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Chemical and Bioassay Techniques to Authenticate Quality of the Anti-Leishmanial Drug Miltefosine
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Abstract. Miltefosine, an effective oral treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (VL), was selected in May 2005, by the governments of India, Nepal, and Bangladesh for the elimination of VL. However, abnormally high treatment failure rates reported in patients in Bangladesh, given a miltefosine generic product (“Miltefos”, Popular Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) during 2008, led the World Health Organization (WHO) to procure this formulation for quality testing. Proton (1H) and phosphorus (31P) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyses of the Miltefos™ capsules did not give the peaks defined for Impavido™, the quality assured VL treatment product from Aeterna Zentaris. Contents of capsules of Impavido™ yielded expected peaks for miltefosine (m/z 408.33 for the protonated parent ion and m/z 183.99 plus m/z 124.8 the fragment ions) that were absent in the Miltefos™ capsules. Furthermore, testing using an in vitro Leishmania donovani intracellular amastigote—macrophage model, yielded EC50 values of between 2.55 and 4.06 μg/mL and 3.02 to 5.92 μg/mL for extracts from the Impavido™ capsules and the miltefosine standard, respectively. Lack of significant anti-leishmanial activity of Miltefos™ capsules was identified in this assay even at concentrations up to 100 μg/mL. Capsules of Miltefos™ were classified as falsified (absence of stated active pharmaceutical ingredient) by three methods—NMR and mass spectrometry analysis and bioassay.

INTRODUCTION

The leishmaniases are a group of neglected tropical diseases caused by parasites of the genus Leishmania. The main disease manifestations are cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) and visceral leishmaniasis (VL). Active VL has a high fatality rate in almost all cases and requires treatment with anti-leishmanial drugs. Recent estimates for VL suggest between 200,000 and 400,000 cases and 20,000 and 40,000 deaths per year worldwide with over 90% of cases occurring in India, Bangladesh, Sudan, South Sudan, Brazil, and Ethiopia. Current drugs for VL include pentavalent antimonials, amphotericin B (formulated as salt with deoxycholate in Fungizone®), and paromomycin. Multi-drug therapy by co-administration regimes is also being pursued as an improved treatment strategy for VL.2

Miltefosine, originally developed as an anticancer drug, is the first effective oral treatment of VL and CL. It was determined to be safe and effective for the treatment of Indian VL following a trial, in which 282 of 299 (94%) patients were cured with an oral dose of 2.5 mg/kg of miltefosine given daily for 28 days as monotherapy.3 It was then registered in India for oral treatment of VL in March 2002.4 The drug is well-tolerated, except for mild gastrointestinal side effects, but its teratogenic potential hampers its general use in the clinic, particularly for women of childbearing age. It has a long elimination half-life and accumulates in the body during treatment.5

Miltefosine (currently available through Paladin Laboratories, Inc., Montreal, Canada) under the trade name Impavido™, was adopted by the governments of India, Nepal, and Bangladesh as an oral treatment of VL in the program to eliminate this disease by 2015.6 As part of the elimination program, and to improve procurement of this necessary intervention, the Bangladesh authorities identified a local company (Popular Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Dhaka, Bangladesh) that manufactured generic miltefosine, “Miltefos”, for supply.

“Miltefos” was introduced as a first-line therapy for VL in Bangladesh in 2008. Bangladesh authorities and World Health Organization (WHO) were reporting unexpected levels of treatment failures shortly after its introduction in 2008, a different clinical response to that determined in India and Nepal.6,7 Both “Miltefos” and Impavido™ were administered simultaneously to patients and it became immediately apparent that the group on “Miltefos” did not show the anticipated treatment response. As part of the investigation to establish the basis for this difference, samples of “Miltefos” were sent to the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam and to the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (by WHO). The group in Amsterdam characterized representative drug samples from batches labeled 10 mg miltefosine and 50 mg miltefosine using a liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) methodology developed with a sensitivity as low as 4 ng/mL in human plasma.8 Further studies using second drug samples and examination of blood samples from five patients, confirmed that 1) no active pharmaceutical ingredient could be identified in the “Miltefos” batches and, 2) miltefosine could not be detected in the blood of the patients who had been administered “Miltefos” capsules.9

We describe here the results of studies performed on Miltefos™ batches received by WHO in 2008 at the same time as those sent to the group in Amsterdam. Our data, acquired using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, mass spectrometry (MS), and bioassay analyses, supports the findings of Dorlo and others2,8 and further characterizes this falsified drug by complementary methodologies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples. The WHO received in 2008, requests from their South East Asia Regional Office (SEARO), Delhi, and the Directorate General of Health Services of Bangladesh to test a generic miltefosine formulation produced by Popular Pharmaceuticals Ltd., for quality issues. The WHO SEARO sampled a number of blister packs randomly from warehouses but without a precise methodology. Three sealed packs were collected from the subdistrict health centers (upazila health level) where the
drugs were supplied by the program for patient treatment. The samples were collected jointly by the Representatives of Directorate of Health, WHO-Bangladesh, Popular laboratories, and Directorate of Drug Administration and sent to WHO-Geneva by the Director General of Health Services. WHO-Geneva coordinated the work with the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) and Bangladesh authorities. Miltefosine capsules were received from WHO SEARO and the WHO Department of Neglected Tropical Diseases and Department of Essential Medicines and Pharmaceutical Policies, Geneva.

Impavidotm capsules (containing 50 mg and 10 mg miltefosine, batch nos. 8J7717 and 8H7859, respectively) and the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) miltefosine (D-18506, batch no. 986356) were a gift from Paladin Laboratories Inc. (Montreal, Canada). Upon arrival capsules and API were stored at an air conditioned laboratory (21°C).

Chemicals. Methanol (99.8 + % (GLC) and water were from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK. Deuterated chloroform the solvent used for NMR spectroscopy and tetramethylsilane the chemical shift reference were purchased from Sigma-Aldridge, Dorset, UK. Both high performance liquid chromatography grade methanol and water of purity grade were from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Giemsa’s stain (improved R66 solution Gurr) was purchased from VWR, East Grinstead, West Sussex, UK, RPMI-1640 medium from Sigma, UK, and fetal calf serum (FCS) from Harlan-Sera Laboratory, Margate, UK.

1H and 31P NMR spectroscopy analysis. Proton and phosphorous (1H and 31P) are high-resolution atomic nuclei, both having spin quantum number I = 1/2. An advantage of 1H NMR is that it is more sensitive than 31P by a factor about 10. However, 31P has a greater chemical shift range than 1H. In addition, a phosphorous-containing molecule typically has considerably more chemically distinct proton environments than it has phosphorous environments. Therefore, 1H NMR spectra from such molecules are inherently considerably more complex than 31P spectra, but at the same time the 1H spectra have a far greater overall information content. In this case, as the molecule of miltefosine has a phosphorous atom it was judged to be appropriate to analyze using 31P NMR.

Miltefosine (API reference compound) and the contents of the capsules were dissolved in deuterated chloroform (CDCl3), which dissolves the API but not the excipients. The solute filtered through ordinary tissue paper and transferred into NMR tubes followed with the addition of the chemical shift reference (TMS; tetramethylsilane). The chemical shift reference for 31P spectra was external 85% H3PO4. The tube was reference (TMS; tetramethylsilane). The chemical shift references were purchased from Sigma-Aldridge, Dorset, UK. Both high performance tetramethylsilane the chemical shift reference were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK. Deuterated chloroform the solvent used for NMR spectroscopy and tetramethylsilane the chemical shift reference were purchased from Sigma-Aldridge, Dorset, UK.

Extraction of capsule content and preparation of stock solutions for MS and bioassay analysis. Capsules of Miltefosine and Impavidotm were opened and the contents emptied into pre-weighed glass vials. The weight of capsule contents was recorded and 5 mL of a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of methanol and water added. Vials were briefly shaken and placed in a cooled ultrasonic water bath for 30 minutes with 2-3 times vortexing in between. Vials were left to stand in the air conditioned laboratory (21°C) for 30 minutes after which 2 mL of solutions were transferred to Eppendorf tubes. Tubes were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes (SANYO; Micro Centaur; MSE, London, UK) and the supernatant transferred to new Eppendorf tubes. One aliquot was filter sterilized by passing the solution through a Minisart® syringe end filter (pore size 0.2 μm) and used as stock solution for the bioassay. The other aliquot was used in liquid chromatography mass spectrometry analysis. The API stock was prepared in the same solvent as capsule extracts.

MS analysis and data processing. Mass spectrometry full scan and MS/MS analyses were performed on the Thermo Finnigan LCQ ion trap instrument with an electron spray ionization (ESI) source (THermoFinnigan, Hemel Hempstead, UK). The ESI source was operated in the positive ion mode with the following operating conditions optimized for miltefosine by infusing a solution (2 mg/mL reference standard in methanol/water; v/v; 1:1) using the syringe pump at a flow rate of 2.5 μL/min; transfer capillary temperature 220°C, spray voltage 4.55 kV, capillary voltage 3.29 V, and sheath gas flow 30 (arbitrary units). Nitrogen was used as the nebulizing gas (by Peak, Scientific, Inchinnan, Scotland, UK; generator). Data acquisition and processing was performed using Xcalibur software (version 2.0.7 SP1). The cumulative scans were recorded over a mass range of m/z 110–430 for 3 minutes to be sure that all the ions in the sample were included in the spectrum. Solutions were directly infused to the system using the syringe pump. The probe was washed between each sample analysis first with water and then with methanol to ensure that there was no carryover of the previous sample.

Drug activity evaluation. The anti-leishmanial activity of capsule extracts and API was evaluated in a standard assay as described previously.11 Briefly, mouse peritoneal macrophages (PEMs) were harvested from CD1 mice (Charles River, Margate, UK) by lavage 24 hours after intraperitoneal injection of 2% soluble starch in PBS (from both Sigma). Macrophages were plated in Lab-tek 16-well chamber slides (VWR) at a density of 5 × 104 cells/well in RPMI 1640 medium plus 10% FCS. Macrophages were left to adhere overnight at 37°C, 5% CO2, and infected with freshly harvested Leishmania donovani amastigotes (MHOM/ET/67/HU3 in experiment 1 and MHOM/IN/88/DD8 in experiment 2) at an infection ratio of 1 macrophage: 5 amastigotes. After incubating cultures overnight at 37°C, 5% CO2 non-phagocytosed amastigotes were gently washed off and miltefosine added at the final concentrations of 100, 20, 4, and 0.8 μg/mL. A 4-point dilution series spanning the concentration ranges used here was based on knowledge of miltefosine activity and toxicity towards host cells. Each concentration was tested in quadruplicate. Controls received serum substituted medium only or serum substituted medium containing 0.5%, 0.1%, and 0.02% (v/v) methanol. Infected macrophages were incubated with drug dilutions for a total of 3 days at 37°C, 5% CO2.

At assay endpoint, slides were fixed with 100% methanol and stained with 10% Giemsa in water. The average of the quadruplicate of cultures receiving medium only was taken to serve as 100% control against which the percentage inhibition of infected macrophages in treated cultures was calculated. Effective concentrations at the 50% level (EC50 values) were estimated with the non-linear sigmoidal curve-fitting Levenburg Marquardt algorithm (Microsoft xfit, ID Business Solution, Guildford, Surrey, UK). Dose responses were additionally checked manually from the raw data (percentage infected macrophages/inhibition) to confirm fitness of data. Toxicity against macrophages was noted when no macrophages were present in a well or macrophage morphology and/or number clearly
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changed when compared with untreated controls. All experiments involving animals were conducted under license in accordance with UK Home Office License no. PPL5937.

RESULTS

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic \(^1\)H NMR spectra. Miltefosine (hexadecylphosphocholine, Figure 1, shows the numbering of the scheme of atoms of the molecule used to describe the NMR spectral peaks) reference standard was dissolved completely in CDCl\(_3\) to give a clear solution and the spectral peaks, which matched those resulting from the extracts of Impavido\(^\text{TM}\) (50 and 10 mg miltefosine) as shown on Figure 2. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the Miltefos\(^\text{TM}\) capsules (Figure 3) does not show any signals from a phosphocholine group, viz CH\(_2\)(1') at \(\delta 3.8, \text{CH}_2(2')\) at \(\delta 4.25, \text{N(CH}_3)_3\) at \(\delta 3.35\). In addition, there is no signal at \(\delta 3.8\) due to CH\(_2\)(1) of the hexadecyl chain. Instead, a new signal is seen at \(\delta 2.35\) (integration for CH\(_2\)). The presence of the phosphocholine group in the is seen in the \(^31\)P NMR spectrum of the Impavido\(^\text{TM}\) capsules Figure 4 but is lacking in the spectrum from the Miltefos\(^\text{TM}\) capsules (Figure 5).

It is of interest to determine the nature of the compound(s) detected in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the Miltefos\(^\text{TM}\) capsules. The spectrum in Figure 3 shows the signals expected of a hexadecyl derivative C\(_{16}\)H\(_{33}\)X, with the signal of the terminal CH\(_2\) group (–CH\(_2\)–X) at \(\delta 2.35\). There are no other significant \(^1\)H NMR signals to be considered. Inspection of \(^1\)H NMR databases† ‡ indicates that –X is unlikely to be an oxygen substituent or halogen because the –CH\(_2\)X \(^1\)H NMR signal (\(\delta 2.35\)) is too low in frequency. The exact nature of X is not certain, as there is no information available on the procedures followed for the production of Miltefos\(^\text{TM}\) capsules. Candidate structures for the substituent X, based upon the position of the CH\(_2\)X \(^1\)H NMR signal, are 1) X = SH (–CH\(_2\)SH at \(\delta 2.56\) in hexadecyl mercaptan) and 2) X = (C=O) C\(_{16}\)H\(_{33}\) (–CH\(_2\)CO– at \(\delta 2.4\) in similar di-al-alkylketones). However, a very good match occurs for X = CO\(_2\)H; –CH\(_2\)CO\(_2\)H at \(\delta 2.35–2.36\) in hexadecanoic acid (palmitic acid) C\(_{16}\)H\(_{33}\)CO\(_2\)H and heptadecanoic acid§ C\(_{17}\)H\(_{35}\)CO\(_2\)H both of which occur naturally in milk and certain meat fats. Palmitic acid has a molecular weight of 256.42 and a peak is seen on the MS at 278.90 (Figure 8A), which may be thus assigned to ([M + Na]\(^+\)).

MS (full scan and MS/MS) analysis. The proposed fragmentation pattern of the protonated ion of miltefosine is depicted in Figure 6. A full scan (110 – 450 m/z) spectrum will detect the presence or absence of miltefosine in the capsules by checking for the anticipated protonated parent ion \([M + H]^+\) at m/z 408.33 and the sodium adducts \([M + Na]^+\) at m/z 430.25 in the solutions (Figure 7A). (The sodium ion Na\(^+\) detected in the ESI trap is postulated to originate from the solvents and/or glass ware used). The protonated parent ion was then induced to fragment in the collision cell. The MS/MS fragment ion spectrum will result in the m/z 183.99 fragment that corresponds to the loss of the C\(_{16}^-\) alkyl chain and further cleavage of this precursor fragment ion results in the product ion at m/z 124.8 (Figure 7B).

Dilutions of the reference standard (2 mg/mL) were carried out using the solvent (methanol: water; v/v; 1:1) down to 0.1 \(\mu\)g/mL and infused into the probe using the syringe pump to determine the limit of detection of our method.

Direct mass spectral analysis was used to detect the presence or absence of the compound – miltefosine, the active ingredient as stated on the labels of generic miltefosine capsules from Impavido\(^\text{TM}\) (Figure 8A and B) and Miltefos\(^\text{TM}\)
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\[\text{Figure 1.} \text{ Chemical structure with the numbering scheme of atoms of the miltefosine molecule used in the description of the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectral peaks plus the chemical shift (δ) for the protons and their position on the molecule.}\]

\[\text{Figure 2.} \text{ The } \text{H NMR (CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz) spectra of an extract of Impavido}^\text{TM}, 50 \text{ mg miltefosine capsules show position of the peaks and their assignment to the protons in the structure of miltefosine (see Figure 1) \(\delta 0.90, \text{H, t, CH}_3(16), \delta 1.25, 26 \text{ H, bs, CH}_2(3–15), \delta 1.60, 2\text{H, m, CH}_2(2), \delta 3.5, 9\text{H, s, (CH}_3)_3\text{ N}^+, \delta 3.80, 4\text{H, m, CH}_2(1 \text{ and }1'), \delta 4.25, 2\text{H, bs, CH}_2(2').}\]
(Figure 8A and B). The presence of the protonated parent ion peak at m/z 408.33 on the full-scan analyses and of m/z 183.99 and m/z 124.8 on the MS/MS product ion scan were used to confirm the presence or absence of the active ingredient – miltefosine in the capsules. Summary results of the NMR and MS analyses of the capsules of Miltefos™ and Impavido® are shown in Table 1.

**Anti-leishmanial activity of extracted capsule contents and API.** Drug assays were validated through the use of reference standards (API prepared in two different stock concentrations corresponding to the stocks theoretically obtained from capsule extracts), confirmed to give EC₅₀ values in agreement with known anti-leishmanial activity of miltefosine.¹¹ Dose response curves were obtained for extracts from Impavido® capsules labeled to contain 50 and 10 mg miltefosine, which allowed the estimation of EC₅₀ values. These were consistent with values obtained from the reference standard and within the expected range of known miltefosine activity in vitro. Values at the EC₅₀ level ranged from 2.68 μg/mL to 4.02 μg/mL (corresponding to 6.58 to 9.86 μM) against the L. donovani strain originating from Ethiopia (experiment 1) and from 2.55 μg/mL to 4.06 μg/mL (corresponding to 6.26 to 9.96 μM) against the Indian L. donovani strain (experiment 2). Corresponding values for the respective reference standards were 4.99 μg/mL and 3.79 μg/mL for the Ethiopian strain and 5.92 μg/mL and 3.02 μg/mL for the Indian strain. In contrast no significant reduction in the number of infected macrophages was obtained with extracts from Miltefos™ capsules and no EC₅₀ values could be determined. EC₅₀ values are summarized in Table 2. The highest concentration of 100 μg/mL produced toxicity towards macrophages when exposed to API or extracts from Impavido® capsules as judged by a reduction in the number of macrophages/well. At this concentration toxicity towards the host cell was expected for the API and extracts from capsules containing the stated amount of miltefosine. No toxicity was observed for cultures exposed to extracts from Miltefos™ capsules.

**DISCUSSION**

Drug quality issues have been raised previously over two types of the drugs currently used for the treatment of both VL and CL.² The pentavalent antimonials have been used for the treatment of VL since 1937, CL since 1943, and for the mucocutaneous form of the disease since 1962. Despite this the chemical structure of the two commercially available forms, sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam® or SSG) and N-Methylglucamine antimoniate (Glucantime®) have only recently been determined by Frezard and colleagues.¹²,¹³ Sodium stibogluconate appears as a complex mixture of polymeric forms, with batch-to-batch variation and solutions containing 32–34% pentavalent antimony (Sb⁵⁺). Methylglucamine antimoniate has an Sb⁵⁺ content that varies around 28% between batches. The major moieties of this oligomeric drug are Sb(Ⅴ)—ligand complexes that are...
Zwitterionic in solution. Treatment with antimonials is associated with a range of toxicities.\textsuperscript{12,14,15} Quality control in the face of this chemical complexity and batch-to-batch variation is essential. During a period when there were several manufacturers of these antimonial drugs, issues around drug quality and patient toxicity were reported.\textsuperscript{16} Studies by groups in India and Brazil reported significant differences in osmolarity and oxidation states between batches\textsuperscript{17,18} emphasizing the need for standardization and monitoring product stability by the drug manufacturers.

In the case of miltefosine different analytical techniques of liquid chromatography coupled to MS-MS, Fourier transform infrared, and near-infrared spectroscopy have been previously used to characterize poor quality drugs and were used to determine the quality of generic Miltefosin\textsuperscript{™} capsules collected in Bangladesh.\textsuperscript{9} We used direct injection MS to determine the molecular weight and fragmentation data to elucidate the structure, as well as NMR spectroscopy ($^1$H and $^{31}$P) to characterize and definitively confirm the presence of atomic nuclei in a molecule. The molecule of miltefosine contains a phosphorous
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\caption{(A) The full scan mass spectrum of Impavido$^\text{®}$, 50 mg miltefosine capsules and the peaks caused by the presence of the active ingredient at $m/z$ 408.33 and the sodium salt $m/z$ 430.25. Intensity of the cumulative signals over 3 minutes is $3.77 \times 10^6$. (B) Showing the tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) product ion scan of Impavido$^\text{®}$, 50 mg miltefosine capsules, and the peaks caused by the presence of the active ingredient at $m/z$ 408.33 and the product ions at $m/z$ 183.99 and $m/z$ 124.8.}
\end{figure}
Figure 8. (A) The full scan mass spectrum of Miltefos™, 50 mg miltefosine capsules from Popular Laboratories does not show peak at m/z 408.33 for the active ingredient and the origins for the peaks at 122.03 and 278.90 are unknown. Intensity of the cumulative signals over 3 minutes is $4.56 \times 10^6$. (B) The tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) product ion scan of Miltefos™, 50 mg miltefosine capsules from Popular Laboratories does not show peak at m/z 408.33 for the active ingredient and the product ions at m/z 183.99 and m/z 124.8.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Stated dose, mg</th>
<th>Batch no.</th>
<th>Manufacturing date</th>
<th>Expiry date</th>
<th>API (miltefosine) detected or not by $^1$H, $^{31}$P NMR and MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impavido™</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8J7717</td>
<td>07/2006</td>
<td>07/2012</td>
<td>Detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impavido™</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8H7859</td>
<td>08/2008</td>
<td>08/2012</td>
<td>Detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefos™</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>SFD13 E0610</td>
<td>Not supplied</td>
<td>E0610</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefos™</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SFD12 E0610</td>
<td>Not supplied</td>
<td>E0610</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The drugs were analyzed in October 2008 at the request of The World Health Organization (WHO).
atom and proton atoms (Figure 1). Hence, the presence of a $^{31}$P peak on $^{31}$P NMR and proton splitting patterns on $^1$H NMR seen unequivocally confirm the authenticity of the Impavido® capsules but not of Miltefosin™. Our results based on determining the molecular structure of miltefosine, showed that the generic product is falsified (contained no API), whereas the quality assured product has the anticipated fragmentation patterns on MS and the peaks on both proton and phosphorous NMR analysis. Based on the NMR and MS spectra achieved the compound present in these falsified capsules may be palmitic acid. The excipients in the generic product have been reported as lactose and microcrystalline cellulose (a typical excipient used in vitamins), and no stated API. 

Added to these results the bioassay analysis of extracts from Impavido® capsules and the reference API displayed similar and expected anti-leishmanial activity with $EC_50$ values below 5 µg/mL against intracellular L. donovani amastigotes in vitro. However, no significant anti-leishmanial activity was identified against two different strains of L. donovani by the contents from generic Miltefosin™ capsules within the concentration ranges tested. No toxicity towards PEMS was observed with extracts from generic Miltefosin™ capsules even at nominal miltefosine concentrations of up to 100 µg/mL.

These studies emphasize the role of drug regulators in ensuring the standards and quality of procured drugs.

CONCLUSIONS

Substandard medicines (a formulation with too little or too much API) are a concern in countries with low standards of quality control of the manufacturing process (non-good manufacturing accredited status). The WHO Expert Committee on the control of leishmaniasis (2010) highlighted the importance of this fact emphasis to the governments to only acquire drugs from prequalified producers (see Annex at WHO TRS 949). The Bangladesh government removed Miltefosin from the public health clinics (PHCs) and decided to follow WHO recommendations in using Ambisome® (10 mg/kg total dose single infusion) as the first line treatment and is at present close to reaching the elimination goal of < 1 case in 10,000 in most of the upazillas after having treated more than 7,000 patients. Popular Laboratories was taken to court and told to stop the production of Miltefosin™. However, they retained the right to import the API from China and were made to give assurance that they would produce a quality assured formulation of miltefosine.

National programs need quality assured drugs in their effort to eliminate diseases such as VL. Sensitive and specialized chemical techniques (NMR and MS) with experienced staff, are needed in bio-analytical laboratories in disease-endemic countries that can be used relatively quickly to determine the quality of drugs to treat fatal diseases.

CONCLUSIONS

Substandard medicines (a formulation with too little or too much API) are a concern in countries with low standards of quality control of the manufacturing process (non-good manufacturing accredited status). The WHO Expert Committee on the control of leishmaniasis (2010) highlighted the importance of this fact emphasis to the governments to only acquire drugs from prequalified producers (see Annex at WHO TRS 949). The Bangladesh government removed Miltefosin from the public health clinics (PHCs) and decided to follow WHO recommendations in using Ambisome® (10 mg/kg total dose single infusion) as the first line treatment and is at present close to reaching the elimination goal of < 1 case in 10,000 in most of the upazillas after having treated more than 7,000 patients. Popular Laboratories was taken to court and told to stop the production of Miltefosin™. However, they retained the right to import the API from China and were made to give assurance that they would produce a quality assured formulation of miltefosine.

National programs need quality assured drugs in their effort to eliminate diseases such as VL. Sensitive and specialized chemical techniques (NMR and MS) with experienced staff, are needed in bio-analytical laboratories in disease-endemic countries that can be used relatively quickly to determine the quality of drugs to treat fatal diseases.

Table 2: Anti-leishmanial activity of the reference API and capsule extracts*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>$EC_{50}$ [µg/mL]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard 10 mg/mL</td>
<td>D-18506</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.99 (4.35–5.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard 2 mg/mL</td>
<td>D-18506</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.79 (3.74–3.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impavido® 50 mg miltefosine</td>
<td>SJ7717</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.68 (1.15–4.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefosin™ 50 mg miltefosine</td>
<td>HD7859</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.02 (2.65–5.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefosin™ 10 mg miltefosine</td>
<td>SFD13 E0610</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard 10 mg/mL</td>
<td>D-18506</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.92 (2.15–9.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard 2 mg/mL</td>
<td>D-18506</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.02 (1.81–4.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impavido® 50 mg miltefosine</td>
<td>SJ7717</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.55 (1.41–3.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefosin™ 10 mg miltefosine</td>
<td>HD7859</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.06 (2.63–5.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $EC_{50}$ values are given with 95% confidence intervals in brackets. The values for Miltefosin™ are representative of two samples per batch and nominal drug concentration.

REFERENCES


