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Background. Current guidelines recommend screening all people living with human immunodeficiency virus (PLHIV) who have a CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL for cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) to identify those patients who could benefit from preemptive fluconazole treatment prior to the onset of meningitis. We conducted a systematic review to assess the prevalence of CrAg positivity at different CD4 cell counts.

Methods. We searched 4 databases and abstracts from 3 conferences up to 1 September 2017 for studies reporting prevalence of CrAg positivity according to CD4 cell count strata. Prevalence estimates were pooled using random effects models.

Results. Sixty studies met our inclusion criteria. The pooled prevalence of cryptococcal antigenemia was 6.5% (95% confidence interval [CI], 5.7%–7.3%; 54 studies) among patients with CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL and 2.0% (95% CI, 1.2%–2.7%; 21 studies) among patients with CD4 count 101–200 cells/µL. Twenty-one studies provided sufficient information to compare CrAg prevalence per strata; overall, 18.6% (95% CI, 15.4%–22.2%) of the CrAg-positive cases identified at ≤200 cells/µL (n = 11 823) were identified among individuals with a CD4 count 101–200 cells/µL. CrAg prevalence was higher among inpatients (9.8% [95% CI, 4.0%–15.5%]) compared with outpatients (6.3% [95% CI, 5.3%–7.4%]).

Conclusions. The findings of this review support current recommendations to screen all PLHIV who have a CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL for CrAg and suggest that screening may be considered at CD4 cell count ≤200 cells/µL.
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METHODS

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria
This systematic review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [13]. Using a study protocol (available from the corresponding author), we sought randomized and quasi-randomized controlled trials, and comparative and noncomparative observational studies reporting prevalence of CrAg positivity according to CD4 cell count strata.

Using a broad search strategy combining terms for HIV infection and CrAg screening, 3 investigators (N. F., Z. S., C. M.), working independently and in duplicate, screened titles and abstracts from Medline via PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane library, from inception to 1 September 2017. Abstracts from the International AIDS Society conferences, the Conferences on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, and the International Conference on Cryptococcus and Cryptococcosis were also screened from 2012 to 2017 to identify studies that have been recently completed but not yet published in full. Database searches were supplemented by screening bibliographies of review articles and all included full-text articles. The same investigators scanned all abstracts and full-text articles and achieved consensus on final study inclusions.

Reasons for exclusions included studies using samples other than serum, plasma, or whole blood. If studies included patients with a history of cryptococcal disease or overt clinical meningitis, then these patients were excluded from the study denominators and numerators included in this review; where it was not possible to remove these patients from the study population, the studies were only included if <10% of patients met these criteria. No language or geographical restrictions were applied.

Data Extraction
The same 3 investigators extracted data following a predefined protocol and using a standardized and piloted extraction form. Study characteristics included design, year, population, location, ART status, CrAg positivity by CD4 cell count stratum, and active tuberculosis (TB) infection. Where reported, outcomes for CrAg-positive patients who received or did not receive fluconazole were also extracted. Additional information was extracted to inform an assessment of risk of bias and the certainty of the evidence using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach [14].

Statistical Analysis
To estimate CrAg prevalence by CD4 cell count stratum, point estimates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated and data were pooled using random-effects meta-analysis [15], following data transformation [16, 17]. The same approach was used to summarize clinical outcomes among CrAg-positive patients started and not started on fluconazole. Prevalence odds ratios were calculated to compare diagnostic yield by CD4 cell count strata (≤100 vs 101–200 cells/µL) using random effects models. Heterogeneity was assessed though visual inspection of forest plots and subgroup analyses to examine potential differences by geographical region, clinical setting, type of CrAg screening test used, and sample type. We analyzed all data with Stata version 13.0 software.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Included Studies
From an initial screen of 540 titles, 60 studies were included in this review (Figure 1) [6, 9–12, 18–70]. Among these, 40 were prospective studies (including one randomized trial) and 20 were retrospective studies; 42 studies were published in full, 16 were abstracts, and additional unpublished data were provided from Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF)–supported HIV programs in Kenya and the Democratic Republic of Congo. Data came from 28 countries, with the majority of studies (41) carried out in Africa. Median age of patients ranged from 30 to 47 years, and the proportion who were female ranged from 20% to 74%. Date of study end ranged from 2013–2017 (median 2014). Most studies (41 studies [66%]) used sera as the sample type and a lateral flow assay (34 studies [57%]). Thirty-two studies reported that all patients screened (n = 18 657) were ART naive, while 16 studies (n = 6 950) reported that a proportion of patients were ART experienced (median, 41.7% [interquartile range, 18.4%–72.4%]) (Supplementary Appendix).

Risk of bias overall was assessed as being moderate (Supplementary Appendix). The majority of studies used a prospective study design (40 studies), were published in full (42 studies), and had <10% missing data (52 studies). Only 2 studies reported blinding of investigators and only 3 studies reported random sampling of patients. In subgroup analysis, none of these risk of bias indicators influenced CrAg prevalence estimates. Overall, the certainty of evidence was rated as moderate.

Prevalence of Cryptococcal Antigenemia
The pooled prevalence of cryptococcal antigenemia as determined by CrAg positivity was 6.5% (95% CI, 5.7%–7.3%; 54 studies) among patients with CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL and 2.0% (95% CI, 1.2%–2.7%; 21 studies) among patients with CD4 count 101–200 cells/µL (Figures 2 and 3).

Twenty-one studies provided sufficient information to compare CrAg prevalence at CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL vs 101–200 cells/µL within each study. The prevalence odds ratio comparing CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL and CD4 count 101–200 cells/µL was 2.5 (95% CI, 1.9–3.3) (Figure 4). Overall, 18.6% (95% CI, 15.4%–22.2%) of the total CrAg-positive cases identified in this sample of patients with CD4 ≤200 cells/µL (n = 11 823) were among individuals with a CD4 count 101–200 cells/µL.

Among patients with CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL, CrAg positivity ranged from 0.3% (95% CI, 0.3%–2.5%) in Iran to 22.8% (95% CI, 17%–29.2%) in DRC (MSF, unpublished data). In subgroup analysis, there was substantial variability by geographical
region, with CrAg prevalence highest in the Africa Region (6.7% [95% CI, 5.7%–7.6%]), the South-East Asia Region (6.9% [95% CI, 4.4%–9.5%]), and the Western Pacific Region (13.3% [95% CI, 7.4%–19.1%]). CrAg prevalence was also higher among inpatients (9.8% [95% CI, 4.0%–15.5%]) than outpatients (6.3% [95% CI, 5.3%–7.4%]). A higher prevalence was also seen in studies that used nonfrozen samples (7.8% [95% CI, 6.5%–9.0%]) rather than stored samples (4.7% [95% CI, 3.8%–5.5%]). CrAg prevalence was similar comparing studies that only enrolled ART-naive patients and those that included both ART-naive and ART-experienced patients (Figure 5).

**Clinical Outcomes**

Nineteen studies reported outcomes among 353 CrAg-positive, asymptomatic PLHIV who were started on fluconazole prophylaxis [9, 11, 19, 21, 24–27, 31, 38, 41, 42, 70, 71]. Of these, 22 (18.6%) had died, among whom 2 were documented to have died of cryptococcal meningitis; 3 others developed incident cryptococcal disease.

Thirteen studies reported the prevalence of TB disease among patients who were CrAg positive, using different TB screening approaches [9, 11, 19–22, 26, 28, 33, 41, 46, 57, 72]. Among 234 patients screened CrAg positive, 45 also were diagnosed with TB, giving an overall prevalence of coexistent disease of 19.2% (95% CI, 14.4%–24.9%).

**DISCUSSION**

Cryptococcal meningitis remains an important cause of morbidity and mortality among people with HIV, despite major improvements in access to HIV testing and treatment services [2]. This is largely explained by an enduring burden of advanced HIV disease, either because people present late for diagnosis and care or, increasingly, because PLHIV interrupt ART for a period during which time their CD4 cell count drops, placing them at risk of major opportunistic infections including cryptococcal meningitis [73].

This review estimated prevalence from available studies, by country and region, and found a high prevalence of CrAg positivity among people with advanced HIV disease that, consistent with expectations, was higher among those with a lower CD4 cell count.
These findings support current guidance to screen all individuals presenting for care with a CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL. Prevalence at CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL was highest in the Africa, South-East Asia, and Western Pacific regions. The finding that one fifth of CrAg-positive patients were also found to have TB supports the inclusion of CrAg and TB testing as part of a package to manage advanced HIV disease.

This review further suggests that there may be additional benefit to screening individuals at CD4 cell count up to 200 cells/µL, depending on availability of resources and considering the practical advantage of providing the same package of care to all patients with advanced HIV disease within a public health approach. Almost one-fifth of CrAg-positive cases identified at CD4 count ≤200 cells/µL are identified at CD4 between 101 and 200 cells/µL. Cost-effectiveness analyses have so far focused on the benefit of CrAg screening at CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL, and there is some evidence of benefit down to a prevalence of 0.6% of cryptococcal antigenemia [4]. Further cost-effectiveness research is needed to assess the value of screening at a higher CD4 cell count threshold of 200 cells/µL, which has already been suggested to be cost-saving if carried out in inpatient settings [9].

There is a growing evidence base supporting the clinical benefit and cost effectiveness of CrAg screening in combination with enhanced ART adherence and delivery interventions. A trial conducted in the United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia randomized 1999 ART-naive adults living with HIV with a CD4 count <200 cells/µL to receive enhanced clinic-based care with CrAg screening and preemptive antifungal treatment for those who were CrAg positive; importantly, additional community support including ART delivery and adherence counseling was provided to the intervention group. The trial reported a 28% reduction in mortality (13% vs 18%) among people receiving the intervention compared to standard care [52]. In an unpublished post hoc analysis, a statistically significant mortality reduction was found in both people with a CD4 count <100 cells/µL.
Figure 3. Prevalence of CrAg positivity among patients with CD4 count 100–200 cells/μL. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CrAg, cryptococcal antigen; DRC, Democratic Republic of the Congo; MSF, Médecins Sans Frontières.

Figure 4. CrAg prevalence odds ratio (CD4 count ≤100 cells/μL vs 100–200 cells/μL). Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CrAg, cryptococcal antigen; DRC, Democratic Republic of the Congo; MSF, Médecins Sans Frontières.
µL (mortality rate ratio, 0.75 [95% CI, .58–.95]) and those with a CD4 cell count of 101–200 cells/µL (mortality rate ratio, 0.56 [95% CI, .32–.97]).

A recent study from South Africa reported the numbers of patients starting ART in 2016 at different CD4 cell count thresholds using data from the national laboratory database [74]. According to this analysis, 128,888 patients (16.8% of the total) started ART at a CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL and 123,164 (16.1%) started at a CD4 count of 101–200 cells/µL. Applying the pooled prevalence estimates from this review, 8249 patients (95% CI, 7347–9280) would theoretically be identified as being CrAg positive at a CD4 screening threshold of 100 cells/µL, and an additional 2463 patients (95% CI, 1478–3325) would be identified if a threshold of CD4 200 cells/µL were applied.

The majority of studies included in this review were carried out in Africa, and the findings of this review are of greatest relevance to settings with a high burden of HIV and cryptococcal meningitis. Nevertheless, cryptococcal disease remains an important cause of illness and death among people with HIV in high-income settings, and the role of CrAg screening and preemptive therapy should be considered in these settings [48].

Strengths of this review include a broad and inclusive search strategy that allowed for the identification of a large number of studies for analysis. Heterogeneity was anticipated, and explored using standard methods that increased confidence in the overall findings. The main limitations to note are the limited reporting of important information which may influence CrAg prevalence, notably ART experience, which was missing for one-fifth of studies included in this review, and the limited reporting of clinical outcomes. Another limitation relates to methodological quality, with a number of studies being carried out retrospectively and only 3 studies reporting random patient sampling. While methodological quality did not appear to importantly influence the prevalence estimates, future studies are encouraged to take steps to improve methodological rigor.

This review highlights several directions for research, including the cost effectiveness of screening at higher CD4 cell counts and the appropriateness and cost effectiveness of screening ART-experienced adults and adolescents with low CD4 counts.

In conclusion, the findings of this review support current recommendations to screen all adults and adolescents who have a CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL for CrAg, whether they are ART naive or experienced, and provide preemptive fluconazole treatment to those testing positive. Consideration should also be given to screening at a higher CD4 count of ≤200 cells/µL in settings where there are sufficient resources to implement such an approach, or where a simplified package of care for advanced disease is required based on a unified CD4 threshold.

![Figure 5. Factors associated with CrAg positivity at CD4 count ≤100 cells/µL. Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; AFRO, Africa Region; AMRO N, North America Region; AMRO S, South America Region; CI, confidence interval; CrAg, cryptococcal antigen; EMRO, Mediterranean Region; EURO, Europe Region; SEARO, South-East Asia Region; WPRO, Western Pacific Region.](https://academic.oup.com/cid/article-abstract/66/suppl_2/S152/4918992)
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