

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Holdsworth, E; Datta, J; Marks, D; Kuper, H; Lee, H; Leamon, S; Lindfield, R; Wormald, R; Clarke, J; Elkarmouty, A; Macdowall, W (2017) A Mixed-Methods Evaluation of a Community-Based Glaucoma Check Service in Hackney, London, UK. *Ophthalmic epidemiology*. pp. 1-9. ISSN 0928-6586 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09286586.2016.1272702>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/3629047/>

DOI: [10.1080/09286586.2016.1272702](https://doi.org/10.1080/09286586.2016.1272702)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

Table 1: Appointment uptake by type of invitation

	No. in target group	Method and frequency of invitation	Uptake (booked) % (n/N)	DNA % (n/N)
Host Practice A	457	1 invitation letter + 1 reminder letter	33.9 (155/457)	21.3 (33/155)
Host Practice A*	140	1 invitation letter + 1 reminder letter + 1 reminder telephone call	27.9 (39/140)	20.5 (8/39)
Practice B	953	1 invitation letter + 1 reminder letter	17.9 (171/953)	18.7 (32/171)
Practices C & D	1630	1 invitation letter	14.1 (230/1630)	26.5 (61/230)
Total	3040		19.6 (595/3040)	22.5 (134/595)

*The telephone call reminder was administered to a random half of patients who had not responded to the initial invitation or subsequent reminder letter