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Secular trend, seasonality and effects of a community-based intervention on neonatal mortality: follow-up of a cluster-randomised trial in Quang Ninh province, Vietnam
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ABSTRACT

Background Little is known about whether the effects of community engagement interventions for child survival in low-income and middle-income settings are sustained. Seasonal variation and secular trend may blur the data. Neonatal mortality was reduced in a cluster-randomised trial in Vietnam where laywomen facilitated groups composed of local stakeholders employing a problem-solving approach for 3 years. In this analysis, we aim at disentangling the secular trend, the seasonal variation and the effect of the intervention on neonatal mortality during and after the trial.

Methods In Quang Ninh province, 44 communes were allocated to intervention and 46 to control. Births and neonatal deaths were assessed in a baseline survey in 2005, monitored during the trial in 2008–2011 and followed up by a survey in 2014. Time series analyses were performed on monthly neonatal mortality data.

Results There were 30 187 live births and 480 neonatal deaths. The intervention reduced the neonatal mortality from 19.1 to 11.6 per 1000 live births. The reduction was sustained 3 years after the trial. The control areas reached a similar level at the time of follow-up. Time series decomposition analysis revealed a downward trend in the intervention areas during the trial that was not found in the control areas. Neonatal mortality peaked in the hot and wet summers.

Conclusions A community engagement intervention resulted in a lower neonatal mortality rate that was sustained but not further reduced after the end of the trial. When decomposing time series of neonatal mortality, a clear downward trend was demonstrated in intervention but not in control areas.

Trial registration number ISRCTN44599712, Post-results.

INTRODUCTION

Annually, 2.8 million neonates die worldwide1 despite existing knowledge on how to prevent a significant proportion of these deaths.2 Lack of knowledge how evidence-based practices should be implemented contributes to this dilemma.3 This problem calls for social or health systems interventions, for example, through the facilitation of local community groups that follow a problem-solving cycle.4 Meta-analyses of trials with women’s group interventions show significantly reduced neonatal mortality.5 Hence, the WHO is currently recommending community engagement through facilitated participatory learning and action cycles with women’s groups for maternal and newborn health.6 A further development of such strategies may be to engage people who already are involved in promoting health and welfare of women and children at the community level, for example, primary healthcare staff, village health workers and elected representatives of political and non-governmental organisations.

From 2008 to 2011, we conducted a cluster-randomised trial in Vietnam, where local stakeholder groups supported by a facilitator worked with a perinatal problem-solving approach (NeoKIP trial, Neonatal Knowledge Into Practice, ISRCTN44599712).8 The facilitators mobilised and supported the groups in applying the Plan-Do-Study-Act method,9 which included identifying and prioritising problems (Plan), undertaking planned actions (Do) and finally evaluating the effect and reconsidering the problems and actions (Study and Act). The intervention resulted in an increased attendance to antenatal care and reduced neonatal mortality (ORadj 0.51; 95%CI 0.30 to 0.89) after a latent period.10 The NeoKIP intervention was designed to enable an integration into the health system to increase the possibilities of having sustained effects. As NeoKIP was a complex social intervention, a latency period was expected.8 To detect the long-term effect of such intervention will require a data collection beyond the trial period. Unfortunately, the evidence is frequently lacking regarding the sustainability of interventions aimed at increasing community engagement as trial data in most cases only cover the period before, during and at the end of a trial.11,12 Further, these trials are often implemented in settings with a seasonal variation13–15 and a relative prominent secular trend in the mortality outcome.16 Time series analyses require large data sets with many measurements over time and can be appropriate approaches when analysing fluctuations of neonatal mortality,17 including seasonal variations.
of mortality rates. Time series information is built up by various factors, which might mask other differences in mortality over time, for example, the effect of an intervention. Therefore, when investigating the sustainability of an intervention, it is of interest to display the variation over a longer period including both the intervention and beyond. The aim of this study was to disentangle the secular trend, the seasonal variation and the effect of the community engagement intervention on neonatal mortality during and 3 years after the completion of the original trial.

METHODS

Study area

The NeoKIP trial was implemented in Quang Ninh province, located about 120 km east of Hanoi, bordering China in the north. The province has one million inhabitants and is currently undergoing a rapid economic development. Quang Ninh can be considered representative of Vietnam regarding geography, demography and administrative structure. Humid tropical hot summers and dry winters characterise the climate in this part of Vietnam with the hottest period from May to September and the period with most rain from June to August. Antenatal and delivery care in Quang Ninh is provided at 187 commune health centres, 13 district hospitals and two provincial level hospitals.

Based on the data from the NeoKIP baseline survey, districts with a neonatal mortality rate ≥15 deaths per 1000 live births were included in the trial. Hence, eight districts with 90 communes were selected as the study area with 44 communes randomly allocated as intervention communes and 46 as control communes. In the study area, there were approximately 350,000 inhabitants and the overall neonatal mortality rate was 24 per 1000 live births.

Data collection

Data on live births and neonatal deaths from the NeoKIP trial (July 2008–June 2011) were used in the current study. Also, a survey of live births and neonatal deaths ascertaining data for 12 months (July 2013–June 2014) was performed in 2014. Six data collectors were trained for 2 weeks. They attended monthly meetings at all commune health centres, all district hospitals and the two provincial level hospitals in the area to collect information on live births and neonatal deaths in the earlier NeoKIP study area. Further, the data collectors met all village health workers in the communes to collect data on live births and neonatal deaths in their villages. Triangulation was systematically performed of live births and neonatal deaths from all included sources (records and reports from the district, provincial and regional hospitals, commune health centres and village health workers) to ascertain that all data were registered and secure that no duplication of information occurred. A live birth was defined as ‘birth of a fetus with any sign of viability’, and a neonatal death was defined as ‘a death of a live birth during the first 28 days of life’.

Analysis

Mixed models

The effect of the intervention (intervention communes vs control communes) on neonatal mortality was analysed using generalised linear mixed models in the R package ‘lme4’. In these models, the intervention was included as a fixed factor nested within the random factor commune. The results were presented as OR with 95% CI. Analyses were performed for the entire trial period of 3 years, for each year within the trial period separately and for the follow-up period.

Time series analysis

Classical decomposition of two time series (intervention and control) using moving averages was performed in R, assuming additive seasonal components. The neonatal mortality rate in the two time series was also analysed using the non-parametric Mann-Kendall test for monotonic trend in the R package ‘Kendall’ for the whole study period and after splitting the time series into trial period and follow-up period. Finally, time series analysis was performed using exponential smoothing state space models; ETS (Error, Trend, Seasonal) available in the R package ‘forecast’. ETS models were fitted to the two time series of monthly neonatal mortality rate data for intervention and control areas, respectively. Models with different error (additive vs multiplicative), trend (none vs additive) and seasonal components (none vs additive) for each time series, respectively, were compared using log-likelihood tests for the presence of trend and seasonality in the data.

RESULTS

All intervention and control clusters were included in the analysis during the trial period as well as in the follow-up. During the NeoKIP trial (years 1 to 3), there were 22,377 live births and 389 neonatal deaths, whereas the 1 year NeoKIP follow-up period (year 6) included 7810 live births and 91 neonatal deaths (figure 1 and table 1).

As reported earlier, ethnicity, economic situation, education and utilisation of health services were similar among delivering women in the randomised intervention and control communes. The level of neonatal mortality rate was similar in intervention and control areas at the time of the baseline survey (table 1).

The 44 intervention communes had a downward trend in neonatal mortality rate and during the final year of the NeoKIP trial, the neonatal mortality rate was 11.6 per 1000 live births in the intervention communes and 21.1 per 1000 live births in the control communes. During the follow-up period, 6 years after the start of the trial, the level of neonatal mortality in the intervention communes was 11.1—similar to the level in the final year of the trial. In the control communes, the corresponding level of neonatal mortality was 12.3 per 1000 live births, that is, not different from the intervention communes (table 1). The national neonatal mortality rates according to Unicef, WHO, UN Population Division and the World Bank were relatively stable 2005–2014, maybe with a decrease the last few years (table 1). The mortality levels in the study area in the Quang Ninh province were higher than the national average in 2005, ending at a similar level in 2014.

Figure 2 shows time series decomposition of the two time series on neonatal mortality rate in intervention and control areas. The components trend, season and random error are displayed. There was a downward trend during the trial period in the intervention communes. Mann-Kendall test provided evidence that there was a monotonic downward trend across the whole time series for the intervention area (tau = −0.291, p = 0.004). This trend was also existing when including the trial period only (tau = −0.299, p = 0.011), but not when analysing the follow-up 6 years after the start of trial separately (tau = 0.290, p = 0.216). The neonatal mortality rate in the control area did not show any monotonic trend (figure 2). Thus, no Mann-Kendall test could be performed for the whole time series. Neither was there any trend in the trial period nor the follow-up in the control area due to the very small number of events.
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Figure 1 Study flow including trial and follow-up. NMR, neonatal mortality rates.

Figure 2 Geographically displays seasonal pattern in neonatal mortality rates. (tau=0.183, p=0.120 and tau=−0.091, p=0.731, respectively).

Table 1 NMRs in intervention and control communes and OR for neonatal death during the NeoKIP trial 2008–2011 and its follow-up 2013–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live births</td>
<td>3238</td>
<td>3013</td>
<td>3760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal deaths</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR (95% CI)</td>
<td>24.8 (20.0 to 30.7)</td>
<td>23.2 (18.4 to 29.3)</td>
<td>19.1 (15.2 to 24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted OR (95% CI)*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.08 (0.66 to 1.77)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR for Vietnam†</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for cluster-randomisation, general linear mixed models (binomial).
†Yearly averages of NMR for Vietnam based on estimates by Unicef, WHO, UN Population Division and World Bank. The periods July–June show the average of two included calendar years. Early, early neonatal deaths, day 1–7; Late, late neonatal deaths, day 8–28; NMR, neonatal mortality rate; deaths first 28 days per 1000 live births.
Further, when comparing an ETS model having multiplicative error terms, additive seasonality and no trend with a model having multiplicative error terms, no seasonality or trend, the p value was somewhat smaller (p=0.115).

There were minor differences in seasonal variation of neonatal mortality rates between intervention and control communes (figure 2). More importantly, there was an overall pattern with the highest death rate in June that is characterised by heavy rainfall and heat and the lowest in the winter from November to February (figure 3).

**Figure 2** Time series decomposition of neonatal mortality rate in intervention and control areas during the NeoKIP trial (2008–2011) and its follow-up (2013–2014).

**Figure 3** Seasonal component of time series decomposition in intervention and control communes based on data from four complete years.

**DISCUSSION**

A randomised facilitated intervention in a Vietnamese province with local maternal and newborn stakeholder groups composed of primary care staff and local politicians, who used a problem-solving approach for 3 years, significantly reduced neonatal mortality after a latent period. This follow-up 3 years after the end of the trial showed no further reduction of neonatal mortality from the level of 11 per 1000 live births in the intervention areas. The control areas that had almost the double
neonatal mortality at the end of the trial had reached a similar level as the intervention area at the time of the follow-up. Time series analysis revealed a downward trend in the intervention areas during the trial that was not found in the control areas. There was also a seasonal mortality pattern across the observed years with peak mortality in the wet and hot June and lower levels in the winter.

**Methodological considerations**

Baseline characteristics of the mothers were similar in intervention and control communes and the neonatal mortality rate did not differ between the two trial arms in the 2005 baseline survey. The data collection methodology had previously been applied in the NeoKIP trial and found to provide valid information. The outcome data collection system was also separated from the facilitated intervention activities during the trial. NeoKIP was a complex social intervention. It was context-specific and continuously subjected to negotiation and interpretation among the involved local stakeholders. In the protocol of this trial, a latent period was considered, but the duration of this latency was not specified. There was an interval with no data collection from the completion of the trial in July 2011–June 2013. Covering this gap by an extended recall period in the follow-up survey in 2014 should have increased the risk of recall bias. In the time series analysis, this interval without data was disregarded. The development of neonatal mortality in the intervention and control communes during the interim period is unknown. We also performed separate analyses, that is, including the trial period of 3 years and follow-up of 1 year, respectively. The disadvantage with the latter analyses was the short period, especially the 1-year follow-up period. Therefore, a decision was made to merge the first three and the final follow-up year for a longer time series analysis.

**Secular trend in neonatal mortality**

The societal reforms in Vietnam in the mid-1980s were immediately followed by improved postneonatal and child survival, as shown in the analyses of data from a demographic surveillance site in the Red River Delta area. This reduction in mortality was not accompanied by improved neonatal survival that instead persisted at the same level as in the early 1970s up to the millennium shift. The national estimates of neonatal mortality 2005–2014 were relatively constant, maybe with some reduction during the last few years. There was no downward trend in neonatal mortality in the control communes, neither during the trial period alone nor when including the follow-up period. Still, the neonatal mortality in the control communes at time of the follow-up had reached the level of national averages. However, if data for the interim period had been available, maybe the result of the trend analysis for those years had been different.

**Seasonal variation in neonatal mortality**

The seasonal variation in neonatal mortality in intervention as well as control arms had a pattern with peak mortality in the hot and rainy summer and lower mortality in the dry winter. The hot and wet season increases the risk of infectious diseases mortality. The rainy period also implies that attendance to antenatal and delivery care becomes complicated due to transport difficulties. The distance decay in healthcare utilisation increases, and the risk of neonatal death, especially for the preterm babies, increases. Our analysis of the causes of neonatal death during the NeoKIP trial period showed that 4 out of 10 deaths had been born preterm, one-third had an intrapartum cause of death or birth asphyxia and 13% were caused by infections. A recent systematic review suggests that seasonality gradually become less critical for societies in transition. This fact does not preclude that climate change and extreme weather in the future will increase seasonal variation in newborn mortality.

**Effects of the intervention**

The intervention communes depicted a monotonic downward trend in neonatal mortality that was not seen in the control communes. There was also a seasonal mortality pattern across the observed years with peak mortality in the wet and hot June and lower levels in the winter.
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of services provided at health facilities is a prerequisite. In recent years, the Vietnamese Ministry of Health has emphasised the perinatal period and provision of good quality maternal, newborn and child health services. This may be reflected in the country’s achievements regarding the fourth Millennium Development Goal and maybe also in the recent national estimates of the neonatal mortality rate.

CONCLUSION

A randomised facilitated community engagement intervention significantly reduced neonatal mortality. A time trend decomposition analysis showed a monotonous decrease in mortality in the intervention areas. A follow-up 3 years after the end of the trial revealed a sustained low level of neonatal mortality but no further reduction in the intervention areas, while the control areas had reduced the gap in newborn survival. This reduction in mortality in control areas corresponded to national efforts for improved perinatal health and some reduction in the national neonatal mortality rate estimates. The death rates had a marked seasonal variation with a peak in mortality during the wet and hot summer, a season when access to services in remote areas is difficult and infection rates are high.
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