Comment

The International Monetary Fund and the Ebola outbreak

In recent months, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) has announced US\$430 million of funding to fight Ebola in Sierra Leone, Guinea, and Liberia.¹ By making these funds available, the IMF aims to become part of the solution to the crisis, even if this involves a departure from its usual approach. As IMF Director Christine Lagarde said at a meeting on the outbreak, "It is good to increase the fiscal deficit when it's a matter of curing the people, of taking the precautions to actually try to contain the disease. The IMF doesn't say that very often."²

Yet, could it be that the IMF had contributed to the circumstances that enabled the crisis to arise in the first place? A major reason why the outbreak spread so rapidly was the weakness of health systems in the region. There were many reasons for this, including the legacy of conflict and state failure. Since 1990, the IMF has provided support to Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone, for 21, 7, and 19 years, respectively, and at the time that Ebola emerged, all three countries were under IMF programmes. However, IMF lending comes with strings attached—so-called "conditionalities"—that require recipient governments to adopt policies that have been criticised for prioritising short-term economic objectives over investment in health and education.³ Indeed, it is not even clear that they have strengthened economic performance.³

Here we review the policies advocated by the IMF before the outbreak, and examine their effect on the three health systems. The information was extracted from the IMF archives of lending agreements covering the years 1990–2014.

First, economic reform programmes by the IMF have required reductions in government spending, prioritisation of debt service, and bolstering of foreign exchange reserves. Such policies have often been extremely strict,⁴ absorbing funds that could be directed to meeting pressing health challenges. Although the IMF has responded to concerns about its programmes by incorporating "poverty-reduction expenditures" to boost health spending, these conditions were often not met (table). Thus, in 2013, just before the outbreak, whereas all three countries achieved the IMF's macroeconomic policy prescriptions, they failed to meet targets for social spending.⁵⁷ Writing to the IMF,

Guinean authorities noted that "unfortunately, because of the reduction in spending, including on domestic investment, it was not possible to respect the indicative targets for spending in priority sectors".⁵ Similarly, the Sierra Leonean government reported that priority spending targets (including on health) were missed due to low domestically financed investment.⁷

Second, to keep government spending low, the IMF often requires caps on the public-sector wage bill-and thus funds to hire or adequately remunerate doctors, nurses, and other health-care professionals. Such limits are "often set without consideration of the impact on expenditures in priority areas",8 and have been linked to emigration of health personnel.9 In Sierra Leone, for example, IMF-mandated policies explicitly sought the reduction of public sector employment. Between 1995 and 1996, the IMF required the retrenchment of 28% of government employees,¹⁰ and limits on wage spending continued into the 2000s.¹¹ By 2004, the country spent about 1.2% of GDP less on civil service wages than the sub-Saharan African mean.11 At the same time, figures supplied to WHO reported a reduction of community health workers from 0.11 per 1000 population in 2004 to 0.02 in 2008.¹² In 2010, as the country launched its Free Health Care Initiative, IMF staff "stressed the need to carefully assess the fiscal implications" and favoured "a more gradual approach to the [associated] salary increase in the health sector".13

Third, the IMF has long advocated decentralisation of health-care systems. The idea is to make care more responsive to local needs. Yet, in practice, this approach can make it difficult to mobilise coordinated, central responses to disease outbreaks.^{14,15} In Guinea, from the early 2000s, the IMF promoted fiscal and administrative decentralisation. Following IMF advice, the country transferred budgetary responsibilities from

	Total	Of which implementatior data available for	Of which implemented
Guinea	23	12	3
Liberia	9	9	6
Sierra Leone	36	31	13

Data are Number of targets (spending floors). These spending floors were set for "priority expenditures" that include health, education, and other social sectors. Sources: various IMF lending agreements retrieved from the IMF archives.

Table: Targets on health and other social spending increases since 2000



Published Online December 22, 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S2214-109X(14)70377-8

See Editorial page e62 See Correspondence page e78 the central government to the prefecture level.^{16,17} Only 5 years later, an IMF mission to the country reported "governance problems" that included "insufficient and ineffective decentralization".¹⁸ At the same time, IMF staff noted that the quality of health-service delivery had deteriorated.¹⁸

All these effects are cumulative, contributing to the lack of preparedness of health systems to cope with infectious disease outbreaks and other emergencies. The IMF's widely proclaimed concern about social issues has had little effect on health systems in low-income countries. Although Lagarde's comment on prioritising public health instead of fiscal discipline is welcome, similar comments have been made by her predecessors.¹⁹ Will the result be different this time?

The Ebola outbreak has tested many global institutions and lessons will have to be learned. Many of these lessons relate to the detection and control of the outbreak, but it would be unfortunate if underlying causes were overlooked. In a timely intervention, *The Lancet's* Commission on Investing in Health called for increases in public health spending and attention to hiring and training health workers.²⁰ The experience of Ebola adds a degree of urgency to the implementation of its recommendations.

*Alexander Kentikelenis, Lawrence King, Martin McKee, David Stuckler

Department of Sociology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3RQ, UK (AK, LK); European Centre on Health of Societies in Transition (ECOHOST), London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK (MM); and Department of Sociology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (DS) aek37@cam.ac.uk

AK and LK acknowledge financial support by the Institute for New Economic Thinking (INET Grant INO13-00020: 'The Political Economy of Structural Adjustment'). We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © Kentikelenis et al. Open access article published under the terms of CC BY.

- Wintour P. IMF to provide \$300m in extra funding to help fight Ebola. The Guardian Nov 15, 2014. http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/ nov/15/ebola-imf-set-to-unveil-debt-relief-at-g20-for-worst-affectedcountries (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- 2 IMF. Managing Director's remarks on the impact of Ebola. http://www.imf. org/external/mmedia/view.aspx?vid=3830643908001 (accessed Nov 24, 2014).
- 3 Stuckler D, Basu S. The International Monetary Fund's effects on global health: before and after the 2008 financial crisis. Int J Health Serv 2009; 39: 771–81.

- Goldsborough D. Does the IMF constrain health spending in poor countries? Evidence and an agenda for action. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development, 2007. http://www.cgdev.org/page/does-imfconstrain-health-spending-poor-countries-evidence-and-agenda-action) accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- IMF. Guinea: letter of intent, memorandum of economic and financial policies, and technical memorandum of understanding. February 1, 2014. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund, 2014. http://www.imf.org/ External/NP/LOI/2014/GIN/020114.pdf (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- IMF. Liberia: third review under the extended credit facility arrangement and request for waiver of nonobservance of performance criterion and modification of performance criteria. IMF country report no 14/197. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/cat/longres.aspx?sk=41740.0 (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- IMF. Sierra Leone: first review under the extended credit facility arrangement, request for modification of performance criteria, and financing assurances review. http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/cat/ longres.aspx?sk=41674.0 (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- IMF Independent Evaluation Office. The IMF and aid to sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, DC: IMF Independent Evaluation Office, 2007. http://www.imf. org/external/np/ieo/2007/ssa/eng/pdf/report.pdf (accessed Dec 17, 2014).
 McColl K. Fighting the brain drain. BMJ 2008; 337: a1496.
- 10 IMF. Sierra Leone: midterm review under the second annual arrangement under the enhanced structural adjustment facility and request for waiver of performance criteria. EBS/96/155. Washington, DC: IMF Archives, 1996. http://adlib.imf.org/digital_assets/wwwopac.ashx?command=getcontent &server=webdocs&value=EB/1996/EBS/230.PDF (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- 11 IMF. Sierra Leone: 2006 article iv consultation, first review under the three-year arrangement under the poverty reduction and growth facility, financing assurances review, and request for waiver of nonobservance of performance criterion. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/cat/longres. aspx?sk=20451.0 (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- 12 WHO. Community and traditional health workers density. http://www.aho. afro.who.int/aihds/aihds/view?path=61,106,141# (accessed Nov 29, 2014).
- 13 IMF. Sierra Leone: sixth review under the arrangement under the Extended Credit Facility. IMF country report no 10/176, June 2010. http://www.imf. org/external/pubs/ft/scr/2010/cr10176.pdf
- 14 Homedes N, Ugalde A. Why neoliberal health reforms have failed in Latin America. *Health Policy* 2005; **71:** 83–96.
- 15 Djibuti M, Rukhadze N, Hotchkiss DR, Eisele TP, Silvestre EA. Health systems barriers to effective use of infectious disease surveillance data in the context of decentralization in Georgia: a qualitative study. *Health Policy* 2007; 83: 323–31.
- 16 IMF. Guinea: request for a three-year arrangement under the poverty reduction and growth facility. EBS/01/57 (April 18). Washington, DC: IMF Archives, 2001. http://adlib.imf.org/digital_assets/wwwopac.ashx?comma nd=getcontent&server=webdocs&value=EB/2001/EBS/117764.PDF (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- 17 IMF. Guinea: staff report for the 2002 article iv consultation; first review under the poverty reduction and growth facility; and requests for waiver of performance criteria, second-year program under the poverty reduction and growth facility, and for additional interim assistance under the enhanced initiative for heavily indebted poor countries. EBS/02/126 (July 11). Washington, DC: IMF Archives, 2002. http://adlib.imf.org/digital_ assets/wwwopac.ash?command=getcontent&server=webdocs&value= EB/2002/EBS/154935.PDF (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- 18 IMF. Guinea: staff report for the 2007 article iv consultation and requests for three-year arrangement under the poverty reduction and growth facility and for additional interim assistance under the enhanced heavily indebted poor countries initiative. EBS/07/140 (December 7). Washington, DC: IMF Archives, 2007. http://adlib.imf.org/digital_assets/wwwopac.ashx? command=getcontent&server=webdocs&value=EB/2007/EBS/324976. PDF (accessed Dec 15, 2014).
- 19 de Rato R. Renewing the IMF's commitment to low-income countries. http://www.imf.org/external/np/speeches/2006/073106.htm (accessed Nov 29, 2014).
- 20 Jamison DT, Summers LH, Alleyne G, et al. Global health 2035: a world converging within a generation. *Lancet* 2013; **382:** 1898–955.