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ABSTRACT

Iodamoeba is the last genus of obligately parasitic human protist whose phylogenetic position is unknown. Iodamoeba SSU-rDNA sequences were obtained using samples from three host species and phylogenetic analyses convincingly placed Iodamoeba as a sister taxon to Endolimax. This clade in turn branches among free-living amoeboflagellates of the genus Mastigamoeba. Two Iodamoeba ribosomal lineages (RL1 and RL2) were detected whose sequences differ by 31%, each of which is found in both human and non-human hosts.
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Iodamoeba is a genus of intestinal parasitic protist found in humans, non-human primates and other animals. The genus was described by Dobell (1919) who also gave the name Iodamoeba bütschlii to the human parasite, and Iodamoeba from humans has been assigned to this species ever since. The name Iodamoeba derives from the conspicuous iodophilic glycogen mass present in Iodamoeba cysts (Supplementary Fig. 1A), often called a vacuole although it is not membrane-bound (Zaman 1972). Cysts are noticeably irregularly shaped, vary in diameter with a mean of ca. 10 µm (Dobell 1919; Taliaferro and Becker 1922), and usually have a single, vesicular nucleus with a large, spherical karyosome. Although mitochondrial structures were reported by Brown (1958) and Dutta (1962), ultrastructural studies did not confirm their presence (Zaman 1972). The life cycle comprises a trophozoite stage, found in the colon where it ingests bacteria and multiplies by binary fission (Dobell 1919; Rodenhuis 1919), and a cyst stage responsible for transmission. Although originally placed in the family Entamoebidae together with Entamoeba, Dientamoeba and Endolimax (Chatton 1925), to date DNA sequence data have not been available for Iodamoeba and therefore its phylogenetic relationships remain unconfirmed. It is also not known whether humans and non-humans are hosts for the same or different species. In this report we finally answer most of the outstanding questions regarding this, the last genus of human parasitic protist to be investigated.

DNA was extracted from purified Iodamoeba cysts (Lebbad et al. 2008; Supplementary Fig. 1B), directly from faeces, or from primary culture (Table 1). Complete and partial Iodamoeba SSU-rDNA sequences were obtained directly from PCR products, or from clones thereof, using a wide range of primers (Supplementary Table 1). Our results indicate a remarkable degree of genetic diversity within Iodamoeba. The sequences obtained fall into one of two ribosomal lineages (RLs) (Table 1, Fig. 1) with a genetic divergence of 31%. Even within each RL a substantial degree of diversity exists (Supplementary Fig. 2).
No two sequences from *Iodamoeba* DNA samples investigated in the study were identical.

Substantial genetic diversity (8%) is seen among six clones from EM080 (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 3) and the divergence between clones EM081-6 and EM081-3.1 in a 1,416 bp overlapping region is 6.7% (not shown). High levels of variation in the SSU-rDNA within strains is uncommon but has been reported previously in, for example, *Dientamoeba fragilis* (Silberman et al. 1996) and *Vannella simplex* (Nassonova et al. 2010). However, in this situation we cannot differentiate between two possibilities: each *Iodamoeba* cell may encode several distinct SSU-rDNA variants (intra-genome variation) or most *Iodamoeba* infections are mixtures of multiple strains, each of which has a single SSU-rDNA variant. Whatever the underlying basis of the variation, the remarkable levels of genetic diversity within single *Iodamoeba* infections has implications for the interpretation of boundaries between Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs). Caron et al. (2009) used a 95% identity level as their boundary between eukaryotic microbial OTUs. Our data indicate that *Iodamoeba* genes can exceed this 5% divergence value even within an individual infection.

*Iodamoeba* is well known from pigs and non-human primates, and other examples of natural hosts include rodents, camels and birds (Wenyon 1926; Kessel 1928; Mackinnon and Dibb 1938; Levine 1962; Ray and Banik 1964; Sano et al. 1980; Ponce Gordo et al. 2002; Howells et al. 2011). The fact that *Iodamoeba* sequence 215 from *Macaca fascicularis* is closely related to human RL1 sequences (data not shown) and that RL2 is found in both human and pig suggests that existing *Iodamoeba* species names linked to specific hosts may not be valid. More data are needed to clarify the number and host range of RLs in *Iodamoeba*, and until such data are available we suggest that the two lineages identified in the present study be referred to as *Iodamoeba* RL1 and RL2 rather than allocating species names to each, a similar approach to that recently suggested for novel lineages of *Entamoeba* (Stensvold et al. 2011).
In our phylogenetic analyses, *Iodamoeba, Endolimax* and all mastigamoebids always cluster together to the exclusion of the remaining Amoebozoa with strong support, confirming the placement of *Iodamoeba* within this group (Fig. 1). The respective lengths of the SSU-rDNAs of *Iodamoeba* and *Endolimax* are comparable (2.2—2.4 kbp) and in the range of typical mastigamoebid SSU-rDNAs, giving additional credence to the relationship. However, support for the well established taxon Archamoebae as a whole is only moderate except in Bayesian analysis.

The sister taxon relationship of the two genera *Iodamoeba* and *Endolimax* is highly supported but, surprisingly, while monophyly of the two *Iodamoeba* sequences was supported by a high bootstrap value in distance-based analyses, statistical support in Bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses was absent. Manual comparison of the two *Iodamoeba* sequences with the *Endolimax* sequence revealed that shared SNPs were much more frequent between the two *Iodamoeba* RLs than were shared by *Endolimax* and either of the two *Iodamoeba* sequences.

In all our analyses *Endolimax* and *Iodamoeba* share a specific common ancestor (Fig. 1) and their branch emerges from within the free-living amoeboflagellate mastigamoebids rather than clustering with the parasitic *Entamoeba* spp. This indicates that adaptation to parasitism occurred independently at least twice in the Archamoebae, in the ancestor of *Entamoeba* and in the *Iodamoeba*+*Endolimax* branch; we cannot be sure whether the common ancestor of *Iodamoeba* and *Endolimax* was a parasite or not.

We set out to finally resolve the identity of the last genus of human parasitic protist to be studied at the molecular level – *Iodamoeba*. To fully resolve the phylogenetic position and taxonomic status of *Iodamoeba* and *Endolimax* based on SSU-rDNA, more data on intrageneric diversity for both *Endolimax* and *Iodamoeba*, but also *Mastigamoeba*, are needed. For now, we can conclude: 1) that the genus *Iodamoeba* comprises at least two
distinct ribosomal lineages, both of which are found in humans and also occur in non-human hosts, 2) that substantial genetic variation is common in Iodamoeba from a single infection, 3) that Iodamoeba and Endolimax share a most recent common ancestor, and 4) that the genera Iodamoeba and Endolimax have arisen from within the mastigamoebids.
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**FIGURE LEGEND**

**Fig.1.** Phylogenetic position of *Iodamoeba*. The analysis used 1,430 unambiguously aligned positions from 14 archamoebae, 2 *Iodamoeba* and a broad selection of 12 non-archamoeba amoebozoan sequences. Alignments were generated using MEGA 5 (Tamura et al., 2011) and the inbuilt MUSCLE alignment algorithm then edited. Phylogenetic analyses used three different approaches: distance-based analysis (MEGA 5) used the Neighbor-Joining algorithm and the Maximum Composite Likelihood model, while Bayesian (MrBayes 3.1.2; Huelsenbeck and Roquist 2001) and maximum likelihood (MEGA 5) analyses both used the General Time Reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with four categories of among-site rate variation and the proportion of invariant sites, selected as best using ModelTest (MEGA5). Statistical support for distance and maximum likelihood trees was evaluated using bootstrapping (1,000 replicates). Bayesian analysis used four Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) strands and 5,000,000 generations, with trees sampled every 100 generations. In the Bayesian analysis the final average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01. A consensus tree was produced after excluding an initial burn-in of 25% of the samples, as recommended. The Maximum Likelihood tree is shown. Bootstrap values and posterior probabilities from the three types of phylogenetic analyses are shown in the following order: Maximum Likelihood/Distance/Bayesian. Nodes where both bootstrap values are >95% and the posterior probability is >0.95 are indicated by black circles. Bootstrap values of <50 or posterior probabilities of < 0.50 are indicated by an asterisk and where all three analyses show these low support values the node is not labelled.
Fig. 1.
Table 1. Samples used and sequences produced for phylogenetic analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA Sample ID</th>
<th>Source of DNA</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Geographical info (Travel/Origin)</th>
<th>Sequence ID</th>
<th>Sequence Length (bp)</th>
<th>GenBank Accession No.</th>
<th>Sequence from PCR Products</th>
<th>Iodamoeba ribosomal lineage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EM081</td>
<td>Cysts</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>EM081-6</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>JN635745</td>
<td>Clone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EM081-3.1</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>JN635746</td>
<td>Clone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1074</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>NA(^b)</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>JN635741</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,193</td>
<td>JN635742</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>JN635743</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>M. fascicularis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM080</td>
<td>Cysts</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>EM080-I</td>
<td>2,215</td>
<td>JN635740</td>
<td>Clone</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EM080-A-H</td>
<td>252-257</td>
<td>JN635747-51</td>
<td>Clone</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabel</td>
<td>Culture(^a)</td>
<td>Sus scrofa</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Mabel</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>JN635744</td>
<td>Clone</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Clark et al. 2006.

\(^b\)NA: Not available

\(^c\)GenBank accession number for sequence 215 was not available since the length of the sequence was < 200 bp.
Supplementary Table 1. Primers used in the study for PCR amplification and sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5′-3′)</th>
<th>Primer specificity&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Primer position&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>DNA sample sequenced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RD5</td>
<td>ATCTGGTTGATCCCTGCCAGT</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1-20</td>
<td>EM080-I, EM081-3.1, 1074, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD3</td>
<td>ATCCTTCCGCAGGTTCACCTAC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2,194-2,215</td>
<td>EM080-I, EM081-6, 1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEMH5.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TCTAAGGAAGGCAGCAGGC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>581-599</td>
<td>EM081-6, EM081-3.1, MABEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEMH3.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AAGGGCATCACGGACCTGTT</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1835-1854</td>
<td>EM081-3.1, MABEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528F</td>
<td>GCGGTAATTCCAGCTC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>745-760</td>
<td>EM080-I, 1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528R</td>
<td>GAGCTGGAATTACCGGC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>745-760</td>
<td>EM080-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200F</td>
<td>CAGGTCTGTGATGCC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1837-1852</td>
<td>EM080-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IODAGENUS1580F</td>
<td>ATCGAGTGAGTGATATGGCCC</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1468-1489</td>
<td>1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IODAGENUS_F</td>
<td>GGGGTGGTTATATTTCATAGCG</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1199-1222</td>
<td>EM080-E, EM080-H, 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IODAGENUS_R</td>
<td>TCTCCTAGGTGCTGGAGGAGTC</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1443-1465</td>
<td>EM080-I, EM081-6, EM081-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IODAGENUS2300R</td>
<td>CCGAAGCCCATACACTTCATT</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1471-1491</td>
<td>1074, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IODAGENUS650F</td>
<td>GTAGTGACGACAAATACCGATG</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>629-650</td>
<td>EM080-I, 82, 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IODAGENUS780R  CCGCAACAGCTTTAGTATACACTC  G  764-787  EM080-I, 82
IODAMOEBAA100F  AAGGATAACCTGTTAATTGTAGAG  G  141-165  EM080-I, 82
IODAMOEBAA2080R  CCCAGCTTGATGAACATTAC  G  1930-1950  EM080-I

IODAMOEBAA1610R  CAGCCTTGCGACCATACTC  G  1468-1486  1074
IODAGENUS1230F  AATTGGGTGGTTTATATTTCATAGC  G  1172-1197  1074, 82, 215
IODAGENUS2220R  CAAATCCAACATTTTCACCAG  G  2074-2093  82

IODAM1200R  ATGCACATTACCCACACGCACAC  O  1161-1182  EM081-3.1
IODAM1450R  TACACCCTGTGTTACCAGTGTG  O  911-932  1074
IODAM1400R  GTCTGCAGCGATTGTTTCTATTC  O  1463-1485  1074, 82
IODAM1500R  CAAAACATCACATAATGTTCTGC  O  873-897  1074, EM081-3.1
IODAM520R  CACACACAAGTGCGCACTG  O  1149-1166  EM081-6, 1074
IODAM450F  GAAGATATGTCTCGTGGGTGC  O  1066-1086  EM081-6
IODclone3.1_1200F  TGAGCGTCACAACAGTGGC  O  1244-1262  EM081-3.1
IODAM580R  CGTGGTCAATATGCATAGTGTATTTTATTAGAC  O  1208-1237  EM081-6, EM081-3.1, 1074
IODAM600F  CACAAACCAGTGCTTAGGAATAGAC  O  1250-1273  EM081-6, 1074
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Sample(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IODAM500F</td>
<td>GTGTCTAGTTGCAGTGCGC</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1138-1156</td>
<td>EM081-6, 1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG</td>
<td>GSP</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>EM081-6, EM081-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP6</td>
<td>ATTTAGGTGACACTATAG</td>
<td>GSP</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>EM081-6, EM081-3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Primer name does not necessarily reflect position of primer

^b E = Broad-specificity, eukaryotic primer; G = Iodamoeba genus-specific primer (with a maximum of 2-3 mismatches in primer and/or conserved 3' end; O = lineage-/strain-specific (RL1); GSP = General sequencing primer (cloning).

^c Primer position relative to EM080-I (non-bold) and 1074 (bold); NA = Not applicable.

^d part of AEMH5/3 pool (Clark et al., 2006).
**Supplementary figures**

**Supp. Fig. 1.** *Iodamoeba* cysts observed by light microscopy of an iodine stained preparation. **A:** Single cyst showing morphological features. Size is indicated. CW = Cyst wall, VN = Vesicular nucleus, KA = Karyosome, GM = Glycogen mass. **B:** Cyst preparation of *Iodamoeba* EM080 showing the absence of other protist cysts.

**Supp. Fig 2.** Genetic diversity of *Iodamoeba. Iodamoeba* inter-sample phylogeny showing two ribosomal lineages and substantial intra-lineage diversity. A total of 383 unambiguously aligned positions in the region common to all sequences were used in the analysis. Maximum likelihood tree produced as in Fig. 1 is shown.

**Supp. Fig. 3.** Alignment of EM080 SSU-rDNA clones showing intra-sample genetic diversity. The sequence shown corresponds to positions 1,192—1,447 in EM081-I. * = identical base in all clones. The diversity detected consisted in two instances of differences in homopolymer length: at position 103 EM080-E has a homopolymer of four Gs, whereas the other clones have five Gs. At position 219, three clones have a homopolymer of three Ts while the others have two Ts. Also, a short region starting at position 182 exhibited single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions (indels); in the same region EM080-C is clearly divergent from the other clones although it still belongs to the same ribosomal lineage (RL2).
Supplementary Fig. 1.