Legume consumption and its association with fasting glucose, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes in the Indian Migration Study
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Abstract

Objective: Legume consumption is associated with lower fasting glucose (FG) and insulin levels in nutrition trials and lower CVD mortality in large-scale epidemiological studies. In India, legumes are widely consumed in various preparations, yet no epidemiological study has evaluated the association of legumes with FG levels, insulin resistance and diabetes risk. The present study aimed to fill this gap.

Design: Fasting blood samples, in-person interviews to obtain information on demographic/socio-economic factors, physical activity, alcohol and tobacco use, and anthropometric measurements were collected. Dietary intakes were assessed by an interviewer-administered, validated, semi-quantitative FFQ.

Setting: Lucknow, Nagpur, Hyderabad and Bangalore, India.

Subjects: Men and women (n 6367) aged 15–76 years – urban residents, urban migrants and their rural siblings.

Results: In multivariate random-effects models adjusted for age, BMI, total energy intake, macronutrients, physical activity and rural/migration status, daily legume consumption was not associated with FG (P for-trend = 0.78), insulin resistance (homeostasis model assessment score; P for-trend = 0.73) or the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (P for-trend = 0.41). Stratified analyses by vegetarian diet and migration status did not change the findings. Inverse associations between legumes and FG emerged for participants with lower BMI and higher carbohydrate, protein, fat and sugar intakes.

Conclusions: Although legumes are essential in traditional Indian diets, as well as in prudent and Mediterranean diets in the West, we did not find an association between legumes and markers of glycaemic control, insulin resistance or diabetes, except for subgroups based on BMI and macronutrient intake. The ubiquitous presence and complexity of legume preparations in Indian diets may contribute to these findings.
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Diabetes affects 8.3% of (382 million) people worldwide(1), is the fourth most common cause of deaths from non-communicable disease globally(2) and, similarly, is the fourth most common non-communicable disease in India, with an estimated 62.4 million people with diabetes and 77.2 million people with pre-diabetes(3). Poor diet is an important risk factor (ranked highest in disability-adjusted life years(4) for diabetes, as well as for non-communicable diseases, and includes high levels of salt, sugar, fat, processed and animal foods, and lower consumption of fruits and vegetables, whole grains and legumes(5,6). Consumption of legumes – which comprise beans, lentils, peas, soybeans and chickpeas(7) – is recommended by the European(8), Canadian(9) and American Diabetes Associations(10) as a means of increasing one’s daily fibre
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intake and lowering glycaemic activity for diabetes control. In India, diabetes prevalence is increasing in both rural\(^{11,12}\) and urban\(^{13-16}\) populations, despite the consumption of traditional diets high in legumes including lentils, chickpeas, beans and peas\(^{17}\). Legumes have been shown to lower postprandial\(^{18}\) as well as subsequent meal glycaemia\(^{19}\) and evidence from randomized nutrition trials shows that dietary pulses reduce fasting blood glucose and insulin levels in healthy persons, as well as those with high cholesterol, type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease\(^{17,20}\). A meta-analysis of forty-one randomized trials on dietary pulses found significantly reduced levels of fasting blood glucose (standardized mean difference (SMD) = –0.82; 95% CI = –1.36, –0.27) and insulin (SMD = –0.49; 95% CI = –0.93, –0.04) for pulses alone (eleven studies) as well as lower glycosylated protein levels in low-glycaemic-index and high-fibre diets\(^{17}\), although more recent studies are not as consistent\(^{21}\). Soluble fibres and the presence of α-glucosidase inhibitors allow for slower absorption and reduction of the dietary glycaemic index. Epidemiological studies in the West have described inconsistent associations with legume intake\(^{22-29}\) but the average daily intake in grams is much lower than in India, where evidence is also mixed with respect to associations with diabetes and glycaemic index\(^{30,31}\). Given the higher levels of legume consumption in traditional Indian diets, trends towards lower consumption patterns over time\(^{32,33}\) and concomitant quadrupling of diabetes prevalence since the 1970s (expected to touch 100 million people by 2030\(^{34}\)), Indian populations can yield important information on the association between legumes and diabetes-related outcomes. Moreover, no large-scale data on these associations are available for diverse rural and urban populations across the country with detailed dietary data from validated FFQ. We evaluated the association between dietary legumes and fasting glucose, insulin resistance (as reflected by the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) score) and diabetes in four geographic regions of rural and urban India.

Methods

Ethics statement

Questionnaires and information sheets were translated into local languages and informed consent was taken either by signature or a thumb print, if the participant was illiterate. Ethical approval was obtained from the All India Institute of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (reference number A-60/4/8/2004) and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK. The procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Committee.

Study population

The Indian Migration Study (IMS) is a sib-pair comparison study to examine the effects of rural-to-urban migration on obesity and diabetes in four factories in north, south and central India – Lucknow (Hindustan Aeronautical Ltd), Nagpur (Indorama Synthetics, Ltd), Hyderabad (Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd) and Bangalore (Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd) – from 1 March 2005 to 31 December 2007. The study details and major findings have been described in detail elsewhere\(^{34}\). Briefly, factory workers and their cohabitant spouses were eligible if employer records indicated they were rural-to-urban migrants. Factory workers and spouses were asked to identify same-sex siblings of the closest age who still resided in their rural place of origin. Same-sex siblings were given preference over those of similar age; for multiple same-sex siblings, the closest one in age was recruited into the study. For non-migrants, a 25% random sample of urban factory workers was also chosen, as well as their urban-dwelling siblings who did not work in the same factory.

Dietary intake

Diet was assessed using a semi-quantitative FFQ that captured common dietary patterns across all four sites, which has been described in detail elsewhere\(^{35}\). Participants were asked to report their frequency of intake (daily, weekly, monthly, yearly/never) of 184 items over a one-year period based on standardized serving sizes (e.g. tablespoon, ladle, bowl). Nutrient databases were developed using the Indian food composition tables\(^{36}\) and the US Department of Agriculture’s nutrient database (release no. 14)\(^{37}\) or McCance and Widdowson’s The Composition of Foods\(^{38}\) where nutrient values were unavailable from the Indian food composition tables. Specific databases for cooking oil were created to account for fat composition variations of cooking oils commonly consumed in India. Nutrient composition was determined from weighed recipes (using digital scales accurate to 1 g) collected from participants who regularly prepared these foods, under the supervision of a nutritionist, in rural and urban areas in each of the four regions\(^{35}\). Recipes were checked for face validity with nutritionists and other study participants.

Legumes included pulses and whole gram preparations (e.g. sambhar, dal, curries, vada) as well as dishes in which pulses were added to other ingredients (e.g. idli, dosa mixture, bajji and specific regional recipes). The weight of legumes in each preparation was added across the 184 items to derive a daily consumption of legumes, in grams. Other food groups considered in the current analysis included vegetables (including those added to preparations), cereals, sugar (sugar and jaggery used in preparations), salt, meat (including meat added to mixed dishes), fish and dairy products. Three 24 h recalls were conducted in a sub-sample of participants (n = 530, 53.9% male) to validate the FFQ. A sub-sample was re-interviewed after the completion of the FFQ (1–2 months, n = 185 and 12 months later, n = 305), yielding κ coefficients of 0.26–0.71\(^{35}\), which are similar to reliability estimates from other studies\(^{39,40}\). The validities for macronutrients ranged from 0.29 (fats) to 0.51 (carbohydrates); the κ and Spearman
correlation coefficients for validity of legumes were 0.39 and 0.54, respectively.  

Socio-economic status, physical activity
Interviewer-administered questionnaires captured additional information on sociodemographic and lifestyle factors such as physical activity, tobacco and alcohol use. A sub-set of questions (14/29) from the Standard of Living Index (SLI) was selected according to a priori knowledge and what would be most informative for this population, with the same weights applied to each item as those used by the Indian Institute for Population Sciences to derive an SLI score (maximum = 38). This household-level asset-based score has been devised for large-scale Indian surveys and is a strong indicator of an individual’s socio-economic status and the changes that occur with migration. A semi-quantitative physical activity questionnaire (IMS-PAQ) was used to assess daily activity for work, household chores, leisure time, sedentary activities, sleep and other common activities. Participants reported the frequency (daily, 1 time/week, 2–4 times/week, 5–6 times/week, 1 time/month and 2–3 times/month) and duration of each activity over the past month. Physical activity was calculated in metabolic equivalents of task (MET), with details described elsewhere. Validation in a sub-sample of rural and urban participants yielded modest correlations with accelerometry and a 24 h activity diary. Insulin resistance was reflected by the HOMA score, which was calculated from fasting blood glucose and serum insulin levels using the equation: \( \text{HOMA} = \frac{\text{fasting glucose (mol/l) \times plasma insulin (mU/l)}}{22.5} \), based on the original formula, which has been validated through biochemical indicators in healthy Indians with moderate correlations.

Outcomes
Anthropometric measurements have been described in detail elsewhere; weight obtained using a digital scale (model PS16) and standing height using a Leicester height measure (Chasmors, Ltd) were used to determine BMI (kg/m²). A diagnosis of diabetes was made according to the WHO criterion of fasting plasma glucose >7.0 mmol/l or a report of doctor-diagnosed disease. Fasting blood samples were collected and the time of the last meal was recorded. Glucose was measured on the same day as blood collection in local laboratories with the GOD-PAP method using RANDOX kits. Insulin was measured using kits from MERCODIA (Uppsala, Sweden) using the solid-phase two-site enzyme immunoassay method based on the direct sandwich technique. The kit had traceability to the 1st International Reference Preparation 66/304 and the laboratory participated in the UK NEQAS (UK National External Quality Assessment Service) scheme for insulin. The remainder of the blood samples were stored locally at −20°C and transported to the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi on a monthly basis for storage at −70°C. Local assay quality was checked using regular external standards and internal duplicate assays, and monitored by the Cardiac Biochemistry Laboratory of the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, which is part of the UK NEQAS (http://www.ukneqas.org.uk/) scheme for quality assurance.

Statistical analysis
We conducted multilevel models to account for the correlated data structure arising from selection of urban- and rural-dwelling sibling pairs, described in detail previously. Briefly, data cannot be treated as independent; the between-pair variation is explicitly modelled, a random shift in intercept is applied to both siblings in a pair, and pair-specific random effects allow for a comparison of between-pair within-pair variation. The urban–rural sibling pair and factory site variables were explicitly included in the model to adjust for within-pair and within-factory correlations. Logistic random-effects models were used for analyses on diabetes, while linear random-effects models were used for fasting glucose and HOMA score, and the Wald test was used for determining levels of significance. The daily amount of legumes was calculated in quartiles for the main analyses and as a continuous, log-transformed variable for subgroup analyses, to normalize skewed distributions and to enhance statistical power in site- and group-stratified analyses with smaller numbers. The proportion of energy from legumes was determined by dividing the daily intake of legumes (grams converted into kcal) by the total energy intake (kcal). For each food item containing legumes, the percentage legume contribution was based on grams of legumes in each dish divided by the total cooked weight per dish (in grams). Multivariate analyses adjusted for important confounders and predictors of these outcomes, including age (continuous, years), socio-economic status (SLI score, range 1–36), occupation (manual labour, yes/no), urban v. rural residence, physical activity (daily MET), BMI (kg/m²), total energy intake (daily kcal), vegetables (daily intake, grams), cereals (daily intake, grams), sugar (daily intake, grams), and fats and oils (daily intake, grams). Continuous dietary variables were log-transformed to adjust for the skewed nature of the intake distribution. Stratified analyses were conducted to evaluate the effects of legume consumption on fasting glucose, HOMA score and diabetes outcomes according to BMI, gender, site, migration status, macronutrient intakes (carbohydrate, fat and protein) and vegetarian diet. Continuous and categorical variables were compared using ANOVA and the F test for evaluating the difference in means across quartiles of legume consumption. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated for legumes and individual food items as well as macronutrient intakes. All analyses were conducted using the statistical software package STATA 10.
Table 1 Demographic, lifestyle and biological characteristics of the population, Indian Migration Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n 3717)</th>
<th>Women (n 2650)</th>
<th>Total (N 6367)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean, SD or %</td>
<td>Mean, SD or %</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (%)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual labour (%)</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>5542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education (%)</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu religion (%)</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>4834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caste (%)</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>2550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled caste/tribe</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>1312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other backward classes</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>2289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-backward class</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>2764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant status (%) †</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>2432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural-to-urban migrant</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>2059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily physical activity (MET x h/d), mean</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l), mean</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/l), mean</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Includes reverse urban-to-rural migrants (n 16).

Results

Participants comprised rural (29%), migrant (38%) and urban (32%) residents, and represented four geographic regions of India – Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh (28%), Nagpur, Maharashtra (25%), Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh (27%) and Bangalore, Karnataka (20%). The average BMI was high for Indian men (23.0 kg/m²) and women (24.4 kg/m²) compared with national averages of 20.3 kg/m² for men 15–54 years and 20.5 kg/m² for women 15–49 years (41), even though 40% of study participants were involved in manual labour (Table 1). A total of 193 participants were previously diagnosed with type 2 diabetes (3.0%).

The median daily consumption of legumes in this population was 52.1 g, with 21% higher consumption in men (57.3 g) than women (46.1 g). Legume consumption was higher for younger persons, those with a higher standard of living, a secondary education and more physical activity (Table 2). Legume intake was moderately positively correlated with total energy intake, macronutrient intakes and with important components of the diet, such as fats and oils (correlation coefficients = 0.41–0.74), particularly in female migrants (see online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 1).

We evaluated each site according to macronutrient components of the regional diet and found that Nagpur participants primarily used soyabean oil for cooking (66.5%) that corresponded to the highest intake of polyunsaturated fat (34.4 g) compared with the other sites, which used either mustard oil (Lucknow, 81.8%) or sunflower oil (Hyderabad and Bangalore, 63.5% and 72.0%, respectively, Table 3). The site with the lowest legume consumption (Hyderabad, 35.5 g/d) also had the highest consumption of meat products (86%) and the lowest amount of fibre (11.5 g/d; Table 3). We evaluated site-specific associations between daily legume consumption (in grams) and fasting glucose, because the constitution and preparation of a dish can vary considerably by geographic site with respect to the quantity of legumes and other ingredients added, method of cooking and type of cooking oil. We found an inverse association between fasting glucose and legume consumption (quartiles) for the site of Nagpur (P-for-trend = 0.026), a marginally significant association in Lucknow (P-for-trend = 0.05) and no evidence of association (P > 0.05) in the other two sites, Hyderabad and Bangalore (Table 3).

When we evaluated all sites together, we found no association between quartiles of legume consumption and fasting glucose (β (mmol/l): β (Q2) = 0.62; 95% CI −0.70, 1.94; β (Q3) = 0.49; 95% CI −0.99, 1.98; β (Q4) = −0.10; 95% CI −1.81, 1.61; Table 4). There was also no association between legume consumption and insulin resistance, in terms of HOMA score (β (Q2) = −0.10; 95% CI −0.24, 0.03; β (Q3) = −0.05; 95% CI −0.21, 0.10; β (Q4) = −0.06; 95% CI −0.24, 0.11). Null associations were also observed for type 2 diabetes and legumes (relative risk (RR) (Q2) = 1.69; 95% CI 0.86, 3.30, RR (Q3) = 1.52; 95% CI 0.75, 3.09; RR (Q4) = 1.68; 95% CI 0.76, 3.72; Table 4). In evaluating whether the association between legume consumption and fasting glucose varied...
by macronutrient intake, we found significant inverse associations for those in the highest tertiles of carbohydrate, protein, fat and sugar intakes, and for those in the lowest tertile of BMI (Fig. 1). The association of legumes and fasting glucose did not change after evaluating subgroups according to gender, vegetarian diet (yes/no) and migration status (rural, urban, migrant; Fig. 1).

Discussion

Overall, we found no association between legume consumption and fasting glucose, HOMA score or diabetes in populations representing four geographic regions and diets of India. An inverse association emerged between legume intake and fasting glucose for those with the highest daily intakes of carbohydrate, protein, fat and sugar, for the
Table 4 Multivariate associations† between legume consumption‡ and fasting glucose, insulin resistance (homeostasis model assessment) and type 2 diabetes, Indian Migration Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Fasting glucose</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Insulin resistance (HOMA score)</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Type 2 diabetes</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unadjusted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>−0.63, 1.89</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.23, 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>−0.69, 1.98</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.18, 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>−1.75, 1.04</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.29, 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age-adjusted only</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>−0.59, 1.91</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.23, 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>−0.58, 2.07</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.17, 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−1.51, 1.26</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.29, 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multivariate analysis†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>−0.70, 1.94</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.24, 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>−0.99, 1.98</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.21, 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−1.81, 1.61</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.24, 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; RR, risk ratio; Ref., reference category.
†Random-effects linear (fasting glucose and insulin resistance) and logistic (type 2 diabetes) regression models adjusting for within-pair correlation, site and age. Standard of Living Index, BMI, vegetables (grams), cereals (grams) and total intake (kcal), total physical activity (MET x h/d, where MET = metabolic equivalent of task), living in rural area (yes/no) and manual labour (yes/no).
‡Legume consumption quartiles (Q): Q1, 0–32.95 g/d; Q2, 32.96–51.83 g/d; Q3, 51.84–77.31 g/d; Q4, 77.32–547.7 g/d.
§P value of test for linear trend.

Fig. 1 Association† between legumes and fasting glucose according to BMI, macronutrients (T, tertile), gender (M, male; F, female), vegetarian status (Y, yes; N, no) and migration status (R, rural, M, migration; U, urban), Indian Migration Study. *P < 0.05. †Multivariate linear regression coefficients, and their 95% CI represented by vertical bars, adjusted for age, Standard of Living Index, BMI (kg/m²), daily energy intake (kcal), daily fat intake (grams), daily vegetable intake (grams), daily sugar intake (grams), daily cereal intake (grams), total physical activity (MET x h/d, where MET = metabolic equivalent of task) and living in rural area (yes/no)

lowest BMI tertile, and for the site of Nagpur (which has the highest carbohydrate, protein, fat and fibre intakes of the four sites). The association did not vary by gender, vegetarian diet, migration status or history of self-reported diabetes, although the range of intake varied more than fourfold across the study population, with differences by geographic region. The conclusions remained the same if potential intermediates in the pathway, such as BMI, physical activity and total energy, were removed from regression models.

It has been suggested that diets high in legumes are beneficial for the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes, as well as for lowering serum glucose and cholesterol(51,52), because they are wholegrain foods with high insoluble fibre and low glycaemic index(53,54). Evaluations of dietary patterns have identified legumes as an important component of the ‘prudent’ diet(55) the Alternative Healthy Eating Index (AHEI)(56) and the Mediterranean diet(57), which have been associated with a lower risk of diabetes in some(54,56,57) but not all(53) large cohort studies.
The epidemiological data focusing on legumes specifically showed inverse associations between legumes and diabetes in some (22–26,30) but not all (27–29,31) studies, including one population-based study in India reporting an inverse association between legume intake and self-reported diabetes (30). The authors were restricted to National Family Health Survey-3 questions on frequency intake without quantitative measures, were unable to control for total energy intake (which is correlated with legume intake) or other confounders, such as physical activity and assessed self-reported diabetes, which may be subject to temporal ambiguity of diabetic patients increasing legume intake as a result of their diagnosis. In studies conducted in Asian countries, the inverse associations are primarily due to soya intake (24,26,58). One reason for the lack of an association in our study may be that soya is not commonly consumed in Indian diets. Soyabean oil was, however, the primary cooking oil at one site, Nagpur, for which an inverse association emerged for legumes and fasting glucose. Soyabean oil consists primarily of PUFA and studies have shown that PUFA intake is associated with a reduced risk of diabetes and glycaemic control (59–65).

Legume consumption is nearly ubiquitous in Indian diets – 99% of participants reported consuming legume dishes – and the lack of a comparison group with no legume intake may be another reason for the lack of an association in our study. The average daily intake of the reference group (Q1) in our study population was 23.5 g/d, which corresponds to high levels in other populations (22,24); only two participants consumed 3 g/d, which was classified as ‘high’ consumption associated with lower glucose intolerance rates in one previous study (22). Only one published study on legumes showed intake greater than the current study population in the reference group (29 g/d in the lowest quintile), in which the authors also reported no association between legumes (soya) intake and type 2 diabetes in Japanese women (25). This may partly explain why we observed inverse associations in only the highest tertiles of carbohydrate, protein, fat and sugar consumption, where these participants’ legume consumption was significantly higher (P < 0.0001; data not shown) than in the lowest tertiles; we also found higher mean legume intake in persons of lower BMI compared with those of higher BMI (P = 0.02; data not shown). The relatively high levels of intake in our study population is due to the wide range of preparations for legume dishes in these four regions, including dals, vegetables, cereals, sweets, salads, chutneys and fried snacks. When we evaluated the association between legumes and fasting glucose according to the type of legume dish, the conclusions remained the same (P > 0.05; data not shown). Of 184 food items on the FFQ, fifty-five contained legumes, ranging from 2 to 77% weight contribution, varying according to the preparation method and geographic location. We also evaluated whether fasting glucose levels might vary according to the percentage legume contribution per dish and the conclusions remained the same (data not shown).

Legumes are an important part of the prudent, Mediterranean, AHEI and Indomediterranean diets (53–55,66) which have shown benefits on cardiovascular risk factors and outcomes. Legumes’ proposed benefits on diabetes risk may be mediated through multiple pathways, including the insoluble fibre and bioactive phytochemicals in the seeds’ skin (67), anti-inflammatory effects (68,69), increase of gastric inhibitory polypeptide, and changes of the gut microbiota to increase insulin sensitivity (70–72), the common processing of legumes also increases bioavailability of proteins and minerals by destroying anti-nutritional factors such as trypsin inhibitors, phytic acid and tannins (73,74). A systematic review of randomized trials of non-oil seed pulses (i.e. legumes) on glycaemic control found that pulses alone significantly lowered fasting blood glucose (SMD = −0.81; 95% CI −1.36, −0.27) and insulin (SMD = −0.49; 95% CI −0.93, 0.04) (10). However, the average sample size of these trials was small (n = 25), the mean duration was short (6–7 weeks), only four of the eleven trials were considered to be of high quality (Heyland methodological quality score ≥8) and the mean dosage in the intervention group was 50% higher than the highest quintile from our study population (mean dosage of pooled 11 trials = 152.1 g/d compared with 100.7 g/d in IMS) (10). In all but one trial (75), the investigators designed extreme comparisons between entirely legume-based diets v. wheat-, bran- or vegetable-based diets, with zero legume intake in the control groups. Of forty-one total trials in the review, only one was conducted in India, which found benefits associated with the legume-enriched diet, but the diet was also fat-modified and supplemented with fruits, vegetables and moderate physical activity based on WHO recommendations (76). A recent trial conducted after the review in India showed that adding legumes 50 g/d for 5 d did not lower glycaemic and insulin response among overweight men and women above and beyond brown rice when compared with white rice diets (51).

The present study is the first large-scale epidemiological study to evaluate legume consumption and biomarkers of glycaemic control, insulin resistance and diabetes in Indian diets representing rural and urban populations, and four different regions of the country. We used a validated 184-item semi-quantitative FFQ to capture the wide range of dishes consumed across four different regions of India and obtained fasting blood samples for biochemical marker measurement (77). One limitation is that the FFQ may overestimate intakes of certain nutrients and not capture seasonal variation of fruit and vegetable intake; however, these should be sources of consistent measurement error across all members of the study population, therefore biasing our associations towards the null. There was limited power to detect an association with diabetes, given the low number of cases in this sample (3%); these
estimates tended towards positive associations and may reflect reverse causality due to diet-related changes from the diagnosis and management of diabetes. This may be a potential source of bias as evidenced from a sub-analysis excluding persons with diabetes, where we found a non-significant inverse trend ($P=0.12$) between legume consumption and fasting glucose ($Q_1$ (reference); $Q_2$: $\beta$ ($\text{mmol/l}) = -0.13$; 95% CI $-0.93, 0.66$; $Q_3$: $\beta$ ($\text{mmol/l}) = -0.21$; 95% CI $-1.11, 0.69$; $Q_4$: $\beta$ ($\text{mmol/l}) = -0.82$; 95% CI $-1.87, 0.22$). Another consideration is the correlated data between urban-rural sibling pairs recruited for the study, with respect to risk factors and outcomes that are highly heritable. We used a random-effects model to adjust for the within-pair sibling variation; moreover, outcomes such as fasting glucose, HOMA score and diabetes in our study population are more strongly associated with environmental factors, such as migration status, and its associated diets and physical activity patterns. And a stratified evaluation of the migration groups – rural, urban and rural-to-urban migrants, which broke the correlated data structure – yielded the same findings. The potential benefit of legumes may be masked by the counteracting harmful effects of other added ingredients (e.g. high sugar levels in legume sweets), the method of preparation (e.g. deep-fried legume snacks) and the varying contribution of legumes to legume dishes (e.g. 2–77 wt%). Clinical trials are needed to evaluate the metabolic and biochemical effects of the various legume preparations in India (e.g. raw salads, steamed idlis, chutneys, fried dals and vegetables) to expand our understanding of the potential benefits and harms of these preparations, and to strengthen evidence-based guidelines on consuming more legumes for glycaemic control.

**Conclusions**

In a population with a relatively high-legume diet, we did not observe an association between legume consumption and markers of glycaemic control, insulin resistance or diabetes in a multicentre study of urban and rural diets from four geographic regions of India. It is worth noting that we observed inverse trends in the subgroups with highest levels of macronutrient intakes and lowest BMI. The nearly ubiquitous daily consumption of legume-based dishes contributed to high intakes in the reference group, which may have obscured our ability to detect an association and underlies the complexity and heterogeneity of Indian diets. Legume foods are an important component contributing to prudent, AHEI, Mediterranean and Indo-Mediterranean dietary patterns, and legume-derived edible oils should also be considered in the evaluation of legume intake.
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