

## PRACTICE

## UNCERTAINTIES PAGE

# How effective is tranexamic acid for acute gastrointestinal bleeding?

Daniela Manno *clinical lecturer*, Katharine Ker *lecturer*, Ian Roberts *professor*

Clinical Trials Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK

This is one of a series of occasional articles that highlight areas of practice where management lacks convincing supporting evidence. The series adviser is David Tovey, editor in chief, the *Cochrane Library*. To suggest a topic for this series, please email us at [uncertainties@bmj.com](mailto:uncertainties@bmj.com).

Acute gastrointestinal bleeding is a common emergency. It encompasses upper gastrointestinal bleeding (such as from peptic ulcers and oesophageal varices)<sup>1</sup> and lower gastrointestinal bleeding (commonly from diverticular disease, colitis, and cancer).<sup>2</sup> The risk is greater in older adults, and many cases are associated with the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.<sup>3</sup>

In the UK acute gastrointestinal bleeding accounts for about 75 000 hospital admissions each year and has a case fatality of about 10%.<sup>3-4</sup> Case fatality may be higher in patients already hospitalised for another condition.<sup>1</sup> More effective treatments for acute gastrointestinal bleeding are needed.

Tranexamic acid (TXA) reduces clot breakdown by inhibiting the action of plasmin, which is involved in fibrinolysis. A systematic review of randomised controlled trials in surgical patients shows that TXA, given before or during surgery, reduces the probability of receiving a blood transfusion by about a third (relative risk 0.62, 95% confidence interval 0.58 to 0.65).<sup>5</sup> The effect of tranexamic acid on thromboembolic events such as myocardial infarction, stroke, deep vein thrombosis, and pulmonary embolism, however, was uncertain.<sup>5</sup>

The CRASH-2 trial showed that administration of TXA to bleeding trauma patients reduced death due to bleeding (relative risk 0.85, 0.76 to 0.96) and all cause mortality (relative risk 0.91, 0.85 to 0.97) with no apparent increase in thromboembolic events.<sup>6</sup> Among patients treated soon after injury, the reduction in mortality with TXA was even greater.<sup>7</sup>

The knowledge that TXA reduces bleeding in surgery and reduces mortality in trauma raises the possibility that it might also be effective in gastrointestinal bleeding. Fibrinolysis may play a role in gastrointestinal bleeding because of premature breakdown of fibrin blood clots at the bleeding site.<sup>8-9</sup> Many patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding have elevated

levels of fibrin degradation products (a marker of fibrinolysis), and these patients have worse outcomes.<sup>8-9</sup>

## What is the evidence of the uncertainty?

Through searches of PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Database of Controlled Trials, we identified nine randomised comparisons from eight clinical trials of the use of TXA in upper gastrointestinal bleeding and none in lower gastrointestinal bleeding (figure 1).<sup>10-17</sup> Seven of the identified trials were also included in a Cochrane systematic review on the effectiveness of TXA in upper gastrointestinal bleeding.<sup>18</sup> The pooled result shows a statistically significant reduction in the risk of death in patients receiving TXA (relative risk 0.66, 0.47 to 0.93) (figure 2). However, the quality of the trials was poor. Only one trial had adequate allocation concealment. In several trials, patients were excluded after randomisation, and information on their outcomes was not reported, raising the possibility of selection bias. All but two trials were conducted before the widespread use of therapeutic endoscopy and proton pump inhibitors. Therefore, their results might not be applicable to current patients with gastrointestinal bleeding.

We conducted a trial sequential analysis<sup>19</sup> to assess the reliability of the result from our systematic review and meta-analysis. This showed that about 5500 patients would need to have been included in clinical trials (many more than the current total) to have enough power to detect a plausible treatment effect. Thus, although the meta-analysis result is statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ), this could easily be a false positive result.

Only three trials reported data on adverse events. These studies were already included in the previous Cochrane review.<sup>18</sup> The risk of thromboembolic events is about 1% overall and seemed to be higher in TXA treated patients (relative risk 1.86, 0.66 to 5.24). However, the result is imprecise and compatible with the play of chance.

Given these uncertainties, TXA is not routinely used or recommended for gastrointestinal bleeding. In a UK audit in 2007, fewer than 1% of patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding received TXA.<sup>20</sup> TXA is not referred to in two

international consensus documents on the management of upper gastrointestinal bleeding<sup>21 22</sup> nor in the 2012 UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines for acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding.<sup>4</sup>

## Is ongoing research likely to provide relevant evidence?

Searches of the ClinicalTrials.gov trial registry suggest that there are two ongoing double blind randomised controlled trials of the use of intravenous TXA in gastrointestinal bleeding (described in the table⇓). In both trials, TXA or placebo is given in addition to the usual management of gastrointestinal bleeding.

The TAUGIB trial includes patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding before undergoing endoscopy, but it will not be large enough to determine reliably the effect of TXA on mortality and thromboembolic events. Assuming a mortality of 10% in the placebo group,<sup>1</sup> a trial of 400 patients has only 10% power to detect a 25% reduction in mortality (10% to 7.5%).

The HALT-IT trial aims to recruit 8000 patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding (upper or lower) and will have over 90% power to detect a 25% reduction from 10% to 7.5% in mortality.

## What should we do in the light of the uncertainty?

TXA is not routinely recommended for upper or lower gastrointestinal bleeding, and there are important uncertainties about its safety and effectiveness for this indication. Uncertainty about its effect on thromboembolic events is important, as many patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding are older and have a high baseline risk of thromboembolism. In a UK survey, the median age of patients with gastrointestinal bleeding was 68 years, with about 18% having a history of ischaemic heart disease and 8% with a previous stroke.<sup>1</sup> Clinicians have to decide whether to use TXA without reliable evidence of the balance between risk and benefit. However, in the context of such uncertainty the most appropriate management would be to include them in a randomised controlled trial. This will ensure that the uncertainty is resolved in a timely and scientifically defensible way.

In the meantime, current NICE guidelines suggest the following key points in the management of patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding:

- Risk assessment, with Blatchford scoring system at first assessment and the full Rockall scoring system after endoscopy
- Fluid resuscitation
- Localisation of the bleeding site
- Therapeutic interventions to stop bleeding, including endoscopic treatment
- Prevention of re-bleeding.<sup>23</sup>

NICE guidelines are useful for standardising the management of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. However, the evidence base for some of the recommendations is weak.<sup>23</sup> In addition,

no recent guidelines are available for lower gastrointestinal bleeding. High quality research is needed to inform clinical decisions in the management of both upper and lower gastrointestinal bleeding.

Contributors: KK conducted the systematic review. All authors drafted the paper. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and approved the final version for publication. DM is guarantor.

Competing interests: All authors have read and understood the BMJ Group policy on declaration of interests and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; all authors are investigators on the HALT-IT trial, which is funded by the National Institute for Health Research's Health Technology Assessment programme (grant 11/01/04); no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

- 1 Hearnshaw SA, Logan RF, Lowe D, Travis SP, Murphy MF, Palmer KR. Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding in the UK: patient characteristics, diagnoses and outcomes in the 2007 UK audit. *Gut* 2011;60:1327-35.
- 2 Barnert J, Messmann H. Diagnosis and management of lower gastrointestinal bleeding. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;6:637-46.
- 3 Williams JG, Roberts SE, Ali MF, Cheung WY, Cohen DR, Demery G, et al. Gastroenterology services in the UK. The burden of disease, and the organisation and delivery of services for gastrointestinal and liver disorders: a review of the evidence. *Gut* 2007;56(suppl 1):1-113.
- 4 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Management of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. (Clinical guideline 141.) 2012. <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG141>.
- 5 Ker K, Edwards P, Perel P, Shakur H, Roberts I. Effect of tranexamic acid on surgical bleeding: systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2012;344:e3054.
- 6 The CRASH-2 Collaborators. Effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion in trauma patients with significant haemorrhage (CRASH-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;376:23-32.
- 7 The CRASH-2 Collaborators. The importance of early treatment with tranexamic acid in bleeding trauma patients: an exploratory analysis of the CRASH-2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;377:1096-101, 101e1-2.
- 8 Al-Mohana JM, Lowe GD, Murray GD, Burns HG. Association of fibrinolytic tests with outcome of acute upper-gastrointestinal-tract bleeding. *Lancet* 1993;341:518-21.
- 9 Poller L, Thomson J. Evidence for a relationship between fibrinolysis and haematemesis. *Br J Haematol* 1973;24:664.
- 10 Bagnenko SF, Verbitskii VG. [Antifibrinolytic therapy for the treatment of massive ulcerative gastro-intestinal bleedings]. *Khirurgia* 2011;(4):42-6.
- 11 Barer D, Ogilvie A, Henry D, Dronfield M, Coggon D, French S, et al. Cimetidine and tranexamic acid in the treatment of acute upper-gastrointestinal-tract bleeding. *N Engl J Med* 1983;308:1571-5.
- 12 Bergqvist D, Dahlgren S, Hessman Y. Local inhibition of the fibrinolytic system in patients with massive upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Ups J Med Sci* 1980;85:173-8.
- 13 Biggs JC, Hugh TB, Dodds AJ. Tranexamic acid and upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage—a double-blind trial. *Gut* 1976;17:729-34.
- 14 Cormack F, Chakrabarti RR, Jouhar AJ, Fearnley GR. Tranexamic acid in upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. *Lancet* 1973;1:1207-8.
- 15 Engqvist A, Broström O, von Feilitzen F, Halldin M, Nyström B, Ost A, et al. Tranexamic acid in massive haemorrhage from the upper gastrointestinal tract: a double-blind study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:839-44.
- 16 Hawkey GM, Cole AT, McIntyre AS, Long RG, Hawkey CJ. Drug treatments in upper gastrointestinal bleeding: value of endoscopic findings as surrogate end points. *Gut* 2001;49:372-9.
- 17 Von Holstein CC, Eriksson SB, Kallen R. Tranexamic acid as an aid to reducing blood transfusion requirements in gastric and duodenal bleeding. *BMJ* 1987;294:7-10.
- 18 Gluud LL, Klingenberg SL, Langholz E. Tranexamic acid for upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;1:CD006640.
- 19 Brok J, Thorlund K, Gluud C, Wetterslev J. Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2008;61:763-9.
- 20 British Society of Gastroenterology. UK comparative audit of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and the use of blood. 2007. [www.bsg.org.uk/pdf\\_word\\_docs/blood\\_audit\\_report\\_07.pdf](http://www.bsg.org.uk/pdf_word_docs/blood_audit_report_07.pdf).
- 21 Barkun AN, Bardou M, Kuipers EJ, Sung J, Hunt RH, Martel M, et al. International consensus recommendations on the management of patients with nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Ann Intern Med* 2010;152:101-13.
- 22 Sung JJ, Chan FK, Chen M, Ching JY, Ho KY, Kachintorn U, et al. Asia-Pacific Working Group consensus on non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gut* 2011;60:1170-7.
- 23 Dworzynski K, Pollit V, Kelsey A, Higgins B, Palmer K; Guideline Development Group. Management of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ* 2012;344:e3412.

Accepted: 14 November 2013

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2014;348:g1421

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2014


## Table

**Table 1 | Trials of the use of intravenous tranexamic acid for gastrointestinal bleeding (identified from the US ClinicalTrials.gov database, www.clinicaltrials.gov)**

Trial (type)	Status	Population	Intervention	Comparison	Primary outcome
TAUGIB (double blind, randomised trial)	Currently recruiting	414 patients with upper GI bleeding before endoscopy	1 g IV bolus over 10 minutes followed by 1 g slow infusion over 8 hours	Placebo	Proportion of patients requiring early endoscopic treatment
HALT-IT (double blind, randomised trial)	Currently recruiting	8000 patients with significant acute GI bleeding	1 g IV bolus over 10 minutes followed by 3 g slow infusion over 24 hours	Placebo	Mortality in hospital within 28 days of randomisation

GI=gastrointestinal. IV=intravenous.

# Figure



Meta-analysis and risk of bias summary of trials assessing effect of tranexamic acid (TXA) on death in acute gastrointestinal bleeding. All trials compared TXA with placebo unless stated otherwise