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There are two ways that we might improve clinical audit’s contribution to improving quality of care.¹ One is to re-establish a central repository of information on the 50-60 national clinical audits in England. A previous attempt (Directory of Clinical Databases) provided not only information on the data available but also an independent assessment of the quality of the data in the national clinical audit.² Unfortunately, after a few successful years the NHS Information Centre decided not to maintain this database, although it is still archived on the web (http://docdat.ic.nhs.uk/). There is a current initiative, funded by NHS England and led by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership, to create a new resource during 2014.

The second approach is along the lines suggested by Smith.³ I agree that there are many imaginative and enterprising local quality improvement initiatives. A website that highlighted the best and most successful ones would help their dissemination and wider uptake. However, it would be essential that such a resource was kept up to date—often a challenge after initial enthusiasm starts to wane.
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