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Understanding the relevant biological activity of any pharmaceutical formulation destined for human use is crucial. For vaccine-based formulations, activity must reflect the expected immune response, while for non-vaccine therapeutic agents, such as monoclonal antibodies, a lack of immune response to the formulation is desired.

During early formulation development, various biochemical and biophysical characteristics can be monitored in a high-throughput screening (HTS) format. However, it remains impractical and arguably unethical to screen samples in this way for immunological functionality in animal models. Furthermore, data for immunological functionality lag formulation design by months, making it cumbersome to relate back to formulations in real-time. It is also likely that animal testing may not accurately reflect the response in humans.

For a more effective formulation screen, a human whole blood (hWB) approach can be used to assess immunological functionality. The functional activity relates directly to the human immune response to a complete formulation (adjuvant/antigen) and includes adjuvant response, antigen response, adjuvant-modulated antigen response, stability, and potentially safety.

The following commentary discusses the hWB approach as a valuable new tool to de-risk manufacture, formulation design, and clinical progression.
a "cytokine storm."11 This life-threatening event was unexpected since standard non-clinical safety studies in animal models raised no alarm.

During pharmaceutical development of vaccines, it is crucial to identify optimal conditions for long-term storage and for boosting immunogenicity through, for example, the addition of adjuvants. To this end, a large number of variables must be examined during pre-formulation development to identify optimal pH, buffer ingredients, ionic strength, stabilizer excipients, as well as optimal adjuvant-antigen composition. Multiwell-based assays are readily available to monitor biophysical and biochemical properties of macromolecules as a function of different formulation parameters under normal or stressed conditions.12-14 However, there is a lack of simple and cost efficient in vitro high throughput screening methods to evaluate the biological activity of complete adjuvanted formulations. This is because responses at the organism level require a number of components. The hWB approach aims to emulate this.

In order to begin addressing some of the issues mentioned above and to bridge preclinical testing in animal models, Sanofi Pasteur has looked into strategies that focus on in vitro testing using human cells.4 Compatible with these initiatives and as a way to further bridge formulation development to vaccine functionality and to human clinical studies, we now describe a new way to evaluate the immune response to adjuvanted formulations using a fresh human whole blood (hWB) approach (Fig. 1). The approach may be particularly useful in an early clinical phase when a formal in vitro potency assay may not be available.

Monitoring an Adjuvant Response

Innate immunity is an immediate response to a stimulus or "danger signal" typically triggered through ancient receptors inherited at birth, which sense conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) found on a variety of bacteria, fungi, and other pathogens.15 One of the best characterized of these innate signaling pathways is that for the bacterial endotoxin, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which triggers a pro-inflammatory response through Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4). Derivatives of LPS that mimic innate immunity are now key components of a new generation of vaccine adjuvants that use a TLR4 agonist (TLR4A).16

Studies were initially conducted using TLR4A combined with an aluminum salt adjuvant (ASA), hereafter referred to as TLR4-Adjuvant. Several of these adjuvant formulations stimulated a pro-inflammatory cytokine (TNFα) response in fresh human whole blood (hWB). The response was initiated after as little as 6 h of incubation and more optimally between 18–24 h. To further characterize the adjuvant response, individual components of the adjuvant were used in a follow-up study to stimulate hWB over a broad range of concentrations. The data indicated a consistent trend in response across 3 different subjects (Fig. 2). Although there was a lack of response to the ASA alone (not shown), the response to TLR4A was significantly augmented in the presence of ASA. Indeed, there were responses to the TLR4-Adjuvant at concentrations of the TLR4A alone that would otherwise have failed to induce a detectable response. The combination of TLR4A with ASA is therefore crucial to the adjuvant effect observed.

While there is expected variability between subjects, there may be ways to normalize the data against a standard reference such as LPS or other TLR4As. Evaluating adjuvant responses in this way is inexpensive, relatively quick, and technically easy to perform. Depending on the technology used it may be possible to generate functional data comfortably within a single working day.

Monitoring an Antigen Specific Memory Response

Typically, the memory T cell population is rapidly activated and proliferates upon re-encounter with a specific antigen, with immediate cytokine-secreting cells being described as effector memory, and with proliferating T cells being described

![Figure 1. Human whole blood (hWB) approach: A simple root methodology.](image-url)
as central memory. A methodology developed by scientists at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine and based on earlier studies in Leishmania has been in use for approximately two decades as a way to monitor antigen specific, vaccine-induced memory after bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination. The response is monitored by stimulating hWB with antigens from *M. tuberculosis* and detection of T cell cytokines such as IFNγ after 6–7 d of culture by ELISA, or by multiplex bead array assays.

To investigate antigen specific responses to complete vaccine formulations, an advanced TB vaccine candidate referred to as H4 was formulated with the TLR4-Adjuvant and tested using fresh hWB recovered from 3 healthy BCG vaccinated volunteers (Fig. 3).

The data demonstrated that an antigen-specific IFNγ memory response can be monitored in a simple 6 d assay when blood is incubated with the complete formulation. In all cases illustrated, an antigen and adjuvant dose response was detected. The optimum concentration of H4 was relatively broad (2.0 μg and 0.02 μg) and was dependent on the concentration of TLR4-Adjuvant. Similarly, the optimum dose of adjuvant varied between 2.5 ng and 10 ng. While responses were variable between subjects, the expectation is that loss of potency, between different formulations, would be apparent in any subject tested. The ability to demonstrate profound immunomodulation in terms of quantitative (measurable) and qualitative (cytokine profile) responses by adjuvant on the T cell response through this in vitro approach is of particular interest.

**Adjuvant Immunomodulation**

The use of hWB may provide a new way to monitor ‘complete’ vaccine formulations in terms of both the antigen and the adjuvant response. The ability to demonstrate and understand modulation in vitro in this manner is relatively novel. Since a TLR4-Adjuvant is expected to drive Th1-like immunity, it is thus

---

**Figure 2.** Innate immune response to vaccine formulation. TNFα is released from human whole blood of three different subjects after 24h stimulation with LPS, TLR4-Adjuvant, TLR4A, and ASA (ASA alone was consistently negative and is not shown).
important to show and quantify this effect for any formulation being developed.

The example chosen here uses a TB vaccine to boost the memory response primed by BCG or prior mycobacterial exposure. However, the approach is likely to be applicable to any other T cell inducing vaccine that aims to boost existing memory.

**Formulation Stability**

Once the functionality of a formulation can be demonstrated, the ability to detect changes to the stability of the formulation is then possible. To this end, TLR4-Adjuvant formulations under different conditions of accelerated temperature storage were monitored using hWB and chemical integrity by reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). The fresh blood from a single subject was tested on multiple weeks using different adjuvant preparations in an overnight TNF-α assay. The data revealed a loss of functional activity (ability to stimulate TNF-α) when formulations were stored at 45 °C and 60 °C for 3, 5, and 12 wk (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the loss of functional activity agreed with changes in the RP-HPLC profile. The ability to link specific chemical or structural changes to an immunofunctionality will be particularly useful. Stability studies could also be extended to antigen-specific responses discussed above.

**Formulation Safety**

Formulation safety may be an important potential application for the hWB approach. Since the approach identifies both innate signaling and the modulated adaptive response, the use of cells from healthy humans may be a quick way to confirm absence of unwanted or unexpected immunity in any formulation at any time during development. Such testing might also identify contamination with endotoxin or any other toxins that might not be recognized in other standard tests (i.e., Limulus Amebocyte Lysate – LAL and rabbit pyrogenicity). Indeed, a commercial kit using hWB is already
being marketed for this purpose (Biotest PyroDetect System). Application of the approach may also be helpful to a non-clinical safety assessment. Certainly, innovative approaches to address safety are of major interest to the industry (e.g., BioVacSafe).

**Concluding Remarks**

The hWB approach enables the determination of the functionality of a complete formulation (adjuvant and antigen) within 6 d. Multiple formulations can be monitored simultaneously and responses compared for several subjects. The approach presents an opportunity for a broad formulation screen and to select optimal, possibly safer, formulations based on a functional response on fresh human cells. The functional response can be used in conjunction with standard biochemical and biophysical tests. The ability to re-stimulate antigen-specific memory B cells may also be possible with this approach. Moreover, the ability to correlate a memory T helper cell response with antibody elicited in vivo would make the approach especially attractive for screening other vaccine targets. Innovative technologies that monitor T cell immunity are continuously being developed. These technologies and other assays such as qPCR or proteomics could be readily applied to the hWB approach.

A convincing argument for the advantages of using fresh hWB is already reported and discussed. Provided that drawn blood is returned to culture within a few hours, there is no need for cellular enrichment or centrifugation. Blood is maintained in the most physiologically relevant condition. In addition, hWB contains components essential to stimulate both innate and memory T cell immunity. Such factors may include neutrophils and eosinophils, as well as platelets and other cells and plasma constituents, that have been shown to play a role in cellular function and cytokine production. Since hWB is used for immunomonitoring clinical trials, there may be a direct link between formulation screening in vitro and actual clinical trial monitoring. Indeed, the approach may be compatible with a ‘trial-in-a-test tube’, where an in vitro response may be predictive of the clinical response.

The use of point-of-care devices (PCD) to screen whole blood for an array of markers is becoming a reality. The hWB approach is ideally placed to exploit these and other innovative technologies. Variability of the response between different subjects is expected. Such variability allows for a more realistic understanding of vaccine performance. In terms of formulation screening, multiple formulations can be monitored relative to a reference formulation within a single subject.

In summary, the hWB approach is a relatively inexpensive, fast HTS methodology that can monitor complete vaccine formulation functionality in terms of the adjuvant response, the antigen response, and the modulation of the antigen response by the adjuvant.
In addition, the approach can detect a loss of functionality thus supporting structure-function characterization of vaccine antigens and optimization of antigen-adjuvant interactions. Finally, the application of a formulation to human cells can be a sensitive indicator of unwanted or unexpected immunological response. The approach would considerably de-risk progression of vaccine formulations through early clinical trials (Fig. 5).
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