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Additional File 4. Funnel plots to assess publication bias in the meta-analysis of modern versus traditional housing.

Funnel plots to assess publication bias in the meta-analysis of modern versus traditional housing.

Plots show study size as a function of effect size for studies included. Asymmetry is indicative of publication bias, selective outcome reporting, small-study effects, or selective analysis reporting. (A) Studies reporting malaria infection. 1: Case–control, cross–sectional and cohort studies: crude odds ratio, 2: Case–control, cross–sectional and cohort studies: adjusted odds ratio.

(B) Studies reporting clinical malaria. 1: Case–control and cross–sectional studies (crude odds ratio), 2: Case–control and cross–sectional studies (adjusted odds ratio), 3: Cohort studies (crude rate ratio), 4: Cohort studies (adjusted rate ratio).