



## LETTERS

## GOVERNMENT CHANGES AND PUBLIC HEALTH

## Authors' reply to Wight

Delan Devakumar *clinical lecturer in public health*<sup>1</sup>, Kate L Mandeville *specialty registrar in public health*<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer Hall *specialty registrar in public health*<sup>1</sup>, Shailen Sutaria *specialty registrar in public health*<sup>3</sup>, Ingrid Wolfe *clinical senior lecturer public health*<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University College London, London WC1E 6JB, UK; <sup>2</sup>London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Queen Mary University of London, London, UK; <sup>4</sup>King's College London, London, UK

We welcome the important points made by Wight and other authors in response to our editorial.<sup>1 2</sup> Reductions in public health budgets, disempowerment of directors of public health, and reported suspension of mandated services all underline the seriousness of the situation facing public health in England and other parts of the UK.<sup>2 3</sup>

In 2002, the Wanless report cautioned that securing good health for the whole population would require effective public health policy and widespread engagement with preventive measures.<sup>4</sup> Without a skilled workforce, embedded in the heart of local decision making and equipped with a budget to tackle determinants of poor health, public health in England will be the hobbled version of this vision: valuable expertise sidelined rather than harnessed to tackle the disease burden of an ageing population.

A recent review of public health in Scotland recommended strengthening the public health function, including the director of public health role, to meet growing health challenges such

as obesity and poor mental health.<sup>3</sup> We hope that the current House of Commons Health Committee inquiry into public health in England will reach similar conclusions.<sup>5</sup>

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Wight JP. Huge potential from putting public health into local government is being lost in the implementation. *BMJ* 2016;353:i2588.
- 2 Devakumar D, Mandeville KL, Hall J, Sutaria S, Wolfe I. Government changes are jeopardising public health. *BMJ* 2016;352:i1662. doi:10.1136/bmj.i1662 pmid:27026052.
- 3 Scottish Government. *Review of public health in Scotland: strengthening the function and re-focusing action for a healthier Scotland*. 2016. <http://www.gov.scot/Resource/0049/00493925.pdf>.
- 4 Wanless D. *Securing our future health: taking a long-term view*. 2002. <http://si.easp.es/derechosciudadania/wp-content/uploads/2009/10/4.Informe-Wanless.pdf>.
- 5 House of Commons Health Committee. Public health post-2013—structures, organisation, funding and delivery inquiry. <http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/health-committee/inquiries/parliament-2015/public-health-post-2013-inquiry-15-16/>

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>