

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Wiseman, RA (2001) Lifestyle, progesterone, and risk of breast cancer - Causal association between progesterone concentrations and breast cancer has not been shown. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*, 323 (7319). p. 1002. ISSN 0959-8138

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/17702/>

DOI:

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>



Letters

Lifestyle, progesterone, and risk of breast cancer

BMJ 2001; 323 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.323.7319.1002> (Published 27 October 2001) Cite this as: BMJ 2001;323:1002

Causal association between progesterone concentrations and breast cancer has not been shown

Richard A Wiseman, honorary senior lecturer (rawiseman@hotmail.com)

Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT

Institute of Public Health, Jagiellonian University, 31-531 Kraków, Poland


Institute of Community Medicine, Norwegian Cancer Society, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tromsø, 90037 Tromsø, Norway

EDITOR—Increasing progesterone concentrations are not associated with increasing incidence of breast cancer, despite the neat correlation diagram by Jasienska and Thune, and they are wrong to infer causality.¹ Some points in their diagram are based on 20 or fewer samples, from which they draw conclusions about the whole country, and many of the progesterone values quoted do not coincide with their cited reference.² However, the main criticism is that they have ignored other scientific data that do not reinforce their hypothesis.

In some places high progesterone concentrations have been found in conjunction with a low incidence of breast cancer—for example, Shanghai, where the progesterone concentrations of small numbers of Chinese women were similar or even higher than women in Chicago or Harvard.^{3–5} This is despite the fact that the incidence of breast cancer is 19.1 per 100 000 women with adjustment for age in Shanghai compared with about threefold in the United States.^{w1} Determinations were not necessarily carried out by the same methods, which probably leads to some technical differences. Nevertheless, the values would not fit even close to the correlation by Jasienska and Thune. More importantly, if there were a causal association between high progesterone concentrations and cancer, women with breast cancer should have higher progesterone concentrations than normal controls, but they do not.^{w2 w3}

Far from being a cause of breast cancer, progesterone (in the form of norethisterone acetate, a synthetic progesterone used because of oral availability) was until recently a treatment for disseminated breast cancer.^{w4}

Footnotes

-  References w1-4 are available on bmj.com.

References

1. Jasienska G, Thune I. Lifestyle, hormones, and risk of breast cancer. *BMJ* 2001; **322**: 586–587. (10 March.)
2. Vitzthum VJ, Ellison PT, Sukalich S, Caceres E, Spielvogel H. Does hypoxia impair ovarian function in Bolivian women indigenous to high altitude? *High Altitude Med Biol* 2000; **1**: 39–49.
3. Wong YF, Mao K, Panesar NS, Loong EPL, Chang AMZ, Mi ZJ. Salivary estradiol and progesterone during the normal ovulatory menstrual cycle in Chinese women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol and Rep Biol* 1990; **34**: 129–135.
4. Lu Y-C, Bentley GR, Gann PH, Hodges KR, Chatterton RT. Salivary estradiol and progesterone levels in conception and nonconception cycles in women: evaluation of a new assay for salivary estradiol. *Fertil Steril* 1999; **71**: 863–868.
5. Lipson SF, Ellison PT. Comparison of steroid profiles in naturally occurring conception and nonconception cycles. *Hum Reprod* 1996; **11**: 2090–2096.

Authors' reply

Grazyna Jasienska, assistant professor, reproductive biology (jasienska@post.harvard.edu),
Inger Thune, associate professor, cancer epidemiology

Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT

Institute of Public Health, Jagiellonian University, 31-531 Kraków, Poland

Institute of Community Medicine, Norwegian Cancer Society, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tromsø, 90037 Tromsø, Norway

EDITOR—We documented a strong, positive relation between risk of breast cancer and mean progesterone concentrations in premenopausal women from five populations. We did not postulate a direct causal relation between progesterone concentration and risk of breast cancer, as asserted by Wiseman. However, data supporting a relation between “oestrogen plus progestagens” and breast cancer risk do exist, although the role of progesterone in the aetiology of breast cancer is more controversial than that of oestradiol.¹

Firstly, epithelial cells of the breast have the highest mitotic activity in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, when progesterone production peaks.²

Secondly, combined oestrogen plus progestogen replacement therapy increases risk of breast cancer to a greater extent than does replacement of oestrogens alone.³

Thirdly, reduction in breast cancer risk among obese premenopausal women is most likely a result of frequent anovulatory cycles and impaired progesterone production. Thus, a causal link between progesterone and the risk of breast cancer is biologically plausible. In our analyses, however, progesterone concentrations were used only as reliable indicators of ovarian activity, without suggesting the dominant role of progesterone in the aetiology of cancer.

An evaluation of the relation between circulating concentrations of progesterone and oestradiol and risk