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Abstract

Background
We evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of the urine lipoarabinomannan (LAM) antigen detection assay (Clearview TB-ELISA) to screen for tuberculosis in a South African correctional facility.

Methods
Between September 2009 and October 2010, male offenders were screened for tuberculosis (symptoms, chest radiograph, two spot sputum specimens for microscopy and culture), and urine tested for LAM. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of LAM were calculated using definite and probable tuberculosis combined as our gold standard.

Findings
33/871 (3.8%) participants (26% HIV-positive) had tuberculosis. Amongst HIV-positive vs. HIV-negative offenders the sensitivity and specificity of LAM was 7.1% vs. 0% and 98.5% vs. 99.8% respectively.

Conclusion
Urine LAM ELISA has inadequate sensitivity for TB screening in this population.

Introduction
Incarcerated populations worldwide suffer disproportionately high risk of both tuberculosis (TB) [1] and HIV infection,[2] a potent risk factor for TB. In response to the burden of
tuberculosis and HIV in its correctional facilities, South Africa recently published national guidelines recommending symptom-based TB screening for all inmates bi-annually, on entry, exit or transfer, and self-presentation, with GeneXpert MTB/RIF as the initial diagnostic test for those requiring further evaluation.[3]

Tests for lipoarabinomannan (LAM), a cell wall lipopolysaccharide specific to mycobacteria that is detectable in urine, are a potentially attractive screening tool for correctional facilities, given the potential for rapid TB diagnosis, the low infection risk posed and ease of sample collection. Evaluations of a commercially available urine LAM ELISA (Clearview TB-ELISA; Alere, USA) have demonstrated sensitivity of 21–38% for culture-proven TB in HIV-positive individuals, [4, 5] which increases to 67% in those with CD4 cell counts <50 cells/μl.[5]

The aim of our study was, within the context of a TB prevalence survey in one of South Africa’s largest correctional facilities,[6] to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the Clearview TB-ELISA for urine LAM to screen offenders for TB.

Methods

Ethics statement
The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the Department of Correctional Services, South Africa, the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom; and the Centre for Disease Control Institutional Review Board. The study was also approved by the Office for Human Research Protections, USA. All participants gave written informed consent, or witnessed verbal consent if unable to write. Consent and participation in the study was voluntary. Participants were able to refuse to take part, with no consequences to their healthcare or any other services as a result of this.

Study population and procedures
The study site and procedures have been described previously.[6] We enrolled a random sample of offenders who had been incarcerated for at least six months (“currently incarcerated”) and a consecutive sample of “newly sentenced” offenders. Individuals with an expected stay of less than 3 months in the study facility were excluded to ensure follow-up of medical records.

All participants underwent a standardised symptom-screening questionnaire (any symptom compatible with tuberculosis, including cough, fever, night sweats, or unintentional weight loss), chest radiography (assessed by two readers using a standardised tool), and provided two spot sputum specimens for smear and mycobacterial culture. Urine for anonymised HIV testing was collected from those consenting, and an additional urine sample stored at -20°C on the day of collection for subsequent LAM measurement. Correctional facility medical records were reviewed 3 months post-enrolment to ascertain any additional TB diagnoses made within three months of enrolment and thus strengthen the gold standard. HIV counselling and testing was provided for offenders who wished to know their HIV status.

Sputum specimens underwent fluorochrome microscopy and liquid culture using the Mycobacterial Growth Indicator Tube (BACTEC-MGIT 960). Positive mycobacterial cultures were speciated using the GenoType Mycobacterium CM kit (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany). Anonymised urine samples were tested for HIV antibodies using the MAXIM HIV-1 urine EIA (Maxim Biomedical Inc, MD, USA). Urine LAM testing was performed on stored samples in batches using Clearview TB ELISA (Inverness Medical Innovations, Scarborough, ME, USA).
Case definition
TB was classified as **definite** if one sputum culture was positive for *M. tuberculosis* and there were either compatible clinical or radiological features, or additional microbiological confirmation (any grade of smear or further positive culture); **probable** if either only one culture was positive without compatible clinical or radiological features; or ≥1 sputum smear-positive (≥grade 1+) and culture-negative; **possible** if classical radiological features on consensus (pleural effusion, cavitiation, or upper lobe changes); or ≥1 scanty positive smear and culture-negative, without compatible clinical or radiological features.

**Statistical analysis**
We calculated sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of the LAM assay, using definite and probable tuberculosis combined as our gold standard. We excluded possible TB cases, those already on TB treatment at enrolment, and those without a full set of TB screening investigations from this analysis.

**Results**
Fig 1 summarises study inclusions, exclusions, case definitions, and urine LAM test results. Between September 2009 and October 2010, a total of 981 offenders were enrolled to the study. Amongst 871 evaluable participants, 871 (100%) were male, 812 (93%) were Black African, the median age was 32 (interquartile range [IQR] 27–38) years, 275 (32%) shared a cell with >50

---

**Fig 1. Participant flow chart.** LAM = lipoarabinomannan; TB = tuberculosis; S +ve = sputum smear-positive for acid-fast bacilli; S –ve = sputum smear-negative for acid-fast bacilli; C +ve = sputum culture-positive for *M. tuberculosis*; C –ve = sputum culture-negative for *M. tuberculosis*; CXR +ve = classical radiographic features of TB.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127956.g001
offenders, and 110 (12.6%) reported at least one previous course of TB treatment. Median incarceration time in “currently incarcerated” offenders was 74 (IQR 43–103) months, and median detention in remand for new entrants was 14 (IQR 6–27) months. 222/871 (25.5%) offenders had a positive urine HIV test result. 33/871 (3.8%) participants fulfilled our case definition for definite and probable TB combined; five were smear-positive and 12 had any symptom compatible with TB. TB prevalence was greater amongst HIV-positive compared with HIV-negative offenders, 6.6% (14/212) vs. 3.0% (19/632), \(P = 0.02\).

5/871 (0.6%) participants had a positive LAM test result, of whom one was HIV-positive and sputum culture-positive for \(M.\) tuberculosis. Table 1 summarises the performance of the urine LAM test in our study population. Amongst HIV-positive offenders the sensitivity and specificity of the LAM assay were 7.1% (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.2%, 33.9%) and 98.5% (95% CI 95.6%, 99.7%) respectively. Amongst HIV-negative offenders the sensitivity and specificity of the LAM assay were 0% and 99.8% (95% CI 99.1%, >99.9%) respectively. The only TB case correctly identified by the urine LAM test was in an HIV-positive individual who was smear-negative, culture-positive, with compatible clinical but no radiological features of TB.

**Discussion**

Our study has shown that the sensitivity of Clearview TB-ELISA is too low to be useful for the general screening of offenders in this correctional facility, of whom the majority are HIV-negative. Sensitivity was greater in those who were HIV-positive, in keeping with findings from a recent meta-analysis.[7] Indeed Clearview TB-ELISA is licensed as a screening test only in HIV-positive TB suspects. Evaluations amongst HIV-infected TB suspects have suggested that lateral flow urine test for LAM (Determine TB-LAM; Alere, USA) may be useful to rule-in TB in hospitalised patients with advanced immunosuppression with sensitivity and specificity of 66% for culture-proven TB [8]; and that the sensitivity of combination of smear microscopy with lateral flow LAM (72%) approaches that of Xpert MTB/RIF alone, [9] the latter study suggesting that these tests identified different groups of patients with TB. One limitation of our study is the lack of CD4 cell counts in those offenders confirmed to be HIV positive, and a possible explanation for the very low sensitivity (7%) amongst HIV-positive offenders, compared with other published data may be higher median CD4 cell count in this “healthier” population.

Despite the high prevalence of both HIV and TB among offenders, the low sensitivity of the urine LAM ELISA precludes recommendation of this test as a screening test for TB in this population. The results of our study reinforce the knowledge that the LAM assay, as reported previously in the literature, is not useful in populations with unknown HIV status and CD4 cell counts. [4, 7]

### Table 1. Performance of urine LAM test overall and stratified by HIV status compared with gold standard of bacteriologically-confirmed TB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Prevalence of TB</th>
<th>Prevalence of positive LAM</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall (n = 844)</td>
<td>3.9 (33)</td>
<td>0.6 (5)</td>
<td>3.0 (95% CI)</td>
<td>99.5 (95% CI)</td>
<td>20.0 (95% CI)</td>
<td>96.2 (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV-positive (n = 212)</td>
<td>6.6 (14)</td>
<td>1.9 (4)</td>
<td>7.1 (95% CI)</td>
<td>98.5 (95% CI)</td>
<td>25.0 (95% CI)</td>
<td>93.8 (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV-negative (n = 632)</td>
<td>3.0 (19)</td>
<td>0.2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (95% CI)</td>
<td>99.8 (95% CI)</td>
<td>0 (95% CI)</td>
<td>97.0 (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive value; CI confidence interval

27 participants with possible TB have been excluded from this analysis
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