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Validity and diagnostic accuracy of the Luganda version of the 9-item and 2-item Patient Health Questionnaire for detecting major depressive disorder in rural Uganda
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Background. The prevalence of depression in rural Ugandan communities is high and yet detection and treatment of depression in the primary care setting is suboptimal. Short valid depression screening measures may improve detection of depression. We describe the validation of the Luganda translated nine- and two-item Patient Health Questionnaires (PHQ-9 and PHQ-2) as screening tools for depression in two rural primary care facilities in Eastern Uganda.

Methods. A total of 1407 adult respondents were screened consecutively using the nine-item Luganda PHQ. Of these 212 were randomly selected to respond to the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview diagnostic questionnaire. Descriptive statistics for respondents’ demographic characteristics and PHQ scores were generated. The sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive values (PPVs), and area under the ROC curve were determined for both the PHQ-9 and PHQ-2.

Results. The optimum trade-off between sensitivity and PPV was at a cut-off of $\geq 5$. The weighted area under the receiver Operating Characteristic curve was $0.74$ (95% CI 0.60–0.89) and $0.68$ (95% CI 0.54–0.82) for PHQ-9 and PHQ-2, respectively.

Conclusion. The Luganda translation of the PHQ-9 was found to be modestly useful in detecting depression. The PHQ-9 performed only slightly better than the PHQ-2 in this rural Ugandan Primary care setting. Future research could improve on diagnostic accuracy by considering the idioms of distress among Luganda speakers, and revising the PHQ-9 accordingly. The usefulness of the PHQ-2 in this rural population should be viewed with caution.
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Background

Depression is common, chronic and costly for both sufferers and health care systems. It is estimated to be a leading cause of disability worldwide but data on population rates of depression in developing countries remain sparse (Whiteford et al. 2013). Two surveys
conducted among adults living in rural areas of Uganda found the point prevalence of major depression to be between 17% and 24% (Bolton et al. 2003; Ovuga et al. 2005).

Despite the high burden and substantial impact of depression, detection and treatment in the primary care setting are suboptimal. In high-income countries, primary care physicians fail to recognize 30%–50% of depressed patients (Simon & VonKorff, 1995). This diagnosis gap is estimated to be even more substantial in low-income countries (Kohn et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2007).

Short valid depression screening measures such as the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Kroenke et al. 2001) may help primary care health workers identify patients with depressive symptoms. Pignone et al. (2002) in their systematic review of randomized controlled studies of depression screening in primary care settings showed that use of screening instruments led to increased recognition of depression in primary care in the USA.

The PHQ-9 has been validated in a variety of settings worldwide (Wittkampf et al. 2007; Manea et al. 2012). In sub-Saharan Africa, validation studies have been conducted among university students in Nigeria (Adewuya et al. 2006) and postnatal women in Ghana (Weobong et al. 2009). Studies have also been conducted among people living with HIV in Kenya (Monahan et al. 2009), Cameroon (Pence et al. 2012) and in Uganda (Akena et al. 2013), where they found an AUROC of 0.96. The PHQ-9 and two-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2) has been recently validated among general health facility attendees in Ethiopia (Hanlon et al. 2015) and South Africa (Bhana et al. 2015). However, no such study has yet been conducted in Uganda. Understanding the diagnostic accuracy and validity of the PHQ-9 is essential if the PHQ-9 is going to be used as a screening tool to increase detection of depression by non-mental health professionals, improve opportunity for mental health care and reduce the depression treatment gap in Uganda.

This study therefore aims to confirm the validity and diagnostic accuracy of the Luganda PHQ-9, and of a shortened version of the PHQ-9, the PHQ-2, in detecting depression among an adult population attending primary care clinics in rural Uganda. It also aims to examine the internal structure of the translated tool.

Methods

Study setting

Kamuli District was selected for the integration of mental health services for depression, alcohol use disorder, psychosis and epilepsy into primary health care sector as part of the Programme for Improving Mental Health Care (PRIME) (Lund et al. 2012) in collaboration with the Uganda Ministry of Health (Kigozi et al. 2016). Kamuli District has a population of 490 000, of whom 96% reside in rural areas (Uganda Bureau of Statistics, 2016). This population is served by 41 primary health centres and two hospital outpatient departments. Primary care centres in Kamuli provide first level outpatient health care, including treatment of acute infections, minor accidents, maternal and child health services and basic mental health assessments treatment and referral. Most patients with depression or suicide will come to the primary centre or outpatient department of a hospital with somatic complaints or physical consequences of a suicide attempt, which may or may not trigger a psychiatric assessment, treatment or referral. Up to 100 patients may be seen a day at the health centres, all conditions put together. The district hospital’s outpatient department operates like a primary care facility as a first point of contact for many patients in the hospital’s catchment area. The district hospital outpatient department sees two to three times the number of patients seen at the lower health centres.

Participants

Research assistants recruited patients attending one primary health and one hospital outpatient department into the study between October and December 2014. Participants were eligible for the study if they were at least 18 years of age, fluent in Luganda, were attending the clinic for primary care and were available for a 30 min interview. Participants were excluded if they required acute medical care. Participants were recruited into a convenience sample, dictated by the availability of the research assistants to recruit the next patient who arrived to the clinic waiting room.

Study procedures

Recruitment and screening was done by two research assistants who were general nurses working in Kamuli. They had a 2-day training in the PHQ-9 administration and spoke both English and Luganda. After assessing the patient for inclusion and exclusion criteria, the research assistant explained the study’s purpose and procedures in the local language to ensure that even those participants with low literacy understood. Signed (or thumb printed) informed consent was obtained from patients who consented to participate in the study.

In a private examination room, the research assistant collected demographic information from the participant, administered the Luganda version of the
PHQ-9 on paper and calculated the total screening score. The research assistant then selected a sealed envelope, inside of which contained pre-printed set of instructions. The instructions randomly allocated participants to either the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) or no MINI interview, so that a subsample of 200 participants would be referred to the diagnostic interview. An example of such an instruction is: ‘is the total score X? If yes, send respondent to MINI interview. If no, select the next person who scores X to go to the MINI interview.’ The screened but un-referred participants were immediately returned to the waiting room to be managed by the clinic staff.

The diagnostic interview was conducted within minutes of the PHQ-9 interview in a room adjacent to the outpatient department. Both the participant and the diagnostician were blind to the participant’s PHQ-9 screening score. The diagnosticians were mental health practitioners (one psychiatric clinical officer and one psychiatric nurse with a diploma in clinical medicine and nursing, respectively) who were fluent in Luganda. The diagnosticians completed a 2-day training in the use of the depression module of the MINI diagnostic interview.

After the diagnostic interview was completed the research assistant brought the participants back to the waiting room. However, patients who responded positively to the suicide question either on PHQ-9 or in the diagnostic interview were taken directly to a primary care health worker for further assessment and management by the primary care worker who was informed about the need to assess and manage for suicidality.

Assessments

Patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9)

The PHQ-9 is the nine-item depression scale of the Patient Health Questionnaire (Spitzer et al. 1994). The nine items of the PHQ-9 are based directly on the nine diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder in the DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). Each item is scored on a Likert scale with symptoms rated as 0 (not at all), 1 (several days), 2 (more than half the days) and 3 (nearly every day). The PHQ-2 is a two-item version of the PHQ-9. It is scored in the same manner as the PHQ-9. It is advantageous for use by general clinicians in busy health care facilities.

The English version of the PHQ-9 was translated into Luganda by two bilingual translators. This was followed by evaluation of the translated tools by two bilingual clinical Psychologists who were familiar with the constructs in the tool in order to gain consensus on the translation. The consensus version of the questionnaire was then piloted in a small sample of 30 respondents in a small health facility that was not the study facility before the start of the study. This pilot served to ensure linguistic and idiomatic equivalence and to identify any challenges respondents might have with the translation. Any unclear Luganda terms were modified to include terms that are more commonly used and understandable by the participants in order to produce a final PHQ-9 Luganda translation. This modification of Luganda terms did not fundamentally change the items of the PHQ-9. The Luganda translation of the PHQ-9 is available in the additional files of this manuscript.

Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) – Depression module

The depression module of the MINI was used as the gold standard. The MINI is a structured diagnostic interview designed for research and clinical practice in psychiatric and primary care settings. The depression module of the MINI 5.0.0 (Sheehan et al., 1998) is used to diagnose current major depressive disorder. The MINI depression module was translated into Luganda using the same process as for the PHQ-9 described above. This process included the initial translation of the English version, building consensus by bilingual mental health experts, piloting and modification of difficult terms to produce a final version. There was no change in the core items of the MINI questionnaire.

Sample size

Given constraints on the availability of diagnosticians, a validation sample size of 200 diagnostic interviews was fixed. The anticipated distribution of PHQ-9 scores came from a study previously conducted with adult attendees in public clinics in Kamuli in 2013, which was characterized by a right skew. Accordingly, in order to refer 200 participants with balanced distribution of PHQ-9 scores, 1300 participants needed to be screened with 7% of participant with low (0–2) scores, 11% of participants with medium (3–7) scores and 100% of participants with high (≥8) scores being referred to complete the diagnostic interview. A balance of scores in the analysis dataset would allow for more precise estimates of the sensitivity and specificity of the PHQ-9 and PHQ-2 at higher cut points.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the demographic characteristics of the participants, their PHQ-9 scores and the distribution of PHQ-9 and PHQ-2 scores in the sample. The factor structure of the Luganda PHQ-9 was examined using principle
components analysis with varimax rotation, a Scree plot and the Kaiser test. The internal consistency of the Luganda PHQ-9 was calculated using Cronbach’s alpha. The correlation of each item of the PHQ-9 was assessed against the sum of the other eight items (the item-rest correlation).

Diagnostic validity of the PHQ-9 and the PHQ-2 was then evaluated using the area under the receiver operating curve (AUROC). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of the PHQ-9 and the PHQ-2 were estimated using the Somers’ D with Harrell’s c statistic (Newson, 2002), which allowed for estimation of the AUROC with probability weights. The 95% confidence intervals for the weighted AUROCs were based on bootstrap distribution of the AUROC estimation procedures, using 5000 repetitions (Alonzo & Pepe, 2005).

**Ethics**

The study procedures were approved by the human subject protections boards at Makerere University (Kampala, Uganda) and the University of Cape Town (South Africa).

**Results**

We obtained informed consent from 1415 patients of which a total of 1407 were screened on the PHQ-9 from both the health centre and the district hospital outpatient department. Eight participants could not complete the PHQ-9 because they were in a hurry.

Nearly half (48.3%) of participants had a low PHQ-9 score (0–2), a similar proportion (41.7%) had a medium score (5–7) and 10.0% had a high score (8 and above). A total of 212 (15.1% of 1407) participants were referred to the MINI diagnostic interview, of whom 153 (72.2% of 212) completed the diagnostic interview. The rest withdrew their participation due to time constraints. The flow diagram of participants’ screening scores and referral to the MINI diagnostic is shown in Fig. 1.

**Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample**

In the total sample, the participants’ mean age was 32.6 years (S.D. 12.6; range 18–82) and 72.3% were female. Of the female respondents, 17.5% were in the perinatal period (defined as being pregnant or having delivered in the past 12 months). Nearly half (48.0%) of participants had completed primary school, while another 31.2% completed secondary school and 7.2% had completed some higher education. Many participants (43.6%) were unemployed, while 42.7% were engaged in paid or self-employment. Another 9.1% of participants were students.

The median PHQ-9 score was 3 [interquartile range (IQR) 1–5, range 0–25] and the median PHQ-2 score was 1 (IQR 0–2, range 0–6).

Among the 153 participants who completed the MINI diagnostic interview, 84 (57%) were found to have major depressive disorder. Seven per cent (103 of 1407) of participants reported having thoughts about suicide or self-harm on PHQ-9 item no. 9.

**Psychometric properties of the PHQ-9**

Two analysis techniques showed two different factor structures of the PHQ-9. The Scree plot after varimax rotation of Principal Component Analysis suggested a two-factor solution (total variance explained = 43%) while the Kaiser criteria suggested a three-factor solution (total variance explained = 57%). With three factors, PHQ items no. 2, 3 and 5 load onto factor 1, PHQ items no. 6, 8 and 9 onto factor 2 and PHQ items no. 1, 4 and 7 onto factor 3. Evidence of a multi-factor solution precludes a coherent interpretation of the Cronbach’s alpha for the internal consistency of the PHQ-9, which was 0.68. The item-rest correlations were all below 0.5: item no. 6 had the highest correlation (0.48), while the lowest correlations were for item no. 1 (0.19) and item no. 4 (0.23).

**Validation of the PHQ-9 and PHQ-2**

The weighted area under the receiver Operating Characteristic curve for the PHQ-9 was 0.74 (95% CI
0.60–0.89) and for the PHQ-2 was 0.68 (95% CI 0.54–0.82) (Fig. 2).

Table 1 below shows the specificity, sensitivity and positive predictive values (PPVs) of the PHQ-9 and PHQ-2 at different cut-off scores. In this study, the optimum trade-off between sensitivity and PPV was at a cut-off of ≥5. It means therefore that at this cut-off, 67% of people with depression are screen positive, 78% of people without depression are screen negative, and 52% of screen positive people have depression. On the PHQ-2, at a cut-off ≥1, 66% of people with depression are screen positive, 59% of people without depression are screen negative and 36% of people who are screen positive have depression.

**Discussion**

This paper reports the psychometric properties and diagnostic accuracy of the Luganda version of the nine-item and two-item PHQ in a primary health care setting in rural Uganda. Our results showed: (1) depression is a multi-faceted construct in this setting; and (2) that the PHQ-9 has diagnostic utility in this setting; (3) that the PHQ-2 did not perform well in this rural population. However, a trade-off between high sensitivity and low PPV must be noted.

The diagnostic characteristics of the PHQ-9 (AUROC = 0.74) and the PHQ-2 (AUROC = 0.68) indicate that the PHQ-9 performance in the rural population studied was modest. However, it could still be used to screen for depression in primary care facilities in rural Uganda. The PHQ-9 performed only slightly better than the PHQ-2. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve in this study for both PHQ-9 and PHQ-2 was smaller than that found in similar settings in Ethiopia (PHQ-9, AUROC = 0.85 and PHQ-2, AUROC = 0.78) where the PHQ was compared with a psychiatric nurse administered MINI (Hanlon et al. 2015) and in South Africa (PHQ-9, AUROC = 0.85 and PHQ-2, AUROC = 0.76) where the PHQ was compared with clinical psychologist administered structured clinical interview for DSM-IV (Bhana et al. 2015). The choice of MINI as a gold standard, which has not been validated in Uganda, could have led to the modest validity of the Luganda PHQ-9 compared with that found in other PHQ-9 validation studies in sub-Saharan Africa. Also the local idioms of distress could have been different from the items in the PHQ-9 leading to lower validity than would have been expected. For example Item no. 1 (‘little interest or pleasure in doing things’) is often not understood and is difficult to translate to Luganda for one to understand. Item no. 2 (‘feeling down, depressed or hopeless’) is often expressed as one ‘having thoughts’ (Okello & Ekblad, 2006), which is a cognitive construct rather than feeling sad which is an emotional construct. This item therefore may not be understood the same way or responded to appropriately in its current form on the PHQ-9.

We suggest a potential cut-off score of ≥5 although we note that at this there is a significant trade-off between sensitivity and low PPV. The cut-off is similar to that obtained in Nigeria among University students where the PHQ-9 was validated against the MINI (Adewuya et al. 2006). This cut-off is, however, lower than what was recommend by other studies done both in sub-Saharan Africa (Omorro et al. 2006) and globally (Manea et al. 2012). In a previous meta-analysis of 18 studies, Manea et al. (2012) found that the PHQ-9 performed well at cut-off scores between 8 and 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHQ-9</th>
<th>PHQ-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SENS</td>
<td>SPECI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥9</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥11</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2. Receiver operating curve for PHQ-9 and PHQ-2 for adults in primary health care facilities in Kamuli, Uganda, 2014.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/core/1711/2821/07B123456789/A6B7C8D9E0F10G11.png)
though only one of these studies was from sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, as proposed by Patel et al. (2008), we recommend that the choice of an optimal cut-off score based on the best balance between sensitivity and PPV may need to be tailored to individual settings and purpose. This is particularly important for routine use in low-income countries where the burden on healthcare staff is high (Kagee et al. 2013) and referral of negative cases may put additional strain on an already struggling health system.

The presence of two to three factors on the factor analysis provides evidence that depression is a multifaceted construct in this setting. Several other studies of the psychometric properties of the PHQ-9 found the somatic and non-somatic symptoms of depression loading onto different factors (Richardson & Richards, 2008; Kalpakjian et al. 2009; Chilcot et al. 2013; Zhong et al. 2014; Petersen et al. 2015). Interpretation of these findings is further complicated by the relatively high prevalence of somatic symptoms reported by adults recruited from primary care facilities, and those symptoms’ conflation with depressive symptoms. While clinicians must take care to confirm that a high screening score is not an artefact of some other underlying disorder, they must also be sensitized to the non-specific manifestation of depressive symptoms and the likelihood of mis-diagnosis or under-diagnosis. In particular item no. 1 (little interest or pleasure in doing things) and item no. 4 (feeling tired or having little energy) of the PHQ-9 were poorly correlated with the total PHQ-9 score and both loaded on to the same factor. These items are strong candidates for further evaluation as to whether they are able to distinguish between depressive and non-depressive symptoms in an outpatient population and whether their translation captures the correct idiom of distress.

This is the first study to validate the PHQ-9 and PHQ-2 in a Ugandan primary health care population. A previous validation study had been done in a highly selected HIV population (Akena et al. 2013). However, the strengths notwithstanding, there were some limitations. Firstly, the findings from this study may not be generalizable to non-Luganda speakers or to populations outside health facilities. Secondly, there was substantial loss to follow up among participants who were referred for the MINI diagnostic assessment. Both the estimates of prevalence and the AUROC could be biased by this loss to follow up. Lastly, because the MINI administration was dependent on the PHQ-9 score, the MINI interview had to be conducted after the PHQ-9. It is possible that the PHQ-9 primed participants into being more open to discuss their depressive symptoms during the diagnostic interview, which would bias the AUROC estimates downward. However, given that mental health services were not yet available in this district, the participants remained ‘blind’ to their depression status, which is a source of bias common in other validation studies of depression screening tools (Thombs et al. 2011).

Conclusion

The Luganda translation of the PHQ-9 was found to have modest validity in detecting depression. In clinics where staff have limited time, one would hope that the PHQ-2 could be a useful alternative. However, in this study, the PHQ-9 performed slightly better than the PHQ-2. If one chose to screen with the PHQ-2 therefore, one would need to follow it up with a more detailed tool in order to accurately discriminate between those with depression and those without. Future research could improve on diagnostic accuracy by considering the factor structure of the Luganda PHQ-9, considering the idioms of distress among Luganda speakers, and revising the PHQ-9 accordingly.
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The supplementary material for this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2016.14.
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