

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Owolabi, OO (2017) Towards improving the measurement of unsafe abortion: substantive estimates and methodological insights from Zambia. PhD thesis, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17037/PUBS.03548894>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/3548894/>

DOI: [10.17037/PUBS.03548894](https://doi.org/10.17037/PUBS.03548894)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

LONDON
SCHOOL *of*
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Towards improving the measurement of unsafe abortion:
substantive estimates and methodological insights from
Zambia

ONIKEPE OLUWADAMILOLA OWOLABI

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of the
University of London

JULY 2016

Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology

Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health

LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE & TROPICAL MEDICINE

Funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)

Research group affiliation(s): MARCH Centre for Maternal, Reproductive and Child Health

Declaration by candidate

I, Onikepe Oluwadamilola Owolabi confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm this has been indicated in the thesis

Signed:



Date: 5th January 2016

Abstract

Background: Measuring unsafe abortion is essential to understand the magnitude of the problem and monitor progress in women's reproductive health. However, legal and societal constraints in high-burden contexts foster underreporting of induced abortions which makes obtaining accurate estimates challenging. My PhD examines the methodological challenges around defining and measuring unsafe abortions using Zambia as my country context.

Methods: First, I conducted interrupted time series analysis on admissions for abortion-related complications and deaths from 2007-2015 at University Teaching Hospital (UTH), Lusaka to assess the impact of key contextual changes. Second, I collected data from women hospitalized for abortion-related complications in three provinces to estimate the incidence of abortion-related near-miss in 2014. Third, I compared estimates of the incidence of induced abortion in the three provinces using data from 3 methodological approaches.

Results: The prevalence of unsafe and induced abortion is high in Zambia. Following the release of clinical guidelines in May 2009, there was an immediate decline in the absolute number of abortion complications by 86 cases ($p=0.003$). The abortion-related near-miss incidence rate was 72 per 100,000 women, and it was feasible to apply the adapted WHO near-miss criteria in Zambia. Estimates of the incidence of induced abortion per 1000 women ranged from 30 to 80. There was variation in the proportion of women estimated to seek facility care for abortion-related complications in each approach.

Conclusion: The burden of unsafe abortion is high in Zambia despite its liberal law. Although there is no gold standard method to measure the burden of unsafe abortion, my findings suggest there is scope to improve use of available data to describe the burden of the most unsafe abortions and evaluate the impact of interventions on abortion-related indicators in restrictive contexts.

Acknowledgements

At the end of this journey, I am unbelievably grateful for the help I have received and the people I have met. I have been blessed with more time, support and love than I could have requested, and there are not enough words to express my gratitude to every single person who helped me along the way.

This work would not have been possible without the help of my wonderful supervisors. I am deeply grateful to Veronique Filippi, who gave me the opportunity to learn from her and explore my research ideas, provided outstanding pastoral care during the most trying time of my PhD and has given me many amazing opportunities for professional growth. Jenny Cresswell has been an excellent co-supervisor, giving untold amount of time to the minutest details of my work, helping me with many statistical queries and providing advice on many practical issues along the way. I am extremely grateful to David Osrin for being a wonderful mentor and member of my advisory panel and providing timely feedback on every document I have asked him to read. I am particularly grateful to Ann Moore, Akin Bankole and Tamara Fetters for their help with acquiring the data collection tools, and facilitating the training I needed to estimate abortion incidence in my PhD, and to Bellington Vwalika for his assistance with accessing hospital data in Zambia. I am also very grateful to Schadrac Agbla and Jonathan Bartlett for their statistical advice.

I am extremely grateful to every member of the EVAPMDUP team at LSHTM, Population Council Zambia and the Guttmacher Institute for providing feedback on my work many times over the past few years and for giving me a sense of belonging at the school. I feel incredibly privileged to have found mentors and friends who have become family and have gone above and beyond to make my time at the school an unforgettable experience. I would like to thank Oona Campbell, Lenka Benova, Lori Miller and Francesca Cavallaro for all the academic support/feedback, taking care of me during an extremely difficult pregnancy, opening their homes to me, helping me babysit, and comforting me when I cried. To my best friends Kasman, Mardieh, Ngozi and Tosin thank you being there every step of the way, for countless conversations, for listening to my ideas and for making this a great journey.

To the love of my life Kayode, there are no words to say how grateful I am for your love, support and the many sacrifices you have made for us to finish this PhD. This work is as much yours as it is mine. Oluwatiere, you are the best thing that happened to me during this PhD, thank you for being such a good baby and for allowing me to work when I needed to. To my father and sisters, thank you for always being there, and for believing in me even when I did not believe in myself.

In loving memory of my mum Mary Omoyosola Owolabi. Your deep unwavering faith in God, your passion for every patient's health and your ability to chase a dream continues to inspire me and give me a reason to think I can change the world.

Table of contents

Abstract	3
Acknowledgements	4
List of tables	8
List of figures	10
Acronyms and abbreviations	11
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	13
1.1 OUTLINE OF THESIS	15
CHAPTER 2. DEFINING AND MEASURING UNSAFE ABORTION	17
2.1 DEFINITION OF TERMS	17
2.2 THE EVOLUTION OF THE WHO DEFINITION OF UNSAFE ABORTION	18
2.3 INCONSISTENCIES BETWEEN THE WORDING OF THE WHO DEFINITION OF UNSAFE ABORTION AND THE WAY IT HAS BEEN USED TO GENERATE ESTIMATES	18
2.4 RECENT UPDATES ON HOW THE WHO DEFINITION OF UNSAFE ABORTION SHOULD BE INTERPRETED	21
2.5 MEDICAL ABORTION, ITS IMPACT ON HOW WOMEN ACCESS ABORTIONS, AND ON THE DEFINITION AND MEASUREMENT OF UNSAFE ABORTION.	27
2.6 INDICATORS AND METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES FREQUENTLY USED TO MEASURE THE BURDEN OF UNSAFE ABORTION IN RESTRICTIVE CONTEXTS	30
2.6.1 DATA SOURCES	31
2.7 RECENT IDEAS ON THE DEFINITION AND MEASUREMENT OF UNSAFE ABORTION	51
2.8 CONCLUSION	52
CHAPTER 3. AIM, OBJECTIVES AND DESIGN OF PHD THESIS	54
3.1 STUDY AIM AND OBJECTIVES	54
3.2 STUDY CONTEXT, PROJECT SETTING AND ROLE OF THE CANDIDATE	55
3.2.1 STUDY CONTEXT	55
3.2.2 PROJECT FRAMEWORK	58
3.2.3 ROLE OF THE CANDIDATE IN EVA-PMDUP	60
3.3 ETHICAL APPROVAL	61
3.4 FUNDING	62
3.5 RESEARCH TIMELINE	62
CHAPTER 4. HOW DO CONTEXTUAL CHANGES AFFECT TRENDS IN ABORTION-RELATED COMPLICATIONS? A TIME SERIES ANALYSIS OF ZAMBIAN HOSPITAL DATA	64
4.1 INTRODUCTION TO THE PAPER	66
4.2 METHODS	69
4.2.1 SETTING	69
4.2.2 STUDY DESIGN	69
4.2.3 DATA COLLECTION TOOLS	69
4.2.4 DATA COLLECTION	70
4.3 ANALYSIS	70
4.3.1 OUTCOME MEASURE:	70
4.3.2 MISSING DATA	71
4.3.3 KEY INTERVENTION EVENTS	71
4.3.4 DATA ANALYSIS	72
4.4 RESULTS	73
4.5 DISCUSSION	77

4.5.1	METHODOLOGICAL STRENGTHS AND CHALLENGES	80
4.5.2	CONCLUSION	81
<u>CHAPTER 5. INCIDENCE OF ABORTION-RELATED NEAR-MISS COMPLICATIONS IN ZAMBIA: CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY IN CENTRAL, COPPERBELT AND LUSAKA PROVINCES</u>		<u>82</u>
5.1	INTRODUCTION	86
5.2	METHODS	87
5.2.1	DESIGN, SETTING, AND POPULATION	87
5.2.2	DATA COLLECTION	90
5.2.3	DATA ANALYSIS	91
5.3	RESULTS	91
5.4	DISCUSSION	95
5.4.1	STRENGTHS OF THIS STUDY	97
5.4.2	METHODOLOGICAL CHALLENGES	97
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	101
<u>CHAPTER 6. HOW DO THE NUMBERS COMPARE? ESTIMATING THE INCIDENCE OF INDUCED ABORTION IN ZAMBIA USING INDIRECT METHODOLOGIES THAT RELY ON COMMUNITY-BASED AND FACILITY-BASED DATA</u>		<u>107</u>
6.1	INTRODUCTION TO THE PAPER	109
6.2	OBJECTIVE	110
6.3	METHODS	110
6.3.1	STUDY DESIGN	110
	HEALTH FACILITY BASED METHODS	110
	COMMUNITY-BASED METHOD	117
6.4	RESULTS	119
6.4.1	AICM	119
6.4.2	PMM	121
6.4.3	COMPARISON OF AICM AND PMM	121
6.4.4	ATPR	122
6.5	DISCUSSION	125
6.5.1	MAIN FINDINGS	125
6.5.2	METHODOLOGICAL CHALLENGES	126
6.5.3	STRENGTHS OF THE STUDY	130
6.5.4	INTERPRETATION	131
6.6	CONCLUSION	131
<u>CHAPTER 7. DISCUSSION</u>		<u>133</u>
7.1	SUMMARY OF THE KEY FINDINGS	134
7.1.1	SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND- DEFINING AND MEASURING UNSAFE ABORTIONS	134
7.1.2	SUMMARY OF SUBSTANTIVE ESTIMATES IN RESULTS CHAPTERS	135
7.1.3	INTERNAL CONSISTENCY OF SUBSTANTIVE ESTIMATES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	136
7.2	INTERPRETATION WITHIN THE LITERATURE ON ABORTION	138
7.2.1	TREND STUDY	138
7.2.2	NEAR-MISS STUDY	140
7.2.3	ABORTION INCIDENCE HOSPITAL STUDY AND CONFIDANTS STUDY	142
7.3	IMPLICATIONS FOR UNDERSTANDING AND MEASURING ABORTION SAFETY	144
7.3.1	MEDICAL ABORTION IS CHANGING HOW WE CAN MEASURE THE BURDEN OF UNSAFE ABORTIONS	146
7.4	IMPLICATIONS FOR PROVISION OF ABORTION CARE IN ZAMBIA	147
7.5	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND POLICY	148

7.5.1	REFINE THE ASSUMPTIONS USED TO ADJUST HEALTH FACILITY OUTCOME DATA IN METHODS LIKE THE AICM	149
7.5.2	EXPLORE APPROACHES TO IMPROVE REPORTING IN COMMUNITY-SURVEYS OF WOMEN TO GENERATE DATA ON PROVISION AND CARE-SEEKING FOR INDUCED ABORTIONS	150
7.5.3	REFINE THE CRITERIA USED TO DESCRIBE ABORTION-RELATED MORBIDITY AND THE WHO NEAR-MISS CRITERIA TO INCREASE APPLICABILITY IN LOW INCOME CONTEXTS	152
7.6	CONCLUSION	153
<u>APPENDIX 1: ETHICAL APPROVAL LETTERS</u>		<u>176</u>
<u>APPENDIX 2: CONSENT FORMS FOR WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE PARTICIPATING IN THE COMMUNITY SURVEY</u>		<u>181</u>
<u>APPENDIX 3: DATA COLLECTED FROM HOSPITAL REGISTERS FOR TREND STUDY</u>		<u>190</u>
<u>APPENDIX 4: CORRELOGRAM AND PARTIAL CORRELOGRAM GRAPHS FOR DATA ON THE ABORTION-RELATED COMPLICATIONS</u>		<u>191</u>
<u>APPENDIX 5: RESULTS OF INTERRUPTED TIME SERIES DESCRIBING TRENDS IN DEATH RATE FOR CHAPTER 4</u>		<u>193</u>
<u>APPENDIX 6: DATA EXTRACTION ALGORITHM FOR NEAR MISS STUDY</u>		<u>194</u>
<u>APPENDIX 7: NEAR-MISS STUDY TOOL</u>		<u>195</u>
<u>APPENDIX 8: DATA COLLECTION TOOLS FOR ABORTION INCIDENCE COMPLICATIONS METHOD (AICM)</u>		<u>197</u>
<u>APPENDIX 9: ANONYMOUS THIRD PARTY REPORTING METHOD (ATPR)</u>		<u>221</u>

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Summary of WHO evidence-based standards-of-care for safe abortion care provision	23
Table 2-2 WHO Figa-Talamanca criteria used for reclassification of abortion cases	35
Table 2-3 How my proposed unsafe abortion near-miss indicator addresses the limitations of other abortion indicators	39
Table 2-4 Categories of indicators that have been used to measure near-miss: advantages and disadvantages	41
Table 2-5 WHO maternal near-miss identification criteria	44
Table 3-1 Summary table briefly describing each study in my PhD and how it will be referred to subsequently	59
Table 3-2 Summary of the role of the candidate in EVA-PMDUP research activities	61
Table 3-3 Timeline of PhD research activities	63
Table 4-1 Admissions for abortion-related complications and gynaecological indications at UTH between 2006 and 2015	73
Table 4-2 Interrupted time series analysis on UTH admissions for abortion-related complications (count) between two important contextual events affecting access to abortion care	75
Table 4-3 Interrupted time series analysis on UTH admissions for abortion-related complications per 1000 gynaecological admissions between two important contextual changes affecting access to abortion care	76
Table 5-1 Differences between WHO near-miss morbidity criteria and criteria used in the study, adapted for abortion-related complications in Zambia	89
Table 5-2 Comparing between the level of morbidity amongst cases in the original study and the validation study	92
Table 5-3 Sociodemographic and reproductive characteristics of 2406 women seeking post abortion care	93
Table 5-4 Clinical conditions in abortion-related near-miss cases and abortion-related deaths	94
Table 5-5 Overlap between blood transfusion and haemoglobin levels based on WHO near-miss criteria and Zambia study adapted criteria	94
Table 5-6 Near-miss outcome indicators by province	95
Table 6-1 Comparing the sources of data for estimating abortion incidence	118
Table 6-2. Comparing the incidence of abortion using different weights for private health centres	120
Table 6-3 Estimated number of cases admitted in each type of facility in different provinces using the AICM and PMM approaches	122
Table 6-4 Table comparing age distribution of respondents to ATPR with women in 2013/14 ZDHS	123
Table 6-5 Results for different measures using the 3 approaches	123

Table 6-6 Methods used to induce abortion by confidants where respondent knew method (ATPR)	124
Table 6-7 HPS respondent's views on types of abortions obtained by different categories of women	125
Table 7-1 How the HPS questions were adapted for the Zambia AICM	213
Table 7-2 Sampling facilities achieved with hospitals included in the AICM study	216
Table 7-3 Distribution of facilities in Central, Copperbelt and Lusaka provinces capable of providing PAC and sampled according to ownership and level of facility	217
Table 7-4 HPS respondent's views on types of abortions obtained by different categories of women	219

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Approaches to defining unsafe abortion, and the common data sources and indicators for measurement	32
Figure 2-2 The spectrum of abortion-related morbidity: from non-complicated abortions to near-miss and maternal death	39
Figure 3-1 Map of Zambia showing where the study was conducted	55
Figure 4 Data series showing the segmented regression model examining the effect of contextual changes between 2009 and 2015 on UTH admissions for abortion related complications (count)	75
Figure 4-2 Observed UTH abortion complication rate per 1000 gynaecological admissions and interrupted linear trends assessing the effect of contextual changes between 2006 and 2015	77
Figure 6-1 Flow diagram outlining how the sample for the AICM was achieved	113
Figure 6-2 Step-by-step analysis of AICM data	116
Figure 7-1 Flowchart showing how eligible hospitals were identified for the EVA-PMDUP study	215

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AICM	Abortion Incidence Complications Method
ATPR	Anonymous Third Party Reporting Method
CAC	Comprehensive Abortion Care
DHS	Demographic and Health Surveys
EVA-PMDUP	Evaluation of the Prevention of Maternal Death from Unwanted Pregnancy program
FP	Family Planning
HFS	Health Facility Survey
HPS	Health Professional Survey
MA	Medical abortion
MVA	Manual vacuum aspiration
PAC	Post abortion care
PMDUP	Prevention of Maternal Death from Unwanted Pregnancy
PMM	Prospective Morbidity Survey
SSA	Sub-Saharan Africa
TOP	Termination of pregnancy
UTH	University Teaching Hospital
WRA	Women of reproductive age
WHO	World Health Organization
ZDHS	Zambia demographic and health survey