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The global distribution and burden of dengue
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Abstract

Dengue is a systemic viral infection transmitted between humans by Aedes mosquitoes\(^1\). For some patients dengue is a life-threatening illness\(^2\). There are currently no licensed vaccines or specific therapeutics, and substantial vector control efforts have not stopped its rapid emergence and global spread\(^3\). The contemporary worldwide distribution of the risk of dengue virus infection\(^4\) and its public health burden are poorly known\(^2,5\). Here we undertake an exhaustive assembly of known records of dengue occurrence worldwide, and use a formal modelling framework to map the global distribution of dengue risk. We then pair the resulting risk map with detailed longitudinal information from dengue cohort studies and population surfaces to infer the public health burden of dengue in 2010. We predict dengue to be ubiquitous throughout the tropics, with local spatial variations in risk influenced strongly by rainfall, temperature and the degree of urbanisation.

Using cartographic approaches, we estimate there to be 390 million (95 percent credible interval 284-528) dengue infections per year, of which 96 million (67-136) manifest apparently (any level of clinical or sub-clinical severity). This infection total is more than three times the dengue burden estimate of the World Health Organization\(^2\). Stratification of our estimates by country allows comparison with national dengue reporting, after taking into account the probability of an apparent infection being formally reported. The most notable differences are discussed. These new risk maps and infection estimates provide novel insights into the global, regional and national public health burden imposed by dengue. We anticipate that they will provide a starting point for a wider discussion about the global impact of this disease and will help guide improvements in disease control strategies using vaccine, drug and vector control methods and in their economic evaluation.\(^{[285]}\)
Attributable to dengue across much of the tropical and sub-tropical world remains poorly enumerated.

Knowledge of the geographical distribution and burden of dengue is essential for understanding its contribution to global morbidity and mortality burdens, in determining how to allocate optimally the limited resources available for dengue control and in evaluating the impact of such activities internationally. Additionally, estimates of both apparent and inapparent infection distributions form a key requirement for assessing clinical surveillance and for scoping reliably future vaccine demand and delivery strategies. Previous maps of dengue risk have used various approaches combining historical occurrence records and expert opinion to demarcate areas at endemic risk\textsuperscript{10-12}. More sophisticated risk mapping techniques have also been implemented\textsuperscript{13,14}, but the empirical evidence-base has since been improved, alongside advances in disease modelling approaches. Furthermore, no studies have used a continuous global risk map as the foundation for dengue burden estimation.

The first global estimates of total dengue virus infections were based on an assumed constant annual infection rate amongst a crude approximation of the population at risk (10\% in 1 billion\textsuperscript{5} or 4\% in 2 billion\textsuperscript{15}), yielding figures of 80-100 million infections per year worldwide in 1988\textsuperscript{5,15}. As more information was collated on the ratio of dengue haemorrhagic fever to dengue fever cases and the ratio of deaths to dengue haemorrhagic fever cases, the global figure was revised to 50-100 million infections\textsuperscript{16,17}, although larger estimates of 100-200 million have also been made\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1). These estimates were intended solely as approximations but, in the absence of better evidence, the resulting figure of 50-100 million infections per year is widely cited and currently used by the World Health Organization (WHO). As the methods employed were informal, these estimates were presented without confidence intervals, and no attempt was made to assess geographical or temporal variation in incidence or the inapparent infection reservoir.

Here we present the outcome of a new project to derive an evidence-based map of dengue risk and estimates of apparent and inapparent infections worldwide based on the global population in 2010. We compiled a database of 8,309 geo-located records of dengue occurrence from a systematic search, resulting from 2,838 published literature sources as well as newer online resources\textsuperscript{18} (see Supplementary Information A; the full bibliography and occurrence data are available from authors on request). Using these occurrence records we: chose a set of gridded environmental and socioeconomic covariates known, or hypothesised, to affect dengue transmission (see Supplementary Information B); incorporated recent work assessing the strength of evidence on national and sub-national level dengue present/absent status\textsuperscript{4} (Figure 2A); and built a boosted regression tree (BRT) statistical model of dengue risk that addressed the limitations of previous risk maps (see Supplementary Information C) to define the probability of occurrence of dengue infection (dengue risk) within each 5km × 5km pixel globally (Figure 2B). The model was run 336 times to reflect parameter uncertainty and an ensemble mean map was created (see Supplementary Information C). We then combined this ensemble map with detailed longitudinal information on dengue infection incidence from cohort studies and built a non-parametric Bayesian hierarchical model to describe the relationship between dengue risk and
incidence (see Supplementary Information D). Finally, we used the estimated relationship to predict the number of apparent and inapparent dengue infections in 2010 (see Supplementary Information E). Our definition of an apparent infection is consistent with that used by the cohort studies: an infection with sufficient severity to modify a person’s regular schedule, such as attending school. This definition encompasses any level of severity of the disease, including both clinical and sub-clinical manifestations.

We predict that dengue transmission is ubiquitous throughout the tropics with the highest risk zones in the Americas and Asia (Figure 2B). Validation statistics indicated high predictive performance of the BRT ensemble mean map with area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUC) of 0.81 (±0.02 SD, n = 336) (see Supplementary Information C). Predicted risk in Africa, though more unevenly distributed than in other tropical endemic regions, is much more widespread than suggested previously. Africa has the poorest record of occurrence data and, as such, increased information from this continent would help to better define the spatial distribution of dengue within it and to improve derivative burden estimates. We found high levels of precipitation and temperature suitability for dengue transmission to be most strongly associated among the variables considered with elevated dengue risk, although low precipitation was not found to strongly limit transmission (see Supplementary Information C). Proximity to low-income urban and peri-urban centres was also linked to greater risk, particularly in highly connected areas, suggesting that human movement between population centres is an important facilitator of dengue spread. These associations have previously been cited, but have not been demonstrated at the global scale and highlight the importance of including socioeconomic covariates when assessing dengue risk.

We estimate that there were 96 million apparent dengue infections globally in 2010 (Table 1). Asia bore 70% (67 [47-94] million infections) of this burden, and is characterised by large swathes of densely populated regions coinciding with very high suitability for disease transmission. India alone contributed 34% (33 [24-44] million infections) of the global total. The disproportionate infection burden borne by Asian countries is emphasized in the cartogram shown in Figure 2C. The Americas contributed 14% (13 [9-18] million infections) of apparent infections worldwide, of which over half occurred in Brazil and Mexico. Our results indicate that Africa’s dengue burden is nearly equivalent to that of the Americas (16 [11-22] million infections, 16% of the global total), representing a significantly larger burden than previously estimated. This disparity supports the notion of a largely hidden African dengue burden, being masked by symptomatically similar illnesses, under-reporting and highly variable treatment-seeking behaviour. The countries of Oceania contributed less than 0.2% of global apparent infections.

We estimate that an additional 294 (217–392) million inapparent infections occurred worldwide in 2010. These mild ambulatory or asymptomatic infections are not detected by the public health surveillance system and have no immediate implications for clinical management. However, the presence of this huge potential reservoir of infection has profound implications for: (i) correctly enumerating economic impact (for example, how many vaccinations are needed to avert an apparent infection) and triangulating with independent assessments of disability adjusted life years (DALYs); (ii) elucidating the
population dynamics of dengue viruses; and (iii) hypothesising about population effects of future vaccine programmes (volume, targeting efficacy, impacts in combination with vector control), which will need to be administered to maximise cross-protection and minimize post-vaccination susceptibility.

The absolute uncertainties in the national burden estimates are inevitably a function of population size, with the greatest uncertainties in India, Indonesia, Brazil and China (see full rankings in Supplementary E table T4). In addition, comparing the ratio of the mean to the width of the confidence interval revealed the greatest contributors to relative uncertainty (see full rankings in Supplementary E table T4). These were countries with sparse occurrence points and low evidence consensus on dengue presence, such as Afghanistan or Rwanda (see Figure 2A), or those with ubiquitous high risk, such as Singapore or Djibouti, for which our burden prediction confidence interval is at its widest (see Figure SD2 in Supplementary D). Therefore, increasing evidence consensus and occurrence data availability in low consensus countries and assembling new cohort studies, particularly in areas of high transmission, will reduce uncertainty in future burden estimates. Our approach, uniquely, provides new evidence to help maximize the value and cost-effectiveness of surveillance efforts, by indicating where limited resources can be targeted to have their maximum possible impact in improving our knowledge of the global burden and distribution of dengue.

Our estimates of total infection burden (apparent and inapparent) are more than three times higher than the WHO predicted figure (Supplementary Information E). Our definition of an apparent infection is broad, encompassing any disruption to the daily routine of the infected individual, and consequently is an inclusive measurement of the total population affected adversely by the disease. Within this broad class, the severity of symptoms will affect treatment-seeking behaviours and the probability of a correct diagnosis in response to a given infection. Our definition is therefore more comprehensive than those of traditional surveillance systems, which, even in the most efficient system, report a much narrower range of dengue infections. By reviewing our database of longitudinal cohort studies, in which total infections in the community were documented exhaustively, we find that the biggest source of disparity between actual and reported infection numbers is the low proportion of individuals with apparent infections seeking care from formal health facilities (see Figure SE5 and Supplementary Information E for full analysis). Additional biases are introduced by misdiagnosis and the systematic failure of health management information systems to capture and report presenting dengue cases. By extracting the average magnitude of each of these sequential disparities from published cohort and clinical studies, we can recreate a hypothetical reporting chain with idealised reporting and arrive at estimates that are broadly comparable to those countries reported to the WHO. This is most clear in more reliable reporting regions such as the Americas. Systemic underreporting and low hospitalisation rates have important implications, for example, in the evaluation of vaccine efficacy based on reduced hospitalised caseloads. Inferences about these biases may be made from the comparison of estimated versus reported infection burdens in 2010, highlighting areas where particularly poor reporting might be strengthened (see Supplementary Information E).
We have strived to be exhaustive in the assembly of contemporary data on dengue occurrence and clinical incidence and have applied new modelling approaches to maximise the predictive power of these data. It remains the case, however, that the empirical evidence base for global dengue risk is more limited than that available, for example, for Plasmodium falciparum and P. vivax malaria. Records of disease occurrence carry less information than those of prevalence and, as databases of the latter become more widespread, future approaches should focus on assessing relationships between seroprevalence and clinical incidence as a means of assessing risk. Additional cartographic refinements are also required to help differentiate endemic- from epidemic-prone areas, to determine the geographic diversity of dengue virus types and to predict the distributions of future risk under scenarios of socioeconomic and environmental change.

The global burden of dengue is formidable and represents a growing challenge to public health officials and policymakers. Success in tackling this growing global threat is, in part, contingent on strengthening the evidence base on which control planning decisions and their impact are evaluated. It is hoped that this evaluation of contemporary dengue risk distribution and burden will help to advance that goal.

**Methods**

**Assembly of the occurrence database and its quality control**

Occurrence data comprised of point or polygon locations of confirmed dengue infection presence derived from both peer-reviewed literature and HealthMap alerts (see Supplementary Information A). An occurrence was defined as one or more laboratory or clinically confirmed infection(s) of dengue occurring at a unique location (a 5km × 5km pixel) within one calendar year. All occurrence data underwent manual review and automatic quality control to ensure information fidelity and precise geo-positioning. In total, 9,648 and 1,622 occurrence locations were obtained from literature searches and HealthMap respectively. After the quality control procedures, our final dataset contained 8,309 occurrence locations (5,216 point locations and 3,093 small polygon centroids) spanning a period from 1960 to 2012. We assume any record of dengue occurrence, regardless of its age, represented an environment permissible for the disease, since dengue has expanded from a focal disease in Asia to a cosmopolitan disease of the tropics.

**Explanatory covariates**

We assembled gridded global data for a suite of eight explanatory covariates. The covariates were chosen based on factors known or hypothesised to contribute to suitability for dengue transmission (see Supplementary Information B). These covariates included: (i) annual maximum and minimum precipitation variables from a Fourier processed syntopic annual series interpolated from global meteorological stations; (ii) a biological model combining the effects of temperature on the extrinsic incubation period of dengue virus and life-span of the Aedes aegypti vector to quantify the dengue-specific temperature suitability for transmission; (iii) Fourier-processed annual average normalised difference vegetation index; (iv) categorical demarcations of urban and peri-urban areas; (v) an urban accessibility metric defining the travel time to nearest city of 50,000 people or more by land-
 Predicting the probability of occurrence (risk) of dengue transmission  

We used a boosted regression tree (BRT) approach to establish a multivariate empirical relationship between the probability of occurrence of a dengue virus infection and the environmental conditions sampled at each site from the covariate suite. The BRT method has been shown to fit complicated response functions efficiently, while guarding against overfitting, and is therefore widely used for vector and disease distribution mapping.\(^{40,41}\) The BRT approach combines regression trees\(^{42}\) with gradient boosting\(^{43}\), whereby an initial regression tree is fitted and iteratively improved upon in a forward stagewise manner (boosting) by minimising the variation in the response not explained by the model at each iteration (see Supplementary Information C).

Like other niche mapping approaches, the BRT models require not only presence data but also absence data defining areas of disease absence and potentially unsuitable environmental conditions at unsampled locations. Since data on absence of disease are not-definitive, pseudo-absence data estimate areas of disease absence instead. No consensus approach has been developed to optimise the generation of pseudo-absence data and we therefore created an evidence-based probabilistic framework for generating pseudo-absences, incorporating the main biasing factors in pseudo-absence generation, namely: (i) geographical extent; (ii) number; (iii) contamination bias; and (iv) sampling bias. To represent areas of absence, \(n_a\) pseudo-absence points\(^{29,44,45}\) were randomly generated based on dengue presence or absence certainty measures at a national or subnational level\(^4\). Pseudo-absence locations were restricted to a maximum distance \(\mu\) from any recorded presence site\(^{46,47}\). Additionally, to compensate for “contamination” of true but unobserved presences within the generated pseudo-absences\(^{48}\), \(n_p\) pseudo-presence points were generated using the same procedure used to generate the pseudo-absences. Variation in the parameter set \(\pi = \{\mu, \mu_a, \mu_p\}\) resulted in independent samples of the possible states of the real distribution, with all parameter combinations representing a null distribution of possible states. Therefore, rather than using an individual parameter combination from \(\pi\), we created an ensemble\(^{49}\) of 336 BRT models spanning reasonable ranges in \(\pi\) and evaluated the central tendency as the mean across all 336 BRT models (see Supplementary Information C). The final ensemble BRT model was used to predict a global map of the probability of occurrence of dengue virus infection at a 5km \(\times\) 5km resolution.

Estimation of dengue burden and populations at risk  

Formal literature searches were conducted for serological dengue virus incidence surveys. Inclusion criteria were restricted to longitudinal surveys of seroconversion to dengue virus-specific antibodies carried out in parallel with active symptom surveillance in a defined
cohort. The surveys were abstracted, standardised and geopositioned (see Supplementary Information D). In total, 54 dengue incidence surveys were collected. Of these, 39 contained information about the ratio of inapparent to apparent infections.

The empirical relationship between incidence and the probability of occurrence was represented using a Bayesian hierarchical model. We defined a negative binomial likelihood function\(^5\) with constant dispersion and a rate characterised by a highly flexible data-driven Gaussian process prior\(^5\). The Gaussian process prior was parameterised with a quadratic mean function and a squared exponential covariance function\(^5\). Uninformative hyperpriors were assigned hierarchically to the prior parameters and the full posterior distribution determined by Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling\(^5\). The entire model was fitted separately for apparent and inapparent infection incidences, with missing inapparent to apparent ratio values imputed in the MCMC. Using human population gridded data for the year 2010\(^5\), estimates of apparent and inapparent dengue infections were calculated nationally, regionally and globally. These estimates were then compared to national clinical cases reported to the WHO and differences between our cartographic estimates and the WHO surveillance estimates were reconciled in a comparative analysis addressing key factors in traditional surveillance underreporting (see Supplementary Information E). [973].

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Global estimates of total dengue infections
Comparison of previous estimates of total global dengue infections in individuals of all ages, 1985 to 2010: ▲ Halstead et al. 1988⁵, ▲ Monath et al. 1994¹⁵, ▲ Rodhain et al. 1996¹⁷, ▲ Rigau-Perez et al. 1998¹⁶, ▲ TDR/WHO. scientific working group 2006³⁰, ▲ Beatty et al. 2009¹⁰, ▲ apparent infections from this study. Estimates are aligned to the year of estimate and, if not stated, aligned to the publication date. Red shading marks the credible interval of our current estimate, for comparison. Error bars from ref. 10 and ref. 16 replicated the confidence intervals provided in these publications.
Figure 2. Global evidence consensus, risk and burden of dengue in 2010

a, shows National and subnational evidence consensus on complete absence (green) through to complete presence (red) of dengue\textsuperscript{4}. b, shows the probability of dengue occurrence at 5km × 5km spatial resolution of the mean predicted map (area under the receiver operator curve of 0.81 (±0.02 SD, n = 336)) from 336 boosted regression tree models. Areas with a high probability of dengue occurrence are shown in red and areas with a low probability in green. c, shows a cartogram of the annual number of infections for all ages as a proportion of national or sub-national (China) geographical area.
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Table 1

Estimated burden of dengue in 2010, by continent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apparent</th>
<th>Inapparent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Millions (credible interval)</td>
<td>Millions (credible interval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>15.7 (10.5 - 22.5)</td>
<td>48.4 (34.3 - 65.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>66.8 (47.0 – 94.4)</td>
<td>204.4 (151.8 – 273.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>13.3 (9.5 - 18.5)</td>
<td>40.5 (30.5 - 53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>0.18 (0.11 - 0.28)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.35 - 0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>96 (67.1 - 135.6)</td>
<td>293.9 (217.0 – 392.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>