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To the editor: A recent surveillance and outbreak report published in Eurosurveillance by Petrovay et al. on the ‘Emergence of the lymphogranuloma venereum L2c genovariant, Hungary, 2012 to 2016’ [1] provides an observation of the first European cases of a genotype of Chlamydia trachomatis associated with severe haemorrhagic proctitis. The authors of this paper diagnosed the strains as lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV)-associated and performed partial sequencing of the ompA gene (ca 1,070 bp), which is a standard typing method for C. trachomatis. The ompA gene sequence obtained was compared with those from reference isolates, and reported to be 100% concordant with the ompA-sequence belonging to an L2-D recombinant strain described in 2011 [2]. This strain was named ‘L2c’, as it was found to possess a chimeric genome, not because it has a novel ompA-genotype. We would like to point out that the ompA gene sequence of this L2-D recombinant strain, and by implication those of the Hungarian isolates, is identical to that of archetypal L2 strains, for example the reference strain L2/434 [3].

Petrovay et al. found that the pmpH-genotype of the Hungarian strains reflect that of an LGV strain, containing a diagnostic 36 bp deletion. Unfortunately this locus does not discriminate between L2 strains and L2-D. As the authors appear not to have checked for concordance between their strains and the L2-D recombinant strain in other genomic loci, it is not possible to determine whether the strains reflect the appearance of this L2-D recombinant, or rather a circulating L2 LGV strain. Thus, it is premature to assume that these Hungarian LGV strains reflect the presence of the ‘hypervirulent’ L2-D recombinant strain, despite the described clinical symptoms. We find it more likely that the authors have observed a resurgence in cases with ompA-genotype L2, as described last year [4].

For the Chlamydia community, it is important to recognise that the use of the term ‘L2c genotype’ in the case of the L2-D recombinant strain is a misnomer, as the ompA-genotype of this strain is an archetypal L2. This nomenclature was also the source of confusion in a recent paper from Slovenia describing the presence of ‘L2c’ [5], again with further analysis now showing that the ompA-genotype of this strain is also identical to L2. The distinct L2c ompA-genotype was described in a 2008 publication, and has 2 nucleotide differences to that of L2 [6].
Given the high level of recombination observed in *C. trachomatis* [7], typing techniques based on a few loci can never give a full indication of the underlying genomic background: only whole genome sequencing and detailed phylogenetic analysis can provide this. Therefore we would recommend that future publications are absolutely clear as to which genotyping method they have used in strain descriptions, for example a common target such as the *ompA*-genotype.

Furthermore, *Chlamydia* researchers should be aware of this awkwardness of nomenclature, should thoroughly compare their *ompA* sequences against a database of known *L2 ompA*-genotypes (*L2*: AM884176; *L2a*: AM884177; *L2c*: Ef460796; *L2d*: Ef460797; *L2e*: Ef460798; *L2f*: EU676181; *L2g*: EU676180; *L2bV1*: JX971936; *L2bV2*: KU518893; *L2bV3*: KU518894; *L2bV4*: KU518892) [3,6,8-10], and report their findings more fully.

As it stands, the description of the Hungarian strains as ‘L2c’ is inaccurate in the sense of the *ompA*-genotype. Importantly, it is not possible to make any conclusions about the European appearance of this ‘hypervirulent’ L2-D recombinant strain without further sequencing of additional genomic loci, ideally whole genome sequencing, or investigations into in vitro phenotypes.
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