1	Title: Climate change and global food systems:
2	Potential impacts on food security and undernutrition
3	Running title: Climate change & global food security
4	
5	Samuel S Myers (Samuel_Myers@hms.harvard.edu) ^{1,2} *
6	Matthew R Smith (msmith@hsph.harvard.edu) ¹
7	Sarah Guth (sguth93@gmail.com) ²
8	Christopher D Golden (golden@hsph.harvard.edu) ^{1,2}
9	Bapu Vaitla (bapu.vaitla@gmail.com) ¹
10	Nathaniel D Mueller (nmueller@fas.harvard.edu) ^{3,4}
11	Alan D Dangour (alan.dangour@lshtm.ac.uk) ⁵
12	Peter Huybers (phuybers@fas.harvard.edu) ^{2,3}
13	1. Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
14	2. Harvard University Center for the Environment
15	3. Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University
16	4. Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University
17	5. Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical
18	Medicine

19	* Corresponding Author. Contact: Department of Environmental Health, Harvard	T.H. Chan
20	School of Public Health, Cambridge, MA 02115, USA	
21	Contents	
22	1. Introduction	5
23	2. Agriculture	5
24	2.1. Temperature, Water, and CO ₂	7
25	2.2. Ground Level Ozone	9
26	2.3. Pests, Pathogens, Weeds	10
27 28	2.4. Pollinators Bookmark not defined.	Error!
29	2.5. Agricultural Labor	12
30	2.6. Nutrient Losses	13
31	3. Fisheries	14
32	3.1. Sea Temperature Rise	15
33	3.2. Ocean Acidification	16
34	3.3. Nutrient Quality	16
35	4. Animal Husbandry	16
36	5. From Food Supply to Nutrition and Health	17
37	5.1. Conflict	18
38	5.2. Price Increases	18
39	5.3. GDP Growth	19
40	5.4. Food Utilization and Disease	20
41	5.5. Volatility	21
42	6. Future Directions and Conclusions	21

References

Abstract

Great progress has been made in addressing global undernutrition over the past several decades, in part because of large increases in food production from agricultural expansion and intensification. Food systems, however, face continued increases in demand and growing environmental pressures. Most prominently, human-caused climate change will influence the quality and quantity of food we produce and our ability to distribute it equitably. Our capacity to ensure food security and nutritional adequacy in the face of rapidly changing biophysical conditions will be a major determinant of the next century's global burden of disease. In this paper, we review the main pathways by which climate change could potentially affect our food production systems — agriculture, fisheries, and livestock — as well as the socioeconomic forces that may influence equitable distribution.

Keywords

- Planetary Health, Global Health, Climate Change, Food Security, Malnutrition, Global
- 60 Environmental Change

Introduction

One of the great public health achievements in modern history is the steep acceleration in global food production over the past six decades. Despite historic growth in global food demand, rates of undernutrition have fallen. This achievement was driven in part by technological innovations, including development of higher yielding grain varieties, production of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, and mechanization of agricultural labor. It has also required the appropriation of large shares of Earth's natural resources. Roughly 40% of Earth's ice-free land surface is used as cropland and pasture (52). Irrigation uses 66% (about 2,000 km³) of annual water withdrawals, and is the single largest human use of water (22).

Despite our enormous successes in increasing global food availability (a key requirement for food and nutrition security), the global burden of undernutrition and micronutrient deficiencies remains staggering. It is estimated that two billion people are deficient in one or more micronutrients, 160 million children under the age of five years are too short for their age, 50 million children under the age of five years are dangerously thin for their height, and 790 million people are estimated to have insufficient daily dietary energy intake (68). The latest analysis available suggests that undernutrition is associated with 3 million child deaths annually, or almost half of the global total (18).

Looking toward the future, global food demand is expected to continue rising at the historically steep pace that began in the 1950s (Figure 1). But unlike the 1950s, we are facing growing constraints in our capacity to appropriate new land, new water, or new fisheries to meet these demands. Added to this challenge is the fact that human activity is rapidly changing the environmental conditions within which global food production operates (144). One of the great humanitarian challenges of the 21st century is to keep up with increasing human nutritional needs

in this context of natural resource constraints and our rapid transformation of Earth's natural systems, including the climate system.

Climate change is associated with increasing temperatures and more extreme rainfall; it alters relationships amongst crops, pests, pathogens, and weeds; and it exacerbates trends in pollinating insect declines, water scarcity, increasing ground-level ozone concentrations, and fisheries declines. On the other side, there are yield benefits to higher concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ and potential productivity gains at higher latitudes. Some overall estimates of the potential impacts of climate change on nutrition and mortality outcomes exist (108; 140), but necessarily entail substantial uncertainty, largely because of limitations in our current understanding of the complex and interacting pathways by which climate change can affect food and nutrition security and health. Here we review the mechanisms and the estimates for how climate change may influence food production and distribution, as well as associated consequences for human food and nutrition security. Figure 2 provides a schematic for this review. We do not attempt a comprehensive review of all literature for each mechanism, but rather focus on the most recent and relevant literature and on studies that synthesize the topics at hand.

1. Agriculture

The history of agriculture has involved repeatedly overcoming constraints and achieving greater food production through increasing the amount of cultivated land and intensifying cultivation through the adoption of new agricultural technologies (46; 117; 142). Yet the quantity and nutritional quality of agricultural production ultimately depends on a dynamic balance of appropriate biophysical resources, including soil quality, water availability, sunlight, carbon dioxide, temperature suitability, and, in some cases, pollinator abundance. Production diminishes

under certain weather extremes as well as from pests, pathogens, and air pollution (e.g. tropospheric ozone). In some places, production is heavily dependent on physical agricultural labor. Climate change is expected to influence each of these dimensions of agricultural production, but often in ways that remain poorly characterized.

1.1 Temperature, Water, and CO₂

Global land temperatures in the last decade, 2006-2015, were 1.0°C warmer than the 20th century average (112). Under a moderate greenhouse gas emissions scenario, referred to as RCP4.5, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations would continue their rise from a 280 ppm preindustrial baseline, beyond the present 400 ppm levels, on to values of 540 ppm by 2100 (120). Climate simulations indicate a further land warming of 1.9–4.0°C (90% CI) (36; 70; 112). Under the higher emission scenario, called RCP8.5, CO₂ concentrations would reach 940 ppm by 2100 and result in warming of 4.0–6.8°C (70). Even a moderate emissions scenario is expected to result in average summer temperatures that exceed the most extreme temperatures currently experienced in many areas of the world (10).

The availability of water resources for agriculture will be influenced by climate change in a multitude of ways, including shifting precipitation patterns, loss of glaciers and earlier seasonal snow melt, and intrusion of salt water into coastal aquifers (73). Climate model projections generally indicate less precipitation in currently arid and semi-arid regions and greater precipitation in the polar latitudes (36). Rainfall events are expected to become more intense, likely increasing runoff and flooding (36).

Crop yields are highly sensitive to changes in temperature and water availability (84).

Optimal growing temperatures vary depending upon cultivars and other environmental variables (126), but air temperatures above approximately 30°C are generally associated with reduced

yields for rainfed crops (28; 129). High temperatures can depress yields by accelerating crop development (4; 27) and can induce direct damage of plant cells (126). Exposure to damaging temperatures will generally increase as global temperatures rise (57), although these trends will vary regionally and can be locally tempered by irrigation or other changes in agricultural practices (19; 39; 102; 104).

Crop water stress is also a major driver of yield loss (99; 134), and is generally coupled with high temperatures both because low soil moisture leads to a decrease in evaporative cooling from the landscape (100) and high temperatures increase crop water loss (85).

Although the rising concentration of atmospheric CO₂ is the primary driver of harmful anthropogenic climate change, it can also improve crop performance by increasing rates of photosynthesis and water use efficiency (88). Crops that operate with a C₃ photosynthetic pathway, including wheat, rice, and soybean, experience greater stimulation of growth from CO₂ increases than crops with a C₄ photosynthetic pathway, such as maize, sorghum, and sugarcane (78).

There remains substantial uncertainty about the interacting consequences of changing temperature, precipitation, and CO₂ concentrations, particularly in the context of largely management-driven yield increases that are still occurring across the majority of croplands (58; 80; 121). Climatic shifts may either provide a drag or a boost to ongoing yield trends. Existing estimates suggest that climate trends since 1980 have reduced global production by approximately 5% for maize and wheat relative to a counterfactual scenario with no climate shift, while net global production of soybeans and rice has remained unaffected by climate change, though there are regional gains and losses (86).

Considering future scenarios of climate change, estimates generally indicate that warming will depress yields for maize and wheat, with stronger yield losses expected in tropical regions, whereas rice yields appear to be less sensitive to anticipated changes (30; 123). Crop growth models that incorporate the effects of CO₂ concentrations along with effects of temperature, water availability, and nitrogen limitation indicate 25% average yield losses for low-latitude maize and 15% losses for low-latitude wheat in a scenario where global temperatures warm by 4°C by 2100 (123). There is considerable variation across individual model results, however, with some models predicting roughly twice the losses and others even suggesting small gains in yield at low latitudes. Furthermore, these models do not explicitly represent adaptation or attempt to represent phenomena such as changes in ground level ozone, pests, pollinators, or agricultural labor.

Farmer adaptation to new climate conditions holds promise for mitigating losses in agricultural production, although the magnitude of adaptation potential remains a topic of ongoing debate (26; 30; 41; 82; 96). Within a particular crop management system, farmers may alter planting and harvest dates, change crop varieties, or adjust irrigation practices. A recent meta-analysis quantifying the benefits of such changes found that simulated adaptation led to crop yields that were 7–15% higher than yields in the absence of adaptation. Gains from adaptation tended to be largest in temperate areas, whereas the mitigation opportunity from adaptation was minimal for tropical maize and wheat production (30). Farmers may also adapt to new climate conditions by switching to entirely different crops or reallocating land from crop production to grazing (93).

1.2 Ground Level Ozone

Ground-level ozone is primarily derived from chemical reactions of anthropogenic emissions (2). Ozone formation increases with rising temperature, particularly above 32°C (13). In addition to being a human cardiorespiratory toxin, ground-level ozone is also a plant toxin, hindering crop photosynthesis and growth, as well as reducing grain weight and yields (3; 49; 53). Open-air experiments indicate that the ozone concentrations of 54–75 ppb found currently in polluted regions decrease yields by 8–25% in rice, soybean, and wheat (97; 133; 146). Globally, current levels of ozone pollution are estimated to have suppressed maize, wheat, and soybean yields by 6–9% (5). While increased government regulation should lower ozone levels over the coming decades in developed countries, many developing countries, especially in Africa and Asia, can anticipate increased ozone levels due to greater emissions and warming (138).

1.3 Pests

Insects, pathogens, fungi, and weeds are estimated to be responsible for reducing production of major crops by roughly 25-40% (51), although systematic global data are limited. Annual losses due to fungal infestation alone are estimated to reduce global dietary energy availability by 8.5% (50). Warming temperatures increase winter survival of insect pests and rates of herbivory (6). Changing temperature also drives shifts in the latitudinal range of crop pests and pathogens. Among 612 species of pests and pathogens, investigators observed an average poleward shift of 2.7 kilometers per year since 1960 (12). Crops often lack defenses against nonnative pests and pathogens (11), requiring ongoing breeding and management efforts to face new threats. Spatial mismatches between pests and natural predators can also undermine biological control systems (131).

Extreme weather events can destabilize agricultural systems, compromising crop defenses and creating niches that allow pests and weeds to establish (124); however, weather

extremes may also pose threats to pests and invasive plants, sometimes actually boosting the competitive ability of crops (145).

In addition to the effects of a changing climate, agronomists anticipate that increasing CO₂ concentrations will lead to complex changes in the composition of weeds and the strength of plant defenses against pests and pathogens (32; 150). Moreover, herbicides are less effective at controlling weed biomass increases induced by elevated CO₂ concentrations (147; 148).

1.4 Pollinators

Climate change will also affect food production of flowering species by reducing the abundance of pollinating insects and shifting their regional distributions (1; 61; 69; 92).

Warming affects the timing of flowering and will generally cause plant communities to migrate poleward (114), and these changes may result in mismatches between mutualistic plant-pollinator pairs, thereby disrupting interactions and ecosystem functionality. Furthermore, reduced overlap between timing of plant flowering and pollinator emergence may reduce the breadth of diet for pollinators, resulting in decreased pollinator abundance and increased extinctions of both plants and pollinators. Finally, increasing CO₂ concentrations are also changing the nutritional value of important forage for pollinator species, with undetermined consequences for pollinator health. A recent study showed that, since 1842, there has been a one-third reduction in the protein content of goldenrod pollen, a late-blooming plant that plays an important nutritional role for over-wintering pollinators (149). Chamber experiments indicate further declines with increased atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (149). The impact of significantly reduced dietary protein for bees and other pollinators is currently unknown.

Though the net effect of climate change on pollinators remains uncertain, studies indicate that a reduction in animal pollinationwould decrease yields of numerous pollinator-dependent

food crops that play important roles in providing human food and micronutrients (31; 45).

Recent modeling indicates that global pollinator declines would increase child mortality and birth defects from increased vitamin A and folate deficiency, respectively, and also increase risk of heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and certain cancers in adults as a result of reduced dietary intake of fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds (136).

1.5 Agricultural Labor

Physical human labor is an important determinant of food production, especially in less developed regions not relying on mechanization. Such labor can, however, be limited by the need to regulate body temperature under conditions of high ambient temperature, high radiation and humidity and low wind. Heat already limits agricultural labor in tropical and sub-tropical regions at certain times of the day and year, and climate change is expected to impose further constraints on human performance (76).

Historical meteorological estimates and model predictions can be used to assess how climate change would influence human capacity for labor (42). Under the moderate RCP4.5 emissions scenario heavy outdoor labor would be restricted to 50% of the workday during the hottest month in much of India and portions of sub-Saharan Africa and Australia by the end of the century. Under the high-emissions RCP8.5 scenario, such restrictions on labor during the hottest month become widespread across tropical and sub-tropical regions by the end of the century (42).

Labor in temperate regions is expected to be less affected by warming, but an economic assessment found that United States labor productivity in agricultural and other sectors involving intense outdoor activity would still decline by 0.6–3.2% by the end of the century given a high emission scenario (65). Increased mechanization may help replace human work capacity that is

lost to heat stress, though some agricultural communities will have restricted economic potential for such substitution, particularly in the developing world (76). How the direct effect of climate change on human capability will manifest in terms of changes in agricultural practices and overall production is still unclear, but there exists the concerning prospect of substantial and disproportionate impacts in the tropics on account of higher baseline heat stress, physical labor playing a more central role in productivity, and lower potential for adaptation.

1.6 Nutrient Losses

Beyond its influence on yields, increasing CO₂ is also changing the nutritional composition of crops. Experiments in which food crops are grown at elevated CO₂ levels, both in chambers and in open-field conditions using free air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE) methods, show reductions in protein content in the edible portion of these crops. C₃ grains and tubers including rice, wheat, barley, and potatoes experience 7-15% reductions in protein content while C₃ legumes and C₄ crops show either very small or insignificant reductions (106). When these nutrient changes are modeled across current diets, over 200 million people are expected to fall below thresholds of recommended protein intake, and protein deficiency among those already below this threshold will worsen (91).

Crops grown at elevated CO₂ also exhibit lower concentrations of important minerals.

CO₂ concentrations of 550 ppm can lead to 3-11% decreases of Zn and Fe concentrations in cereal grains and legumes (106), and 5-10% reductions in the concentration of P, K, Ca, S, Mg, Fe, Zn, Cu, and Mn across a wide range of crops under more extreme conditions of 690 ppm

CO₂ (87). These declines in zinc content are expected to place 150-200 million people at new risk for zinc deficiency and will exacerbate existing deficiencies in over a billion people (105). In addition, roughly 1.4 billion children aged 1-5 and women of child bearing age, or 59% of the

world total in these groups, live in countries where current anemia rates exceed 20% of the population and where dietary iron intake is expected to decrease by 3.8% or more as a result of these CO₂-mediated nutrient changes (137). Overall, hundreds of millions of people are expected to be placed at risk of zinc, iron, and/or protein deficiencies as a result of rising CO₂ concentrations while the estimated two billion people already experiencing zinc or iron deficiency will likely see those deficiencies exacerbated by this effect.

2. Fisheries

Although agriculture dominates global food production with respect to total dietary energy, seafood is important in the supply of protein, minerals, vitamins, and fatty acids for many populations around the world (14; 17; 56; 74). Recent estimates suggest that declining fish harvests will leave 845 million people vulnerable to deficiencies of iron, zinc, and vitamin A, and 1.4 billion people vulnerable to deficiencies of vitamin B12 and omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (56). The global poor are particularly at risk of nutrient deficiencies because of their limited access to dietary alternatives, such as other livestock and fish products, vitamin supplements, and nutritionally-fortified foods.

Independent of climate change, the current trajectory of marine fish catch is concerning. Recent analyses from the Sea Around Us project indicate that global fish catch peaked in 1996 and has been falling by 1.22 million metric tons (nearly 1% of total global catch) per year since, a decline three times faster than that reported by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (115). An analysis of nearly 5,000 fisheries worldwide representing 78% of global reported fish catch showed that 68% of global fish stocks have fallen below biomass targets to support maximum sustainable yield, and 88% are expected to fall below targets by 2050, indicating that decreases in exploitation rate are needed to rebuild fish stocks (38).

2.1 Sea Temperature Rise

Climate change is predicted to warm, deoxygenate, and acidify the oceans (55; 119), thereby altering net primary production (20; 81) and generally displacing habitats poleward (34; 54). Warming may lead to increased stratification of oceanic layers, and reduce the upward flux of nutrients into the euphotic zone, or the surface layer of water where photosynthesis can occur, leading to spatiotemporal variations in net primary productivity of phytoplankton (21; 33; 43; 81). One recent study suggests that the response of plankton communities to increases in sea surface temperature will be variable depending on location and nutrient richness (79). These changes in abundance and distribution of plankton communities are important because plankton forms the foundation of the marine food web.

A recent study indicates that, as a result of these changes in size and distribution of plankton communities, under a high-emission RCP8.5 scenario, global fish catch potential would decrease by 3-13% by 2050 relative to recent decades (34). Another study indicates that that the biomass of tropical fish communities will also be smaller by about 20% in 2050, given a high emission scenario, on account of ocean warming and associated reductions in oxygen content (35). Declines of 30–60% have been suggested for some tropical shelf and upwelling areas including, most notably, in the eastern Indo-Pacific, the northern Humboldt, and the North Canary Current.

For aquaculture, the net impacts of a changing climate are incompletely characterized and likely to be quite heterogeneous. Aquaculture systems are likely to experience some benefits from climate effects through increased food conversion efficiencies and growth rates of fish under higher water temperatures, an extended growing season, and a larger potential range for aquaculture operations at higher latitudes due to reductions in sea and lake ice cover (7; 116).

However, higher temperatures may also increase the spread of infectious disease among fish, increase the risk of harmful algal blooms, expand the range of aggressive invasive species such as the Pacific oyster and their associated pathogens, and accelerate the uptake of toxins and heavy metals in freshwater shellfish (40).

2.2 Ocean Acidification.

Current understanding of how acidification impacts ocean productivity is limited, often to single species responses. Characterization of larger food web dynamics and systemic responses remains a major challenge (125). However, it is clear that coral reefs—ecosystems critical for many coastal tropical fisheries—will be heavily degraded by warming and ocean acidification (37). One study estimates a 92% reduction in coral reef habitat by 2100 (139).

2.3 Nutrient Quality

Climate change may also influence the nutrient content of seafood through changing the nutritional composition of phytoplankton communities (15), with consequent effects up the food chain (89). Warming leads to reduced long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid content in phytoplankton (63) and in cold-water pelagic fish, such as sprat and anchovy (111). Another study suggests that uptake of minerals such as iron becomes more limited in warmer and more acidic waters (33), though further examination of impacts on micronutrient composition is needed.

Similar to agriculture, the direct effects of CO₂ emissions combined with attendant changes in climate lead to substantial uncertainties regarding the implications for the availability of food and nutrition, though for fisheries the compounding complexity of how the entire marine food chain will alter leads to perhaps even greater uncertainty.

Animal Husbandry

Heat stress is a major determinant of livestock productivity. Studies document in cattle and pigs that increased heat stress — both with regard to individual extreme events and accumulated excessive heat over time —decreases productivity, food intake and weight, chance of survival, and fertility (16; 95; 107; 128). For poultry, heat stress reduces growth, egg yield and quality, and meat quality (77). However, much uncertainty remains regarding the ability to adapt livestock systems. Livestock systems are generally regarded as more adaptable than crop systems, especially with regard to the less industrialized livestock systems of developing countries (141). On the other hand, the main response of livestock to heat stress is higher water consumption, which can be jeopardized by drought, especially in areas with rudimentary water systems, such as in portions of South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (118).

As with agriculture, how climate change will influence forage depends on local interactions between CO₂ levels, temperature, and precipitation. Increasing global CO₂ levels are predicted to improve productivity of pasturelands, whereas higher temperatures can have a positive or negative effect, depending on uncertain changes in precipitation and soil water availability, whether temperatures exceed tolerable ranges for certain species, and nutrient availability (72). Higher CO₂ conditions may also have competing effects on the protein available for grazing animals by shifting species compositions toward more protein rich C₃ plants (44) but also causing reductions in the protein content of those plants through altering carbon to nitrogen ratios (94). It is difficult to generalize climate impacts on livestock production systems, and more research is needed to characterize localized impacts with respect to particular systems (e.g. dryland pastoralists) (141).

3. Effects on Food Security and Nutrition

In sum, global food production is likely to be altered through several climate change-

related pathways affecting the quantity and quality of food produced in agricultural, fishery, and livestock sectors. Although precise quantification of the net impacts of these environmental changes is beyond the reach of our current understanding, there exists the troubling prospect of disruption of our capacity to maintain adequate supply of nutritious foods. If we cannot do that, the purchasing power of wealthier populations will ensure that food flows towards the wealthy, leaving the poor with insufficient supply. Of course, nutrition and food security are determined not only by aggregate supply, but also the ability of people to access, afford, and use food (9; 132).

3.1 Conflict

Political and economic forces dictate food access. Discrimination, especially on the basis of gender, ethnicity, caste, and wealth, impedes participation in markets, legal recognition of land and asset ownership, and other rights critical to attaining food security (90). Climate change may exacerbate social exclusion by increasing competition for scarce natural resources and forcing mass migration (8), factors that played important roles over the past few decades in severely restricting food access during civil conflicts in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East (25; 62; 75). The hypothesized linkage between climate change and violence is controversial (23; 67), but the evidence base is growing. A recent review of 60 primary studies identified a strong and significant historical relationship between the two phenomena (66), suggesting that projected increases in temperature were associated with higher levels of intergroup violence (e.g. civil wars), with the hardest-hit regions precisely those at greatest risk of undernutrition—Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. Such high-intensity conflict and associated population displacement would likely lead to more acute undernutrition, in addition to other health burdens.

3.2 Increases in prices of staple foods

Climate change will also intensify economic pressures on food access. Simulations run using the International Food Policy Research Institute's (IFPRI) IMPACT model suggest that inflation-adjusted prices of the three most important staple grains in the world—wheat, rice, and maize—would increase 31-106% by 2050, with assumptions about climate change mitigation, population growth, and income growth determining the exact values within that range (109). For some smallholder farmers, the benefits of greater income may outweigh the costs of more expensive food (135), and landless laborers working on these farms may also see wage increases. Most multi-country analyses, however, suggest that higher food prices will generally increase poverty and food insecurity, not only for the urban poor (for whom the effect is unambiguous), but also for rural people, the majority of whom are net food consumers (71). Recent reviews of price elasticities of food demand in low-income countries found that price increases were associated with steep declines in consumption of all food groups, suggesting that, at least at the scale of national economies, higher prices are likely to reduce nutrient intake (59). However, the magnitude of impacts will vary depending on wealth across and within countries, as well as by food group. The overarching lesson of the literature is that localized analyses are necessary: the impact of food price increases on food security depends on the structure of the economy including the ability of farmers to adapt to volatile ecological and economic conditions (98) and the relative magnitude of price changes across foods.

3.3 GDP Growth

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

The influence of food prices on consumption may, however, be swamped by the rate of growth in gross domestic product (GDP)(130). Projecting growth trajectories is difficult, even without considering the additional variable of climate change. One recent study takes an innovative approach by looking at the historical association between macroeconomic

productivity and temperature within countries, a relationship largely driven by the effect of extreme and/or persistent heat on labor supply, labor productivity, and crop production. The authors find that unmitigated climate change may result in 75% lower income, relative to a temperature-neutral scenario, in the poorest nations by 2100. In a low economic growth/rapid climate change (RCP8.5) scenario, 43% of all countries in the world would be poorer in absolute terms by the end of the century than they are now (24).

Despite the sensitivity of the above study to underlying assumptions, the qualitative message of all the scenarios is clear: unmitigated climate change has the potential to lead to immense economic losses, which may translate to greatly weakened consumer purchasing power to obtain food in the developing world. Even if improved crop yields raise the level of aggregate global production, markets and food systems in poor countries may continue to struggle to access the foods available on the global market. The dis-connect between where food is produced (and able to be purchased) and where food is needed may grow wider due to the expected impacts of climate change on low-latitude agricultural systems. These dangers combine with the demographic reality that most of the world's anticipated population growth of 2.5-3.0 billion people over the coming decades is expected to occur in cities in the developing world.

3.4 Food Utilization and Disease

Food security extends beyond the supply and demand dynamics of markets. Utilization of food also matters: protecting food stocks against spoilage and pests (64; 113), cooking safe and nutritious meals, and being healthy enough to absorb and retain the nutrients consumed. This last point is critical; when safe water and sanitation systems are absent, precipitation extremes—both increased rainfall and prolonged drought—lead to increased exposure to pathogenic bacteria, parasites, mycotoxins, and a host of viruses (122). The resulting enteric infections and diarrheal

diseases have profound impacts on child nutritional status, growth, and development (60; 110). An ecological analysis of 171 nationally representative demographic and health surveys from 70 countries across the world between 1986-2007 found that access to improved sanitation and water were significantly associated with reduced levels of stunting in children under 5 years of age (48).

3.5 Volatility

Future projections of food availability, access, and utilization are usually spoken of in terms of mean trends: levels of production, prices, income, disease, etc. as they change over time. Also important, however, is lack of volatility, or stability. As climate change increases spatial and temporal variability in food production patterns, prices may also fluctuate more greatly. The uncertainty bounds for projecting the impact of climate change on any of the determinants of food security are large—and much work remains to be done especially with respect to volatility of food access and utilization—but most biophysical and economic models share the conclusion that the future world will contain more volatile food prices.

4. Future Directions and Conclusions

This review focuses on the anticipated effects of climate change on global food security. There are substantial uncertainties regarding the degree to which environmental conditions will change; the response of plants, animals, and farm labor; and potential adaptations to these changes. Although these uncertainties render predicting future changes in food production difficult, the evidence base strongly implies the need to prepare for a larger range of possible outcomes. Furthermore, our review of the evidence indicates that environmental changes are generally tilted against environments that are already hot and have the least resources for adaptation.

In most instances, further research will reduce these uncertainties. We have highlighted some research priorities in this review. One area not already mentioned is the importance of better describing what people in different populations eat. Estimates of food availability derived from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) have previously been used to model health impacts of pollinator declines (136), reduced fish catch (56), and nutrition and health impacts stemming from elevated atmospheric CO₂ levels (105). However, these estimates of food availability have several flaws: they focus on availability rather than actual intake; they lack information about how different foods are distributed across age, sex, and income groups, as well as how foods are distributed across sub-national populations; and they inadequately account for wild harvested foods, including fish and bushmeat. In addition, our knowledge about the nutrient composition of these foods is limited to several regional food composition databases, many of which have not been updated for decades and are incomplete. The result is a large gap in our understanding of what people are eating, where their nutrients are coming from, and what the relative impact of altered nutrient intakes from changing environmental conditions might be for their overall health.

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

Policy and programmatic action to improve current and future food security is critical. Many regions still have large gaps between current and practically attainable crop yields (83; 103). Agricultural development through Green Revolution techniques lifted yields in many countries through adopting modern crop varieties, increased use of agronomic inputs, and greater irrigation (117; 142). Yet these gains are distributed unequally. Areas of Sub-Saharan Africa suffer severe food insecurity, relatively low-yielding croplands, and the potential for large relative yield gains (127). Closing yield gaps requires addressing a host of interacting agronomic and socio-economic constraints (83; 101; 143). The joint evolution of agricultural development

and global environmental change will together determine future levels of crop productivity.

Reducing food loss and waste would also help meet future demand. Nearly one third (1.3 billion metric tons annually) of global food production is either lost or wasted. Most of the food waste in developed countries takes place in consumer households, whereas loss occurs primarily from pests and fungi prior to reaching markets in developing regions (47). Producing crops for direct human consumption, as opposed to animal feed, could also increase global available dietary energy (29), though animals can be important for nutrition and economic welfare for smallholder farmers.

Better management of environmental change—especially reducing greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants, more sustainably managing fisheries, and improving efficiency in the agricultural use of land, water, and chemicals—would alleviate the stress placed on many food systems. Striking the correct balance and scope of action between these many policy priorities requires more complete understanding and precise accounting of how environmental transformations determine food production and global health.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Van Horn for her assistance in preparing Figure 2. We thank Pauline Scheelbeek and Hanna Tuomisto for their assistance with our literature review. We also thank David Lobell, Mathew Burgess, and Steve Young for informal reviews of our manuscript. This work was supported by the National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center (SESYNC) under funding received from the National Science Foundation DBI-1052875, the Wellcome Trust Our Planet, Our Health programme [Grant numbers: 106864MA and 106924] and the Rockefeller Foundation through support to the Planetary Health Alliance.

5. References

- 499 1. Abrol DP. 2012. Climate change and pollinators. *Pollination Biology*:479-508
- 500 2. Ainsworth EA, Yendrek CR, Sitch S, Collins WJ, Emberson LD. 2012. The effects of tropospheric 501 ozone on net primary productivity and implications for climate change. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 502 63:637-61
- 3. Ashmore MR. 2005. Assessment of response of future vegetation to ozone. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28:949-64
- Asseng S, Ewert F, Martre P, Rötter RP, Lobell DB, et al. 2014. Rising temperatures reduce global wheat production. *Nature Climate Change* 5:143-7
- 507 5. Avnery S, Mauzerall DL, Liu J, Horowitz LW. 2011. Global crop yield reductions due to surface ozone exposure: 1. Year 2000 crop production losses and economic damage. *Atmospheric* 509 *Environment* 45:2284-96
- Bale JS, masters GJ, Hodkinson ID, Awmack C, Bezemer TM, Brown VK. 2002. Herbivory in global
 cimate change research: direct effects of rising temperatre on insect herbivores. *Global Change Biology* 8:1-16
- 513 7. Barange M, Perry RI. 2009. Physical and ecological impacts of climate change relevant to marine 514 and inland capture fisheries and aquaculture. In *Climate change implications for fisheries and* 515 *aquaculture: overview of current scientific knowledge*, ed. K Cochrane, C De Young, D Soto, T 516 Bahri:7-106. Rome, FAO. Number of 7-106 pp.
- 8. Barnett J, Adger WN. 2007. Climate Change, Human Security and Violent Conflict. *Political Geography* 26:639-55
- 519 9. Barrett CB. 2010. Measuring Food Insecurity. Science 327:825-8
- 520 10. Battisti DS, Naylor RL. 2009. Historical Warnings of Future Food Insecurity with Unprecedented Seasonal Heat. *Science* 323:240-4
- 522 11. Bebber DP. 2015. Range-expanding pests and pathogens in a warming world. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 53:335-56
- 524 12. Bebber DP, Ramotowski MAT, Gurr SJ. 2013. Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. *Nature Climate Change* 3:985-8
- 526 13. Bell ML, Goldberg R, Hogrefe C, Kinney P, Knowlton K, et al. 2007. Climate change, ambient ozone, and health in 50 U.S. cities. *Climatic Change* 82:61-76
- 528 14. Béné C, Arthur R, Norbury H, Allison EH, Beveridge MC, et al. 2016. Contribution of fisheries and
 529 aquaculture to food security and poverty reduction: Assessing the current evidence. World
 530 Development 79:177-96
- 531 15. Bermudez R, Feng Y, Roleda MY, Tatters AO, Hutchins DA, et al. 2015. Long-Term Conditioning
 532 to Elevated pCO2 and Warming Influences the Fatty and Amino Acid Composition of the Diatom
 533 Cylindrotheca fusiformis. *PLoS One* 10
- 534 16. Bernabucci U, Lacetera N, Baumgard LH, Rhoads RP, Ronchi B, Nardone A. 2010. Metabolic and hormonal acclimation to heat stress in domesticated ruminants. *Animal* 4:1167-83
- 536 17. Beveridge MC, Thilsted SH, Phillips MJ, Metian M, Troell M, Hall SJ. 2013. Meeting the food and nutrition needs of the poor: the role of fish and the opportunities and challenges emerging from the rise of aquaculture. *J Fish Biol* 83:1067-84
- 539 18. Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, et al. 2013. Maternal and child 540 undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet* 541 382:427-51
- Bonfils C, Lobell D. 2007. Empirical evidence for a recent slowdown in irrigation-induced cooling.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 104:13582-7

- 544 20. Boyce DG, Lewis MR, Worm B. 2010. Global phytoplankton decline over the past century. *Nature* 466:591-6
- 546 21. Boyd PW, Lennartz ST, Glover DM, Doney SC. 2015. Biological ramifications of climate-change-547 mediated oceanic multi-stressors. *Nature Climate Change* 5:71-9
- 548 22. Brauman KA, Richter BD, Postel S, Malsy M, Flörke M. 2016. Water depletion: An improved 549 metric for incorporating seasonal and dry-year water scarcity into water risk assessments. 550 *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*
- Buhaug H. 2015. Climate conflict research: some reflections on the way forward. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* 6:269-75
- 553 24. Burke M, Hsiang SM, Miguel E. 2015. Global Non-Linear Effect of Temperature on Economic Production. *Nature* 527:235-9
- 555 25. Burke MB, Miguel E, Satyanath S, Dykema JA, Lobell DB. 2009. Warming Increases the Risk of 556 Civil War in Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of* 557 *America* 106:20670-4
- 558 26. Butler EE, Huybers P. 2012. Adaptation of US maize to temperature variations. *Nature Climate*559 *Change* 3:68-72
- Butler EE, Huybers P. 2015. Variations in the sensitivity of US maize yield to extreme temperatures by region and growth phase. *Environmental Research Letters* 10:1-8
- 562 28. Carlson RE. 1990. Heat stress, plant-available soil moisture, and corn yields in Iowa: A short-and long-term view. *Journal of production agriculture* 3:293-7
- Cassidy E, West PC, Gerber JS, Foley JA. 2013. Redefining agricultural yields: from tonnes to
 people nourished per hectare. *Environ Res Lett* 8
- 566 30. Challinor AJ, Watson J, Lobell DB, Howden SM, Smith DR, Chhetri N. 2014. A meta-analysis of crop yield under climate change and adaptation. *Nature Climate Change* 4:287-91
- Chaplin-Kramer R, Dombeck E, Gerber J, Knuth K, A, Mueller N, D, et al. 2014. Global
 malnutrition overlaps with pollinator-dependent micronutrient production. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281
- 571 32. Chauhan B, Ramesh K. 2015. Weed regimes in agro-ecosystems in the changing climate scenario
 572 A review. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 60:479-84
- 573 33. Chavez FP, Messié M, Pennington JT. 2011. Marine primary production in relation to climate variability and change. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 3:227-60
- 575 34. Cheung WW, Jones MC, Reygondeau G, Stock CA, Lam VWY, Frolicher TL. 2016. Structural
 576 uncertainty in projecting global fisheries catches under climate change. *Ecological Modelling* 577 325:57-66
- 578 35. Cheung WW, Watson R, Pauly D. 2013. Signature of ocean warming in global fisheries catch.
 579 *Nature* 497:365-8
- S80 36. Collins M, Knutti R, Arblaster J, Dufresne JL, Fichefet T, et al. 2013. Long-term Climate Change:
 Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility. ed. TF Stocker, D Qin, GK Plattner, M Tignor, SK
 Allen, et al:1029-136. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University
 Press. Number of 1029-136 pp.
- 584 37. Cooley SR, Lucey N, Kite-Powell H, Doney SC. 2012. Nutrition and income from molluscs today imply vulnerability to ocean acidification tomorrow. *Fish and Fisheries* 13:182-215
- S86 38. Costello C, Ovando D, Clavelle T, Strauss CK, Hilborn R, et al. 2016. Global fishery prospects
 under constrasting management regimes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113:5125-9
- 589 39. Davin EL, Seneviratne SI, Ciais P, Olioso A, Want T. 2014. Preferential cooling of hot extremes 590 from cropland albedo management. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 591 111:9757-61

- 592 40. De Silva S, Soto D. 2009. Climate change and aquaculture, FAO, FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture 593 Technical Paper
- 594 41. Deryng D, Sacks WJ, Barford CC, Ramankutty N. 2011. Simulating the effects of climate and agricultural management practices on global crop yield. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 596 25:GB2006
- 597 42. Dunne JP, Stouffer RJ, John JG. 2013. Reductions in labour capacity from heat stress under climate warming. *Nature Climate Change*
- 599 43. Dutkiewicz S, Morris JJ, Follows MJ, Scott J, Levitan O, et al. 2015. Impact of ocean acidification on the structure of future phytoplankton communities. *Nature Climate Change* 5:1002-6
- 601 44. Ehleringer JR, Cerling TE, Dearing MD. 2002. Atmospheric CO2 as a global change driver influencing plant-animal interactions. *Integrated and Comparative Physiology* 42:424-30
- 603 45. Eilers EJ, Kremen C, Greenleaf SS, Garber AK, Klein A-M. 2011. Contribution of pollinator-604 mediated crops to nutrients in the human food supply. *PLoS ONE* 6:6
- 605 46. Ellis EC, Kaplan JO, Fuller DQ, Vavrus S, Goldewijk KK, Verburg PH. 2013. Used planet: A global history. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 110:7978-85
- 607 47. FAO. 2011. Global food losses and food waste Extent, causes, and prevention, Rome
- Fink G, Gunther I, Hill K. 2011. The Effect of Water and Sanitation on Child Health: Evidence from
 the Demographic and Health Surveys 1986-2007. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 40:1196 204
- Fiscus EL, Booker FL, Burkey KO. 2005. Crop responses to ozone: uptake, modes of action, carbon assimiliation and partitioning. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28:997-1011
- Fisher MC, Henk DA, Briggs CJ, Brownstein JS, Madoff LC, et al. 2012. Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. *Nature* 484:186-94
- 615 51. Flood J. 2010. The importance of plant health to food security. Food Security 2:215-31
- Foley JA, Ramankutty N, Brauman KA, Cassidy ES, Gerber JS, et al. 2011. Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*
- Fuhrer J. 2009. Ozone risk for crops and pastures in present and future climates.
 Naturwissenschaften 96:173-94
- 620 54. Garcia Molinos J, Halpern BS, Shoeman DS, Brown CJ, Kiessling W, et al. 2015. Climate velocity 621 and the future global redistribution of marine biodiversity. *Nature Climate Change* 6:83-8
- 622 55. Gattuso JP, Magnan A, Bille R, Cheung WW, Howes EL, et al. 2015. OCEANOGRAPHY. Contrasting futures for ocean and society from different anthropogenic CO(2) emissions scenarios. *Science* 349:aac4722
- 625 56. Golden CD, Allison EH, Cheung WWL, Dey MM, Halpern BS, et al. 2016. Fall in fish catch threatens human health. *Nature* 534:317-20
- Gourdji SM, Sibley AM, Lobell DB. 2013. Global crop exposure to critical high temperatures in
 the reproductive period: historical trends and future projections. *Environmental Research Letters* 8:024041
- 630 58. Grassini P, Eskridge KM, Cassman KG. 2013. Distinguishing between yield advances and yield plateaus in historical crop production trends. *Nature Communications* 4
- 632 59. Green R, Cornelsen L, Dangour AD, Turner R, Shankar B, et al. 2013. The Effect of Rising Food
 633 Prices on Food Consumption: Systematic Review with Meta-Regression. *British Medical Journal* 634 346:f3703
- 635 60. Guerrant RL, DeBoer MD, Moore SR, Scharf RJ, Lima AAM. 2013. The Impoverished Gut: A Triple 636 Burden of Diarrhoea, Stunting and Chronic Disease. *Nature Reviews: Gastroenterology & amp;* 637 *Hepatology* 10:220-9
- 638 61. Hegland SJ, Nielsen A, Lazaro A, Bjerknes AL, Totland O. 2009. How does climate warming affect plant-pollinator interactions? *Ecol Lett* 12:184-95

- 640 62. Hendrix CS, Salehyan I. 2012. Climate Change, Rainfall, and Social Conflict in Africa. *Journal of Peace Research* 49:35-50
- 642 63. Hixson SM, Arts MT. 2016. Climate warming is predicted to reduce omega-3, long-chain, polyunsaturated fatty acid production in phytoplankton. *Global Change Biology*
- 644 64. Hodges RJ, Buzby JC, Bennett B. 2010. Postharvest Losses and Waste in Developed and Less
 645 Developed Countries: Opportunities to Improve Resource Use. *The Journal of Agricultural* 646 *Science* 149:37-45
- 65. Houser T, Hsiang SM, Kopp RE, Larsen K, Delgado M, et al. 2015. Labor. In *Economic Risks of Climate Change: An American Prospectus* 67-74: Columbia University Press. Number of 67-74 pp.
- 649 66. Hsiang SM, Burke M, Miguel E. 2013. Quantifying the Influence of Climate on Human Conflict. 650 Science 341:1235367
- 651 67. Hsiang SM, Meng KC. 2014. Reconciling Disagreement Over Climate—Conflict Results in Africa.

 652 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:2100-3
- 653 68. Institute IFPR. 2015. 2015 Global Nutrition Report: Actions and Accountability to Advance 654 Nutrition and Sustainable Development, Washington, D.C.
- 655 69. IPBES. 2016. Summary for policymakers of the assessment report of the Intergovernmental 656 Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services on pollinators, pollination, and 657 food production.
- FCC. 2014. Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I, II, and III to
 the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC, Geneva,
 Switzlerland
- 71. Ivanic M. 2008. Implications of Higher Global Food Prices for Poverty in Low-Income Countries.
 World Bank Policy Research Working Papers 4594
- 72. Izaurralde RC, Thomson AM, Morgan JA, Fay PA, Polley HW. 2011. Climate impacts on
 agriculture: implications for forage and rangeland production, USDA Agriculturla Research
 Services, Lincoln, Nebraska
- Jimenez Cisneros BE, Oki T, Arnell NW, Benito G, Cogley JG, et al. 2014. Freshwater resources. In Climate change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part A: Global and sectoral aspects. Contribution of working group II to the fifth assessment erpot of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change:229-69. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY: Cambridge University Press. Number of 229-69 pp.
- 671 74. Kawarazuka N, Béné C. 2011. The potential role of small fish species in improving micronutrient deficiencies in developing countries: building evidence. *Public Health Nutrition* 14:1927-38
- Kelley CP, Mohtadi S, Cane MA, Seager R, Kushnir Y. 2015. Climate Change in the Fertile Crescent
 and Implications of the Recent Syrian Drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:3241-6
- Kjellstrom T, Briggs D, Freyberg C, Lemke B, Otto M, Hyatt O. 2016. Heat, Human Performance,
 and Occupational Health: A Key Issue for the Assessment of Global Climate Change Impacts.
 Annu Rev Public Health 37:97-112
- 679 77. Lara LJ, Rostagno MH. 2013. Impact of Heat Stress on Poultry Production. *Animals (Basel)* 3:356-680 69
- Leakey ADB, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ, Rogers A, Long SP, Ort DR. 2009. Elevated CO2 effects
 on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *Journal Of Experimental Botany* 60:2859-76
- Lewandowska AM, Boyce DG, Hofmann M, Matthiessen B, Sommer U, Worm B. 2014. Effects of sea surface warming on marine plankton. *Ecology Letters* 17:614-23
- 686 80. Lin M, Huybers P. 2012. Reckoning wheat yield trends. Environmental Research Letters 7:024016

- 687 81. Lloret J, Rätz H-J, Lleonart J, Demestre M. 2015. Challenging the links between seafood and 688 human health in the context of global change. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the* 689 *United Kingdom* 96:29-42
- 690 82. Lobell D. 2014. Climate change adaptation in crop production: Beware of illusions. *Global Food* 691 *Security* 3:72-6
- 692 83. Lobell DB, Cassman KG, Field CB. 2009. Crop yield gaps: Their importance, magnitudes, and causes. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 34:179-204
- 694 84. Lobell DB, Gourdji SM. 2012. The influence of climate change on global crop productivity. *Plant Physiol* 160:1686-97
- 696 85. Lobell DB, Hammer GL, McLean G, Messina C, Roberts MJ, Schlenker W. 2013. The critical role of extreme heat for maize production in the United States. *Nature Climate Change* 3:1-5
- 698 86. Lobell DB, Schlenker W, Costa-Roberts J. 2011. Climate trends and global crop production since 699 1980. *Science* 333:1-9
- 700 87. Loladze I. 2014. Hidden shift of the ionome of plants exposed to elevated CO2 depletes minerals at the base of human nutrition. *eLife*
- Nosberger J, Ort DR. 2006. Food for thought: lower-thanexpected crop yield stimulation with rising CO2 concentrations. *Science* 312:1918-21
- 704 89. Masclaux H, Bec A, Kainz MJ, PerriÈRe F, Desvilettes C, Bourdier G. 2012. Accumulation of polyunsaturated fatty acids by cladocerans: effects of taxonomy, temperature and food.

 706 Freshwater Biology 57:696-703
- 707 90. Mearns R, Norton A, eds. 2010. Social Dimensions of Climate Change. Washington, DC: World
 708 Bank.
- 709 91. Medek DE, Schwartz J, Myers SS. In Press. Rising CO2 poses a threat to global protein intake.
 710 Environmental Health Perspectives
- 711 92. Memmott J, Craze PG, Waser NM, Price MV. 2007. Global warming and the disruption of plant-712 pollinator interactions. *Ecol Lett* 10:710-7
- 713 93. Mendelsohn R, Nordhaus WD, Shaw D. 1994. The impact of global warming on agriculture: a Ricardian analysis. *The American Economic Review* 84:753-71
- 94. Milchunas DG, Mosier AR, Morgan JA, LeCain DR, King JY, Nelson JA. 2005. Elevated CO2 and defoliation effects on a shortgrass steppe: Forage quality versus quantity for ruminants.
 717 Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Environment 111:166-84
- 718 95. Misztal I, Aguilar I, Tsuruta S, Sanchez JP, Zumbach B. 2010. Studies on heat stress in dairy cattle and pigs.
- 720 96. Moore FC, Lobell DB. 2014. Adaptation potential of European agriculture in response to climate change. *Nature Clim. Change* 4:610-4
- 722 97. Morgan PB, Mies TA, Bollero GA, Nelson RL, Long SP. 2006. Season-long elevation of ozone 723 concentration to projected 2050 levels under fully open-air conditions substantially decreases 724 the growth and production of soybean. *New Phytol* 170:333-43
- Morton JF. 2007. The Impact of Climate Change on Smallholder and Subsistence Agriculture.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104:19680-5
- 727 99. Muchow RC, Sinclair TR. 1991. Water deficit effects on maize yields modeled under current and "greenhouse" climates. *Agronomy Journal* 83:1052-9
- 729 100. Mueller B, Seneviratne SI. 2012. Hot days induced by precipitation deficits at the global scale.
 730 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 109:12398-403
- 731 101. Mueller ND, Binder S. 2015. Closing yield gaps: Consequences for the global food supply, 732 environmental quality & Samp; food security. *Daedalus* 144:45-56
- 733 102. Mueller ND, Butler EE, McKinnon KA, Rhines A, Tingley MP, et al. 2016. Cooling of US Midwest summer temperature extremes from cropland intensification. *Nature Climate Change* 6:317-22

- 735 103. Mueller ND, Gerber JS, Johnston M, Ray DK, Ramankutty N, Foley JA. 2012. Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. *Nature* 490:254-7
- 737 104. Mueller ND, Rhines A, Ray DK, Siebert S, Holbrook NM, Huybers P. Global relationships between 738 cropland intensification and cooler extreme summer temperatures over the last 50 years. 739 *submitted*
- 740 105. Myers SS, Wessells KR, Kloog I, Zanobetti A, Schwartz J. 2015. Effect of increased concentrations 741 of atmospheric carbon dioxide on the global threat of zinc deficiency: a modelling study. *The* 742 *Lancet Global Health* 3:e639-e45
- 743 106. Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I, Huybers P, Leakey A, D.B., et al. 2014. Increasing CO2 threatens 744 human nutrition. *Nature* 510:139-42
- 745 107. Nardone A, Ronchi B, Lacetera N, Ranieri MS. 2010. Effects of climate changes on animal production and sustainability of livestock systems. *Livestock Science* 130:57-69
- 747 108. Nelson GC, Rosegrant MW, Koo J, Robertson RD, Sulser T, et al. 2009. Climate change impact on agriculture and costs of adaptation, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC
- 750 109. Nelson GC, Rosegrant MW, Palazzo A, Gray I, Ingersoll C, et al. 2010. Food Security, Farming, and Climate Change to 2050, IFPRI, Washington, DC
- 752 110. Ngure FM, Reid BM, Humphrey JH, Mbuya MN, Pelto G, Stoltzfus RJ. 2014. Water, Sanitation,
 753 and Hygiene (WASH), Environmental Enteropathy, Nutrition, and Early Child Development:
 754 Making the Links. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1308:118-28
- 755 111. Nikolowski VN, Shchepkina AM, Yuvena TV, Shulman GE. 2011. Interannual variabilty of lipid 756 content in sprat and anchovy as indicator of food supply in Black Sea small pelagic fishes (in 757 Russian). . In *Industrial Bioresources of Black and Azov Seas*:293–303. Sevastopol: ECOSI-758 Gidrofizika. Number of 293–303 pp.
- NOAA. 2016. National Centers for Environmental Information.
 https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cag/time-series/
- 761 113. Parfitt J, Barthel M, Macnaughton S. 2010. Food Waste Within Food Supply Chains:
 762 Quantification and Potential for Change to 2050. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* 763 B: Biological Sciences 365:3065-81
- 764 114. Parmesan C, Yohe G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421:37-42
- 766 115. Pauly D, Zeller D. 2016. Catch reconstructions reveal that global marine fisheries catches are higher than reported and declining. *Nat Commun* 7:10244
- Pickering TD, Ponia B, Hair CA, Southgate PC, Poloczanska ES, et al. 2011. Vulnerability of aquaculture in the tropicla Pacific to climate change. In *Aquaculture in the Third Millennium*.
 Technical Proceedings of the Conference on Aquaculture in the Third Millennium, Bangkok,
 Thailand, 20-25 February 2000, ed. RP Subasinghe, P Bueno, MJ Phillips, C Hough, SE
 McGladdery, JR Arthur:295-305: Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia-Pacific, Bangkok,
- 773 Thailand, and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. Number of 295-305 pp.
- 775 117. Pingali PL. 2012. Green Revolution: Impacts, limits, and the path ahead. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 109:12302-8
- 777 118. Porter JR, Xie L, Challinor AJ, Cochrane K, Howden SM, et al. 2014. Food security and food 778 production systems. In *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A:* 779 *Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of* 780 the *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change:*485-533. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New 781 York, NY: Cambridge University Press. Number of 485-533 pp.
- 782 119. Portner HO, Karl DM, Boyd PM, Cheung WW, Lluch-Cota SE, et al. 2014. Ocean systems

- 783 120. Prather M, Flato G, Friedlingstein P, Jones C, Lamarque JF, et al. 2013. Annex II: Climate System Scenario Tables. ed. TF Stocker, D Qin, GK Plattner, M Tignor, SK Allen, et al. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press. Number of.
- 786 121. Ray DK, Ramankutty N, Mueller ND, West PC, Foley JA. 2012. Recent patterns of crop yield growth and stagnation. *Nat Commun* 3
- 788 122. Rose JB, Wu F. 2015. Waterborne and Foodborne Diseases. ed. BS Levy, JA Patz. Oxford. Number of.
- 790 123. Rosenzweig C, Elliott J, Deryng D, Ruane AC, Müller C, et al. 2013. Assessing agricultural risks of 791 climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison. *Proceedings* 792 of the National Academy of Sciences USA
- 793 124. Rosenzweig C, Iglesias A, Yang X, Epstein PR, Chivian E. 2001. Climate change and extreme 794 weather events: Implications for food production, plant diseases, and pests. *Global Change and* 795 *Human Health* 2:90-104
- 796 125. Rossoll D, Bermudez R, Hauss H, Schulz KG, Riebesell U, et al. 2012. Ocean acidification-induced food quality deterioration constrains trophic transfer. *PLoS One* 7:e34737
- 798 126. Sánchez B, Rasmussen A, Porter JR. 2014. Temperatures and the growth and development of maize and rice: a review. *Global Change Biology* 20:408-17
- 800 127. Sanchez PA. 2010. Tripling crop yields in tropical Africa. *Nature Geoscience* 3:299-300
- Santana ML, Jr., Bignardi AB, Eler JP, Ferraz JB. 2015. Genetic variation of the weaning weight of beef cattle as a function of accumulated heat stress. *J Anim Breed Genet* 133:92-104
- Schlenker W, Roberts MJ. 2009. Nonlinear temperature effects indicate severe damages to U.S.
 crop yields under climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 106:15594-8
- Schmidhuber J, Tubiello FN. 2007. Global Food Security Under Climate Change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104:19703-8
- 808 131. Selvaraj S, Ganeshamoorthi P, Pandiaraj T. 2013. Potential impacts of recent climate change on 809 biological control agents in agro-ecosystem: A review. *International Journal of Biodiversity and* 810 *Conservation* 5:845-52
- 811 132. Sen A. 1981. *Poverty and Famines*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Shi G, Yang L, Wang Y, Kobayashi K, Zhu J, et al. 2009. Impact of elevated ozone concentration
 on yield of four Chinese rice cultivars under fully open-air field conditions. *Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Environment* 131:178-84
- Sinclair TR, Rufty TW. 2012. Nitrogen and water resources commonly limit crop yield increases, not necessarily plant genetics. *Global Food Security* 1:94-8
- Singh I, Squire L, Strauss J. 1986. *Agricultural Household Models*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press
- Smith M, Ryan, Singh G, M, Mozaffarian D, Myers SS. 2015. Effects of decreases of animal pollinators on human nutrition and global health: a modelling analysis. *The Lancet*
- Smith MR, Golden CD, Myers SS. in review. Anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions may increase the risk of global iron deficiency
- 823 138. Society TR. 2008. Ground-level Ozone in the 21st Century
- Speers AE, Besedin EY, Palardy JE, Moore C. 2016. Impacts of climate change and ocean
 acidification on coral reef fisheries: An integrated ecological-economic model. *Ecological Economics* 128:33-43
- Springmann M, Mason-D'Croz D, Robinson S, Garnett T, Godfray HCJ, et al. Global and regional health effects of future food production under climate change: a modelling study. *The Lancet* 387:1937-46

- Thornton PK, van de Steeg J, Notenbaert A, Herrero M. 2009. The impacts of climate change on livestock and livestock systems in developing countries: A review of what we know and what we need to know. *Agricultural Systems* 101:113-27
- Tilman GD, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor RL, Polasky S. 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature* 418:671-7
- Waddington SR, Li X, Dixon J, Hyman G, Vicente MC. 2010. Getting the focus right: production constraints for six major food crops in Asian and African farming systems. *Food Security* 2:27-48
- Whitmee S, Haines A, Beyrer C, Boltz F, Capon AG, et al. 2015. Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller Foundation–Lancet
 839 Commission on planetary health. *The Lancet* 386:1973-2028
- Young S. 2015. When an invasive plant fails to invade. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment
 13:450-51
- Zhu X, Feng Z, Sun T, Liu X, Tang H, et al. 2011. Effects of elevated ozone concentration on yield
 of four Chinese cultivars of winter wheat under fully open-air field conditions. *Global Change Biology* 17:2697-706
- 2147. Ziska L, George K. 2004. Rising carbon dioxide and invasive, noxious plants: Potential threats and consequences. *World Resour Rev* 16:427-47
- Ida. Ziska L, Goins E. 2006. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide and weed populations in glyphosate
 treated soybean. Climate and Chemical Weed Management 46:1354-9
- Ziska LH, Pettis JS, Edwards J, Hancock JE, Tomecek MB, et al. 2016. Rising atmospheric CO2 is
 reducing the protein concentration of a floral pollen source essential for North American bees.
 Proc Biol Sci 283

Figure Captions

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

Figure 1. Since the start of the green revolution, total dietary energy produced by the global food system has been increasing rapidly, with demand projected to continue rising at historic levels.

At the same time, the global climate upon which our food system relies has been changing rapidly, and is projected to continue on its current course unless significant interventions are made. Panel a): *Global dietary energy supply*. Historical dietary energy supply estimates were calculated by multiplying daily per capita calorie supplies from FAO food balance sheets [48] by global population estimates from the UN Population Division [147]. Projections of future energy supplies were estimated by multiplying estimates of global daily per capita supplies through 2050 from Alexandratos [109] by median population projections from the UN [147].

Panel b): Atmospheric CO2 concentration. Historical data are taken from annually averaged		
Mauna Loa observations [41]. Future projections are taken from representative concentration		
pathway (RCP) climate scenarios used in the most recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate		
Change report [124]. Panel c): Global average temperature change. Historical data are annually		
and globally averaged land and ocean temperature anomalies relative to average temperature of		
1900-2000 [116]. Projected temperature estimates represent the median of four RCP model		
ensembles standardized to the same 1900-2000 standard level, as well as 95% confidence		
interval for 2050, as reported by the IPCC [71] and aggregated by the KNMI Climate Explorer		
(https://climexp.knmi.nl). Panel d): Global population. Historical and future estimates (with		
95% CI for forecasted data) for global population are estimated by the UN [147].		
Figure 2. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are likely to impact human nutritional status		
through a cascading set of biophysical and socioeconomic changes. Details for the mechanisms		
and impact of each cause may be found in its corresponding section, labeled in parentheses.		