- 1 Diagnostic accuracy of non-specialist health workers in comparison to
- 2 specialist health workers in diagnosis of hearing loss and ear disease in
- 3 Malawi
- 4 Authors:

Bright, T.^{1*}, Mulwafu, W.^{2,3}, Phiri, M.², Ensink, RJH.⁴, Smith, A.¹, Yip, J.¹, Mactaggart, I.¹, Polack,
S.¹

- 7 Affiliations:
- 8 1) International Centre for Evidence in Disability, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine,
 9 Keppel St, London WC1 E7HT
- 10 2) Audiology Department, Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital, Blantyre, Malawi
- 11 3) Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi
- 12 4) Department of Oto-rhino-laryngology, Gelre Hospitals, Zutphen, the Netherlands
- 13 * Corresponding author: <u>tess.bright@lshtm.ac.uk</u>

14 Abstract

15 Background

- 16 Population-based surveys of hearing loss are resource-intensive, requiring specialist health
- 17 professionals and expensive equipment. This study aimed to determine the accuracy of non-specialist
- 18 health workers (community health workers and mid-level health workers) in assessing presence and
- 19 causes of hearing loss compared to specialists (highly-skilled health workers audiologists and Ear
- 20 Nose and Throat specialists (ENTs)).

21 Methods

- 22 A clinic-based diagnostic accuracy study was undertaken in Malawi. Consecutive sampling of
- 23 participants ≥ 18 years was conducted. Each participant had their hearing tested, using a validated
- tablet-based audiometer (hearTest) by an audiologist (gold-standard), an audiology officer, a nurse
- and a community health worker (CHW). Otoscopy for diagnosis of ear pathologies was conducted by
- an ENT specialist (gold-standard), an ENT clinical officer, a CHW, an ENT nurse, and a general
- 27 nurse. Sensitivity, specificity and kappa (k) were calculated. Cut-offs of 80% sensitivity, 70%
- 28 specificity, and kappa of 0.6 were considered adequate.

29 Results

- 30 617 participants were included. High sensitivity (>90%) and specificity (>85%) in detecting bilateral
- 31 hearing loss was obtained by all non-specialists. For otoscopy, sensitivity and specificity were >80%
- 32 for all non-specialists in diagnosing any pathology except for the ENT nurse. Agreement in diagnoses
- for the ENT clinical officer was good (k=0.7) in both ears. For other assessors, moderate agreement
- 34 was found (k=0.5).

1 Conclusion

- 2 A non-specialist can be trained to accurately assess hearing using mobile-based audiometry. However,
- 3 accurate diagnosis of ear conditions requires at least an ENT clinical officer(or equivalent). Further
- 4 studies are required in other locations to determine generalisability. Conducting surveys of hearing
- 5 loss with non-specialists could lower costs and increase data collection, particularly in low and
- 6 middle-income countries, where a dearth of specialist human resources for ENT exists.

1 Background

- 2 Data on the prevalence and causes of hearing loss are lacking in many low and middle-income
- 3 countries (LMICs). A systematic review of studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa found only eight
- 4 published studies from the region [1]. The recent World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates
- 5 suggest that approximately 5% of the global population or 466 million people have disabling
- 6 hearing loss (hearing loss of moderate or greater degree in the better ear) [2]. The estimates provide
- 7 evidence that hearing loss is very common, however, many of the studies contributing to these
- 8 estimates were conducted more than 10 years ago [3]. Only approximately half (24 of 42) of the
- 9 studies were conducted in LMICs, where the majority (>80%) of people with hearing loss reside. In
- 10 2017, the World Health Assembly passed a new resolution on hearing loss which called for member
- states to collect country-specific data on the prevalence and causes of hearing loss [4].
- 12 In low resource settings, there are several challenges in conducting an all-age population-based survey
- 13 of the prevalence and causes of hearing loss. The cost of surveys is a significant barrier which is
- 14 driven by the large sample size required, the high costs of specialist equipment, and the costs of
- 15 human resources to carry out the survey.
- 16 One way to reduce the costs of a survey and increase data collection could be to develop a rapid
- 17 survey methodology. A rapid method is appropriate when data are needed quickly, and there are
- 18 substantial barriers (time and cost related) in place for conducting a full epidemiological survey [5].
- 19 This type of methodology has been developed for assessing the prevalence and causes of avoidable
- 20 blindness (RAAB rapid assessment of avoidable blindness). The components that make the RAAB
- survey rapid include: i) a focus on people aged 50+ based on evidence that >80% of blindness is
- 22 experienced by this age group, reducing the sample size required; ii) a simplified examination
- 23 protocol which reduces the time taken to undertake the survey; iii) automated data-entry and analysis.
- A similar survey protocol could be possible for surveys of hearing loss.
- 25 This paper forms part of a wider study to develop a rapid assessment of hearing loss (RAHL) survey
- 26 methodology. Previous evidence has shown that hearing loss prevalence increases rapidly with age,
- and >70% of hearing loss is experienced by those aged 50+.[6, 7] Focussing on people aged 50+ can
- 28 help to simplify the current examination protocol recommended by WHO.[8, 9] This WHO protocol
- 29 recommends expensive objective tests such as otoacoustic emissions (OAE), and auditory brainstem
- 30 response (ABR) testing for children <4 years, and audiometry for people 4 years +.[9] To help
- 31 establish the causes of hearing loss, otoscopy (ear examination), tympanometry (test of middle ear
- 32 function), and data on clinical history is recommended for all ages. Focussing on people aged 50+
- 33 means the protocol for assessing hearing can be simplified to audiometry alone, OAE and ABR are
- 34 objective screening tests that are more suitable for the paediatric population.[10-12] A growing body
- 35 of evidence suggests that mobile-based audiometry can be used instead of more expensive portable

1 audiometers, which helps to reduce costs and improve logistics.[13, 14] Assessing the exact causes of 2 hearing loss is more complex. [1, 6] Hearing loss is often described by type, of which there are two 3 main categories – sensorineural (problem in cochlear or auditory nerve) and conductive (problem in outer or middle ear). In a clinical setting, for conductive hearing loss, causes can often be established 4 5 through clinical history, visualisation of the tympanic membrane through otoscopic examination, 6 alongside the results of audiometry and tympanometry. For sensorineural hearing loss, a similar 7 battery of tests are used to determine possible causes, however the exact causes are often 8 undetermined. This is because there are a multitude of, sometimes overlapping, risk factors such as 9 noise exposure, ototoxic drugs, ageing and infectious diseases. In a survey setting, where portable and 10 low cost equipment are key, otoscopic examination is an essential part of the protocol, however 11 interpretation is subjective and causes of sensorineural hearing loss cannot be established through this method. The utility of tests such as tympanometry and tuning forks for use in a prevalence survey is 12 13 not well-understood. These tests may be useful in determining type, and in the diagnosis of otitis 14 media, however the evidence on this is not clear-cut.[15, 16] Therefore the key essential tests for a rapid survey of hearing loss include audiometry and otoscopy. 15

16 Many LMICs lack adequate human resources to meet the demand for ear and hearing services.[18] If 17 specialist ear and hearing professionals (Ear Nose and Throat specialists (ENTs), and audiologists) do 18 exist, then conducting a survey may disrupt needed service delivery, limiting the opportunity for their 19 involvement in surveys. These factors have all contributed to the lack of prevalence data. There is 20 potential for non-specialist health workers to be involved in ear and hearing assessments in surveys of 21 hearing loss. However, evidence is lacking as to whether the key assessments (audiometry and 22 otoscopy) can be reliably conducted by a non-specialist. Some evidence from Malawi has found that 23 training Community Health Workers (CHWs) in primary ear and hearing care improves knowledge, 24 and ability to detect people who potentially have ear or hearing issues in their community.[17] 25 However, the skills of CHWs in making an accurate diagnosis have not yet been examined.

26 This study aims to determine whether a non-specialist health worker can accurately undertake the key

essential clinical examinations in a survey of hearing loss, audiometry and otoscopy, instead of a

28 highly skilled specialist (i.e. ENT or audiologist).

29 Methods

30 Sample

31 A clinic-based diagnostic accuracy study was conducted in Malawi in May-August 2018. All

32 individuals aged ≥ 18 years presenting to the ENT and Audiology departments at Queen Elizabeth

33 Central Hospital (QECH), Blantyre were invited to participate using consecutive sampling until the

target sample size was reached. Based on an estimated proportion of either hearing loss/ear disease in

patients presenting to clinic of 50%, a sample size of 300 was required to allow us to detect 80%
 sensitivity/specificity to +/- 8% accuracy for both audiometry and otoscopy.

3 Data collection tools

4 Data was collected using a standardised questionnaire on Open Data Kit (ODK) – a mobile-based data 5 collection platform.[19] In addition to basic demographic information from the study participants, the 6 results of a) hearing test (audiometry) and b) ear examination were recorded. A validated mobile 7 based audiometry system hearTest, by the hearX group, was used for hearing testing.[13, 20] 8 HearTest was run on Samsung Galaxy Tab 3 Lite tablets, coupled with Sennheiser HD280 Pro2 9 circumaural headphones. Prior to the study the headphones were calibrated according to ISO 10 standards (using an artificial ear, force gauge, sound level meter, and calibration app). Hearing 11 thresholds at 0.5, 1, 2, 4 kHz in each ear were obtained. Audiometry testing was conducted in a single 12 quiet clinical room, with multiple tests ongoing simultaneously. We used partitions to divide the room 13 in to four private test areas. Testers instructed the participant to raise their hand when a tone was 14 heard, the tester would press a button on the tablet screen every time the participant responded. The 15 minimum testing level was set to 10dB. Ambient noise was monitored continuously throughout testing at each frequency. If the ambient noise exceeded the maximum permissible ambient noise 16 17 level (MPANL) at any frequency, this was displayed at the end of the test and recorded. The MPANL 18 specifies the maximum ambient noise level allowed in testing room to ensure that thresholds obtained

19 are not elevated.

20 We used otoscopy to examine ears and diagnose pathologies. Examiners were trained to choose one

of the following eight mutually exclusive options for each ear: acute otitis media (AOM), otitis media

22 with effusion (OME), chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM), dry perforation, impacted wax,

23 foreign body, otitis externa, other ear pathology, or normal ear examination. "Other" includes more

rare complex conditions (e.g. cholesteatoma), or those that do not fit in to the above categories (e.g.

25 non occluding wax). These options cover the range of common middle ear conditions.

26 *Health workers included*

27 The study included two phases, with different cadres of health care workers involved in each. We
28 defined specialists in this study as those that had a university degree in the field of audiology
29 (audiologist) or otolaryngology (ENT specialist). For the non-specialist health workers, we included
30 mid-level workers (nurses and clinical officers) and CHWs, who make up a large proportion of the
31 health workforce in Malawi and many other LMICs.[21] A previous study in Malawi had shown that
32 it was feasible to train CHWs in primary ear and hearing care, however their skills in making an
33 accurate diagnosis had not been tested.[22-24]

34 Phase one

Six assessors were involved in phase one of the study – three to conduct audiometry, and three to
 conduct otoscopy on all participants.

3	•	Gold/reference standard: The most experienced (specialist) clinician was defined as the
4		gold standard and their results were compared to each of the other personnel. For
5		audiometry, this was an audiologist and for ear examination - an ENT surgeon. Both have
6		>5 years of clinical experience (see Table 2).
7	•	Index testers: Two index (non-specialist) assessors each for audiometry and ear
8		examination were included for comparison to gold standard.
9		• Audiometry: audiology clinical officer, and trained nurse
10		• Ear examination: ENT clinical officer, and trained CHW

11 Phase two

12 Based on preliminary data from the phase one, which suggested that diagnostic agreement following 13 ear examination with a CHW, was low, a second study was introduced for an additional 300 14 participants in order to assess whether the accuracy would improve with nurses. Two types of nurses were invited to be trained for the study, one with ENT experience (hereafter referred to as an ENT 15 nurse), and a trained general nurse. The rationale for including the two different types of nurses was to 16 17 increase applicability to other LMICs, such as those in Southeast Asia where nurses receive training in ear and hearing to deliver these services at the primary level. [25] The gold standards remained the 18 19 same, and new index testers included: 20 • Audiometry: trained CHW 21 • Ear examination: ENT nurse, and a trained general nurse without experience working in 22 ENT

23 The comparisons are summarised in **Table 1**.

1 Table 1: comparisons in the study and which phase of the study the comparison occurred

		Gold standard testers	Phase
	Audiometry		
_	Audiology officer	Audiologist	1
SOL	Nurse	Audiologist	1
ari	Community health worker		2
du	Otoscopy		
(comparison	ENT clinical officer		1
X (III)	Community health worker	ENT specialist	1
Index (testers)	ENT nurse		2
I. te	Nurse		2

2

3 Background on experience of health workers

4 **Table 2** provides a breakdown of the educational background and clinical experience of the health

- 5 workers included in the study.
- **6 Table 2:** Education and clinical experience of the health workers involve in the study

	Education and training	Clinical experience
Audiometry		
Audiologist (gold standard)	Three year diploma in nursing and midwifery, one year diploma in Audiology and Public Health otology, Master of Clinical Audiology	General: five years clinical experience in non-ENT specific services Audiology: five years clinical experience in audiology
Audiology officer	Three year diploma in nursing and midwifery, one year diploma in Audiology and Public Health Otology.	General: six years clinical experience in non-ENT specific services Audiology: five years clinical experience in audiology
Nurse	Three year diploma in nursing and midwifery Additional ENT training: 4 day course on primary ear care, 3 month training in United Kingdom	General: 8 months clinical experience in non-ENT specific services. ENT: two years working in the ENT department
Community health worker	Health Surveillance Assistant training (2 months) and refresher. No previous experience in ENT.	General: >20 years' experience working in health centres and clinics
Otoscopy		
ENT specialist (gold standard)	Six year medical degree, five years ENT specialisation	ENT: 11 years clinical experience
Community health worker	Health Surveillance Assistant (community health worker) training (2 months) and refresher training. No previous experience in ENT.	General: >20 years' experience working in health centres and clinics
Nurse	Three-year diploma in nursing and midwifery, registered nurse. No previous experience in ENT.	General: six years clinical experience in non-ENT specific services
ENT nurse	Diploma in nursing and midwifery. Additional ENT training: four-day course on primary ear care.	General: eight years clinical experience in non-ENT specific services ENT: two years working with the ENT department
ENT Clinical Officer	Two years Medical Officer training, 18 months ENT Clinical Officer training	General: five years clinical experience in non-ENT specific services ENT: five years ENT clinical experience

7

8 *Study training*

9 All personnel were trained for 5-7 days by TB and MP on study procedures, clinical testing, and

10 ethical considerations. Those performing otoscopy received an additional 14 hours of training over 6-

1 7 days by a skilled ENT (WM) and audiologist (MP). This included both theoretical training using the

2 WHO Primary Ear Care manual (intermediate level), and practical sessions under supervision.[26]

3 Data collection protocol

All patients underwent both audiometry and otoscopy by the gold standard assessors and index
assessors on the same day. Masked outcome assessment was ensured; clinical interpretation occurred
without knowledge of results from other examiners and each assessor performed the test without
observation from other personnel involved in the study. Test order was quasi-randomised. Given most
patients arrived at the clinic in the morning, after recruitment, each tester would commence testing on
an available participant, who would then be seen by the next tester as soon as they were available.
After all examinations were complete, advice and treatment was provided by the gold standard

11 examiner (audiologist, or ENT specialist depending on the presenting concern of the participant). No

12 treatments were provided prior to hearing testing or otoscopy, in order to replicate the survey

13 protocol.

14 Data analysis

All data were analysed in Stata (version 15). For each index tester, the following analyses wereconducted, comparing their results to the gold standard assessor.

17 For hearing assessment:

- Sensitivity and specificity: Using diagnostic criteria of presence versus absence of hearing
 loss (using a cut point of >25dB pure tone average at 1, 2, 4, 0.5kHz in the better ear, and in
 each ear). This definition was used to align with the WHO definitions.
- Specific thresholds obtained: Comparative analysis between hearing thresholds obtained by
 each examiner including: the average difference between corresponding thresholds; and the
 percent correspondence (within 5 and 10dB) between thresholds obtained by different
 examiners. The distribution of thresholds was not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test of
 normality), and thus non-parametric analysis was conducted (Wilcoxon signed rank tests) to
 determine if there were significant differences between thresholds obtained by different
 assessors.

28 For otoscopic examination:

- Sensitivity and specificity: Using a diagnostic criteria of normal vs abnormal ear examination.
 Abnormal ear examination was made up of seven main conditions in our study (AOM, OME,
 CSOM, dry perforation, impacted wax, foreign body, otitis externa, other).
- Agreement in specific diagnosis of middle ear conditions: Cohen's kappa agreement was
 calculated.

1 Analysis of dangerous errors: Comparative analysis of the diagnoses made between an ENT 2 and other assessors to understand where agreements and possible mistakes were made, and 3 whether these errors were potentially dangerous. In surveys of hearing loss, basic treatments 4 are often provided, and referrals made as necessary. Treatments vary depending on setting, however typically include wax or foreign body removal, dry mopping for CSOM, and 5 provision of medications. Appendix 1 provides details of the usual treatment that would be 6 7 provided in a survey setting in Malawi. A "dangerous error" was defined as where the 8 mistaken diagnosis could lead to i) inappropriate treatment that may cause harm to ears or hearing; ii) missed opportunity for treatment or referral; iii) inappropriate referral (burden to 9 10 participant).[27] The list of what was considered a dangerous error for this study is outlined in Table 3. It is important to note that in this study, the errors were not dangerous as the final 11 12 treatments and diagnoses were provided by a gold standard assessor, after all examinations 13 were completed.

1	4

Table 3:	Dangerous erro	r definitions
----------	----------------	---------------

	Error made	Reason dangerous
1	Normal misdiagnosed as any ear condition	Unnecessary treatment or referral
2	CSOM misdiagnosed as impacted wax or foreign body	Treatment in the field (removal by suction or hooks) may cause damage
3	CSOM misdiagnosed as otitis externa	Treatment (drops) may damage hearing
4	CSOM misdiagnosed as AOM or OME	Missed opportunity for referral
5	Otitis externa, AOM, OME, impacted wax, foreign body, misdiagnosed as CSOM	Treatment in the field incorrect and unnecessary referral

15

16 *Cut-off values for sensitivity, specificity and kappa*

17 According to McNamara et al. (2018) selecting the optimal cut-point for sensitivity/specificity

18 depends on the purpose of the test and authors recommend for screening (as in a survey of hearing

loss) that the test is highly sensitive (fewer false negatives).[28] However, for surveys it is also

20 important that the specificity is also high, so that the prevalence is not overestimated (false positives)

and too many people are referred incorrectly to services with limited capacity. Based on previous

studies, a cut off of 80% sensitivity was agreed as the target for good sensitivity, and higher than 70%

23 specificity.[14] 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained. A cut off of 0.6 (good agreement) was

24 considered adequate for Cohen's kappa.

25 *Ethical considerations*

26 Ethical approval for this study was granted by London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

27 (LSHTM) and the College of Medicine Research Ethics Committee (COMREC) in Malawi. All

- 1 participants received detailed information about the study purposes and procedures both verbally from
- 2 the study co-ordinator and in written form on an information sheet in the local language. Informed
- 3 consent was obtained by signature or thumbprint.

1 Results

- 2 617 people participated in the study overall 313 in phase one and 304 in phase two. In phase one,
- 3 306 participants underwent audiometry, and 308 otoscopic examination. Some participants were not
- 4 examined by all assessors, so the numbers in each comparison vary (e.g. 305 for ENT clinical officer
- 5 vs ENT specialist, and 308 for community health worker vs ENT specialist). In phase two, 302
- 6 participants underwent audiometry and 304 otoscopy.
- 7 In phase one, the proportion of participants with any level of hearing loss (pure tone average >25dB
- 8 HL in the better ear) was 26% (95%CI=21.0, 31.4). The proportion of participants with any ear
- 9 disease in either ear was 31% (left ear 20% (95%CI=16.0, 24.7), right ear 21% (16.0, 25.7)). In phase
- 10 two, the proportion of participants presenting with any level of hearing loss was 20% (95%CI=16.0,
- 11 24.8). The proportion of participants with any ear disease in either ear was 22% (left ear 14%
- 12 (95%CI=10.0, 18.3), right ear 14% (95%CI= 11.0, 18.9). The vast majority of tests were performed in
- 13 appropriate levels of background noise, however ambient noise exceeded MPANLs more often at
- 14 lower frequencies (500 and 1000Hz) (Appendix 2).
- 15 Regarding test order, for phase one 24% of participants had their hearing tested first by the
- audiologist, 25% by the audiology clinical officer and 51% by the nurse. For otoscopy, ENT was the
- 17 first examiner for 22%, ENT clinical officer for 37%, and the CHW for 42%. In phase two, 42% of
- 18 participants were assessed by the audiologist first, and 58% by the CHW. For otoscopy, 55% were
- assessed by the ENT first, 25% by the ENT nurse, and 20% by the general nurse.

20 Audiometry comparison

- 21 Table 4 shows the accuracy of the index assessors at detecting any level of hearing loss compared to
- the audiologist (gold standard). There was high sensitivity (>90%) and specificity (>85%) for each of
- the CHW, general nurse, and audiology officer(Table 4). By ear, the sensitivity and specificity for the
- left ear was >90% for all three health workers. In the right ear, the sensitivity was 89% for the
- audiology officer, 90% for the nurse, and 98% for the community health worker. The specificity was
- 26 98% for the audiology officer, 91% for the nurse, and 89% for the CHW.

- 1 Table 4: Sensitivity and specificity results for hearing loss diagnosis by audiologist (gold standard) vs index
- 2 assessors

	(PTA	eral hearing >25dB bett	-		Left ea >25dE	ar hearin BHL)	g loss (PTA	Right ear hearing loss (PTA >25dBHL)						
	Sensi	tivity	Speci	ficity	Sensit	ivity	Speci	ficity	Sensi	tivity	Specificity				
	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	%	95% CI			
Audiology officer (n=306)	92.5	84.4, 97.2	95.6	92.0, 97.9	90.0	79.5, 96.2	97.2	94.2, 98.8	88.5	77.8, 95.3	97.6	94.7, 99.1			
Nurse (n=306)	95.1	87.8, 89.6	87.6	82.5, 91.6	95.0	86.1, 99	91.9	87.7, 95	90.3	80.1, 96.4	91.0	86.7, 94.3			
Community health worker (n=302)	95.0	86.1, 99.0	86.4	86.1, 99.0	93.2	81.3, 98.6	92.2	88.3, 95.2	97.9	88.7, 99.9	88.6	84.1, 92.2			

3

4 **Table 5** shows that there was a statistically significant difference between the mean thresholds

5 obtained by the audiologist and other assessors (p<0.001). However, the majority of thresholds

6 obtained by the audiologist and other assessors were within ≤ 5 dB and ≤ 10 dB (>69.2% and 85.1%).

7 The audiology officer and the audiologist had the highest correlation in thresholds obtained.

8 Table 5: Average difference and correspondence between gold standard (audiologist) and index assessors

		500	1000	2000	4000
Right	Audiology of	ficer			
Average difference	Mean	0.7	-1.1*	-0.9*	-1.8*
-	SD	0.4	0.51	0.4	0.4
Correspondence (%)	+/-5dB	82.3	84.6	86.6	77.4
-	+/-10dB	97.4	94.8	96.1	94.7
Left					
Average difference	Mean	6.9	0.3	-1.2*	-2.3*
	SD	109.2	0.4	5.8	0.4
Correspondence (%)	+/-5dB	77.4	85.6	86.2	76.1
	+/-10dB	93.4	97.7	96.1	91.8
Right	Nurse				
Average difference	Mean	-3.2*	-4.2*	-3.7*	-3.2*
	SD	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Correspondence (%)	+/-5dB	69.8	73.8	70.8	69.2
	+/-10dB	88.8	89.5	90.8	88.5
Left					
Average difference	Mean	3.6*	-2.2*	-4.0*	-2.6*
	SD	6.3	9.5	9.3	7.8
Correspondence (%)	+/-5dB	72.5	79.0	72.1	76.8
• • • •	+/-10dB	88.2	91.8	90.2	92.1
Right	CHW				
Average difference	Mean	-3.8*	-4.0*	-4.3*	-7.2*
-	SD	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.5
Correspondence (%)	+/-5dB	70.8	76.8	75.8	57.0
	+/-10dB	88.1	92.7	93.4	85.1
Left					
Average difference	Mean	-1.8*	-2.3*	-4.5*	-6.9*
-	SD	8.4	6.8	8.1	9.9
Correspondence (%)	+/-5dB	70.9	83.1	78.5	58.9
	+/-10dB	90.1	96.0	94.4	83.1

9 Notes: Index assessor thresholds subtracted from gold standard thresholds; *=significant difference on t-test

1 Ear examination comparison

2 Table 6 shows the sensitivity and specificity results for normal vs abnormal ear examination as well

- 3 as the kappa agreement values for exact cause. In general, the sensitivity and specificity were greater
- 4 than 80% for both the left and the right ear, with the exception of the ENT nurse for specificity (68%
- 5 left and 69% right). Agreement between the ENT clinical officer and ENT specialist was good (k=0.7)
- 6 in both the left and right ears. For other cadres, agreement was moderate (k=0.5) in one or both ears.

Table 6: Sensitivity, specificity and kappa results for ENT specialist (gold standard) vs index assessors in the
 left and right ears

	Left ear					Right	ear			
	Sensitiv	rity	Specifi	city	Карра	Sensit	ivity	Specif	icity	Kappa
	%	95% CI	%	95% CI		%	95% CI	%	95% CI	
ENT clinical officer (n=305)	80.0	67.7, 89.2	96.3	67.7, 98.2	0.7	92.1	82.4, 97.4	94.6	91.0, 97.1	0.7
CHW (n=308)	86.9	75.8, 94.2	89.9	85.4, 93.3	0.6	87.5	76.8, 94.4	90.2	85.7, 93.6	0.5
General nurse (n=303)	88.1	74.4, 18.3	90.8	86.6, 94.0	0.6	86.4	72.6, 94.8	89.2	84.8, 92.7	0.5
ENT nurse (n=302)	97.6	87.4, 99.9	67.7	61.6, 73.3	0.5	93.2	81.3, 98.6	69.4	63.4, 74.9	0.5

9

10 Table 7 provides details on the differences and similarities in diagnoses made by the ENT specialist

11 in comparison to the other health workers in the left and right ears. Dangerous errors are also

12 indicated. The ENT clinical officer made fewer dangerous errors than other cadres (ENT clinical

13 officer n=28 (4% of ears); nurse (general) n=53 (9% ears); CHW n=75 (12% ears); ENT nurse n=117

14 (19%).

]	ENT	clini	cal o	ffice	r															Con	ımun	ity ł	ealt	h wo	rker						
]	left e	ear (l	k=0.7	()					R	ight	ear (k=0.'	7)							1	eft e	ar (k	x=0.6)					R	ight	ear ((k=0 .	.5)		
		AOM	OME	CSOM	IW	FB	OE	DP	Normal	Other	AOM	OME	CSOM	MI	FB	OE	DP	Normal	Other			AOM	OME	CSOM	IW	FB	OE	DP	Normal	Other	AOM	OME	CSOM	IW	FB	OE	DP	Normal	Other
	AOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		AOM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	OME	0	3	1*	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4	1*	0	0	2	0	3*	0		OME	3	0	2*	0	0	1	3*	4*	0	3	0	1*	0	0	0	1	5	1
ist	CSOM	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	6	1*	0	0	2	0	0	ist	CSOM	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	1*	0	3	1*	0	1*	3	0	0
cial	IW	0	0	0	21	1	0	0	1*	0	0	0	0	22	1	3	0	1*	1	specialist	IW	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	1*	0	0
spe	FB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	spe	FB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
ENT specialist	OE	0	0	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	1*	0	0	3	0	1*	0	ENT	OE	0	0	4*	0	0	0	3*	1*	0	0	0	3*	0	0	0	2	2	0
E	DP	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	E	DP	0	0	1*	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Normal	1*	1*	0	5*	0	1*	1*	236	0	1*	0	0	0	1*	2*	1*	229	0		Normal	1*	0	0	11*	2*	1*	7*	222	3*	1*	0	0	16*	2*	0	5*	220	0
	Other	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		Other	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
									т		nurs	~																	Co	nera		-							
- I.									r	IIVL	nurs	e																	Ge	nera	i nui	se							
]	left e	ear (l	k=0.3	3)			nurs	e	R	ight	ear (k=0.	3)	•					•	Ι	eft e	ar (k	=0.6)		nera	i nui	se	R	light	ear (k=0.	5)		
,		AOM	OME	CSOM	Left e ≧	ar (l B	к= 0.3 ОЕ	DP	Normal	Other	WOW	OME	R	ight ≧	ear (BH	k=0. ∃O	3) dQ	Normal	Other			MOA	OME	CSOM	eft e ≥	ar (k 81	0.6 OE	DP	Normal	Other	MOM	OME	R	ight ≧	ear (BH	k=0. ∃O	5) dQ	Normal	Other
	AOM	o AOM	0 OME	1		Ì		Í					-	l –				0 Normal	0 Other		AOM	o AOM	0 OME	1		,		Í					1	Ŭ			Ĺ	0 Normal	0 Other
	AOM OME			CSOM	IW	FB	OE	DP	Normal	Other	AOM		CSOM	M	FB	OE	DP				AOM OME			CSOM	IW	FB	OE	DP	Normal	Other		OME	CSOM	MI	FB	OE	DP		
list	-	0		o CSOM	0 IM	0 FB	OE	0 Db	o Normal	Other	o AOM	1 OME	o CSOM	M	6 FB	0 OE	0 Db	0	0	list	-	0		o CSOM	IW	0 FB	OE	0 DP	o Normal	0 Other	HOM 1	0 OME	0 CSOM	≥ 0	FB	OE	0 DP	0	0
cialist	OME	0	0	o CSOM	0 0	0 EB	В О О 1	0 0	* 0 Normal	1 Other	0 0 AOM	OME 0	0 CSOM	≥ 0 1	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0E 0	0 0	0	03	cialist	OME	0	0	0 CSOM	MI 0 1	0 0 FB	НО О П	0 Db	* 0 Normal	0 0 Other	MOA 1 0	0 OME	0 CSOM	₹ 0 0	ВЦ 0 1	НО О 1	0 0	0 2*	0 0
specialist	OME CSOM IW FB	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 1	M 0 0	1900 EB	EO 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 Normal	0 Other	0 0 0 0 0	OWE 0	0 0 3	≥ 0 1 0	LB 0 0	0 0 0	dQ 0 0 2	0 0 1* 0	0 3 0	specialist	OME CSOM IW FB	0 0 0 1* 0	0 1 0	WOSD 0 2	MI 0 1 0	0 0 FB	НО 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 Normal	0 0 0 0 0	WOW 1 0 1* 0 1*	0 OME	0 3	 ▲ 0 0 0 18 0 	84 0 1 0	HO 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 2* 0 4* 0	0 0 0
VT specialist	OME CSOM IW FB OE	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	≥ 0 0 0 26	EB 0 0 0 0	HO 0 1 0 0	dq 0 0 0 1*	0 Normal	0 Other	0 0 0 0 0 0	OWE 0	0 0 0 0	 ▲ 0 1 0 19 	HB 0 0 0 0	0E 0 0 0	dq 0 0 2 1*	0 0 0 1*	0 3 0 3	VT specialist	OME CSOM IW FB OE	0 0 0 1*	0 1 0 1*	WOSD 0 0 2 0	 № 0 1 0 25 	B HB 0 0	НО 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3*	0 0 0 Other	WOY 1 0 1* 0	BWO 0 1* 1	0 0 3 1*	 ▲ 0 0 0 18 	84 0 1 0 0	HO 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 2* 0 4*	0 0 0 0
ENT specialist	OME CSOM IW FB	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0	 ▲ 0 0 0 26 0 	EB 0 0 0 0 0 0	НО 0 1 0 0 0 0	dq 0 0 1* 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0WE 00WE 00WE 00WE	0 0 0 0	≥ 0 1 0 19 0	B 0 0 0 0 0 0	0E 0 0 0 0 0	dq 0 0 2 1* 0	0 0 1* 0 2* 0	0 3 0 3 0 0 0 0	ENT specialist	OME CSOM IW FB	0 0 1* 0 0 0	0 1 0 1* 0	WOS2 0 0 2 0 0	M 0 1 0 25 0	0 0 0 FB	OE 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	WOW 1 0 1* 0 1*	BWO 0 1* 1 0	0 0 3 1* 0	 ▲ 0 0 0 18 0 	84 0 1 0 0 0 0	НО 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	Db 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2* 0 4* 0 4* 0 4*	0 0 0 0 0
ENT specialist	OME CSOM IW FB OE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	 ▲ 0 0 0 26 0 0 	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	 Here O O O O O O O 	dQ 0 0 1* 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Other	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	 ▲ 0 1 0 19 0 0 	H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0E 0 0 0 0 0 0	dQ 0 0 2 1* 0 0	0 0 1* 0 2*	0 3 0 3 0 0	ENT specialist	OME CSOM IW FB OE	0 0 1* 0	0 1 0 1* 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 25 0 0	0 0 FB	BO 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 Other	WOW 1 0 1* 0 1*	OWE 0 1* 1 0 0	0 0 3 1* 0	M 0 0 0 18 0 0	В. 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	HO 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2* 0 4* 0 4*	0 0 0 0 0 0

1 Table 7: Differences in diagnosis between ENT and other assessors

3 AOM=Acute otitis media; OME=Otitis media with effusion; CSOM=Chronic suppurative otitis media; IW=Impacted wax; FB=Foreign body; OE=otitis externa; DP=Dry perforation;

4 *k=kappa;* * Dangerous error

14

1 Discussion

2 This study aimed to determine whether non-specialist health workers can accurately undertake 3 audiometry and otoscopy in order to address the constraints that high cost and low availability of 4 specialists places on population data collection efforts. We found that a trained audiology officer, 5 CHW, and nurse are able to detect the presence of hearing loss using mobile-based automated 6 audiometry (hearTest) to >90% accuracy. This is encouraging given that CHW- and nurse-level 7 cadres are much more widely available in LMICs than specialist ear and hearing professionals.[22-24] 8 In terms of otoscopy, we found that the agreement in specific diagnosis with an ENT specialist was 9 acceptable for the ENT clinical officer but not for the CHW, and nurse-level health workers. In addition, CHW and nurses also made more "dangerous errors" in diagnoses, which could lead to 10 11 mismanagement in the field, or inappropriate referral. CHW and nurses were able to detect the 12 presence of any pathology with high accuracy, and this evidence may be useful for other applications 13 such as community-level identification. The results of this study suggest that otoscopic examination 14 requires greater level of experience to perform accurately. Thus, for a prevalence survey, at least an 15 ENT clinical officer (or equivalent) cadre is required to make an accurate and safe diagnosis of ear 16 conditions, as well as to provide some basic treatments (e.g. wax removal, ear drop application), or 17 make a judgement about referral.

18

19 *Comparison to previous studies*

20 Several studies report varying diagnostic accuracy by non-ENT specialist cadres in high-income 21 settings. Steinbach et al. (2002) compared diagnoses made from otoscopy between general 22 paediatricians and paediatric ENTs and found only slight to moderate agreement (k=0.5).[29] 23 Blomgren et al. (2003) evaluated inter-rater agreement in diagnosis of acute otitis media amongst 24 children between general practitioners and ENTs and found kappa of 0.3.[30] Asher et al. (2005) 25 found that 62% of children with a confirmed AOM diagnosis (on tympanocentesis) were correctly 26 referred by paediatricians, or general practitioners after otoscopic examination in primary care.[31] 27 Pichichero et al. (2002) found that paediatric residents correctly diagnosed AOM and OME 41% of 28 the time.[32] The poor diagnostic agreement found in these studies suggests that accurate diagnosis of 29 ear disease based on otoscopy is difficult and subjective. These findings concur with our study, and 30 reinforce the need for a clinician with more ENT-specific experience to be involved in diagnosing 31 causes of hearing loss, and management of ear disease in the field studies.

32

33 A small number of studies have compared agreement in diagnoses within cadres. In a study by

34 Sebothoma et al. (2018), agreement in diagnoses between two ENTs was high.[33] Pinchichero

35 reported that within cadres, the diagnoses were highly consistent, however data was not provided on

level of agreement.[34] In another study, agreement between attending physicians was found to be

moderate (kappa 0.4).[35] These studies highlight that some variation can exist even within clinicians
with the same level of training, likely due to the subjective nature of the examination. However, the
variation is not expected to be as pronounced as that found across cadres.

4

5 Few studies have compared diagnoses made by non-specialist health workers in LMICs. Mulwafu et 6 al. (2017) found that training CHWs for three days using the WHO primary ear and hearing care 7 manuals resulted in improvements in knowledge. This study also found that CHWs could identify and 8 refer members of their community with a suspected ear disorder or hearing loss, however the accuracy 9 of this identification was not reported.[17] Our study agrees with the finding from this study that 10 training CHW to undertake primary ear and hearing care identification is feasible, and provides 11 evidence on the accuracy of this exercise. In a survey of ear disease amongst school children in Kenya 12 in 1992, ENT clinical officers were involved in ear and hearing screening, however the diagnostic 13 accuracy of these clinicians was not reviewed in depth.[36] Therefore our study adds to the limited

- 14 evidence base.
- 15

16 Studies comparing diagnostic accuracy of different clinical cadres in hearing testing are lacking. A 17 study by Yousuf-Hussein et al. (2015) suggested that CHWs in South Africa could screen for hearing 18 loss in community settings using smartphone-based hearing screening (hearScreen).[13] However, 19 they did not make comparisons with a gold standard assessor. Several studies have described the role 20 of "non-specialist health workers', such as nurses, in newborn hearing screening programmes, 21 however none to date have made comparisons in the accuracy of this screening compared to a gold-22 standard tester.[37-39] The Joint Position Statement on Infant Hearing (2007), asserts that screening 23 technologies that are automated are necessary to eliminate individual test interpretation, reduce effects 24 of tester error on test outcome. The need to detect diagnostic accuracy in automated tests may seem 25 counter-intuitive given that automated suggests that tester decisions are minimised. However, given 26 testers still have to instruct participants, and press a button when the participant indicates they have 27 heard, testing the accuracy of different cadres is justified. Our study provides evidence that non-28 specialist health workers can accurately carry out automated audiometry after training.

29

30 *Strengths and limitations of the study*

This study adds to the limited evidence base on diagnostic accuracy of non-specialist health workers in detecting hearing loss and diagnosing ear disease. We attempted to standardise the training for nonspecialist health care workers – each cadre received the same number of hours of training by the same person and used a WHO manual to deliver training.[26] The results of each examination was masked to ensure independent assessments. A wide spectrum of patients were included in the sample, which were representative of clinical practice. This helps to mitigate spectrum bias. The sample size was 1 approximately 300 in each study, which was based on an *a priori* sample size calculation. We

- 2 managed to achieve this sample size, which is a strength of our study.[40] In determining sample size
- 3 we expected 50% of participants to have ear disease or hearing loss, however, only 26% of
- 4 participants had hearing loss in phase one, and 20% in phase two. For ear disease these proportions
- 5 were 31% and 22% respectively. However post-hoc sample size calculations suggest the sample size
- 6 still provides adequate power. Our study was powered for sensitivity/specificity outcomes, and was
- 7 underpowered for Cohen's kappa analysis. However, the comparison of diagnosis in this study
- 8 provides important indications on where common errors in diagnosis are made.
- 9

10 This study was conducted in Malawi, and therefore findings may not be generalisable to other 11 settings. We tried to address this limitation by including a range of health care workers, which would 12 be common across different LMICs. For instance, not all countries have an ENT clinical officer cadre, 13 however ENT nurses may be more common. The results for ENT nurses were quite poor in 14 comparison to the ENT specialist, and thus further research in other settings is needed to understand 15 whether this finding is replicable, or whether nurses in other settings may perform better. We only had 16 one of each clinical cadre of health worker involved in the study which also limits generalisability of 17 the findings of this study. There are likely to be variations in skill level across health workers within 18 the same clinical cadre, which is another justification for further similar studies to be conducted. This 19 limitation could not be avoided due to substantial time and resource constraints. Finally, we used the 20 WHO recommended definitions of hearing loss for the analysis of this study. A range of alternative 21 definitions exist, such as that proposed by Stevens et al. (2011) which suggests a slightly lower cut-off 22 of >20dB for mild hearing loss, and also includes unilateral hearing loss. [41] Using this more 23 conservative definition may have resulted in a higher number of people identified as having any level 24 of hearing loss. However, given that we also compared thresholds obtained, and also considered ear-25 specific findings in the analysis, the impact of an alternative definition on the results of the research is 26 unlikely to be substantial.

27

28 *Implications*

The lack and cost of human resources for ear and hearing services in LMICs is a barrier to conducting surveys to understand the population need. To help to overcome these barriers, our findings suggest that a nurse or CHW cadre of health worker can undertake reliable hearing assessments in the adult population using mobile based audiometry (hearTest). However, a mid-cadre ENT health worker (e.g. ENT clinical officer) or specialist-cadre (ENT specialist), is required to make accurate and safe diagnoses in these surveys. Involving health workers below the level of clinical officer could result in dangerous errors in population-based surveys – i.e. inappropriate referral, or treatment. This finding

36 reflects the complexities of diagnosing ear conditions. The advantage of a mid-cadre ENT is that they

- 1 are typically in greater supply, and less expensive than a specialist. For instance, in Malawi there are
- 2 approximately 30 ENT clinical officers compared to three ENT specialists. With the rapid
- 3 development of new technologies such as automated diagnosis based on images of the ear drum
- 4 through machine learning, it may be possible in future for diagnosis to be made by non-specialist
- 5 cadres. In this study, health workers who conducted otoscopy were trained over 3-5 days for a total of
- 6 14 hours. To enable greater diagnostic accuracy, further training may be required and this deserves

7 attention in future research.

8 This study has implications beyond that of the development of the rapid assessment of hearing loss 9 (RAHL) survey protocol. It can also be used to inform cadre of workers required for screening for ear 10 disease and hearing loss in community settings. Our findings add to the evidence base, and shows that 11 non-specialists who have received training are able to accurately determine the presence or absence of 12 ear disease (not specific diagnosis), and also to screen for hearing loss using mobile tools. This builds 13 on previous work in Malawi that found training CHW in primary ear and hearing care is feasible.[17] 14 This provides evidence to support further development of primary ear and hearing care programmes involving primary health care workers in LMICs. This study also found that ENT clinical officers are 15 16 able to diagnose with accuracy. Given training is only 18 months for this cadre, this study provides 17 evidence that the ENT clinical officers training programme offered in Malawi and other African 18 countries such as Kenya should be scaled-up to other LMICs, allowing the few ENT specialist 19 clinicians in these settings to manage more complex clinical treatments. These types of "task shifting" 20 approaches are recommended by the WHO as a method to overcome the skills shortage for ear and 21 hearing care in many LMICs.[26] 22

1 Conclusion

2 This study found that a CHW or nurse can be trained to accurately assess hearing thresholds using

- 3 mobile-based audiometry. In general, the sensitivity in detecting presence vs absence of middle ear
- 4 pathology using otoscopy was >80% for non-specialist cadres compared to gold standard ENT
- 5 assessment. However, only the ENT clinical officer level was able to make an accurate and safe
- 6 diagnosis of specific ear conditions, and thus determine the potential causes of hearing loss. Even still,
- 7 clinical officers, or other paramedical practitioners for ear and hearing care, are much more widely
- 8 available and less costly than specialist medical professionals. The findings of this study suggest that
- 9 non-specialist health workers can be involved in surveys of the prevalence and causes of hearing loss.
- 10 For hearing assessment, CHWs or above are appropriate; and for diagnosing the causes of hearing
- 11 loss, ENT clinical officers (or equivalent) or above are required. Further studies are required in other
- 12 locations to understand generalisability of these findings. Conducting surveys of hearing loss with
- 13 non-specialist health workers could lower the costs, and improve survey logistics, and has potential to
- 14 increase data collection efforts for the prevalence and causes of hearing loss in LMICs.
- 15

16 Acknowledgements

17 We would like to thank all study participants for their time. We would also like to thank Emmanuel

- 18 Singano, Prisca Kalinde, Mabel Jasi, Stella Banda, Catherine Kafula, Towera Kameme for their
- 19 participation in the study. The authors are also grateful to hearX for technical support throughout the
- 20 study.

1 References

- 3 1. Mulwafu, W., H. Kuper, and R.J. Ensink, Prevalence and causes of hearing impairment in 4 Africa. Trop Med Int Health, 2016. 21(2): p. 158-65.DOI: 10.1111/tmi.12640. 5 2. World Health Organization. Hear the Future 2018; Available from: 6 http://www.who.int/deafness/world-hearing-day/World-Hearing-Day-Infographic-7 EN.pdf?ua=1. 8 3. Stevens, G., S. Flaxman, E. Brunskill, M. Mascarenhas, C.D. Mathers, and M. Finucane, Global 9 and regional hearing impairment prevalence: an analysis of 42 studies in 29 countries. The 10 European Journal of Public Health, 2013. 23(1): p. 146-152. 11 4. World Health Organization. Development of a new Health Assembly resolution and action 12 plan for prevention of deafness and hearing loss. 2016; Available from: 13 http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB139/B139_5-en.pdf. 14 Marmamula, S., J. Keeffe, and G. Rao, Rapid assessment methods in eye care: An overview. 5. 15 Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, 2012. 60(5): p. 416-422.DOI: 10.4103/0301-4738.100539. 16 6. Bright, T., Prevalence of hearing impairment in Mahbabnagar district, Telengana state, India. 17 Ear & Hearing, 2018. in press. 18 7. Ferrite, S., I. Mactaggart, H. Kuper, J. Oye, and S. Polack, Prevalence and causes of hearing 19 impairment in Fundong Health District, North-West Cameroon. Tropical medicine & 20 international health: TM & IH, 2017. 22(4): p. 485-492.DOI: 10.1111/tmi.12840. 8. 21 World Health Organization. WHO Ear and Hearing Disorders Survey Protocol. 1999; Available 22 from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/67892/1/WHO PBD PDH 99.8(1).pdf 23 Archived at: http://www.webcitation.org/6k1iAiNVA 24 9. World Health Organization. WHO/PBD Ear and Hearing Disorders Examination Form. 2012 25 [cited 2018 18/12]; Available from: 26 https://www.who.int/blindness/Ear hearingsurveyformupdtaed.pdf?ua=1. 27 10. U.S. Preventive Services Taskforce, Screening for Hearing Loss in Older Adults: 28 *Recommendation Statement*. Am Fam Physician, 2013. **15**(87 (2)): p. online. 29 Yueh, B., N. Shapiro, C.H. MacLean, and P.G. Shekelle, Screening and Management of Adult 11. 30 Hearing Loss in Primary Care: Scientific Review. JAMA, 2003. 289(15): p. 1976-1985.DOI: 31 10.1001/jama.289.15.1976. 32 12. Watkin, P.M., Neonatal screening for hearing impairment. Seminars in Neonatology, 2001. 33 6(6): p. 501-509.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1053/siny.2001.0081. 34 13. van Tonder, J., D.W. Swanepoel, F. Mahomed-Asmail, H. Myburgh, and R.H. Eikelboom, 35 Automated Smartphone Threshold Audiometry: Validity and Time Efficiency. Journal of the 36 American Academy of Audiology, 2017. 28(3): p. 200-208.DOI: 10.3766/jaaa.16002. 37 14. Bright, T. and D. Pallawela, Validated Smartphone-Based Apps for Ear and Hearing 38 Assessments: A Review. JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol, 2016. 3(2): p. e13.DOI: 39 10.2196/rehab.6074. 40 15. Harmes, K.M., R.A. Blackwood, H.L. Burrows, J.M. Cooke, R.V. Harrison, and P.P. Passamani, 41 Otitis media: diagnosis and treatment. Am Fam Physician, 2013. 88(7): p. 435-40. 42 16. Behn, A., B.D. Westerberg, H. Zhang, K.H. Riding, J.P. Ludemann, and F.K. Kozak, Accuracy of the Weber and Rinne tuning fork tests in evaluation of children with otitis media with 43 44 effusion. J Otolaryngol, 2007. 36(4): p. 197-202. 45 17. Mulwafu, W., H. Kuper, A. Viste, and F.K. Goplen, Feasibility and acceptability of training 46 community health workers in ear and hearing care in Malawi: a cluster randomised 47 controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2017. 7(10). 48 18. World Health Organization. Multi-Country Assessment of National Capacity to Provide
- 48 18. World Health Organization. Multi-Country Assessment of National Capacity to Provide
 49 Hearing Care. 2013 [cited 2017 16/05]; Available from:
 50 <u>http://www.who.int/pbd/publications/WHOReportHearingCare_Englishweb.pdf</u>.

 Mahomed-Asmail, F., W. Swanepoel de, R.H. Eikelboom, H.C. Myburgh, and J. Hall, 3rd, <i>Clinical Validity of hearScreen Smartphone Hearing Screening for School Children.</i> Ear Hear, 2016. 37(1): p. e11-7.DOI: 10.1097/aud.00000000000223. The Republic of Malawi, <i>HUMAN RESOURCES FOR HEALTH COUNTRY PROFILE MALAWI</i>. 2010: Malawi. Van Amelsfoort, J.J.C., P.A.M. Van Leeuwen, P. Jiskoot, and Y.E.C. Ratsma, <i>Surgery in Malawi</i> - the training of clinical officers. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:</i> <i>A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa</i>. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management</i>. Human resources for health. 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. 2016: Geneva. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2012 25/05]. Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing. care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pedictric Infectious Diseases [Fifth Edition]</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. B	1 2	19.	ODK Community. <i>Open Data Kit</i> . 2018 [cited 2018 26/11]; Available from: <u>https://opendatakit.org/</u> .
 <i>Clinical Validity of hearScreen Smartphone Hearing Screening for School Children.</i> Ear Hear, 2016. 37(1): p. e11-7.DOI: 10.1097/aud.000000000000223. The Republic of Malawi, <i>HUMAN RESOURCES FOR HEALTH COUNTRY PROFILE MALAWI</i>. 2010: Malawi. Van Amelsfoort, J.J.C., P.A.M. Van Leeuwen, P. Jiskoot, and Y.E.C. Ratsma, <i>Surgery in Malawi</i> – the training of clinical officers. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis: A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa.</i> Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management.</i> Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. World Health Organization. <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.F.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource.</i> 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/bd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Elitor.</i> 2016; Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, <i>1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9:e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 933-8.		20	
 2016. 37(1): p. e11-7. DOI: 10.1097/aud.000000000000223. The Republic of Malawi, <i>HUMAN RESOURCES FOR HEALTH COUNTRY PROFILE MALAWI</i>. 2010: Malawi. Van Amelsfoort, J.J.C., P.A.M. Van Leeuwen, P. Jiskoot, and Y.E.C. Ratsma, <i>Surgery in Malawi</i> – <i>the training of clinical officers</i>. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:</i> A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.001: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and distric tmanagement</i>. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. World Health Organization. State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization. <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, 2016; Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis medi</i>		20.	
 The Republic of Malawi, <i>HUMAN RESOURCES FOR HEALTH COUNTRY PROFILE MALAWI</i>. 2010: Malawi. Van Amelsfoort, J.J.C., P.A.M. Van Leeuwen, P. Jiskoot, and Y.E.C. Ratsma, <i>Surgery in Malawi</i> – <i>the training of clinical officers</i>. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:</i> <i>A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa</i>. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management</i>. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491.7-65. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization, <i>Drimary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/bd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Biagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Biagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Biagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Biagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Biagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M			
 2010: Malawi. 22. Van Amelsfoort, J.J.C., P.A.M. Van Leeuwen, P. Jiskoot, and Y.E.C. Ratsma, <i>Surgery in Malawi</i> - <i>the training of clinical officers</i>. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. 23. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis</i>: A <i>sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa</i>. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. 24. Manafa, O., E. McAuiffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management</i>. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.F.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization, <i>Drimary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectivas Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M.Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnosti accuracy of</i>		21	
 Van Amelsfoort, J.J.C., P.A.M. Van Leeuwen, P. Jiskoot, and Y.E.C. Ratsma, <i>Surgery in Malawi</i> - the training of clinical officers. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:</i> <i>A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa</i>. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of</i> <i>health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management</i>. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization, <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of oitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Is it possible to diagnose acute otilis media accurately in primary health care? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media		21.	•
 the training of clinical officers. Tropical Doctor, 2010. 40(2): p. 74-76.DOI: 10.1258/td.2009.090068. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:</i> <i>A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa</i>. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of</i> <i>health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management.</i> Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.F.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.whoi.nt/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing. care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. M. KI.S.M., F. K. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of oitis media</i>. Pediatric, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Is <i>it possible to diagnose acute oitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 42		22	
 10.1258/td.2009.090068. 23. Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L. Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, <i>Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:</i> <i>A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa</i>. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.D01: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. 24. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management</i>. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.D01: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Citor. 2016. Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of Ditis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection </i>		22.	
 Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis: A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. World Health Organization, State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization. Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjami, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of oitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Js it possible to diagnose acute oitis media accurately in primary health care? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of oitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living wit			
 A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa. Surgery, 2013. 153(2): p. 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of</i> <i>health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management.</i> Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource.</i> 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is to possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care?</i> Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shang	11	23.	Qureshi, J.S., S. Young, A.P. Muyco, E. Borgstein, A.G. Charles, W. Mulwafu, C.G. Shores, L.
 272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/i.surg.2012.08.004. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management.</i> Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource.</i> 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Editor.</i> 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otits media.</i> 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care?</i> Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings.</i> Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media.</i> Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficien</i>	12		Banza, B. Cairns, A. Viste, and N. Mkandawire, Addressing Malawi's surgical workforce crisis:
 24. Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, <i>Retention of</i> <i>health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management.</i> Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource.</i> 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanocentery findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun D</i>	13		A sustainable paradigm for training and collaboration in Africa. Surgery, 2013. 153 (2): p.
 health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management. Human resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization. Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v6511.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skill	14		272-281.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2012.08.004.
 resources for health, 2009. 7: p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65. 25. World Health Organization, <i>State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region</i>, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. 26. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, <i>1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South <i>Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v6511.591.</i> 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Mana	15	24.	Manafa, O., E. McAuliffe, F. Maseko, C. Bowie, M. MacLachlan, and C. Normand, Retention of
 World Health Organization, State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region, W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization. Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v6511.591. Pichichero, M.E., and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	16		health workers in Malawi: perspectives of health workers and district management. Human
 W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosti a ccuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 1064-70.</i> Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v6511.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis M	17		resources for health, 2009. 7 : p. 65-65.DOI: 10.1186/1478-4491-7-65.
 26. World Health Organization. <i>Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource</i>. 2006 [cited 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v651.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	18	25.	World Health Organization, State of Hearing and Ear Care in the South-East Asia Region,
 2017 25/05]; Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	19		W.H.O.R.O.f.SE. Asia, Editor. undated.
 http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1. 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 44. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	20	26.	World Health Organization. Primary Ear and Hearing Care Training Resource. 2006 [cited
 27. World Health Organization, <i>Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care</i>, World Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - <i>Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health</i>, in <i>Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition)</i>, S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa.</i> S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	21		2017 25/05]; Available from:
 Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva. 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	22		http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/basic.pdf?ua=1.
 28. McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. 29. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. 30. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. 31. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	23	27.	World Health Organization, Diagnostic errors: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care, World
 Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober, and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care?</i> Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	24		Health Organization, Editor. 2016: Geneva.
 and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1. Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	25	28.	McNamara, L.A. and S.W. Martin, 1 - Principles of Epidemiology and Public Health, in
 Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, <i>Pediatric residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care?</i> Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	26		Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Fifth Edition), S.S. Long, C.G. Prober,
 <i>residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 109(6): p. 993-8. Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	27		and M. Fischer, Editors. 2018, Elsevier. p. 1-9.e1.
 Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, <i>Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in</i> <i>primary health care?</i> Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute</i> <i>otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic</i> <i>selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard</i> <i>tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South</i> <i>Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills</i> <i>in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	28	29.	Steinbach, W.J., T.C. Sectish, D.K. Benjamin, Jr., K.W. Chang, and A.H. Messner, Pediatric
 <i>primary health care</i>? Fam Pract, 2003. 20(5): p. 524-7. Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, <i>Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings</i>. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-8. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	29		residents' clinical diagnostic accuracy of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 109 (6): p. 993-8.
 Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	30	30.	Blomgren, K. and A. Pitkaranta, Is it possible to diagnose acute otitis media accurately in
 otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423- 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	31		<i>primary health care?</i> Fam Pract, 2003. 20 (5): p. 524-7.
 8. 32. Pichichero, M.E., <i>Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media</i>. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, <i>A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa</i>. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, <i>Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media</i>. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	32	31.	Asher, E., E. Leibovitz, J. Press, D. Greenberg, N. Bilenko, and H. Reuveni, Accuracy of acute
 Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	33		otitis media diagnosis in community and hospital settings. Acta Paediatr, 2005. 94(4): p. 423-
 selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110(6): p. 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills <i>in the Management of Otitis Media.</i> Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	34		8.
 1064-70. 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	35	32.	Pichichero, M.E., Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic
 38 33. Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 41 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	36		selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics, 2002. 110 (6): p.
 tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	37		1064-70.
 Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591. 34. Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001. 	38	33.	Sebothoma, B. and K. Khoza-Shangase, A comparison between video otoscopy and standard
4134.Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills42in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001.	39		tympanometry findings in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in South
42 <i>in the Management of Otitis Media.</i> Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001.	40		Africa. S Afr J Commun Disord, 2018. 65(1): p. e1-e7.DOI: 10.4102/sajcd.v65i1.591.
	41	34.	Pichichero, M.E. and M.D. Poole, Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy and Tympanocentesis Skills
43 155 (10): p. 1137-1142.DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.155.10.1137.	42		in the Management of Otitis Media. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2001.
	43		155 (10): p. 1137-1142.DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.155.10.1137.
44 35. Richards, J., K. Gaylor, and A. Pilgrim, <i>Comparison of traditional otoscope to iPhone otoscope</i>	44	35.	Richards, J., K. Gaylor, and A. Pilgrim, Comparison of traditional otoscope to iPhone otoscope
45 <i>in the pediatric ED.</i> American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2015. 33 (8): p. 1089-92.	45		in the pediatric ED. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2015. 33 (8): p. 1089-92.
46 36. Hatcher, J., A. Smith, I. Mackenzie, S. Thompson, I. Bal, I. Macharia, P. Mugwe, C. Okoth-	46	36.	Hatcher, J., A. Smith, I. Mackenzie, S. Thompson, I. Bal, I. Macharia, P. Mugwe, C. Okoth-
47 Olende, H. Oburra, Z. Wanjohi, N. Achola, N. Mirza, and A. Hart, A prevalence study of ear	47		Olende, H. Oburra, Z. Wanjohi, N. Achola, N. Mirza, and A. Hart, A prevalence study of ear
48 problems in school children in Kiambu district, Kenya, May 1992. International Journal of	48		problems in school children in Kiambu district, Kenya, May 1992. International Journal of
49 Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 1995. 33 (3): p. 197-205.DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-</u>	49		Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 1995. 33 (3): p. 197-205.DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-</u>
50 <u>5876(95)01209-5</u> .	50		<u>5876(95)01209-5</u> .

- 137.Year 2007 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and2intervention programs. Pediatrics, 2007. **120**(4): p. 898-921.DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-2333.
- 3 38. Fang, X., X. Li, Q. Zhang, J. Wan, M. Sun, F. Chang, J. Lü, and G. Chen, *Universal neonatal hearing screening program in Shanghai, China: An inter-regional and international comparison.* International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2016. **90**: p. 77-85.DOI:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2016.08.022</u>.
- 7 39. Friderichs, N., D. Swanepoel, and J.W. Hall, *Efficacy of a community-based infant hearing*8 screening program utilizing existing clinic personnel in Western Cape, South Africa.
 9 International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 2012. **76**(4): p. 552-559.DOI:
 10 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2012.01.015.
- Flahault, A., M. Cadilhac, and G. Thomas, *Sample size calculation should be performed for design accuracy in diagnostic test studies.* Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. **58**(8): p. 859-862.DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.12.009.
- Stevens, G., S. Flaxman, E. Brunskill, M. Mascarenhas, C.D. Mathers, and M. Finucane, *Global and regional hearing impairment prevalence: an analysis of 42 studies in 29 countries.* European Journal of Public Health, 2011. 23(1): p. 146-152.DOI: 10.1093/eurpub/ckr176.
- 17

1 Appendix 1: Correct management options in the field by condition

	Management in field	Referral
CSOM	Dry mop	Yes, refer for possible surgery
Otitis externa	Dry mop	No
Wax	Remove wax	Yes, if unable to remove in the field
Foreign body	Remove foreign body	Yes, if unable to remove in the field
AOM	Medication	No
OME	Medication	No
Dry perforation	No	Yes, refer for possible surgery
Normal examination with disabling hearing loss	No	Yes, refer for diagnostic audiometry and possible hearing aids

2

- 3 Appendix 2: Tests performed above and below the maximum permissible ambient noise levels
- 4 (MPANL) by frequency and by ear
- 5

	Below MPANL (%)	Above MPANL (%)	Mean above MPANL (SD)
Left			
500	61.6	38.4	13.1 (0.4)
1000	68.2	31.8	10.7 (0.4)
2000	87.6	12.4	9.2 (0.5)
4000	97.0	3.0	5.7 (0.5)
Right			
500	62.3	37.7	13.3 (0.4)
1000	66.7	33.3	10.1 (0.3)
2000	88.8	11.2	9.1 (0.5)
4000	97.4	2.6	5.6 (0.6)