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VISION 2020 states that everyone has the right to sight. This means that, regardless of status (wealth, education, gender, impairment or other factors), everyone has the right to maximise their visual potential.

Evidence suggests, however, that many groups in society (for example women, those who are poor, or those who are disabled) are frequently unable to access eye care services. When they do, these disadvantaged groups experience poorer care despite their greater need. Providing services that are equitable – that are available and affordable to all – has been a priority for VISION 2020, and those organisations that support the initiative, since 1999.

There is limited evidence, however, that cataract surgery is reaching these groups. A recent study conducted by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine asked eye hospitals throughout the world to report the preoperative visual acuity of the next 100 cataract operations they were going to perform. Even in the hospitals in the poorest countries, where the prevalence of cataract blindness (and hence the need for surgery) was high, only 40% of operations were on people who were blind from cataract. Instead, the hospitals were offering surgery to people who were not yet blind, which is hard to justify considering that there were so many people who were blind and who needed an operation more urgently.

Tackling unequal access to cataract surgery for women has been a priority for VISION 2020 since its inception. Unpublished data from three ophthalmology:

A critical question, then, is how to transform a system with ineffective and inefficient delivery of cataract services into one with effective (good results) and efficient (good use of resources) delivery? This requires providers to ensure that they are delivering efficient eye care services with high quality surgery at a reasonable cost, together with activities in the community to create demand and overcome barriers to access.

This issue of the Journal includes case studies from Asia and Africa, together with articles on best practice, to try and assist readers to improve the quantity and quality of existing cataract services, while realising that each situation is different and has its own challenges, but also its own opportunities for good and innovative solutions.
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Cataract remains the number one cause of bilateral blindness in the world. This is despite improvements in surgical technique resulting in better visual outcome and – using a variety of cost containment and income generation activities – attempts to lower the cost of surgery.

There are many good examples of the delivery of high volume, good quality and low cost cataract surgical services throughout the world. Unfortunately, however, there are also many places that have low volume, expensive cataract services, with less than optimal outcomes for patients.
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centres in Uganda revealed that, of the 2,800 cataract operations performed in 2013 for which information on gender were available, 50.2% were on women. The Uganda Bureau of Statistics estimates that 56% of Ugandans aged over 50 are women. This suggests that women are not accessing cataract surgery to the same degree as men in this setting, and is a finding that is repeated elsewhere.

The results from the most recent Rapid Assessment of Avoidable Blindness (RAAB) surveys suggest a similar finding. Almost uniformly, these surveys reveal that the number of men who have had cataract surgery is higher than the number of women, despite the fact that there are more women in the older age groups. This suggests that men find it easier to use (and pay for) the services that are provided.

There is very little information about poverty and access to cataract surgery. We know that cataract surgery contributes to a reduction in poverty, but it is also thought that people who live in poverty are less likely to access services. Unfortunately, we do not collect much information on these people, and few studies have focused on the best ways to reduce their barriers to seeking care.

So why does it appear that we are failing to address inequity in cataract surgery services?

It is recognised that there is a drive towards financial sustainability within hospitals. This is often achieved by asking wealthy patients to supplement the cost of operations for those who are too poor to pay. For this to work, wealthy patients must be attracted to local hospitals and there are a variety of successful tactics to achieve this. At the same time, anecdotal evidence suggests that hospitals are also cutting costs by closing down outreach programmes that target hard-to-reach groups. This implies that many hospitals are investing in attracting wealthy people at the expense of treating those in greatest need. This is borne out by the LSHTM study.1

Creating financial sustainability is good, as is cross-subsidisation for cataract operations for people who are unable to afford them. However, unless hospitals make a conscious effort to target hard-to-reach groups, inequity will widen.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has recognised that people from deprived groups find it difficult to make use of services, predominantly because people...
are usually expected to pay something towards the cost of their own treatment. It is thought that this ‘out-of-pocket spending’ on health by people in low-income countries leads 100 million people into extreme poverty every year. Cost also prevents people who are already in poverty from accessing services. A recent study assessing the impact of cost on the uptake of cataract services in Nigeria indicated that the indirect costs of coming for cataract surgery (including transport, food and the cost of bringing an accompanying person) is nearly double its direct cost. To address these issues, the WHO has introduced the concept of ‘universal health coverage’, whereby health systems support equitable access by making services affordable whilst ensuring that they are of high quality. The main focus of universal health coverage is to ensure that out-of-pocket spending is kept as low as possible and that no one enters poverty as a result of health costs, or is excluded from health care because of costs. The United Nations has adopted a resolution on universal health coverage that urges governments to move towards providing all people with access to affordable, good quality health care services. As members of the eye health community, it is our responsibility to support this resolution and to promote action towards universal access to eye health. This means advocating with colleagues in other health sectors and with governments, non-governmental organisations and corporations to make sure that no one is excluded from essential eye care because of their age, gender, ability or socio-economic status. This is the only way to ensure that people with the most need, including those from marginalised groups, have access to affordable, high quality cataract surgery, allowing them to maximise their visual potential and achieve the goal of VISION 2020. References
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BARRIERS TO CATARACT SURGERY

People with eye problems in India, Nepal and the Gambia gave the following as their main reasons for not seeking treatment:

• fear (that surgery will damage or ‘spoil’ the eyes, or miscellaneous fears)
• inability to leave family or work responsibilities
• put off by the post-operative recommendations
• treatment cost
• feel they can manage – that treatment is not necessary
• too old
• fatalistic – ‘God’s will’
• no-one to accompany them
• distance and lack of transport

Despite the differences in geographical and cultural settings, there was a remarkable consensus of opinion amongst people about why they did not seek treatment. Providers tend to attribute poor user demand to a lack of awareness of treatment availability and benefit. Lack of knowledge or understanding may explain a proportion of non-use of eye services but it is not the root cause. It is known that poor service use occurs also amongst communities with a good knowledge of eye problems and treatment options. Another commonly held view is that people need to be motivated to seek treatment. Individuals are motivated, but their motivations may differ from that of the provider community. When viewed in context, many of the reasons given above start to make sense.

1 Fear

The fear that treatment such as cataract surgery will ‘spoil’ eyes may not be irrational. In response to concerns about the quality of cataract surgical outcomes, the World Health Organization (WHO) strongly recommends the need for better monitoring and evaluation systems. It is well known that ‘bad news travels fast’. Treatment failures may – unfortunately – impact more upon community attitudes to eye treatment than all the examples of success.

2 Cost in time and money

Dealing with direct treatment costs has been a major concern of service providers, and is a very important obstacle to overcome. However, these are only part of the cost borne by service users and their families. The concept of “time is money” is not only the preserve of the city professional. In fact it has a sharper reality for people living in poverty. Seeking treatment involves leaving day-to-day responsibilities. In an existence of ‘work today, eat today’ early treatment is a luxury that may be unaffordable. Costs are multiplied when other family members are involved, either to fulfil roles as carers or to accompany the person for surgery.

3 Attitudes to old age and gender

Unless actively addressed, there is scope for negative attitudes to old age and female gender to become a bigger barrier to treatment. Cataract is an age-related condition. Given demographic forecasts and life expectancy patterns, many of the people requiring surgical treatment will also be women (including widows). In many communities these are the people who are likely to be forgotten.

4 ‘I don’t need treatment – I can manage’

To a greater or lesser extent, people report that they are coping and do not perceive a need for treatment or surgery. This includes people who are blind in both eyes too. This is somewhat surprising but a possible explanation is that they have adjusted to their disability. On the other hand, this response may mask hidden barriers. After weighing up the advantages and disadvantages it is not worth the bother – ‘I’ll manage’. Currently the explanation is not clear, and requires further exploration.

Conclusion

We need to raise awareness about the low use of cataract services, and adopt strategies that promote equality in eye service delivery, access and use. People who do not use eye services know why they do not seek treatment. It is therefore critical that providers ask and listen to the views of their community.

A précis of an article written by Martine Donaghue in the Community Eye Health Journal, Volume 12 No. 31, 1999.