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Tuberculosis remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally, with an estimated nine million people developing the disease and 1.5 million deaths in 2013; equating to 4100 deaths a day.\textsuperscript{1} Nevertheless, considerable gains have been made in international tuberculosis control; incidence rates are decreasing (albeit slowly) and mortality has been reduced by 45\% worldwide.\textsuperscript{1} The advent of multidrug resistant tuberculosis threatens this progress. In this review we detail the challenges faced globally in the diagnosis, treatment, and control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis and why this matters to high and low burden multidrug resistant tuberculosis settings alike.

**What is multidrug resistant tuberculosis?**

Rifampicin and isoniazid form the backbone of conventional first line treatment for tuberculosis. Multidrug resistant tuberculosis refers to tuberculosis that is resistant to both rifampicin and isoniazid. This classification is important because treatment outcomes for this group of patients are far worse than for patients with fully drug susceptible or lesser forms of drug resistant tuberculosis.\textsuperscript{1,2} Multidrug resistant tuberculosis with additional resistance to any fluoroquinolone (such as ofloxacin or moxifloxacin) and also any one of the three second line injectable agents (amikacin, capreomycin, kanamycin) is designated as extensively drug resistant tuberculosis.

**How common is it?**

“Missing” patients are a major problem in assessing the burden of tuberculosis globally.\textsuperscript{1} Without access to universal drug susceptibility testing, national tuberculosis programmes have to estimate burden by extrapolation from periodic national or subnational surveys. This generates the global estimate of 27 high burden countries accounting for more than 85\% of cases. Worldwide, fewer than half of cases achieve treatment success.\textsuperscript{4} In the United Kingdom more than 70\% of patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis achieve treatment success, but it has been shown that it is possible to achieve comparable (or even better) outcomes, even in low income settings.\textsuperscript{4}

**What is the prognosis?**

An estimated 210 000 deaths (range 130 000-290 000) occur from multidrug resistant tuberculosis worldwide each year, as a consequence of never being diagnosed, the gap between diagnosis and treatment, and poor treatment outcomes. Worldwide, fewer than half of cases achieve treatment success.\textsuperscript{1} In the United Kingdom more than 70\% of patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis achieve treatment success, but it has been shown that it is possible to achieve comparable (or even better) outcomes, even in low income settings.\textsuperscript{4}

**Who is at risk of multidrug resistant tuberculosis?**

All patients with tuberculosis should undergo drug susceptibility testing because only testing those perceived to be at risk will miss up to half of multidrug resistant cases.\textsuperscript{1} Nevertheless, it is clear that multidrug resistant tuberculosis is more common in some groups, such as the former prison inmate in the example (box 1). In all settings patients who have previously been treated for tuberculosis are at higher risk for multidrug resistant tuberculosis, with recent estimates suggesting that 3.5\% of new cases and 20.5\% of previously treated cases have multidrug resistant tuberculosis.\textsuperscript{1} However, rates of multidrug resistant tuberculosis vary considerably between countries and regions (table\textsuperscript{a}) with 27 high burden countries accounting for more than 85\% of cases. Worldwide, China, India, and the Russian Federation contribute the largest total number of cases. However, the highest proportions are found in eastern Europe and Central Asia, with around 20\% of new cases and 50\% of previously treated cases having multidrug resistant tuberculosis. In countries with a high burden of tuberculosis such as South Africa, even
How is it diagnosed?

The diagnosis of multidrug resistant tuberculosis is based on the detection of resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid in the causative organism in a clinical sample, usually sputum. The quality and quantity of the sputum sample can affect test performance. An increasing array of new tools for the diagnosis of multidrug resistance has become available in recent years, for the detection of either phenotypic (growth based) or genotypic (molecular markers) resistance. The use of specific tests in different regions is heterogeneous and most regions will use several different tests in combination.

Phenotypic indirect drug susceptibility testing

Conventional phenotypic tests use methods of indirect drug susceptibility testing. This requires an isolate to be cultured first and then inoculated into solid or liquid media. This leads to a considerable delay until results are available, often several months, therefore results are often not available in a clinically useful timeframe. Automated systems such as the Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube 960 (MGIT 960, Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD) speed up culture times through the use of liquid media and automated sensing of culture positivity, but still require subsequent indirect sensitivity testing. High throughput is a major advantage although tempered by relatively high cost and contamination rates.

Phenotypic direct drug susceptibility testing

Phenotypic direct drug susceptibility testing delivers a result direct from inoculation of the sputum sample thus does not need to be subcultured. Samples are inoculated into media containing drugs at specific critical concentrations such that the presence of growth (whether detected by a colour change or visual identification of microscopic growth) is indicative of phenotypic resistance. These methods are rapid, non-commercial, and low cost making them well suited to resource constrained settings with a high burden of tuberculosis. Tests endorsed by WHO include microscopic observation drug susceptibility (MODS) and the nitrate reductase assay.

Genotypic drug susceptibility testing

Genotypic methods test for the presence of known resistance mutations associated with multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Two types of genotypic assay have been endorsed by WHO: molecular beacon assays and line probe hybridisation assays. WHO has endorsed the use of the molecular beacon assay Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) as an initial diagnostic test where multidrug resistant tuberculosis is common. This test is a real time polymerase chain reaction that detects Mycobacterium tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance (by identification of mutations in the mycobacterial rpoB gene). It is performed directly on sputum, with a turnaround time of two hours, which is a key advantage. Identification of resistance to rifampicin still necessitates subsequent and more extensive drug susceptibility testing of other first line and second line agents, usually by phenotypic (culture based) methods. There are concerns about false negative and false positives test results for rifampicin resistance and the increased frequency of mixed infections in high prevalence settings, so local evaluation of multidrug resistant tuberculosis epidemiology before rollout may be prudent. The higher cost of the test compared with phenotypic testing is also a constraint, particularly since isoniazid susceptibility testing is not assessed. Costs range from $10 (£6; €9) (for low income countries) for each test, to more than $70.

An alternative molecular test platform endorsed by WHO is the line probe assay. This assay exists in two main commercial forms—the INNO-LipA Rif.TB (Fujirebio Europe, Ghent, Sub-Saharan Africa).
Belgium), which detects rifampicin resistance, and Genotype MTBDRplus (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany), which identifies rifampicin (through detection of mutations in the rpoB gene) and isoniazid resistance (mutations in the katG and inhA genes).\(^{20}\) It is performed on cultured isolates or on smear positive sputum samples,\(^{2}\) and a version is now available (genotype MTBDRsl), although not yet endorsed by WHO, for fluoroquinolone, aminoglycoside, and ethambutol drug susceptibility testing.\(^{22}\)

As whole genome sequencing becomes increasingly affordable and accessible, the horizon holds potential promise of a single technology that could be utilised simultaneously to detect genotypic resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid and key second line drugs—although some work is needed to characterise a full library of resistance conferring mutations. A useful byproduct of sequencing in this way would be the molecular epidemiological insights yielded into the contribution of transmission (as opposed to reactivation of latent multidrug resistant tuberculosis infection) in different settings.\(^{23}\)

### How is it treated?

Of the 136 000 patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis reported in 2013, just over 71% (97 000) began treatment.\(^{1}\) This treatment gap is heterogeneous between countries, with fewer than half of eligible patients enrolled in treatment in the African region. However, these numbers seem to represent progress, particularly in India, Russia, and South Africa, with a more than 150% increase in enrolment to treatment since 2009.\(^{24}\) Achieving the goal of universal access to the diagnosis and treatment of multidrug resistant tuberculosis requires the strengthening of health services in general. Simply improving rates of treatment initiation in a poor programme is likely to be ineffective and may increase rates of drug resistance and promote transmission.\(^{25}\)

### Recommended drug regimens

Currently recommended treatment for multidrug resistant tuberculosis requires 18-24 months of at least five drugs, none of which are as potent as rifampicin or isoniazid and all of which are more toxic and less well tolerated. Countries with access to quality assured drug susceptibility testing for second line drugs are likely to offer an “individualised” regimen tailored to a patient’s resistance pattern. Countries without this facility are likely to offer a “standardised” regimen, which is broadly similar for all patients and based on historical resistance patterns and which drugs have been used in that region. Even in the context of individualised regimens, initial treatment is often empirical (and based on similar principles to standardised treatment), pending second line drug susceptibility testing.\(^{26}\)

Multidrug resistant tuberculosis should be treated with an injectable agent such as amikacin, kanamycin, or capreomycin (streptomycin is usually not used because of high rates of resistance), a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, or gatifloxacin are recommended), and at least three other agents with probable activity (ethionamide or prothionamide, cycloserine, para-aminosalicylic acid). First line agents (that is, pyrazinamide and ethambutol) with retained activity can also be used.

Ideally the injectable agent is administered daily for the first 6-8 months, forming an “intensive phase” of treatment, with the other drugs then continued, forming a “continuation phase.”\(^{27}\)

In practice, adverse effects often supervene (see example in box 2) and require stopping the injectable agent, managing side effects or swapping drugs for remaining alternatives.

### Challenges to management

Managing the side effects of treatment forms an important part of management and a failure to do so can affect adherence and drive the development of further resistance. Particularly common side effects include local injection site complications, otoxicity, and nephrotoxicity from the injectable agents; neuropsychiatric symptoms and seizures with cycloserine; and diarrhoea with para-aminosalicylate sodium. Hypothyroidism can occur with ethionamide or prothionamide or para-aminosalicylic acid. Drug induced hepatitis is not uncommon, particularly in association with pyrazinamide. Delivery and monitoring of the drug regimens is complex, and drug stockouts are common and undermine efforts. All regimens are based on cohort data and expert opinion, with a conspicuous absence of randomised controlled trial evidence to inform treatment. However, the available evidence does suggest better outcomes for patients treated with a later generation quinolone, ethionamide or prothionamide, four or more effective drugs in the intensive phase, and three or more effective drugs in the continuation phase.\(^{28}\)

Extensively drug resistant tuberculosis treatment is even more challenging and often relies on drugs with only in vitro or animal data to support their use.

### Emerging treatments

For the first time in over 40 years new antituberculosis drugs are becoming available; notably delamanid and bedaquiline, both of which already have a compassionate use role in difficult to treat multidrug resistant tuberculosis and extensively drug resistant tuberculosis. Furthermore there is renewed interest in the efficacy of clofazamine and linezolid. However, an urgent need remains for better regimens rather than new single drugs, and for this reason a handful of phase III trials are in progress. Promising results have been reported with the use of a nine month regimen in Bangladesh and several West African countries, which is now being formally tested in a randomised controlled trial.\(^{29}\) One view is that some regimens under investigation may ultimately be effective for all strains of tuberculosis whether or not susceptible to rifampicin or isoniazid, which would considerably attenuate the importance of the notion of multidrug resistant tuberculosis in global tuberculosis.

### What is the role of palliative care?

Some patients with multidrug resistant tuberculosis will, despite apparently adequate treatment, fail to respond and require palliative care. This is particularly a problem for patients with...
Box 2 Example of how drug side effects can affect treatment

After the symptoms of a 26 year old Swazi woman failed to respond to two months of first line tuberculosis treatment (isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol, pyrazinamide) she underwent drug susceptibility testing and was found to have multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Treatment was started with moxifloxacin, kanamycin, ethionamide, and cycloserine, and she continued with pyrazinamide. Early substitution of cycloserine, to which her unbearable initial low mood and irritability was attributed, with para-aminosalicylic acid reduced neuropsychiatric side effects with profuse diarrhoea. The onset of amnesticide induced loss and the prospect of going deaf led to the patient discontinuing treatment after completing only four of 18 months of the regimen.

extensively drug resistant tuberculosis. Moreover, there are increasing reports of patients with no treatment options. Only 11 countries with a high burden of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (South Africa the only one outside Europe) reported palliative care facilities as part of their national tuberculosis plan.1 In high burden countries such as South Africa, where resources for home based palliative care are limited, it has even been suggested that modern day sanatoriums may provide access to palliative care on a large scale, while potentially reducing onward transmission.23 However this approach has drawn criticism in equal measure, in part because the impact of reducing onward transmission by that stage is likely to be small, and because of potential risks from worsening stigma.24

What are the public health implications?

In addition to the complexities of individual case management, there are major public health implications to multidrug resistant tuberculosis. Most transmission is likely to occur before treatment and hence earlier diagnosis is a key determinant in the control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis. There is unlikely to be sufficient bed capacity for full scale-up of hospital based treatment for multidrug resistant tuberculosis and there is increasing evidence for ambulatory, community based treatment that may also reduce the risk of transmission within healthcare settings. Where inpatient admission is required, triage systems, strict infection control practices, building design for improved ventilation (including natural ventilation), and upper room (away from patients and staff) germicidal ultraviolet light all have an important role in reducing transmission. Moreover, in other key transmission hotspots (for example, prisons) there is a need for active intervention to identify cases and reduce transmission.25

What are the future directions?

Until relatively recently few national, international, or non-governmental organisations had prioritised multidrug resistant tuberculosis, with a focus instead on drug susceptible tuberculosis.6 Most transmission is likely to occur before treatment and hence earlier diagnosis is a key determinant in the control of multidrug resistant tuberculosis. There is unlikely to be sufficient bed capacity for full scale-up of hospital based treatment for multidrug resistant tuberculosis and there is increasing evidence for ambulatory, community based treatment that may also reduce the risk of transmission within healthcare settings. Where inpatient admission is required, triage systems, strict infection control practices, building design for improved ventilation (including natural ventilation), and upper room (away from patients and staff) germicidal ultraviolet light all have an important role in reducing transmission. Moreover, in other key transmission hotspots (for example, prisons) there is a need for active intervention to identify cases and reduce transmission.25
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### Additional educational resources

**Resources for healthcare professionals**

- World Health Organization: Multidrug resistant tuberculosis website ([www.who.int/tb/challenges/mdr/en/](http://www.who.int/tb/challenges/mdr/en/))—Contains several resources, including clinical and programmatic guidelines

- *Lancet Infectious Diseases* March-June 2013—Series of papers covering key areas in tuberculosis, with particular relevance for multidrug resistant tuberculosis

**Resources for patients**

Table 1  Incidence of tuberculosis and multidrug resistant tuberculosis in selected countries in 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected countries</th>
<th>No of new cases</th>
<th>% (95% CI) of cases with multidrug resistant tuberculosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Multidrug resistant tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Newly diagnosed</td>
<td>Retreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High burden,* high resistance:†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>130 000</td>
<td>41 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>980 000</td>
<td>54 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2 100 000</td>
<td>61 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>450 000</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-high burden, high resistance:†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>65 000</td>
<td>1790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>44 000</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-high burden, non-high resistance:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>38 000</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>8300</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>11 000</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*22 Countries with highest number of cases of tuberculosis.
†27 Countries with highest number of cases of multidrug resistant tuberculosis.