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Multidrug resistant tuberculosis

James Millard clinical lecturer in global health, Cesar Ugarte-Gil epidemiologist, David A J Moore professor of infectious diseases & tropical medicine

Tuberculosis remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally, with an estimated nine million people developing the disease and 1.5 million deaths in 2013; equating to 4100 deaths a day. Nevertheless, considerable gains have been made in international tuberculosis control; incidence rates are decreasing (albeit slowly) and mortality has been reduced by 45% worldwide. The advent of multidrug resistant tuberculosis threatens this progress. In this review we detail the challenges faced globally in the diagnosis, treatment, and control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis and why this matters to high and low burden multidrug resistant tuberculosis settings alike.

What is multidrug resistant tuberculosis?

Rifampicin and isoniazid form the backbone of conventional first line treatment for tuberculosis. Multidrug resistant tuberculosis refers to tuberculosis that is resistant to both rifampicin and isoniazid. This classification is important because treatment outcomes for this group of patients are far worse than for patients with fully drug susceptible or lesser forms of drug resistant tuberculosis. Multidrug resistant tuberculosis with additional resistance to any fluoroquinolone (such as ofloxacin or moxifloxacin) and also any one of the three second line injectable agents (amikacin, capreomycin, kanamycin) is designated as extensively drug resistant tuberculosis.

How common is it?

“Missing” patients are a major problem in assessing the burden of tuberculosis globally. Without access to universal drug susceptibility testing, national tuberculosis programmes have to estimate burden by extrapolation from periodic national or subnational surveys. This generates the global estimate of 480 000 cases of multidrug resistant tuberculosis in 2013 (range 350 000-610 000), of which 9% have extensively drug resistant tuberculosis. Out of the estimated 480 000 cases of multidrug resistant tuberculosis in 2013, only 136 000 were reported to the World Health Organization. Globally, the main barrier to diagnosis is the lack of access to drug susceptibility testing in quality assured laboratories. Even among bacteriologically confirmed cases of tuberculosis, few were tested for multidrug resistant tuberculosis, with particularly low levels in the African and South East Asia regions. In the United Kingdom, where all patients with tuberculosis undergo drug susceptibility testing and ascertainment is therefore believed to be almost complete, the reported number of cases remains small but increased from 28 to 81 per year from 2000 to 2012.

What is the prognosis?

An estimated 210 000 deaths (range 130 000-290 000) occur from multidrug resistant tuberculosis worldwide each year, as a consequence of never being diagnosed, the gap between diagnosis and treatment, and poor treatment outcomes. Worldwide, fewer than half of cases achieve treatment success. In the United Kingdom more than 70% of patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis achieve treatment success, but it has been shown that it is possible to achieve comparable (or even better) outcomes, even in low income settings.

Who is at risk of multidrug resistant tuberculosis?

All patients with tuberculosis should undergo drug susceptibility testing because only testing those perceived to be at risk will miss up to half of multidrug resistant cases. Nevertheless, it is clear that multidrug resistant tuberculosis is more common in some groups, such as the former prison inmate in the example (box 1). In all settings patients who have previously been treated for tuberculosis are at higher risk for multidrug resistant tuberculosis, with recent estimates suggesting that 3.5% of new cases and 20.5% of previously treated cases have multidrug resistant tuberculosis. However, rates of multidrug resistant tuberculosis vary considerably between countries and regions (table 1) with 27 high burden countries accounting for more than 85% of cases. Worldwide, China, India, and the Russian Federation contribute the largest total number of cases. However, the highest proportions are found in eastern Europe and Central Asia, with around 20% of new cases and 50% of previously treated cases having multidrug resistant tuberculosis. In countries with a high burden of tuberculosis such as South Africa, even...
Multidrug resistant tuberculosis refers to tuberculosis with resistance to at least rifampicin and isoniazid. Multidrug resistant tuberculosis is increasingly common; however, there is a large shortfall between the estimated total number of cases and the numbers diagnosed and treated. Diagnosis is hampered by lack of access to quality assured diagnostics, although newer, rapid molecular and phenotypic methods may go some way to improving this situation.

Compared with drug susceptible tuberculosis, treatment for multidrug resistant tuberculosis requires the use of drug regimens that are prolonged (18-24 months), less efficacious, and noticeably more toxic; new drugs and regimens are becoming available for the first time in decades and ongoing trials should define how best they should be used.

Worldwide, treatment success is only around 50%; however, several settings, including some low income countries, have proved that higher success rates are achievable through the use of liquid media and automated sensing of culture positivity, but still require subsequent indirect sensitivity testing. High throughput is a major advantage although tempered by relatively high cost and contamination rates.

Phenotypic direct drug susceptibility testing

Phenotypic direct drug susceptibility testing delivers a result direct from inoculation of the sputum sample thus does not need to be subcultured. Samples are inoculated into media containing drugs at specific critical concentrations such that the presence of growth (whether detected by a colour change or visual identification of microscopic growth) is indicative of phenotypic resistance. These methods are rapid, non-commercial, and low cost making them well suited to resource constrained settings with a high burden of tuberculosis. Tests endorsed by WHO include microscopic observation drug susceptibility (MODS) and the nitrate reductase assay.

Genotypic drug susceptibility testing

Genotypic methods test for the presence of known resistance mutations associated with multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Two types of genotypic assay have been endorsed by WHO: molecular beacon assays and line probe hybridisation assays.

WHO has endorsed the use of the molecular beacon assay Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) as an initial diagnostic test where multidrug resistant tuberculosis is common. This test is a real time polymerase chain reaction that detects Mycobacterium tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance (by identification of mutations in the mycobacterial rpoB gene). It is performed directly on sputum, with a turnaround time of two hours, which is a key advantage. Identification of resistance to rifampicin still necessitates subsequent and more extensive drug susceptibility testing of other first line and second line agents, usually by phenotypic (culture based) methods. There are concerns about false negative and false positives test results for rifampicin resistance and the increased frequency of mixed infections in high prevalence settings, so local evaluation of multidrug resistant tuberculosis epidemiology before rollout may be prudent. The higher cost of the test compared with phenotypic testing is also a constraint, particularly since isoniazid susceptibility testing is not assessed. Costs range from $10 (£6; €9) (for low income countries) for each test, to more than $70.

An alternative molecular test platform endorsed by WHO is the line probe assay. This assay exists in two main commercial forms—the INNO-LipA Rif.TB (Fujirebio Europe, Ghent, Belgium) and GenoType CMビルマ (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany).
cycloserine, para-aminosalicylic acid). First line agents (that is, gatifloxacin are recommended), and at least three other agents (streptomycin is usually not used because of high rates of resistance), a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, or (and based on similar principles to standardised treatment), pending second line drug susceptibility testing.

Multidrug resistant tuberculosis should be treated with an injectable agent such as amikacin, kanamycin, or capreomycin (streptomycin is usually not used because of high rates of resistance), a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, or gatifloxacin are recommended), and at least three other agents with probable activity (ethionamide or prothionamide, cycloserine, para-aminosalicylic acid). First line agents (that is, pyrazinamide and ethambutol) with retained activity can also be used.

Ideally the injectable agent is administered daily for the first 6-8 months, forming an “intensive phase” of treatment, with the other drugs then continued, forming a “continuation phase.”

In practice, adverse effects often supervene (see example in box 2) and require stopping the injectable agent, managing side effects or swapping drugs for remaining alternatives.

### Challenges to management

Managing the side effects of treatment forms an important part of management and a failure to do so can affect adherence and drive the development of further resistance. Particularly common side effects include local injection site complications, otoxicity, and nephrotoxicity from the injectable agents; neuropsychiatric symptoms and seizures with cycloserine; and diarrhoea with para-aminosalicylate sodium. Hypothyroidism can occur with ethionamide or prothionamide or para-aminosalicylic acid. Drug induced hepatitis is not uncommon, particularly in association with pyrazinamide. Delivery and monitoring of the drug regimens is complex, and drug stockouts are common and undermine efforts. All regimens are based on cohort data and expert opinion, with a conspicuous absence of randomised controlled trial evidence to inform treatment. However, the available evidence does suggest better outcomes for patients treated with a later generation quinolone, ethionamide or prothionamide, four or more effective drugs in the intensive phase, and three or more effective drugs in the continuation phase. Extensively drug resistant tuberculosis treatment is even more challenging and often relies on drugs with only in vitro or animal data to support their use.

### Emerging treatments

For the first time in over 40 years new antituberculosis drugs are becoming available; notably delamanid and bedaquiline, both of which already have a compassionate use role in difficult to treat multidrug resistant tuberculosis and extensively drug resistant tuberculosis. Furthermore there is renewed interest in older drugs—although some work is needed to characterise a full library of resistance conferring mutations. A useful byproduct of sequencing in this way would be the molecular epidemiological insights yielded into the contribution of transmission (as opposed to reactivation of latent multidrug resistant tuberculosis infection) in different settings.

### How is it treated?

Of the 136 000 patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis reported in 2013, just over 71% (97 000) began treatment. This treatment gap is heterogeneous between countries, with fewer than half of eligible patients enrolled in treatment in the African region. However, these numbers seem to represent progress, particularly in India, Russia, and South Africa, with a more than 150% increase in enrolment to treatment since 2009.

Achieving the goal of universal access to the diagnosis and treatment of multidrug resistant tuberculosis requires the strengthening of health services in general. Simply improving rates of treatment initiation in a poor programme is likely to be ineffective and may increase rates of drug resistance and promote transmission.

### Recommended drug regimens

Currently recommended treatment for multidrug resistant tuberculosis requires 18-24 months of at least five drugs, none of which are as potent as rifampicin or isoniazid and all of which are more toxic and less well tolerated. Countries with access to quality assured drug susceptibility testing for second line drugs are likely to offer an “individualised” regimen tailored to a patient’s resistance pattern. Countries without this facility are likely to offer a “standardised” regimen, which is broadly similar for all patients and based on historical resistance patterns and which drugs have been used in that region. Even in the context of individualised regimens, initial treatment is often empirical (and based on similar principles to standardised treatment), pending second line drug susceptibility testing.

Multidrug resistant tuberculosis should be treated with a combination of rifampicin and isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol. Despite this, his symptoms remained and five weeks later the results of a sputum culture confirmed Mycobacterium tuberculosis with resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid.

As whole genome sequencing becomes increasingly affordable and accessible, the horizon holds potential promise of a single technology that could be utilised simultaneously to detect genotypic resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid and key second line drugs—although some work is needed to characterise a full library of resistance conferring mutations. A useful byproduct of sequencing in this way would be the molecular epidemiological insights yielded into the contribution of transmission (as opposed to reactivation of latent multidrug resistant tuberculosis infection) in different settings.

### Box 1 An example of how multidrug resistant tuberculosis might present

A 40 year old man, originally from the Russian Federation and now resident in London, presented to the emergency department of his local hospital with a history of dry cough, fever, and night sweats for several months. His medical history was unremarkable and he had never previously received treatment for tuberculosis. He had spent two years in a Russian prison three years previously. His chest radiograph revealed patchy shadowing in the right upper lobe with several cavities. Sputum was positive for acid fast bacilli and he was prescribed standard first line quadruple tuberculosis treatment as an outpatient, with a combination of rifampicin and isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol. Despite this, his symptoms remained and five weeks later the results of a sputum culture confirmed Mycobacterium tuberculosis with resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid.
extensively drug resistant tuberculosis. Moreover, there are increasing reports of patients with no treatment options. Only 11 countries with a high burden of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (South Africa the only one outside Europe) reported palliative care facilities as part of their national tuberculosis plan. In high burden countries such as South Africa, where resources for home based palliative care are limited, it has even been suggested that modern day sanatoriums may provide access to palliative care on a large scale, while potentially reducing onward transmission. However this approach has drawn criticism in equal measure, in part because of the impact of reducing onward transmission by that stage is likely to be small, and because of potential risks from worsening stigma.

What are the public health implications?

In addition to the complexities of individual case management, there are major public health implications to multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Most transmission is likely to occur before treatment and hence earlier diagnosis is a key determinant in the control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis. There is unlikely to be sufficient bed capacity for full scale-up of hospital based treatment for multidrug resistant tuberculosis and there is increasing evidence for ambulatory, community based treatment that may also reduce the risk of transmission within healthcare settings. Where inpatient admission is required, triage systems, strict infection control practices, building design for improved ventilation (including natural ventilation), and upper room (away from patients and staff) germicidal ultraviolet light all have an important role in reducing transmission. Moreover, in other key transmission hotspots (for example, prisons) there is a need for active intervention to identify cases and reduce transmission.

What are the future directions?

Until relatively recently few national, international, or non-governmental organisations had prioritised multidrug resistant tuberculosis, with a focus instead on drug susceptible tuberculosis. Now multidrug resistant tuberculosis forms a key part in the STOP TB Global Plan to Stop TB 2011-15 and has garnered attention at the highest international levels with a World Health Assembly resolution (WHA 62.15) calling on WHO members to provide universal access to multidrug resistant tuberculosis treatment. The STOP TB target is for all patients with newly diagnosed tuberculosis at high risk for multidrug resistance and all previously treated patients to undergo drug susceptibility testing. Achieving global control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis requires timely identification of cases, enrolment into quality assured treatment with relatively short, inexpensive, non-toxic treatment, minimisation of transmission, and accurate epidemiological surveillance. Unfortunately, there are major challenges to achieving each of these steps.
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Box 2 Example of how drug side effects can affect treatment

After the symptoms of a 26 year old Swazi woman failed to respond to two months of first line tuberculosis treatment (isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol, pyrazinamide) she underwent drug susceptibility testing and was found to have multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Treatment was started with moxifloxacin, kanamycin, ethionamide, and cycloserine, and she continued with pyrazinamide. Early substitution of cycloserine, ethambutol, pyrazinamide) she underwent drug susceptibility testing and was found to have multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Treatment was started with moxifloxacin, kanamycin, ethionamide, and cycloserine, and she continued with pyrazinamide. Early substitution of cycloserine, ethambutol, pyrazinamide (due to profuse diarrhoea). The onset of aminoglycoside induced hearing loss and the prospect of going deaf led to the patient discontinuing treatment after completing only four of 18 months of the regimen.

18 FIND. Price for Xpert(R) MTB/RIF and FIND country list. Secondary price for Xpert(R) MTB/RIF and FIND country list 2013: www.finddiagnostics.org/about/what_we_do/successes/find-negotiated-prices/xpert_mtb_rif.html.
Additional educational resources

Resources for healthcare professionals

- World Health Organization. Multidrug resistant tuberculosis website (www.who.int/tb/challenges/mdr/en)—Contains several resources, including clinical and programmatic guidelines
- *Lancet Infectious Diseases* March-June 2013—Series of papers covering key areas in tuberculosis, with particular relevance for multidrug resistant tuberculosis

Resources for patients

- Centers for Disease Control. Tuberculosis fact sheet (www.cdc.gov/tb/publications/factsheets/drtb/mdrtb.htm)—Provides information on multidrug resistant tuberculosis
### Table

**Table 1** Incidence of tuberculosis and multidrug resistant tuberculosis in selected countries in 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected countries</th>
<th>No of new cases</th>
<th>% (95% CI) of cases with multidrug resistant tuberculosis</th>
<th>Newly diagnosed</th>
<th>Retreatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Multidrug resistant tuberculosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High burden,* high resistance:†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>130 000</td>
<td>41 000</td>
<td>19 (14 to 25)</td>
<td>49 (40 to 59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>980 000</td>
<td>54 200</td>
<td>5.7 (4.5 to 7)</td>
<td>26 (22 to 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2 100 000</td>
<td>61 000</td>
<td>2.2 (1.9 to 2.6)</td>
<td>15 (11 to 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>450 000</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>1.8 (1.4 to 2.3)</td>
<td>6.7 (5.4 to 8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-high burden, high resistance:†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>65 000</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>35 (33 to 37)</td>
<td>55 (52 to 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>44 000</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>14 (14 to 15)</td>
<td>32 (31 to 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-high burden, non-high resistance:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>38 000</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>3.9 (3.6 to 4.2)</td>
<td>35 (33 to 37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>8300</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.4 (1 to 1.7)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.2 to 9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>11 000</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1.2 (0.98 to 1.6)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.9 to 7.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*22 Countries with highest number of cases of tuberculosis.
†27 Countries with highest number of cases of multidrug resistant tuberculosis.