s}_{21} \cdot \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{12}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\ldots-\log 1) \cdot \mathrm{s}_{21}$


no that $y=\left(A-c B^{-1} c^{1}\right)^{-1}$









 refuction seply here. Table 5.6. evalumted themengroptetic


15.5. Within etratitmode 11

Introduction
 uncambored cane mre metanded In thia memeion ta tha withie atrata matela IY, $V$ and $\%$.

It will again be conveafent to traneform libeariy the indepandent



 the $\|^{1 / 4}$ stretin. Mate that

Table 5.6. $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}=\mathrm{B}_{1, I I I}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right), \mathrm{H}_{1, I I}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{I I, I I I}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$
vhen $\mu_{2,0}=\mu_{0,2}=1$ for $\left|\mu_{1,2}\right|=0,2(0,2) 0.8$ and $\left|\mathrm{B}_{1}\right|=0(0,1) 0.5$.

| $\left\|u_{2,2}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{1}\right\|$ | $B_{1,111}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1,21}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{11}, \mathrm{III}{ }^{\left(\beta_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.990 | 0.996 | 0.994 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.962 | 0.965 | 0.977 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.917 | 0.965 | 0.950 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.858 | 0.938 | 0.915 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.787 | 0.901 | 0.873 |
| 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.992 | 0.997 | 0.995 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.967 | 0.987 | 0.980 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.927 | 0.970 | 0.956 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.874 | 0.946 | 0.924 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.811 | 0.914 | 0.857 |
| 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.994 | 0.997 | 0.996 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.975 | 0.990 | 0.985 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.944 | 0.977 | 0.966 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.903 | 0.959 | 0.941 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.852 | 0.935 | 0.911 |
| 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.996 | 0.999 | 0.998 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.986 | 0.994 | 0.991 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.968 | 0.987 | 0.981 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.944 | 0.977 | 0.966 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.914 | 0.964 | 0.946 |

In addition let $u_{r}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\sum j} z_{j i^{r}}=\sum_{j=1}^{E} a_{j} u(j) r \cdot \quad r=2,2, \ldots$ where $q_{j} \omega_{j} / n \quad j=1, \ldots, n$ and $n=\sum_{j=1}^{f} n_{j}$ is the total nnmple aize.

Dnder model VI, the information matrix is then given by

snd it follows that asymptoticelly,

$$
B_{\mathrm{VI}}=N\left(B, 1 / /_{\mathrm{ma/2}}\right)
$$

Similarly, under model V the reaulta of 55.1 . indicate that the information matrix may be written as

Where

$$
A=n w_{2}
$$

$C_{0}=\left[-\frac{B n_{1} u(1) 2}{\alpha_{1}},-\frac{8 n_{2}^{u}(2) 2}{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots,-\frac{8 n_{8}^{u}(\mathrm{~s}) 2}{\alpha_{n}}\right]$,
$H_{A}=\operatorname{diag}_{5}\left(n_{3} /{ }_{2} 2\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left(a-£ g^{-1} g^{0}\right)^{-2} \text {. In chla cane } 52^{-2} G^{\circ} \text { reducem 2o }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { were } y_{0}=\left(\mathrm{EA}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{BA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}^{-1} \mathrm{Pi}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-2} \text {. } \\
& \text { Iveluneita vern by ver }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { no that }
\end{aligned}
$$




It theo follutie thet


Fat = then $R_{V_{*}} w_{1}(0)=1$.

Under model IV. terve eppearing io $I^{I V}(a)$, the infornation mbout
 atrata of coryemponding model quantition. At Bea







$$
\frac{2 t^{\mid v}|c|}{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} x\left(\mathrm{~m}_{j}\right)=d(z)
$$

$$
\frac{a^{2} I^{I v}(0)}{\partial b^{2}}=-2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} n_{j} u^{2}(j) 2+\sum_{j=2}^{n} o\left(n_{j}\right)=-2 n \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} u^{2}(j) z+o(n)
$$

so that for large $n$ and in the neightourtiood of am

$$
I^{\mathrm{IV}}(B)=\operatorname{nuz} \exp \left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{u_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{8} q_{j} u_{(j) 2}^{2}\right) \text {. }
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{VI}}(\mathrm{~s})=\exp \left(-\frac{\beta^{2}}{\mu_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} q_{j} v^{2}(j) 2\right) \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{v}}, v^{(B)}=v\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\sum} \frac{a_{j} \mu(\omega) 2}{1+6 \mathrm{e}^{2} u(j) / n^{2}}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{vxp}\left[-\frac{B^{2}}{\nu^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\sum} g^{\nu^{2}}(j) 2\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in the neighbourhood of $s=0$.
avo independent variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yer } k=1,2 \text {, let } \quad z_{j i k}=x_{3 i k}-\bar{x}_{j k} \quad i=1, \ldots, n_{j} i j=1, \ldots, n \text {, } \\
& \text { where } \bar{x}_{j k}=\frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} x_{j i k} \text {. In addition put } \\
& { }^{v}(j)_{r_{1}, r_{2}}=\frac{1}{n_{j i=1}} \sum_{\sum_{j i 2} j_{2}}^{r_{1}} z_{j 12}^{r_{2}} \quad j=1, \ldots, v^{n} \text { with } \\
& \mu_{r_{1}, r_{2}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{p j} z_{j i 1}^{r_{1}} z_{j j 2} r_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j}^{\mu}(j) r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}=1,2, \ldots \\
& \text { Note that }{ }^{n}(j) 0,1={ }^{n}(j) 1,0=0 \quad s=1, \ldots, 5 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Under model VI, the information matrix is given
vter. A = $\left[\begin{array}{ll}w_{2,0} & w_{1,2} \\ y_{1,2} & w_{1,2}\end{array}\right]$, and angrape oticeliy

Under nodel $V$, the inforetion metin may be writton an
whore A in ac in model VL,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ein } \operatorname{tive}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi_{2}}{a_{j} j}\left\{2-\cdots-\log \lambda_{j}\right\}\right) \quad \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct extension of the algebra weed in the singie independent variable case yields the result thet anymptoticsily $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{\mathrm{V}} \sim \mathbb{N}(\underline{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{M})$ where
 vith $D_{3}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+8_{1 u}^{2}(3) 2,0+25_{1} E_{2 \mu}(3) 2,1+8_{2}^{2} u(3) O, 2$ and
 saymptotic varlance of $\bar{\beta}_{V}{ }_{2}$ nay be obtained $\xi_{n}$ the ususi way.

Under model IV conaiderations similar to those for model I yield the nsymptotic result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{var}\left(\bar{E}_{I V_{2}}\right)=v_{\left.I v^{\left(B_{1}\right.}{ }_{2} B_{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\mu_{0,2}}{n \mu} \exp \left[\left\{u^{2} 0_{, 2} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} q_{j}\left(B_{2 \mu}(j) 2,0^{+B_{2} u}(j) 2,2\right)^{2}+u_{1,2}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j}\left(B_{1} u(j) 1,1+B_{2} u(j) O, 2\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-2 \mu_{0}, 2^{\mu} 1,1 \sum_{j=1}^{\sum} Q_{j}\left(\beta_{1} \mu(3) 1,1^{+B_{2} u}(3) 0,2^{\prime}\right)\left(B_{2 \mu}(3) 2,0+B_{2 \mu}(3) 1,1^{\prime}\right\} / \mu_{0}, 2^{u}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u=u_{2,0} \mu_{0}, 2^{-u_{1}^{2}, 2}$, in the neighbourhood of $\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)=(0,0)$. Detaile of the enlculations leading to this remult are given in appendix $C$. Expresaions for the asymptotic relative erriciencien $\Pi_{1 \mathrm{~V}}, \mathrm{v}^{\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)}$, $\mathrm{R}_{1 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{VI}}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{VI}}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ are obtained as ration of eppropriate saymptotic entimator variances.

Chapter 6

MODEL CHECKTMG

### 56.1 Introduction

Bummery.
Choosing the appropriste form of a model and aubsequent examination of its fit to the dsta sre two important pointil that will be oonaldered In thin ehnpter. The rest of thin section concerne the shofee of e model jrior to rormal ritting. Methods of eheoking nodel assumptiona nfter fitting mre dfacumand in 56.2.

## Initial investigations

An initisl step in any anslysia will uaunliy be to 'sereen' a large number of independent varisbles for those likely to be or some interest regordine prognoatie predietion. At this btage only some fuformation on the monot of dependence is reguired snd comperine median survival timen (obtained as the $50 \%$ percentile of the murvivor function entimated as in 51.3 ) between various subgroupe of the dets defined by the independent variables may vell be ndequate.

Heving phonen a relatively small aubset on which to focus sttention more direct methode of sssessing the wny in whieh independent variables affect aurvival are available. Under model I, for esch independent varinble $k=1, \ldots, p$,

$$
\lambda_{1}(t)=\lambda_{0}(t) e^{B_{k} x^{i k}} \exp \left(\overrightarrow{\underline{B}}^{\prime} \overrightarrow{\underline{x}}_{i}\right)
$$

Where $\bar{x}_{i}$ and ${\underset{B}{i}}^{\text {are }}$ as before with $x_{i k}$ and $B_{k}$ omitted. For a binary variable $x_{k}$, thia relationahip is equivalently

$$
x_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}x_{0}(t) e^{B_{k}} \exp \left(\ddot{B}^{\prime}\right. & \left.\bar{x}_{i}\right) \\ x_{i k}=1 \\ x_{0}(t) \exp \left(\ddot{B}^{\prime} \bar{x}_{i}\right) & x_{i k}=0\end{cases}
$$

The assumption that the variable $x_{k}$ acts on the hamard function in this way miny be tested by ritting a model of type IV with
$\lambda_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}\lambda_{a l}(t) \exp \left(\underline{\hat{B}}, \bar{x}_{i}\right) & x_{i k}=1 \\ \lambda_{02}(t) \exp \left(\overline{\mathrm{B}}, \bar{x}_{i}\right) & x_{i k}=0,\end{cases}$ estimating $\lambda_{o 1}(t)$ and $\lambda_{o 2}(t)$ and assessing the connection between these functions. An appropriate meana of assenament is provided by plotting log underlyine cumulative hazard functions ( $10 \mathrm{~g} \bar{\Delta}_{01}$ (t) and $\left.\log \bar{\Delta}_{o 2}(t)\right)$ againat $t$. Conatant dirferenees ahould reault. For diacrete variablea taking more than 2 values this procedure ean be extended in an obvious way to provide useful orformation eoncerning the wny in which the varimble sota. Apprgriate groupings silow aimilar techniques for sontinudus variables.

### 16.2 Aliregnimu Roodnena of It

## 

The poonibility of wing time dependent coveriasee mee mentioned briefly in f3, 3 and the gumeiricetion of tbeir inclumion van ciren fo 54. 7 . In thin menerth eívecion, modele $I$, ir and III ore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}(\varepsilon)=x_{0}(t) \exp \left(\sum^{*} \underline{x}_{3}(\varepsilon)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with corremponding likalibooda
 An example of the une of auch corrarimetalia amenaing the eppropidetenem of the proportional hetarde eeevegrion ie fiven by Coz (1972). In the anlyaig of the diate of EM.I, Cos une bil dimerete form of model I





``` Qenerel proepduran far thosing papelowlae funefonal form for mueh
```




Fanlan's 'xant for parallalive'
 model I taiken the form

$$
\lambda_{1}(t)=\lambda_{0}(t) \exp \left(B_{1} x_{i 1}+B_{2} x_{i 2}\right), \quad|\alpha|+r \mid+t_{+}
$$

where $x_{i 1}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { group } 1 \text { membera } \\ 1 & \text { group } 2 \text { nembera }\end{cases}$








$$
\lambda_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{0}(t) & \exp \left(B_{21} x_{12}\right) \\
\lambda_{0}(t) & \exp \left(B_{1}+B_{22} x_{i 2}\right)
\end{array} \quad \text { in } \quad\right. \text { vion in in mentern }
$$


 invludid in the model from tho outimet of the manyale and their
 of fuch timt exactionm.

## The uete of reatavala

Mudel I my be mittem in the rolloving equivelent way,
 Afetribution virh

$$
\varepsilon_{i}=e \underline{B}^{\prime} \underline{x}_{i} \int_{0}^{\pi_{i}} \theta_{i}|+| \alpha_{i}=\ln _{i}\left(\tau_{i} b \operatorname{H}_{b} A_{0}(\cdot \beta)\right.
$$

Thim expreasion of the madel allow the une or the eethode of Goy and


 approximately the propertiam of a random acmple of biew from anit exponential diatribution. Inforsotion concermite paleible dmpendence of the error gumentition on the $X_{i}$ 'an be gaided from plote of "crude' reeidunde egainmt correspondime indepeodent variable values for eech nuch mariable. Plotiing ordernd residual egminat expected order etatimtics provides a check of the menned dietributionel form of the c; "a
giailariy, modaln II and IIX cen be meprenned rampetively throunth the tranaforentiona

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=4, \ldots, \ldots n \text {, } \\
& \theta_{i}=\lambda e^{B^{\prime} x_{i}} I_{i}=n_{i}^{I 11}\left(T_{i} ; \theta_{i} \lambda\right), \quad 1-1, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $c_{i}$ '"are nob above- 'Crude' renidumas are obemined on replacine parmaterm by their maximan dikelibood entimeres. To ertend

 Riss

$$
i^{3} r^{s}=h_{2}\left(t^{*}+h^{*}\right.
$$


'crude' penldusin。 Under eny of the mbove modela the error quantitian


$$
\operatorname{das}(E \mid=-c=-\Delta(\varepsilon)
$$

and a plot of loe bux"ifct functiona elleizntad frem the "crude" repiaumis.

 preheuter2y appropriale hez゙o.

> Frpreeming modely IV, Y and VI reapmetively through the

Lreanfarmatiaen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{j i}=x_{j} e^{B^{4} x_{j i}} T_{j i}=b_{j i} V I_{j i}\left(T_{j i}{\underset{\sim}{*}}_{3}, \lambda_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

 Alatributed with usit men allown correapooding etehoda io be uned
 these teehni quuen bhould provide an miequate check of model nimurptiona,

In the une ensored ceme inprovemants of the ehove prodmeuren ere posmible, Cox and Bnell, in efendral contert, evereat trangrorimeion

 Involve the onleulmetion of mana. verlabotillad coveriencall of tha



 show thet, $\operatorname{ta~o~}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, for $i_{1}, 1_{1}=1, \ldots, n$

$$
k\left(n_{1}\right)=1 \cdot \frac{1}{2 n}+\sum_{k=1}^{f} b_{k} i_{i k}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} f^{k i} z_{i k^{2} i k}
$$

$$
=2+3
$$

$$
E\left(B_{1}^{2}\right)=2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{2 n}{n}+4\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} b_{k} z_{i k}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} 1^{k L} n_{i k}{ }_{i k}\right)
$$

$$
=2+c_{i}
$$

$$
E\left(B_{1} H_{i}\right)=1+\left(s_{1}+s_{i_{1}}\right)-\frac{1}{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} 1^{k i} z_{i k^{2}} i_{1} 2
$$

$$
=1+c_{i i_{1}}
$$

$\mathrm{INH}_{2}$
vbare $b_{k}=E\left(B_{k}-B_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{P} \sum_{t=1}^{P} I^{r k k_{1} s t} \sum_{u=1}^{P} z_{u r} z_{u s} z_{u t}$,
 imformetion metrix. The miandurdieed form of model III beg been uand fic

 the velidity of the ameupelon that the propertion of the crude raiduale







$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(R_{i}\right)=\left(2-k_{i}\right) \equiv\left(1+\frac{1}{1+k_{1}}\right) \\
& E\left(R_{i}^{2}\right)=\left(1-k_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(2+\frac{2}{1+k_{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating theme expressiona to the approximations given eselier, expanding as a Thylor series about $k_{1}=k_{i}=0$ and ignoring hieher order terne of $k_{i}$ and $k_{1}$ it followe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(4 s_{i}-a_{i}\right) \\
& k_{i}=\frac{1}{2}(1-u) a_{i}-(3-2 a) s_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observed values of the 'modiried' reaiduals in the uncensored case mey now be compruted and lused an before to check modez ansumpt iont. In addition, pairvise correlations between residunle may be exnmined by noting that, to the order considered,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{j}\right)=E\left(R_{i} R_{3}\right)-E\left(R_{i}\right) E\left(R_{j}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{n}-\sum_{k=1} \sum_{k=1}^{R} 1^{k L} z_{j k} z_{j k}=\operatorname{corr}\left(R_{i}, R_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

aince the $R_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ a have approximately unit variance.
Under model VI extenaion of the enleulationa for model 111 (een


$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(R_{j i}\right) & =1+\frac{p}{2 n_{j}}+\sum_{k=1}^{P} b_{k} z_{j i k}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{p} I^{k i} z_{j i k} z_{j i k} \\
& =1+n_{j i} * \\
E\left(R_{j i}\right) & =2-\frac{2}{n_{j}}+\frac{3 D}{n_{j}}+4\left(\sum_{k=1}^{f} b_{k} z_{j i k}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{P} I^{k i} z_{j i k^{2}}{ }_{j i k}\right) \\
& =2+c_{j i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3t } \sin _{1}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

*er for











Axther rebulee





 ect 1nalvidunt (rendo cenmoramip masely.


 allowing pirediation of the eurwivel patien roz the swoond croup. The cheerfed end predlcted petverns $\Rightarrow \mathrm{y}$ then be compered. Cemsored teq $=$ gain exkee thiv qechmiqua iutractibla.

### 16.3 11iacungion

 of the typemationed in 16.1 and fitting of an mpropriate form of
 Niolate the prapertional harerde ageumption. (altermetively, the Imeluion of tim-dependent coverietel my be canaidernd for muct
 finvaricating the effectio of indopendent variablan. little in to be ganad from an erficiency atendpaint by imgoafng mare etrimgent
 Belection of thone indeperdent meriablen meritiog ioclunion for the mogl my thon be cerfitd ous woing etaywiat procedure or the type
 mehode ableet rolevane indeponaent vefablea and oppropriately modal


 It Ia at thin mtero that invantimetan of particular parangeric form for $\lambda_{0}().\left(\mathrm{or} \lambda_{01}(),. \ldots, \lambda_{\text {on }}().\right)$ memem epgropriate

In the wast ehapter m mxanple winim the mbave eype of molymin vill sonmanted.

## I. I Dabele

## Tu sata


#### Abstract

  of Pradnimnna tremtennt. The Epial, coedmeted by the "Copenhagen study Oraup For Liver Dimeena" "began oe lat Josumry 1962 and rea terninnted       ectivity of the cirrhomí (a well farined biochealeal tactor) and the abmence/prwaence of aneited.




 informetion bi bll the remining varinble mothtoned atare, eg of thead




 einal. 102 vere aintinct, therw being h paire of 2 tied observetionit,



## Toble 7.1 Data from clinical trial eonducted by the 'Copenhegen Etudy Group for Liver Disesses' on 177 Mele sleoholies vith eirrhosis of the ilver,

```
Censored (0) or Survival
                                    Independent Variables
Uncensored (2) time (dsys) Age* Ascites Activity Trestment
```

| 1 | 13 | -0.27 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15 | 1.64 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 19 | 0. 54 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 26 | -1.97 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 32 | 1.64 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 33 | 1.24 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 36 | -1.27 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 39 | 1.64 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 40 | 1.64 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 45 | 0.74 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 46 | -0.77 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 56 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 57 | 0.74 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 66 | 0.04 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 82 | $-1.67$ | -1 | -1 | 1. |
| 1 | 90 | -0.77 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 90 | 0.64 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 91 | 0.84 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 103 | 0.84 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 108 | -0.87 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 111 | 0.64 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 112 | 1.84 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 114 | 1.04 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 117 | 0.34 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 117 | 2.014 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 118 | 0.74 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 122 | -0.57 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 126 | -0.17 | 1 | -1 | 1 |


| 1 | 415 | -0.37 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 622 | -0.37 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 487 | -0.57 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 656 | -0.17 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 459 | 0.3* | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 469 | 0. 06 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 473 | 0.64 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | d79 | 0.46 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 934 | 0.16 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 6 m | 0.54 | 2 | -2 | 1 |
| 1 | 689 | 0.24 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | no | -0.47 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 2 | 723 | -0.67 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 730 | -0.57 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| 1 | 752 | 0.14 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 75.4 | -0.77 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 2 | 777 | 2.86 | -2 | -2 | 2 |
| 1 | 825 | 2.06 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 841 | -0.27 | -2 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 051 | -3.37 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 879 | 0.34 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 961 | 0.54 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 975 | -0.37 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1057 | 0.04 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 2057 | 0.14 | 1 | -2 | 1 |
| 2 | 1065 | 2.014 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1069 | -1.07 | 1 | -1 | -2 |
| 1 | 1078 | 0.14 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1084 | -1.89 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2101 | -0.27 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1114 | -1.27 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 2141 | -0.27 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 3142 | 0.14 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 2182 | -0.17 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| 1 | 1198 | 0.34 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1226 | -1.67 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1233 | 0.84 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 1242 | 1.54 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1252 | 0.24 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1274 | -0.27 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1316 | -1.37 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1359 | 0.54 | -2 | -2 | -1 |
| 0 | 1370 | $-3.28$ | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1377 | 1.64 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1378 | $-1.67$ | -1 | -1. | 1 |
| 0 | 1477 | 0.24 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1544 | -1.97 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1614 | 0.64 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 0 | 1614 | -1.27 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1641 | 0.04 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 1695 | 0.64 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 1733 | -0.27 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1744 | 0.94 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1791 | -0.57 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 1797 | 0.04 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1810 | 0.74 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1819 | -0.77 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1826 | -0.97 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1858 | -0.37 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1891 | 0.54 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1898 | 0.84 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1906 | 0.04 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1926 | -0.37 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1932 | -0.47 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1960 | -1.47 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1961 | 0.64 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1975 | 0.04 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1976 | -0.17 | 1 | -1 | 1 |



## Drale of faitinal model

Actrolm ana flumerazill (1975) In aiecumina ebis eridel and other

 goanderationa lanA co tentative model in wiok the hazard Nenction for patiens 1 ie siem by

$$
A_{i}(t)=\lambda_{a}(t) \exp \left(\int_{1} x_{j} \cdot \|_{L j}\right)
$$

 eativity mariablea, miven la table 7.1. and
$y_{i_{1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\pi_{i} \\ 0\end{array}\right.$
$z_{i 2} *\left\{\begin{array}{l}z_{i} \\ 0\end{array}\right.$
$y_{i 3}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}z_{i} \\ 0\end{array}\right.$
$x_{i s}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}z_{i} \\ 0\end{array}\right.$
$x_{i_{2}}=-1, x_{1_{3}}=-1=$
otherwiae
$x_{i_{2}}=-1, x_{i_{3}}=+1$, othervise $H_{i_{2}}=* 1 . *_{i s}=\mathcal{I}_{1}$
a6xaexibe

-1 if 1 in coatral Eroup. -1 if 1 for tremtent grouy This model allowe tremement copparimonn to be mate within each of the grime actimed by mecitcm $=$ metivity,

Proliminary molel checting metmodn of the trpe dipeuned in 16.1 .
 vay. Figures T.1., T.2., 7.3. and F.h. provide plote of log underlying comulative hagmai nuctiog fobteined unint the Ralbriaiteh and Preatice
 ad enentmat defining etrate in turn.

Ric. 1.1. $\log$ maderlying curulation materd faction.
ta check foeluion of age. Model IV fiteed with morblen $x_{2}, y_{1}$ and $y_{j} d=1, \ldots \ldots, h$.

 Fith variblea $z_{1,1}=1$ mad $y_{j} j=2 \ldots \ldots, t$.


 chack facivion of octiolty. Sbisl IV fisead viel
verimiel $z_{1}, x_{2}$ and $\mathrm{J}^{\prime} \mid=1, \ldots . \mathrm{y}^{\prime}$



a) $x_{2}=-1, x_{3}=-1$


Streta



$$
\text { b) } x_{2}=-1, x_{1}=+1
$$

e) $x_{1}=+1, y_{1}=-1$

(d) $x_{2}=+1, x_{3}=+1$


 and fieting model IV vich independent veriablo mge. Theef pleta
 of the model it T.1. cre Fiolaked.

Farmeter antimeen wizt beadard errorl. obtaiaed by alrecq



| Fank 7. ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | Parameter fatimate草 and mock 1 \# 1.2. | arcorm havine fitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indepandent <br>  | Eaelmaked ralum of castileleat | Standard error of entimator |
| ${ }_{1} 1$ | 0.6126 | 0.1214 |
| $x_{1}$ | 0.4649 | 0.1265 |
| ${ }^{1} 1$ | $-9.2009$ | 0.1319 |
| Yi | -0.0239 | 0.2861 |
| $y 2$ | 0.0292 | 0.1239 |
| Y | 0.4323 | 0.3028 |
| yo | 0.5806 | 0. 25289 |

## Eajoction of nimiricant effurta

The methodi of 14.3 . hmve heen ebployed se select thone Indepeadent



Table 7. 3. Gulecting indepabdest variablea bavise oifaificant offoct on murvivel undor model I.
a) Forviard miapuiae procedure.

| Independant veríallan | Hanima value of log Ifielihood | Valual of tent -tetietie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hone | -694.669 |  |
| ${ }_{\square 1}$ | -477.512 | 39.916 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ | -482.801 | 23.336 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ | 491.655 | 5.620 |
| $y_{1}$ | -494.292 | 0. 554 |
| $y_{2}$ | -4.94.466 | 0.006 |
| 72 | -492.037 | 4.864 |
| 76 | -\$06. b $^{\text {¢ }}$ T | 16.001 m |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{za}_{2}$ | -1466.320 | 22.402* |
| $x_{1, x}$ | -475.937 | 3.148 |
| $z_{1} y_{1}$ | -67T. 896 | 0.030 |
| $x_{1} 97$ | -477.650 | 0.122 |
| \%1.81 | $-176.132$ | 2.758 |
| 21, ${ }^{4} 4$ | -4.70.429 | 14.164 |
|  | -463.397 | 1.026 |
|  | -466.218 | 0.18 h |
|  | -466.272 | 0.076 |
|  | -465.635 | 1.350 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, $\mathrm{XI}_{2} \mathrm{Jm}_{4}$ | -463.703 | $5.214^{4}$ |
|  | -462.368 | 2.670 |
|  | -463.609 | 0.188 |
| $x_{1} x_{2}, y_{n}+y_{2}$ | -463.666 | 0.074 |
|  | $-462.876$ | 2.654 |
| + - reger In | celection. |  |

b) Seckroard aelection procedure.

| Iadapowdent variablea | Maximu velue of <br>  | Value of taet etetiletie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -462.682 |  |
|  | $-46 \% .010$ | 6.256 |
|  | -462-31 | 1.330 |
|  | $-461.709$ | 0.0515 |
|  | -461-605 | 0.006* |
|  | $-462-744$ | 2.124 |
|  | -467.010 | 10.656 |
|  | -h7k.995 | 26.626 |
|  | -t64.821 | 6.272 |
|  | - 562 - 378 | 2. 306 |
|  | $-462.713$ | 0.0560 |
|  | -462.0䑲1 | 2.312 |
|  | -467.024 | 20.678 |
|  | -475.049 | 26.728 |
|  | $-464.851$ | 6.276 |
|  | $-462.368$ | 1.310* |
|  | $-462.876$ | 2.326 |
| *10\%1*Y1076 | -667.061 | 10.696 |
|  | -4.75.050 | 26,674 |
|  | -465.397 | 6.058 |
|  | -163. TO3 | 2.670 |
|  | -460.174 | 11.612 |
|  | -476. 215 | 27.894 |
| E187m | $-466.310$ | 5.214 |
|  | -470.h29 | 13-452 |
|  | $-479-313$ | 31.220 |
|  | ea |  |



 entimited coverience of the entimeorn obtainad. an berora by dimect

Teble 7. 4 . Pimal rareion of model 1 vith independent veriablee


| Tradepmendent variable | Estimated value of coofriciant |  | geandard error - 邹imetor | $0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $\left(s_{1}\right)$ | 0.61427 | 0.1181 |  |
| *8 | (19) | 0.4992 | 0.2209 |  |
| 4 | $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ | 0. 5091 | 0.2326 |  |

Feti=ted cavarimace metrix

| $i_{1}$ | 0.0110 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $a_{2}$ | 0.0003 | 0.0246 |  |
| $d_{4}$ | -0.0001 | -0.0216 | 0.0541 |

Hote thet emicuine a miection procedure beawd on the epprozizite
 have lad to the rete final model.

Macelonel forn ror y fel





${ }^{2}$ ilimation of the log underlying cumulative hamard function, enrried tut vaasing

$$
\hat{i}_{0}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \hat{i}_{0}(u) d u
$$

I4 by oted apainnt $\log t$ at $t=100 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}=1, \ldots, 31$ in rigure 7.5 . tha $m$ etraight line ritted by eye. The plot suggeote that a reletionship ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}$ thae rom

$$
\log \Delta_{0}(t)=e \log t+d
$$

7.2.

Hifatas between $A_{0}(t)$ and $t$.




 *rietem mquivelantly an

$$
\lambda_{0}(t)=2 \cdot 8^{e-1}
$$

vharm and and $\lambda=0$, thme fittive modiel or type 11 providen en
 Thble T.6. firem datella of ebla fit.



| Incapardent verieble | Batibled parumper velue |  | Btanderd or eatimat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | 0.9263 | 0.0718 |
|  | (a) | 0.0011 | 0.0006 |
| $\underline{3}$ |  | 0.6n3 | - 21249 |
| ${ }_{2}$ |  | 0.5172 | 0.1207 |
| 8. |  | 0.4895 | -. 2345 |

Bearind im eind etandard errors, the entimated coufficionte of

 for 0 anc "rum the plot of loe $\hat{a}_{a}(t)$ mainst loet.


 16.2. Fifure T.6-pronente a plot or the evmiacíve baserd Rmetion -atimees $\dot{\Delta}(5)$ er poinea a $0(0.0511,1(0.2) 2,2(0.5) 3$. The


 realiduala, could bave been uned.

Fig. 7.6. Plot of $\log$ emulative bazard finction for error quartity, wing Nitshuler's sethod. Crude residuals obtained fron model II.


## Dfreuavion

Wate2 Pltalig wen cerciod out on men ICL 190ls conputer. The
 end glbcF (model II) (forort rrive-mpe contelined in mert h नmion of Hes wai Whsual for ICL $1900^{\circ}$ ifbrmer) wera ued í the log Iflelibood caidefentions. houtinoe to celculate the valum of tho lag likelifeod



 treatmint offect and treatmett with Predeleone fo thia cen In
 en vhole, rounger peeineta cese to do better then older patientw.
 effect on survival length.
 cyux otive beserd plote prior to model ritting and rieidual plota
 It In mit clear whet saparturven gige be axpeeta if eome of the


### 17.2 A palatal area of atmod

## 






 of The groupe mad chenge in treatmat dintua oceura if th all. in


 -plem (mowesemt sa proup 2) cevem plece be mom the eftar entry Into \&be trial ell a Eroug I minser.

## Bhathit Mndetis

 when tim- mpandine caveriatia

$$
x_{1}(t)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for patients remaining in group } 1 \\ 5\left(t-y_{i}\right) & \text { for patients in group } 1 \text { in oving to group } 2 \\ \text { at sise } y, \text { artar entery into atvo }\end{cases}
$$

sere $(u)= \begin{cases}u<0 \\ 1 & u * 0\end{cases}$






 rill commit of - tarn for each ilk of the rory

While for 1 en, the required quantity in



## 

## 

Tha garen ruacken $r(a)$. corimed ror abo. by

$$
r(a)=\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\infty} e^{-y} d y
$$

my lu expreemed man inginded prodmet

$$
\frac{2}{\Gamma(s)}=s e^{\infty}=\prod_{-1}^{\infty}\left\{(2 \cdot 1) v^{*}\right\}
$$

 from Al emat

$$
r^{(x)}(1)-\int_{0}^{\infty}(10 \varepsilon y)^{r}, z+0,0,1,2, \ldots
$$



and muceomilve difrersonliztion yiblda

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Fineci } \cdots \cdots \text { … } \tag{A3.}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{r}
r^{-1}(a+1)[\Gamma(a+1)]^{2}-3 \pi^{-\pi}(a+1) r^{\prime}(a+1) \Gamma(a+1) * 2\left[r^{\prime}(a+1)\right]^{3} \\
{[r(a+1)]^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

12 Follow thet
$P^{\prime}(1)=-r^{m}(1)=w^{2}+8(2) \cdot r^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\alpha^{\prime}-3 m 8(2)-26(3)$

$$
\text { Mhare } \quad \theta(x) \quad \frac{1}{t} \text { is ehe Zete-fuarsetion }
$$

$$
\text { 6A.2 Integrale of the ran }\left.\right|^{\tan }(\log y)^{b} y^{-} a y
$$

 -vilulata the incernal

$$
L(x, b)=\int_{i}^{\infty} y^{2}(20 a y)^{b} e^{-y} d y
$$

Hate that


$$
\begin{equation*}
L(a, b)=L(m-1, m)+b \mathbb{E}(a-1, b-1) \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The recurreme ralation Ag. together with tbie imitial valuer AB. my mav


$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(m, 0)=\Gamma(\mathrm{s}+1)=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~L}(0, b)=r^{(b)}(1) \quad=-0,1,2 \ldots \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}, 1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Trble dl. Valuem of L(a,b) far m,b= 0,1,2,3.
```

| $b^{a}$ | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| 1 | -0.577 | 0.523 | 2.523 | 8.523 |  |  |
| 2 | 2.978 | 3.379 | 7.804 | 23.177 |  |  |
| 3 | -5.445 | -14.357 | -36.313 | -85.527 |  |  |

## H. 3 Model It and Model y guanticiea

Under moded 11, $\tau_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, V_{8}$ wre indepmentent randu periebien vith $T$. heving p.d.r.

$$
P_{i}\left(z / g_{0} \lambda, a\right)=40 t^{a-1} \theta^{a^{\prime}} x_{i}+x ;\left(-\lambda t^{a} e^{B^{\prime} x_{i}}\right) \quad t \leqslant 0
$$

Thue, Row $a, b=0,2,2, \ldots$


reduces to

$=\frac{e^{-n \lambda^{\prime} x_{i}}}{a^{b} \lambda^{a}} \sum_{k=0}^{b} \frac{(-1)^{k} b!}{k!(b-k)!}\left(1 \log ^{\lambda}+i^{\prime} x_{i}\right)^{k} L(a, b-k)$


A.f Model KII and Yadel VI gumbithe

Whad - 1. Modil II radmeen to III. In thia oere quatition ef the fore
for a $\quad 1,2 \ldots$... are required.
Fatefrat e tand b 0 5banl. It Pallomathat

If Hedíiteng undier model vI

Appondix B. The arsmpotic varimece of (I)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For Alebreic minglicity, let }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}=A B-C^{\dot{a}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$



$\log V_{I}\left(A_{1}, B_{2}\right)=2 a_{6} \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{x}$


$=\frac{e^{2}}{2} \int_{B}^{(22)}-\frac{x}{x}^{(22)}+x^{(2)^{2}}-\frac{\left.n^{(2)^{2}}\right)}{\pi}(0,0) \quad+$

with $s^{(12)}=y^{(21)}$, and nimilarly for I functione.
Ertending thin notation in as obvioum my to include a and efugctions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{(1)}=A^{(1)} 2 \cdot A n^{(1)}-20 c^{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{(22)} \cdot A^{(12)}+A^{(1)} B^{(2)} \cdot A^{(2)} B^{(2)}+A D^{(12)}-2 C^{(1)} c^{(2)}-20 c^{(12)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(A^{(2)}\right)_{(0,0)}=\left\{\frac{\left.\mu^{1}\right]_{1}}{\partial B_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right\}(0,0)
$$

$$
=\left\{E_{2,2}(0,0)-c_{2,0}(0,0) e_{0,1}(0,0)\right\}
$$

$$
\left.-E_{D}\left[E_{1,0}(0,0)-2 \varepsilon_{3,0}(0,0) \varepsilon_{E_{0}}(0,0)-1 \varepsilon_{2,0}(0,0)\right)^{2}+E_{2,0}(0,0)\left(\varepsilon_{1,0}(0,0)\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\left(A^{(22)}\right)_{(0,0)}=\left\{\frac{\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{2}, 1,}{\partial i_{1} \partial B_{2}}\left(B_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right\}_{(0,0)}
$$

$$
=\left(F_{3,1}(0,0)-c_{2,2}(0,0) e_{1,0}(0,0)-e_{2,0}(0,0) E_{1,2}(0,0)\right.
$$

$$
\left.=\varepsilon_{3.0}(0,0) \varepsilon_{0.1}(0,0) \cdot \varepsilon_{2.0}(0,0) \varepsilon_{2,0}(0,0) e_{0.1}(0,0)\right\}
$$

$$
\left(a^{(2 a)}\right)_{(0,0)}-\left\{\frac{\left.n^{3}+\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}}{}\left(e_{1}, a_{n}\right)\right\}(0,0)
$$

$$
=H_{2_{0}}\left[(0,0)-2_{2,1}(0,0) \varepsilon_{0,1}(0,0)-\varepsilon_{2,0}(0,0) e_{0,2}(0,0)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+8.0(0,0)\left[0_{0.1}(0.0)\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

The function wh be fatured almoety from the ubowe on conidaration of aymetry.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{(c)}(0,0) \cdot L_{10}(v, 0) \cdot E_{p}\left\{\sigma_{2,1}(0,0)\right\} \\
& \text { (c } \left.\left.^{(1)}\right)_{(0,0)}=\left\{\frac{1 h_{1}}{14, i+4}\right)\right\} \\
& =E_{p}\left(E_{2,1}(0,0)-\epsilon_{1,1}(0,0) E_{2,0}(0,0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \left.\varepsilon_{1,1}(0,0)\left\{E_{2,0}(0,0)\right\}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \left.82,2^{(0,0)} 0_{0,1}\{0,0) E_{2,0}(0,0)\right] \\
& \left(e^{(2,2)}\right)_{(0,0)}=\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} y^{2}}{\frac{2 z_{2}^{2}}{2} z\left(0_{1}, R_{2}\right)}\right\}(0,0) \\
& =E_{p}\left[E_{1,3}(0,0)-2 \varepsilon_{1,2}(0,0) \varepsilon_{0,1}(0,0)-E_{1,2}(0,0) \varepsilon_{0,2}(0,0)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

18. 3 E functions expreased as serien expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1,0}(0,0)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n-1+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j 2}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{3,1}(0,0) \quad \sum_{i=1} \frac{1}{-i+1} \sum_{j=1}^{0} 0_{j 3}^{3} x_{j 2}^{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-i+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} j_{2}=i_{i 11}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -6 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-1+1)^{4}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} z_{j 1}^{n} z_{k=1}^{n} z_{i 1}^{*} \sum_{m 2}^{*} . \\
& \varepsilon_{2,2}(0,0)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n-i+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j 1}^{* 2} z_{j 2}^{* 2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-i+1)^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{j 2}^{*} m_{k=1}^{n 2} z_{k 2}^{*} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-i+1)^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{j 2}^{* 2} z_{k 2}^{* 2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n-i+1)^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{j 1}^{n} z_{j 2}^{n} z_{k 1}^{n} z_{k 2}^{n}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-6 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-i+1}\right)^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \sum_{m=1}^{n} z_{j 1}^{n} z_{k 1}^{n} z_{k 2}^{n} 2_{m 2}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

 A, $3(0,0)$ my be deduced from the blove.
 of ocoulation monent:
1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{p}\left\{E_{2,0}\{(0,0)\}=n \psi_{2,0} \circ 0(n)\right. \\
& (A)_{(0,0)}=n_{2,0}+0(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

[11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{p}\left(\varepsilon_{3,0}(0,0)\right)=n u_{3.0} \cdot \alpha(a) \\
& E_{p}\left(E_{2,0}(0,0)=E_{1,0}(0,0)\right)=n u_{3.0} \cdot d(a) \\
& \left(a^{(2)}\right\}_{(0,0)}=\alpha(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

(111)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{p}\left(\varepsilon_{2,2}(0,01)-n w_{21} \cdot o(n)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A^{(2)}\right)_{(0,0)}=0(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

bri $\left.\quad E_{p}\left\{e_{6,0}(0,0)\right\}=\operatorname{an}_{4,0}-\sin _{2,0}+d m\right\}$


 $\left.\left(A^{(21)}\right)_{(0,0)}=204\right)_{2,0}^{2}+0(m)$.




 $-2 \mathrm{mu}_{1,2} \mathrm{H}_{2_{8} 0}(\mathrm{w} * 10 \mathrm{n} n) \operatorname{n}(n)$
$\left.A^{(22)}\right)_{(0,0)}=-v_{2,1}{ }^{n} 2,0$ od $\left.n\right)$
*1)

$$
+d(n)
$$

$$
\left.-20 w_{2,0} 0^{n} 0_{4} 2^{(4)} \cdot \log n\right) \cdot d(n)
$$

## B runctions

These my bo oltinined from the above by aymetry
1)

$$
\text { (B) }(0,0)={ }^{n} 0.2 \cdot d(n)
$$

1i) $\left.{ }_{(B)}(2)\right)_{(0,0)}=4 \mathrm{al}$
1i1) $i_{1}^{|2|}(0,0)=o(n)$
iv) $n^{(212)}(0,0)=-2 \pi y_{1,1}^{1} \cdot t 01$

vi) $\quad\left(B^{(22)}\right)_{(0,0)^{0-2000} 0,2^{0} 0(n)}$

## C fractions

1) 

$$
E_{1}\left(E_{1,1}(0,0) \varepsilon_{0,2}(0,0)\right)=\operatorname{mop}_{1,2} \text { o(n) }
$$

$$
\left(c^{(2)}\right)_{(0,0)}=o(n)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p}\left(E_{1,2}(0,0)\right)=\operatorname{sum}_{2,2^{2}} a(\mathrm{~B}) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
E_{1}\left(E_{3,1}(0,0)\right)={ }^{n 4} 3,2^{-3 m} 2,2_{2,0} \circ o(n)
$$

$$
\infty(\square)
$$

$$
(\log n+\omega+2)+0(n)
$$

$$
E_{p}\left\{E_{2,1}(0,0) e_{0,1}(0,0)\right\}=0_{2} 2^{-\mathrm{m}_{2}}, 0^{H} 0,2^{-2 \min }, 1+0(n)
$$

$$
E_{p}\left\{E_{1,2}(0,0) e_{2,0}(0,0)\right\}=m, 2,2^{\left.-m, 2,0^{\mu} 0,2^{-2 n}\right)}, \infty(\mathrm{n})
$$

$$
\left.E_{p} 1 \varepsilon_{1,2}(0,0) E_{0,1}(0,0) e_{2,0}(0,0)\right\}-2 \pi w_{2,2} 2^{2} u_{1,2}^{2}-2 \pi w_{2,0} 0,2
$$

$$
-2 \mathrm{os} 1_{1,1}(20 \mathrm{n}+\omega+1)+0(\mathrm{n})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{F}\left\{e_{1,1}\{0,0)\right\}==_{1,1}+0(\pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

-1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}\left(E_{2,2}(0,0) 0_{0,2}\{0,0)\right\}=\operatorname{sen}_{1,3}+\ln _{1}, 3^{n} 0,2 \text { of }(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c^{(\pi)}\right)_{(0,0)}=-2 m N_{2,2} 0_{0,2} \circ(\mathrm{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

$x$ rupctiona

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14) } \quad\left(x^{(2)}\right)(0,0)=\left(A^{(2)}+A 0^{(2)}-2 C^{(2)}\right)(0,0)=0\left(n^{2}\right) \\
& \text { iii) - imilarly }\left(x^{(2)}\right)_{(0,0)}=0\left(x^{2}\right) \\
& \text { iv) } \quad\left(x^{(21)}(0,0)=\left\{1^{(11)}+2 A^{(2)} n^{(1)}+A 8^{(21)}-2 C^{(1) 2}-2 C^{(2)}\right)(0,1)\right. \\
& =n^{2}\left(z^{2}, 2^{n} 2_{1}-n_{i, 0}^{1} 0,2\right) * 0\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-2 c^{(22)}\right)(0,0) \\
& -n^{2}\left(2 n_{1,3}^{2}-2 u\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - o (2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Elaplanif

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.B_{2}\right|_{B_{3}^{(2)}} ^{\left(\frac{5}{2}^{(2)}\right)}(0,0)=o(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =n \frac{6+\frac{10}{0}-\sqrt[1]{1} 1^{\prime}}{4,1} \cdot(1)- \\
& B_{1} A_{2}\left\{x^{(12)}-\frac{x^{(12)}}{x^{(12)}}-\frac{(2)}{z^{2}}-\frac{-(2)}{2}(2)_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - (2). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thul in the neighbourhoed of $\left(B_{1,} B_{2}\right)=(0,0)$.

Appondí $C$ the my yptotic veriance of 1 in 1

## 





## 

 quancithem, (wee is. 角).

## 4 Snctions

$$
\left(a^{1+}\right)(0,0)=o(x)=\left(a^{(2)}\right)(0,0)
$$

## b finget 1 FR日

${ }^{1}{ }^{9}(0,0)^{-23} 00^{4}+|a|$
$\left(2^{(1)}(\alpha p)=o(n)=\left(B^{(2)} 3_{(0.0)}\right.\right.$
$\left.\left(3^{(21)}\right)\left(0_{n} 0\right)--2 \pi\right)_{-2}^{2}(1) 1,1+o(n)$

$\left(8^{(22)}\right)_{(0,0)}=-2 n+4+4+11,0(2)$
c gyactions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (C) }(0,0)=\mathrm{mu}_{2.2} \cdot o(\mathrm{D}) \\
& \left\{\mathrm{c}^{(1)}\right\}_{(0,0)}=o(\mathrm{n})=\left(\mathrm{c}^{(2)}\right)_{(0,0)} \\
& \left(c^{(21)}\right)_{(0,0)^{n}}=-2 n^{\mu}(3) 2,1^{u}(1) 2.0 * o(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle c^{(22)}\right)_{(0,0)}=\sum_{i=1}^{8}{ }^{3} 1 J 11.1^{M}(5) 0.2^{+\cdots}
\end{aligned}
$$

1C. 3 Evaluntioe of $x$ functioge at $\left(a_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=(0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x)_{(0,0)}=a^{2}\left(v_{2,0} H_{0.2}-w_{2}^{2}\right)=o\left(x^{2}\right) \\
& \left(x^{(1)}\right)_{(0.0)}=\phi\left(x^{2}\right)=\left(x^{(2)}(0.0)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\mu_{2,0}\left(\sum_{1} Q_{j} v \psi_{3 j 2,1}\right)\right\} \in o\left(n^{2}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}\left[\frac{3^{(1)}}{x}-\frac{x^{(1)}}{x}\right)_{(0.0)}==-21 \quad=E_{2}\left(\frac{8^{(2)}}{x}-\frac{x^{(2)}}{x}\right)_{(0,0)} \\
& \text {-1 }\left(\frac{x^{(12)}}{1}-\frac{x^{(12)}}{x}+\frac{x^{(1) 2}}{x^{2}}-\frac{8^{(1) 2}}{3^{2}}\right)_{(0,0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AEF }\left\{\frac{x^{(12)}}{1}-\frac{x^{(12)}}{x}-\frac{\frac{121+1}{(21)}}{8^{2}}-\frac{x^{(2)} x^{(2)}}{x^{2}}\right\}_{(0,0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 102^{2}\left(\frac{e^{(22)}}{3}-\frac{x^{(22)}}{x}+\frac{x^{(2) 2}}{x^{2}}-\frac{3^{(2) 2}}{x^{2}}\right)_{(0,0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thu fo the no ightourtiond of $\left(\theta_{1}, A_{2}\right)=(0,0)$

PHI Gaparel jasules







 and gaeli (1968) lnaicate that for a $1 . \ldots$. 1
and

$$
I_{r b}=E \quad\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{P} V_{r s}^{(i)}\right) \quad, \quad K_{r a t}=E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{P} w_{r a t}^{(i)}\right)
$$

$$
J_{r, v t}=E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} U_{r}^{(i)} v_{s t}(i)\right), \quad r, w, t=2
$$

where $\underline{L}^{-1}=\left[I^{1} \sqrt{i j} \quad\right.$ vith $\underset{q \times q}{ }=\left[I_{i j}\right]$.
the above authoral whow thet, to of $\frac{1}{y}$ ).

$$
\text { i4j D } 4 .
$$

## SD. 2 Mode2 TII reanle.

## Pvaluntion of bian term

Under model III, $q=p+1,2=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, B_{p}, \lambda\right)$ und
$\log P_{i}(t / 2)=\log \lambda+E^{\prime} z_{1}-\lambda E^{\prime} z_{1} t$ no that
$U_{j}^{(i)}=z_{i j}-\lambda T_{i} z_{i j} e^{8^{\prime}-z_{i}} \quad j m 1, \cdots P$
$U_{p+1}^{(i)}=\frac{1}{\lambda}-T_{i} e^{2^{\prime} z_{i}}$
$v_{j k}^{(i)}=-\lambda T_{i} z_{i j} z_{i k} e^{B^{\prime} z_{i}}$
$v_{j p+1}^{(i)}=-T_{i} z_{i j} e^{B^{\prime} \xi_{1}}=v_{p+1}^{(i)} j \quad j, k=1, \cdots, p$
$v_{p+1}^{(i)} p+1=-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}$
$w_{j k i}^{(i)}=-x T_{i} z_{i j} z_{i k} z_{i k} e^{6^{+} z_{i}}$
$w_{p+1}^{(i)} j k=-T_{i} z_{i j} z_{i k} e^{B^{\prime} z_{i}}=w_{j p+1 k}^{(i)}=w_{j k}^{(i)}$
$W_{p+1}^{(i)} p+1 j=0=w_{p+1}^{(i)} J p+1=w_{j}^{(i)} p+1 p+1$
$3, k, 2=1 \ldots p$
$w_{p+1}^{(i)} p+1 p+1=\frac{2}{x^{3}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(R_{1}{ }^{2}\right)=E\left(c_{i}{ }^{2}\right)+2 \sum_{r=1}^{q} b_{r} E\left(c_{i}{ }^{n} r i\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right)=\left\{\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right\}^{2}+\left(a_{1}+n_{3}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} I^{r a} E\left(\varepsilon_{1} H_{r}^{(j)} U_{n}^{(i)}+\varepsilon_{j} H_{r}^{(j)} U_{n}^{(j)}+H_{r}^{(i)} H_{E}^{(j)},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Uaing the results of \$A.h it follows thet

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{j k L}=-\sum_{i=1}^{p} z_{i j} m_{i k} s_{i k} \\
& k_{p+2} j k=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{1 j}} z_{3 j} E_{i k}=k_{j} p+1 k=k_{j k p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
K_{p+1} p+2 j=0=K_{p+2}, p+2=K_{2 p+2 p+2} \quad 5, k, i=1, \ldots+p
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{p+1} \mathrm{p}+1 \mathrm{p}+2=\frac{2 n}{\lambda^{3}}
$$

$$
\text { and } J_{3, k x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i 3} z_{i k} z_{i k}
$$

$$
a_{3, k p+1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p_{i j}} z_{i k}=3_{3, p+1} k=3_{p+1,} j k
$$

$$
J_{p+1}, j p+1=J_{p+1, p+2} j^{2}=J_{3, p+1} p+1=J_{p+1}, p+1 p+1=0
$$

Thus from D 1. to o $\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{j}=E\left(\dot{B}_{j}-\dot{b}_{j}\right)=\frac{2}{2} \sum_{r=2}^{P} \sum_{t=1}^{P} \sum_{u=1}^{P} I^{r j} 1^{t u} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i r} z_{i t} v_{i u} j=1, \ldots, p \\
& b_{p+1}=E(\dot{\lambda}-\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{2 n}(2+p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moments of exude residunle
Putting $h_{1}\left(T_{1} ; 2\right)=x e^{2} E_{1} T_{1}=x_{1} \quad i=2, \ldots, n$
it rollows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(H_{r}{ }^{(i)}\right)=z_{i r} \quad r=1, \ldots, N, E\left(H_{p+1}^{(i)}\right)=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(B_{p+1}^{(i)} p+1\right)=0 \\
& \text { and } E\left(H_{r}^{(i)} U_{e}^{(i)}\right)=-v_{i r}{ }^{(i s} \text {. } \\
& E\left(H_{r}^{(1)} U_{p+1}^{(i)}\right)=E\left(H_{p+2}^{(i)} U_{r}^{(i)}\right)=-\frac{s_{i r}}{i} \quad r,=m, \ldots, p, \\
& E\left(H_{p+1}^{(i)} U_{p+1}^{(i)}\right)=-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus using $D 2$. it follows that, to o $\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, for $\{=1, \ldots$, $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(B_{1}\right) & =1+\frac{p}{2 n}+\sum_{r=1}^{p} b_{r} z_{i r}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{P} I^{r n} z_{i r} z_{i n}\right] \\
& =1+s_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(c_{i} H_{r}^{(i)}\right)=2 z_{i r} \quad E\left(\varepsilon_{i} H_{p+1}^{(i)}\right)=\frac{2}{\lambda} \\
& E\left(c_{i} H_{r}^{(i)} U_{n}^{(i)}\right)=-k z_{i r} z_{i n} E\left(c_{i} H_{p+1}^{(i)} U_{s}^{(i)}\right)=E\left(c_{i} H_{B}^{(i)} U_{p+1}^{(i)}\right)=-\frac{4}{\lambda^{2}} \text { is } \\
& \text { r, }==1, \ldots, P_{n} \\
& E\left(\varepsilon_{i} H_{p+1}^{(i)} \underset{p+1}{(i)}\right)=-\frac{2}{x^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(H_{p+1}^{(i)} H_{p+1}^{(i)}\right)=\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}} \text {. } \\
& E\left(c_{i} H_{r i}^{(i)}\right)=2 \tau_{i r}{ }_{i n}, E\left(c_{i} H_{p+1}^{(i)} s\right)=E\left(c_{i} H_{n}^{(i)} p+1\right)=\frac{2 z_{i s}}{\lambda} \quad r, E=1, \ldots, p \text {, } \\
& E\left(\varepsilon_{i} H_{p+1}^{(i)} p+1\right)=0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus frow b 3. eoor (

Fine $2 x y$, for Ipj

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F........... }
\end{aligned}
$$



$+i \operatorname{lig}_{j+1}^{(i)}(j)=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{2}}$
EO thate from 4. . $\cos$ of $\left.\frac{2}{0}\right)_{4}$





## ID, 3 Model VI Temule

## halution of biee ternes




$$
\text { BoB. } z_{j i k}=x_{j i k}-\bar{x}_{j k} \text { where } X_{j k}=\frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{i=2}^{\mathrm{m}_{j}} x_{j i k} \quad k=1, \ldots, p \text {. }
$$

Conalderationg an in model III yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{k i m}=-\sum_{j=1}^{B} \sum_{i=1}^{F_{j}} z_{3 i k} \#_{j i x} z_{j i m} \\
& k_{k 2 p+j}=-\frac{2}{\lambda_{j}} \sum_{i=2}^{\sum_{j}^{2}} z_{j i k} z_{j i z}=k_{k p+j e}=k_{p+3 k i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{p+j p+r ~ p+t}=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
2_{j} / \lambda^{2} \\
j
\end{array} \quad j=r=t\right. \\
& \text { otherwise, } \\
& r, t=1, \ldots, 8 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{k, k=1}=\sum_{j=1}^{8} \sum_{i=1}^{j} z_{3 i k} z_{3 i k} z_{j i m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{k, p+j} p+r=0=3 p+j, k p+r=3 p+j, p+r k \\
& =J_{p+3} \cdot p+r p+t \\
& \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~m}=1, \ldots \mathrm{p} \\
& 3, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{t}=1, \ldots, \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus to o( $\left.\frac{1}{n}\right)$
thrmat of gruce raiding

$$
\text { celculations fantitent eo thoe of SE. } 2 \text { ylala, eo of } \frac{3}{r}
$$

$$
=1 * e_{j 1}
$$

ana

For 11 jifis






$$
r=3_{2}
$$

otherwime

*     + **) .......
so that for $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j}_{1}$

$$
E\left(B_{j 1} n_{j i_{2}}\right)=2-\frac{1}{n_{j}}+\left(n_{j 1}+n_{j i_{1}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} I^{k 2} z_{j i k} z_{3 i_{1} k}
$$

$$
\text { where if } J \neq J_{1}
$$

$$
E\left(R_{j 1} R_{j i_{1}}\right)=1+\left(n_{j 1}+n_{j_{1} i_{1}}\right)-\sum_{k=1} \sum_{k=1} I^{k x} z_{j 1 x^{2}} j_{1} i_{1} k .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(H_{p+x}(j i){ }_{p+t}\left(j i_{1}\right)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 / \lambda_{3} \lambda_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { j*r, } j_{1} \text { * } \\
& \text { othervise } \\
& \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$
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