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Vaccine – Jit – Figure 2

The graph illustrates the probability of cost-effectiveness for different scenarios. The x-axis represents the threshold willingness to pay (£/QALY), while the y-axis shows the probability of being cost-effective (%). The graph includes three lines:

- **Base case**
- **Without infant protection**
- **With second season protection**

The shaded area indicates the uncertainty range for the base case.
Vaccine – Jit – Figure 4

[Graph showing incremental cost effectiveness ratio (£/QALY) against QALY loss due to clinical episode. Three lines are shown:
- Black line: With infant protection
- Red line: Without infant protection
- Green line: With second season protection]

[Graph showing incremental cost effectiveness ratio (£/QALY) against administration cost of vaccine (£). Three lines are shown:
- Black line: With infant protection
- Red line: Without infant protection
- Green line: With second season protection]
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