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Effect of a mobile phone-based intervention on post-abortion contraception: a randomized controlled trial in Cambodia

Chris Smith, a Thoai D Ngo, b Judy Gold, c Phil Edwards, d Uk Vannak, a Ly Sokhey, e Kazuyo Machiyama, f Emma Slaymaker, g Ruby Warnock, h Ona McCarthy i & Caroline Free j

Objective To assess the effect of a mobile phone-based intervention (mHealth) on post-abortion contraception use by women in Cambodia. Methods The Mobile Technology for Improved Family Planning (MOTIF) study involved women who sought safe abortion services at four Marie Stopes International clinics in Cambodia. We randomly allocated 249 women to a mobile phone-based intervention, which comprised six automated, interactive voice messages with counsellor phone support, as required, whereas 251 women were allocated to a control group receiving standard care. The primary outcome was the self-reported use of an effective contraceptive method, 4 and 12 months after an abortion.

Findings Data on effective contraceptive use were available for 431 (86%) participants at 4 months and 328 (66%) at 12 months. Significantly more women in the intervention than the control group reported effective contraception use at 4 months (64% versus 46%, respectively; relative risk, RR: 1.39; 95% confidence interval, CI: 1.17–1.66) but not at 12 months (50% versus 43%, respectively; RR: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.92–1.47). However, significantly more women in the intervention group reported using a long-acting contraceptive method at both follow-up times. There was no significant difference between the groups in repeat pregnancies or abortions at 4 or 12 months.

Conclusion Adding a mobile phone-based intervention to abortion care services in Cambodia had a short-term effect on the overall use of any effective contraception, while the use of long-acting contraceptive methods lasted throughout the study period.

Introduction

Unmet need for contraception can result in unintended pregnancy and avoid maternal and infant deaths.1 It has been estimated that, if the need for modern contraception methods were met, 52 million unintended pregnancies, 24 million abortions (over half of which would be unsafe) and 70 000 maternal deaths would be prevented among women in low-income countries each year. Nevertheless, 225 million women in these countries had an unmet need for contraception in 2014.7

Women who seek an abortion are likely to have an unmet need for contraception and the time after an abortion provides a key opportunity to offer family planning services.7 Typically, women are counselled on family planning before discharge from clinical care after seeking abortion services.1 However, quality of service provision varies and evidence on the ability of enhanced counselling interventions to improve post-abortion family planning is inconclusive.18–20

In Cambodia, despite the total fertility rate declining from 3.4 births per woman in 2005 to 3.0 births per woman in 2010, there remains an unmet need for contraception: in 2010, 81% of women of reproductive age reported wanting to delay their next child or to have no more children but only 35% reported currently using a modern contraceptive method.2 The abortion rate in the country was estimated to be 50 per 1000 women, compared to a global average of 28 per 1000,3 and 26% of women who sought abortion services had more than one abortion.7

Interventions delivered by mobile phone could help increase the uptake and continuation of post-abortion family planning in countries like Cambodia where over 90% of the 2066 women surveyed report owning a mobile phone.8 Health interventions delivered by mobile phone can utilize different approaches (e.g. text messages, voice messages or smartphone applications) depending on the literacy of the population and the devices available.9 Compared with face-to-face interventions, mobile phone-based interventions have the advantage that they can provide interactive, personalized support inexpensively wherever the person is located and whenever needed. Our research suggested that women in Cambodia often found it difficult to make decisions about contraception at the time of seeking abortion services; they needed more time, to wait for their health to improve or to speak with family or friends.11 Hence, in this setting, where 80% of the population live in a rural area and geographical distances can restrict access to services, mobile phone-based interventions may provide an effective method for maintaining communication with clients after they leave the clinic.7,12 Interventions delivered by mobile phone have been shown to be effective in other health areas, such as smoking cessation and adherence to treatment for human immunodeficiency virus infection.13,14 However, the evidence from three small trials in which a mobile phone-based intervention was used to increase contraceptive use has been inconclusive.15–17 The objective of our study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a mobile phone-based intervention designed to support post-abortion contraception in Cambodia. The specific aims were to increase the uptake of effective contraceptive methods and to reduce contraceptive discontinuation.
Methods

Our study – the Mobile Technology for Improved Family Planning (MOTIF) study – was a single-blind, randomized trial of a personalized, mobile-based intervention designed to support post-abortion family planning. The protocol was published in 2013. The trial was undertaken at four Marie Stopes International clinics in Cambodia that provided safe abortion services: two served peri-urban populations around Phnom Penh city (i.e. Chbar Ambov and Takmao) and two served provincial towns with a predominantly rural population (i.e. Battambang and Siem Reap). All women older than 17 years who sought an induced abortion were eligible for inclusion if they had a mobile phone primarily for their own use, reported not wanting to become pregnant and were willing to receive automated voice messages about contraception. Research assistants interviewed women after they had received post-abortion family planning counselling at the clinic to assess their eligibility for the study and to collect baseline data. Participants provided consent by written signature or thumbprint. Ethical approval was obtained from ethics committees at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine and Marie Stopes International and the Cambodia Human Research ethics committee. The trial was registered through ClinicalTrials.gov with the identifier NCT01823861.

Research assistants provided a written list of participants, each with a unique identification number, to counsellors delivering the intervention. The project statistician at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, received only the identification number and the urban or rural clinic classification of each participant. The statistician allocated participants to the intervention or control group on a 1:1 basis using Minim (https://www-users.york.ac.uk/~mb555/guide/minim.htm), a computer randomization program that stratified them according to whether their clinic was urban or rural. The identification numbers of participants allocated to the intervention were sent to the counsellors between 1 May and 27 September 2013. Researchers who undertook data collection and analysis were blinded to the treatment allocation.

All participants received existing standard care, which included post-abortion family planning counselling at the clinic in accordance with national guidelines, the offer of a follow-up appointment at the clinic and details of the clinic’s phone number and of a hotline number operated by counsellors at Marie Stopes International Cambodia. Those allocated to the intervention, which lasted 3 months, also received six automated, interactive voice messages and were provided with phone support from a counsellor depending on their responses to the messages (Box 1). Participants who chose to receive oral or injectable contraceptives could opt for additional reminder phone messages appropriate to their method (e.g. when to start a new packet of pills or when to receive a new injection). The sixth and final voice message was similar but also reminded the participant that this was the last message they would receive.

The intervention was delivered by trained counsellors at Marie Stopes International Cambodia. Voice messages were scheduled and sent using the open-source software program Verboice (InSTEDD, Palo Alto, United States of America). The cost of outgoing communications from the provider to the participant was met by Marie Stopes International Cambodia and the cost of calling into the service (i.e. a local call) was incurred by participants.

Box 1. The mobile phone-based intervention

The conceptual framework for the intervention used in the MOBILE Technology for Improved Family Planning (MOTIF) study was based on literature reports on the determinants of contraceptive use and on links between contraceptive use and fertility. The intervention comprised six automated voice messages sent to participants’ mobile phones, at the time of their preference, during the 3 months following an abortion. Participants received the first message within 1 week of using abortion services and every 2 weeks thereafter. The message, recorded in the Khmer language, was as follows:

**Hello, this is a voice message from a Marie Stopes counsellor.** (i) I hope you are doing fine. Contraceptive methods are an effective and safe way to prevent an unplanned pregnancy. I am waiting to provide free and confidential contraceptive support to you. **Press 1** if you would like me to call you back to discuss contraception. **Press 2** if you are comfortable with using contraception and you do not need me to call you back this time. **Press 3** if you would prefer not to receive any more messages.**

Participants who pressed 1 or who did not respond received a phone call from a counsellor. The phone calls were intended to encourage contraceptive use by increasing the client’s capability of using contraception by: (i) providing individualized information on a range of contraceptive methods; (ii) increasing the participant’s opportunity to use contraception, for example, by informing her where she could access specific methods near her residence; and (iii) increasing motivation by reinforcing knowledge of the benefits of contraception. At the participant’s request, the counsellor would also discuss contraception with her husband or partner.

Participants were also able to call the service and ask to speak to a counsellor. Those who chose to receive an oral or injectable contraceptive could opt to receive additional reminder messages appropriate to their method (e.g. when to start a new packet of pills or when to receive a new injection). The sixth and final voice message was similar but also reminded the participant that this was the last message they would receive.

The intervention was delivered by trained counsellors at Marie Stopes International Cambodia. Voice messages were scheduled and sent using the open-source software program Verboice (InSTEDD, Palo Alto, United States of America). The cost of outgoing communications from the provider to the participant was met by Marie Stopes International Cambodia and the cost of calling into the service (i.e. a local call) was incurred by participants.
urban or rural residence, educational level and socioeconomic status – access to a motorized vehicle was used as a proxy measure of socioeconomic status. The 4-month follow-ups were conducted between 13 August 2013 and 31 January 2014 and the 12-month follow-ups, between 24 July and 16 November 2014. An assessment of the validity of the self-reported data collected after 4 months in 50 participants will be reported elsewhere.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis plan was specified before the study was unblinded and was reported in the trial protocol.18 We estimated that 35% of the control group would be using an effective contraception method after 4 months and that a sample size of 500 would be required to detect a 13% increase in contraceptive use with a 90% power at the 5% level of significance.18 Analyses were undertaken on an intention-to-treat basis using Stata version 13.1 (StataCorp. LP, College Station, United States of America). The effect of the intervention was expressed as a relative risk (RR) or hazard ratio (HR); a 95% confidence interval (CI) was used for primary and secondary outcomes and a 99% CI for subgroup analyses. The contraceptive discontinuation rate was assessed using Kaplan–Meier survival analysis techniques: for 4-month follow-up data, discontinuation was assessed in participants who started using an effective contraception method during the first 4 weeks after an abortion and, for 12-month follow-up data, discontinuation was assessed in those who started the method during the 3 months after an abortion. Discontinuation was defined as stopping the method for 1 week or more before the 4-month follow-up or for 1 month or more before the 12-month follow-up. If the participant switched from one effective method to another effective method this was not considered discontinuation.

Results

We excluded 199 potential participants because they did not own a mobile phone. Of the 500 participants, 249 were assigned to the intervention group and 251 to the control group (Fig. 1). The participants’ baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Data on the primary outcome were available for 431 (86%) participants at 4 months and for 328 (66%) at 12 months. Over 75% (133/172) of losses to follow-up by 12 months were due to the participant’s phone being either switched off or not in use, as indicated by an automated message. Less frequently the phone number had been reassigned to another user or the participant had reportedly moved abroad for work. The proportion of women in the intervention group who reported effective contraception...
use was significantly higher than in the control group at 4 months (64% versus 46%, respectively; RR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.17–1.66; Table 2) but not at 12 months (50% versus 43%, respectively; RR: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.92–1.47). Significantly more women in the intervention than the control group reported using a long-acting contraceptive method at 4 months (29% versus 9%, respectively; RR: 3.35; 95% CI: 2.07–5.40; Table 2) and at 12 months (25% versus 12%, respectively; RR: 2.08; 95% CI: 1.27–3.42). In addition, significantly more women in the intervention than the control group reported effective contraceptive use for more than 80% of the 4 months after the abortion (54% versus 40%, respectively; RR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.10–1.67) and for more than 80% of the 12 months after (51% versus 38%, respectively; RR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.04–1.70). There was some evidence that fewer women in the intervention than the control group had discontinued contraceptive use by the 4-month follow-up (7% versus 16%, respectively; HR: 0.45; 95% CI: 0.20–1.01; Table 2) but not by the 12-month follow-up (26% versus 30%, respectively; HR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.48–1.40; Fig. 2, available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/93/12/15-160267). There was no significant difference between the groups in the proportion of women who had a repeat pregnancy or an abortion by 4 or 12 months and there were no reports that the intervention had been associated with a road traffic accident or domestic abuse at 4 months (Table 2). The subgroup analysis found no evidence that either age, urban or rural residence, educational level or socioeconomic status influenced the effect of the intervention on contraceptive use at 12 months (Fig. 3).

### Discussion

Our mobile phone-based intervention was associated with an increase in the self-reported use of an effective contraceptive method 4 months after an abortion but not 12 months after. However, more participants in the intervention than the control group reported using a long-acting contraceptive method at 4 and 12 months. The intervention had no significant effect on the repeat pregnancy or abortion rate and there were no reports of adverse effects.

This study has several strengths. First, all analyses were carried out on an intention-to-treat basis. Few trials of post-abortion family planning have a longer observation period than our study. The follow-up rate at 4 months was high and there was no evidence of any difference in losses to follow-up between the treatment groups. However, the follow-up rate at 12 months was only 66%, which decreased the statistical power of our assessment of the long-term effects of the intervention. This low rate was probably due to participants migrating for work and/or changing phone numbers, which is recognized as a challenge for mobile phone-based interventions in Cambodia. The one limitation of the study was that, since the intervention involved behavioural change, it was not possible to blind participants to their treatment allocation and they may have passed on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Intervention group (n = 249)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 251)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>88 (35)</td>
<td>69 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 25</td>
<td>161 (65)</td>
<td>182 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>164 (66)</td>
<td>157 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>85 (34)</td>
<td>94 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None or primary school</td>
<td>93 (37)</td>
<td>103 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school or higher</td>
<td>156 (63)</td>
<td>148 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to a motorized vehicle</td>
<td>221 (89)</td>
<td>214 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No access to a motorized vehicle</td>
<td>28 (11)</td>
<td>37 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td>231 (93)</td>
<td>233 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married or cohabited</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td>14 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced or separated</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to recognize numbers</td>
<td>246 (99)</td>
<td>250 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not able to recognize numbers</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>1 (&gt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of living children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>79 (32)</td>
<td>68 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>122 (49)</td>
<td>131 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>48 (19)</td>
<td>52 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous abortions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>144 (58)</td>
<td>155 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>69 (28)</td>
<td>65 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>36 (15)</td>
<td>31 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of abortion before study entry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>102 (41)</td>
<td>105 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical</td>
<td>147 (59)</td>
<td>146 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman planned to use contraception at time of randomization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>91 (37)</td>
<td>96 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>18 (7)</td>
<td>24 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>140 (56)</td>
<td>131 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman’s mobile phone access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares phone</td>
<td>123 (49)</td>
<td>118 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never shares phone</td>
<td>126 (51)</td>
<td>133 (53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The intervention comprised six automated, interactive voice messages by mobile phone and phone support from a counsellor, as required (Box 1). Inconsistencies arise in some values due to rounding.
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information to the research assistants at follow-up. In addition, the use of self-report measures of contraceptive use has the potential for detection bias. Although they are standard in contraceptive research, self-report measures have been shown to overestimate contraceptive use and underestimate abortion rates. However, it seems unlikely that participants would over-report using one particular long-acting method rather than another (e.g. intrauterine devices versus implants). It was not feasible to measure objective contraceptive use in this setting and electronic medication monitors and hormonal assays have limited reliability and validity. Oral and injectable contraceptives can be obtained from pharmacists without prescriptions in Cambodia, so clinic records may not accurately reflect contraceptive use. The most commonly reported reason for ineligibility was not having a mobile phone. Although we did not record the characteristics of the 199 potential participants in our study who did not have a phone, it is a concern that mobile phone-based interventions may not reach the people most in need. We did not give participants mobile phones because of possible implications for the sustainability of the intervention and because there could have been negative consequences for a participant if she was asked where she obtained a new phone.

Study participants were similar to clients seeking abortion services at the four Marie Stopes International Cambodian study clinics during 2013. Most of these women are married and multiparous, have attended secondary school, are aged over 25 years and have previously paid for reproductive health services at a clinic run by a nongovernmental organization. However, sex workers – known to have a high unmet need for contraception and a high abortion rate – and young women, were not well represented in our study population. The effect of mobile phone-based interventions on post-abortion family planning among these groups requires further evaluation.

There are few trials of mobile phone-based interventions to increase contraceptive use. Two small trials found no effect, whereas one trial found improved self-reported adherence to oral contraceptive use.

Service providers often define post-abortion family planning as the initiation of contraceptive use within 2 weeks of an abortion but we did not...
The increase occurred because participants returned to the clinic for contraceptive methods after discharge from clinical care. Although at 12 months there was no evidence of increased contraceptive use overall or of higher discontinuation rates, our intervention was associated with an increase in the use of long-acting contraceptive methods in a context where a wide range of post-abortion family planning methods is available but the immediate uptake of contraception is low (data available from corresponding author). The increase occurred because participants returned to the clinic for contraceptive implant or intrauterine device and is consistent with our findings that some clients preferred to make decisions about post-abortion family planning after discharge from clinical care. As our intervention was complex, it was not clear which component influenced effective contraceptive use. It is plausible, though, that a relatively intensive intervention delivered over a short period of time could influence the decision to adopt a long-acting method (i.e. a single behavioural change) but be less effective in influencing continued adherence to an oral contraceptive, which requires sustained repetitive behaviour.

Fig. 3 Contraceptive use in different subgroups after a mobile phone-based intervention for post-abortion contraception, Cambodia, 2013–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Intervention group (n = 169)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 159)</th>
<th>Relative risk of contraceptive use at 12 months (99% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 25 years</td>
<td>20/53 (37.7)</td>
<td>11/40 (27.5)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.62–3.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 25 years</td>
<td>64/116 (55.2)</td>
<td>57/119 (47.9)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.83–1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>61/116 (52.6)</td>
<td>46/96 (47.9)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.77–1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>23/53 (43.4)</td>
<td>22/63 (34.9)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.86–2.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to a motorized vehicle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>77/156 (49.4)</td>
<td>59/138 (42.8)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.83–1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>77/153 (55.9)</td>
<td>9/21 (42.9)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.85–2.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None or primary</td>
<td>30/59 (50.9)</td>
<td>30/60 (50.0)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.64–1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some secondary or above</td>
<td>54/110 (49.1)</td>
<td>38/99 (38.4)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.85–1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All participants</strong></td>
<td>84/169 (49.7)</td>
<td>68/159 (42.8)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.92–1.47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI confidence interval

Note: The intervention comprised six automated interactive voice messages by mobile phone and phone support from a counsellor, as required, during 3 months following an abortion (Box 1). Contraceptive use was defined as the self-reported use of an effective contraception method 12 months after an abortion.

Conclusion

Our results indicate that the addition of a mobile phone-based intervention to existing abortion care services could increase the use of long-acting contraceptives. The overall use of effective contraceptive methods was increased 4 months after an abortion but not at 12 months. In practice, the duration, language, and mode of communication (i.e. text or voice) could be adapted to different settings, though voice messages will be most useful in populations with limited literacy. We estimated the main cost of delivering the intervention (i.e. for voice messages, phone calls and the counsellors’ time) to be 6 United States dollars per client. A cost–effectiveness analysis will be reported elsewhere. Although our intervention was delivered in addition to post-abortion family planning support at a clinic, future research could assess the effect of a similar intervention in settings with more limited support: for example, where medical abortions are provided by the private sector.
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Effect of an intervention by telephone portable on the contraception after abortion: essai contrôlé randomisé au Cambodge

Objectif Évaluer l’effet d’une intervention par téléphone portable (santé sur mobile) sur l’utilisation de méthodes contraceptives après un avortement par les femmes au Cambodge.

Méthodes L’étude MOTIF (Mobile Technology for Improved Family Planning – Technologie mobile pour une meilleure planification familiale) a mobilisé des femmes ayant eu recours à des services d’avortement médicalisé dans quatre cliniques Marie Stopes International du Cambodge. Nous avons aléatoirement affecté 249 femmes au groupe bénéficiant d’une intervention par téléphone portable, laquelle comprenait six messages vocaux automatisés et interactifs et, au besoin, l’assistance téléphonique d’un conseiller, et 251 femmes au groupe de contrôle recevant une prise en charge standard.

Résultats 251 femmes ont été affectées au groupe intervention et 251 femmes au groupe contrôle. 431 d’entre elles ont été incluses dans l’analyse, soit 40% des femmes d’origine. Des différences significatives entre le groupe intervention et le groupe contrôle n’ont pas été observées dans les comparaisons des contraception après avortement, ni pour l’âge, la répartition par secteur ou par niveau d’éducation. Les résultats d’un essai contrôlé randomisé ont été validés par une étude descriptive et par une étude de cas.

Conclusions L’étude MOTIF a prouvé que l’intervention par téléphone portable est une option efficace pour améliorer l’utilisation des méthodes contraceptives après avortement au Cambodge. Les résultats suggèrent que l’utilisation de technologies mobiles pour la planification familiale est une option prometteuse pour améliorer l’accès à l’information et à l’assistance après avortement au Cambodge. Il est nécessaire d’étudier davantage l’efficacité de cette approche dans d’autres contextes et de considérer les implications éthiques de cette pratique.

Résumé Evaluation d’une intervention de l’assistance téléphonique d’un conseiller, et 251 femmes au groupe de contrôle recevant une prise en charge standard. Le critère d’évaluation
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principal était l’utilisation autodéclarée d’une méthode contraceptive efficace, 4 et 12 mois après un avortement.

Résultats Les données relatives à l’utilisation de contraceptifs efficaces étaient disponibles pour 431 (86%) participantes à 4 mois et pour 328 (66%) à 12 mois. Les femmes appartenant au groupe ayant bénéficié de l’intervention sont beaucoup plus nombreuses que les femmes du groupe de contrôle à avoir mentionné l’utilisation de contraceptifs efficaces à 4 mois (64%) pour les premières contre 46% pour les secondes; risque relatif, RR: 1,39; intervalle de confiance, IC, à 95%: 1,17–1,66), ce qui n’est pas le cas à 12 mois (50% contre 43%; RR: 1,16; IC à 95%: 0,92–1,47).

Резюме

Рандомизированное контролируемое исследование влияния медицинского обслуживания, проводимого с помощью мобильной связи, на постabortную контрацепцию, проведенное в Камбodge

Цель Оценить влияние мероприятий здравоохранения, проводимых с помощью мобильной связи (mHealth), на применение способов постabortной контрацепции женщинами, проживающими в Камбodge.

Методы В исследовании «Применение мобильных технологий для усовершенствованного планирования семьи» (MOTIF) приняли участие женщины, желающие пройти процедуру безопасного аборта в четырех клиниках Marie Stopes International, Камбodge. 249 женщин были случайным образом распределены в группу, в которой медицинское обслуживание проводилось посредством мобильной связи и включало возможность отправки шести автоматизированных интерактивных голосовых сообщений с поддержкой телефонной связи с консультантом при необходимости, а 251 женщина была распределена в группу проведения исследуемого мероприятия, сообщивших о применении способа контрацепции длительного действия, через 4 и 12 месяцев после аборта, о чем участницы сообщали самостоятельно.

Результаты Данные о применении эффективного способа контрацепции были получены для 431 (86%) участниц через 4 месяца и для 328 (66%) участниц через 12 месяцев. Число женщин, сообщивших о применении эффективного способа контрацепции через 4 месяца, было больше в группе, в которой проводилось обслуживание посредством мобильной связи, чем в контрольной группе (64 против 46% соответственно, относительный риск, RR: 1,39; 95% доверительный интервал, ДИ: 1,17–1,66), но через 12 месяцев был получен другой результат (50 против 43% соответственно, ОР: 1,16, 95% ДИ: 0,92–1,47). Тем не менее в каждый из двух контрольных моментов времени послеоперационного наблюдения число женщин в группе проведения исследуемого мероприятия, сообщивших о применении способа контрацепции длительного действия, преобладало. Что касается числа повторных беременностей или абортов через 4 или 12 месяцев, значимой разницы между двумя группами выявлено не было.

Вывод Добавление медицинского обслуживания посредством мобильной связи в рамки медицинской помощи при аборте в Камбodge оказало краткосрочное влияние на общее применение какого-либо эффективного способа контрацепции, в то время как способы контрацепции длительного действия применялись в течение всего исследования.

Resumen

El efecto de una intervención basada en la telefonía móvil en los métodos anticonceptivos postaborto: un ensayo controlado aleatorizado en Camboya

Objetivo Evaluar el efecto de una intervención basada en la telefonía móvil (mHealth) en el uso de métodos anticonceptivos postaborto entre las mujeres de Camboya.

Métodos El estudio Mobile Technology for Improved Family Planning (MOTIF) involucró a mujeres que recurrieron a servicios de aborto seguros en cuatro clínicas Marie Stopes International de Camboya. Se asignaron de forma aleatoria 249 mujeres a una intervención basada en la telefonía móvil que consistía en seis mensajes de voz interactivos y automatizados y el apoyo de un asesor a través del teléfono, cuando fuera necesario, y 251 mujeres a un grupo de control que recibía atención estándar. El resultado principal fue el uso autodeclarado de un método anticonceptivo efectivo 4 y 12 meses después de sufrir un aborto.

Resultados Los datos sobre el uso efectivo de los métodos anticonceptivos estuvieron disponibles al cabo de 4 meses en el caso de 431 (86%) de las participantes y al cabo de 12 meses en el caso de 328 (66%). Significativamente más mujeres del grupo de la intervención que del grupo de control informaron de un uso efectivo de los métodos anticonceptivos al cabo de 4 meses (64% frente a 46% respectivamente; riesgo relativo, RR: 1,39; intervalo de confianza (IC) del 95%: 1,17–1,66) pero no al cabo de 12 meses (50% frente a 43% respectivamente; RR: 1,16 (IC del 95%: 0,92–1,47). Sin embargo, más mujeres del grupo de la intervención informaron sobre el uso de métodos anticonceptivos de larga duración en los dos momentos del seguimiento. No hubo una diferencia importante entre los grupos en cuanto a embarazos futuros o abortos al cabo de 4 o 12 meses.

Conclusión Añadir una intervención basada en la telefonía móvil a los servicios de atención al aborto en Camboya tuvo un efecto a corto plazo en el uso general de cualquier método anticonceptivo efectivo, mientras que el uso de métodos anticonceptivos a largo plazo perdió a lo largo de todo el periodo de estudio.
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Fig. 2. Kaplan–Meier survival curves for contraceptive discontinuation, in a mobile phone-based intervention for post-abortion contraception, Cambodia, 2013–2014

Note: The intervention comprised six automated, interactive voice messages by mobile phone and phone support from a counsellor, as required, during 3 months following an abortion (Box 1). Discontinuation, defined as stopping for ≥ 1 month, was assessed at 12 months in the 190 participants who started an effective method of contraception in the 3 months after an abortion. At the 12-month follow up, data were collected on self-reported contraception use during each month following the abortion. The number at risk is the number of contraception users at each time point.