

LONDON  
SCHOOL of  
HYGIENE  
& TROPICAL  
MEDICINE



Black, N (2014) IMPROVING ACCESS TO CLINICAL TRIAL RESULTS Two ways to improve clinical audit's contribution to improving quality of care. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*, 348. g1305. ISSN 0959-8138 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g1305>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/1620524/>

DOI: [10.1136/bmj.g1305](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g1305)

#### Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact [researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk).

Available under license: Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

## LETTERS

## IMPROVING ACCESS TO CLINICAL TRIAL RESULTS

## Two ways to improve clinical audit's contribution to improving quality of care

Nick Black *professor of health services research*

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1H 9SH, UK

There are two ways that we might improve clinical audit's contribution to improving quality of care.<sup>1</sup> One is to re-establish a central repository of information on the 50-60 national clinical audits in England. A previous attempt (Directory of Clinical Databases) provided not only information on the data available but also an independent assessment of the quality of the data in the national clinical audit.<sup>2</sup> Unfortunately, after a few successful years the NHS Information Centre decided not to maintain this database, although it is still archived on the web (<http://docdat.ic.nhs.uk/>). There is a current initiative, funded by NHS England and led by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership, to create a new resource during 2014.<sup>3</sup>

The second approach is along the lines suggested by Smith.<sup>4</sup> I agree that there are many imaginative and enterprising local quality improvement initiatives. A website that highlighted the best and most successful ones would help their dissemination

and wider uptake. However, it would be essential that such a resource was kept up to date—often a challenge after initial enthusiasm starts to wane.

Competing interests: I chair the National Advisory Group for Clinical Audit and Enquiries that advises NHS England.

- 1 Goldacre B, Heneghan C. Improving, and auditing, access to clinical trial results. *BMJ* 2014;348:g213. (15 January.)
- 2 Black N, Payne M. Directory of clinical databases: improving and promoting their use. *Qual Safe Health Care* 2003;12:348-52.
- 3 Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership. NCA quality assessment. 2014. [www.hqip.org.uk/nca-quality-assessment/](http://www.hqip.org.uk/nca-quality-assessment/).
- 4 Smith MD. What about a national clinical audit results database? *BMJ* 2014;348:g1303.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2014;348:g1305

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2014