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Abstract

Objective To examine the potential effect of a tax on palm oil on hyperlipidemia and on mortality due to cardiovascular disease in India.

Design Economic-epidemiologic model.

Modeling methods A microsimulation model of mortality due to myocardial infarction and stroke among Indian populations was constructed, incorporating nationally representative data on systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, tobacco smoking, diabetes, and cardiovascular event history, and stratified by age, sex, and urban/rural residence. Household expenditure data were used to estimate the change in consumption of palm oil following changes in oil price and the potential substitution of alternative oils that might occur after imposition of a tax. A 20% excise tax on palm oil purchases was simulated over the period 2014-23.

Main outcome measures The model was used to project future mortality due to myocardial infarction and stroke, as well as the potential effect of a tax on food insecurity, accounting for the effect of increased food prices.

Results A 20% tax on palm oil purchases would be expected to avert approximately 363 000 (95% confidence interval 247 000 to 479 000) deaths from myocardial infarctions and strokes over the period 2014-23 in India (1.3% reduction in cardiovascular deaths) if people do not substitute other oils for reduced palm oil consumption. Given estimates of substitution of palm oil with other oils following a 20% price increase for palm oil, the beneficial effects of increased polyunsaturated fat consumption would be expected to enhance the projected reduction in deaths to as much as 421 000 (256 000 to 586 000). The tax would be expected to benefit men more than women and urban populations more than rural populations, given differential consumption and cardiovascular risk. In a scenario incorporating the effect of taxation on overall food expenditures, the tax may increase food insecurity by <1%, resulting in 16 000 (95% confidence interval 12 000 to 22 000) deaths.

Conclusions Curtailing palm oil intake through taxation may modestly reduce hyperlipidemia and cardiovascular mortality, but with potential distributional consequences differentially benefiting male and urban populations, as well as affecting food security.

Introduction

Four out of five deaths from chronic disease now occur in low and middle income countries.1 India is projected to have more deaths from cardiovascular disease than any other country in the world over the next decade.2 Hyperlipidemia is a leading contributor to mortality due to cardiovascular disease.3 Given limited healthcare infrastructure in parts of India and other low and middle income countries, one approach to reducing hyperlipidemia is to reduce consumption of dietary sources of saturated fat. Consistent evidence indicates that palm oil represents a significant and increasing source of saturated fat for populations of low and middle income countries,4 that such saturated fat intake increases serum cholesterol,56 and that rises in serum cholesterol linearly increase rates of death from cardiovascular disease.7 Palm oil accounts for most of Indian vegetable oil imports and nearly 48% of domestic consumption of oil for food,8 in part because it is typically 20-30% cheaper than other oils. Globally, palm oil has now surpassed soybean oil in global consumption (fig 1⇓).9 Palm oil contains approximately 49 g saturated fat per 100 g oil, as opposed to 17 g in peanut oil, 16 g in soybean oil, and 7 g in canola/rapeseed oil.10 Consequently, palm oil induces a larger increase in plasma concentrations of total cholesterol and low density lipoproteins.6 Palm oil consumption is strongly
associated with higher rates of death from myocardial infarction in low and middle income countries, even after adjustment for time trends, tobacco use, and other saturated fat sources.4 Reducing palm oil intake has recently been suggested as a strategy to lower hyperlipidemia and mortality due to cardiovascular disease in low and middle income countries.1 Replacing saturated fats with polyunsaturated fats has reduced mortality due to myocardial infarction in randomized controlled trials,7 as well as at the population level in Poland and Mauritius.12 13 Fiscal interventions such as taxes and subsidies have been suggested to reduce consumption of foods high in saturated fats, but these have been controversial.14 Fiscal interventions are delivered at the population level, making randomized controlled trials of their effect difficult; hence, mathematical models have been used to assess the potential effects of these interventions, including potential adverse consequences.

We developed a model to simulate the risk of death from myocardial infarctions and strokes in India, using nationally representative data on key risk factors including systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, tobacco smoking, and diabetes. The model considered the potential cardiovascular health effects of a 20% excise tax on palm oil among various subpopulations in India, examining price mediated effects on consumption of both palm oil and other oils. We chose a 20% tax to be in line with other food taxes proposed to discourage unhealthy caloric intake, as well as to allow for reasonable estimation of how much a price change would affect palm oil consumption as well as substitution with other oils, given existing price fluctuations that have varied the price of palm oil by as much as 27% over the past decade.15 The model also considered potential adverse effects of the tax on food security, given the effect of taxation on overall food budgets.

Methods

The underlying structure and assumptions behind the model are described below. The table 1 itemizes the model’s parameters and data sources. Full model equations and input data are in the web appendix. The key model assumptions are that changes in intake of saturated and polyunsaturated fat affect serum cholesterol over time on the basis of a previously validated formula30; that estimates of own price and cross price elasticity provide an estimate of how much a palm oil tax would be expected to reduce palm oil intake and how much people deferring palm oil consumption would be expected to substitute other common oils for palm oil, in turn affecting their saturated and polyunsaturated fat intakes; and that changes in serum cholesterol are related to changes in the risk of myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke on the basis of international relative risk estimates shown in the table 1 and appendix table 9 (which are potentially conservative underestimates of risk among South Asians30).

Cardiovascular disease mortality model

We used a discrete time microsimulation model to forecast deaths from myocardial infarctions and ischemic strokes among Indian residents aged 20 to 79 years in yearly time steps over a 10 year period starting in 2014 (fig 2).16 The model stratifies India’s population into 24 cohorts defined by 10 year age groups (20-29 through 70-79 years), sex, and rural versus urban residence, incorporating demographic trends in population growth (appendix section 1).

In the model, each cohort contains 10 000 representative people. Each person is assigned a cardiovascular disease “risk factor profile” based on data from the World Health Organization’s nationally representative Study on Global Aging and Adult Health (SAGE) from 2007 to 2010 and its sister household based health surveys that have undergone extensive validation and are the best publically available, updated, population representative source of cardiovascular risk information on India (appendix tables 1-6).17 The profile comprises six established cardiovascular risk factors: systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, tobacco smoking, diabetes, and previous history of coronary heart disease or cerebrovascular disease. Body mass index is not part of the profile because it is not a significant independent predictor of myocardial infarctions or strokes after adjustment for the other risk factors.23 24 Each person’s risk factor profile is determined by sampling from cohort specific joint probability distributions of the risk factors; the sampling is guided by a correlation matrix between the risk factors (appendix table 7). Risk factor values are updated annually to account for secular trends in risk (appendix table 8).

The relative risk of death from myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke conferred by a person’s risk profile is used to calculate that person’s probability of death from myocardial infarction or stroke in each year of the simulation (appendix table 9). A person’s probability of death is based on the cohort specific rates of death from myocardial infarction and stroke (appendix table 10), which are calculated by using a validated algorithm that accounts for the independent effects of each risk factor and their combined effects.25 A standard competing risks algorithm estimates the probability of death from other causes (appendix tables 10 and 11).36 We also externally validated the model’s predictions of deaths against government estimates for myocardial infarction, stroke, and all cause mortality in India, matching these external data points to within 5% error without any model fitting (appendix figure 1, A-C).

Palm oil tax simulation

The model projected deaths from myocardial infarctions and strokes in India over a 10 year period in the presence of a 20% palm oil tax (“tax scenario”) compared with deaths without such a tax (“baseline scenario”), assuming continued linear trends in consumption (fig 1). The 20% rate mirrored other proposed food tax rates and was varied from 10% to 30% in sensitivity analyses.3 We used standard microeconomic demand system equations to estimate how changes in the price of palm oil affect consumption of palm oil, substitution of palm oil with other oils, and households’ real expenditures on oil.23 The demand system, which estimates own price and cross price elasticities (table 1), was estimated using data from the Indian National Sample Survey, a repeated annual nationally representative cross sectional household survey that collects food consumption and price data.31 Appendix section 1.4 provides the demand system equations and estimation details.

The elasticity estimates suggested that for each 1% increase in the price of palm oil, consumption of palm oil decreases by 0.71%. Importantly, the demand system also captures substitution of other oils for palm oil and allows us to estimate the overall expenditure effects of the tax (expenditure elasticity). For a 1% increase in the price of palm oil, the cross elasticities suggest a 0.70% rise in rapeseed and mustard seed oil consumption and a 0.67% rise in groundnut oil consumption, but no significant increase in coconut oil consumption (table 1). Note that whereas the substituted oils increase percentage-wise by more than the percentage decrease in palm oil consumption, the actual volume increases in consumption of the substituted oils are smaller than the volume change in palm oil consumption, owing to different starting volumes consumed (more palm oil consumption with no tax compared with substitution with other oils with a higher price).
is consumed at baseline, hence a 0.7% change in palm oil is a larger volume than a 0.7% rise in rapeseed and mustard seed and a 0.67% rise in groundnut oil consumption. The prices also differ among oils, so that the money deferred from palm oil can be used for a correspondingly larger percentage increase in consumption of alternative oils. These elasticity estimates closely match older price elasticity estimates from India. In addition to these substitutability for rapeseed, mustard seed, and groundnut oils, we also accounted for the fact that the National Sample Survey data contain an aggregate “other oils” category, which contains oils that have both high saturated fat and low polyunsaturated fat content (cottonseed) and vice versa (soybean). We modeled two scenarios of potential substitutions within this “other” oils category—a pessimistic and an optimistic scenario—in which we assumed that each rupee deferred from palm oil consumption after a tax that did not already go to one of the major oils (rapeseed, mustard seed, or groundnut) would, after adjustment for price differences and change in household food expenditure, go to purchasing the next least healthy “other” oil in terms of saturated fat to polyunsaturated fat ratio (cottonseed oil, pessimistic case) or the most healthy alternative “other” oil in terms of saturated fat to polyunsaturated fat ratio (soybean oil, optimistic case).

Hence, we simulated four scenarios: a baseline case with no tax; a 20% palm oil tax with no substitution with other oils; a 20% palm oil tax in which substitution of palm oils with other oils occurred, including a pessimistic substitution of cottonseed oil from the “other” oils category; and a 20% palm oil tax with substitution with other oils, including an optimistic substitution of soybean oil from the “other” oils category.

Effect on cholesterol and cardiovascular risk
To estimate the relation between changes in consumption of the various oils and changes in total cholesterol, we used Keys’ formula (appendix section 1.4), which relates average quantities of saturated fat and polyunsaturated fat consumed to changes in serum cholesterol. This approach has been validated in South Asian and other cohorts. The saturated and polyunsaturated fat compositions of the oils came from the US Department of Agriculture’s Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies, which were found to be consistent with the Nutritive Value of Indian Foods database (table I). The microsimulation model adjusted cholesterol in the risk factor profiles on the basis of the calculation of change in serum cholesterol. Only 43% of the simulated population experienced the change, as this is the proportion of the current Indian population consuming palm oil in their homes. We conservatively assumed that the decline in cholesterol would take place at a linear rate over five years, given the experience in Mauritius.

Potential adverse effects
Because a palm oil excise taxation raises the price of a food item, its net effect on health and wellbeing is particularly unclear for those who are at risk of becoming food insecure. To the extent that an increase in the price of palm oil results in people either spending more of their overall budgets on food (not substituting cheaper oils) or foregoing some calories because of cost (as calorie intake is lower for most of the cheaper oils), palm oil taxes might have adverse effects on net energy consumption, food security, or both. We calculated the added financial burden of a palm oil tax and the burden of purchasing other oils among those who switch from palm to other oils. We used the latest price data available from India (from 2010) for the various oils. We calculated the new equilibrium prices following a rise in demand from demand and supply functions on the basis of data from the Food and Agricultural Organization. As detailed in appendix section 1.5, we estimated the change in kilocalorie consumption, taking into account the change in household expenditure (spending power) and differences in calorie content per oil. Current levels of food insecurity came from the Food and Agricultural Organization’s estimates of the distribution of calorie intake in India; we shifted this distribution by the estimated change in kilocalorie consumption per capita after the palm oil tax. We estimated, from the cumulative distribution function of this calorie distribution, the number of people who newly fall below the reported threshold for “minimum dietary energy requirement” (1780 kcal/day) after a palm oil tax. To be conservative and follow the precautionary principle (providing an upper bound estimate for the adverse effects of a palm oil tax), we used the largest expenditure elasticity of food from the lowest income class in India (0.65, 95% confidence interval 0.41 to 0.89), as this group is at highest risk for food insecurity and is most sensitive to changes in food prices.

Sensitivity analyses
We did univariate sensitivity analyses in which each parameter was varied across the uncertainty range listed in the table through deterministic simulations in which other parameters were held at their mean values, estimating the model’s sensitivity to each parameter. This was followed by a multivariate uncertainty analysis in which the simulation was repeated 10 000 times by Monte Carlo sampling from the uncertainty ranges around each parameter in the table and across the risk factor distributions listed in the appendix, generating 95% confidence intervals around the model’s results. We used MATLAB version R2012a (MathWorks, Cambridge, MA) for all computations.

Results
Projections of cardiovascular deaths
Without any new interventions, the model based on trends in palm oil consumption (fig 1) projects that the 20 to 79 year old population of India will experience approximately 21.9 (95% confidence interval 16.9 to 26.9) million deaths from myocardial infarctions and 14.7 (13.7 to 15.7) million deaths from ischemic stroke over the period 2014-23. A larger than average share of this burden would occur among men and among residents of cities, corresponding to risk factor rates and trends. About 16% of the population is accounted for by urban men, 35% by rural men, 15% by urban women, and 33% by rural women, but approximately 33% of the deaths from myocardial infarction would occur among urban men, followed by 31% among rural men, 19% among urban women, and 17% among rural women. About 27% of deaths from stroke would occur among urban men, 25% among rural men, 25% among urban women, and 23% among rural women.

A 20% palm oil tax without substitution with other oils would lower saturated fat intake per person in India by about 1.3 (95% confidence interval 0.8 to 1.8) g/day and lower polyunsaturated fatty acid consumption by about 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3) g/day. The changes in consumption due to a 20% palm oil tax correspond to a decline in total cholesterol of about 0.08 (0.05 to 0.12) mmol/L; a −1.7% (−1.0% to –2.3%) change. A change of at least 0.009 mmol/L is considered clinically significant. The change in cholesterol from the palm oil tax would be expected to avert approximately 251 000 (171 000 to 331 000) deaths.
from myocardial infarction (1.4% (0.6% to 2.1%) of myocardial infarction deaths) and 112 000 (76 000 to 148 000) deaths from stroke (0.8% (0.5% to 1.1%) of stroke deaths) over the 10 year period from 2014 to 2023 (figs 3 and 4)).

When the substitutions of other major oils (mustardseed/rapeseed/groundnut) are taken into account, and a pessimistic substitution of palm oil with cottonseed oil in the smaller “other oils” category is included, saturated fat consumption would decline by about 1.1 (0.5 to 1.6) g/day, but polyunsaturated fats consumption would increase by about 0.30 (0.05 to 0.56) g/day owing to greater soybean oil consumption. This would correspond to a reduction in serum cholesterol of about 0.08 (0.05 to 0.12) mmol/L (a −1.7% (−1.1% to −2.3%) change) and prevention of about 254 000 (160 000 to 348 000) deaths from myocardial infarction (1.4% (0.9% to 1.9%) of myocardial infarction deaths) and 113 000 (71 000 to 155 000) deaths from stroke (0.8% (0.5% to 1.1%) of stroke deaths).

When the substitutions of other major oils (mustardseed/rapeseed/groundnut) are taken into account, and an optimistic substitution of palm oil with soybean oil in the smaller “other oils” category is included, saturated fat consumption would decline by about 1.0 (0.4 to 1.6) g/day, but polyunsaturated fats consumption would increase by 0.80 (0.55 to 1.05) g/day owing to greater soybean oil consumption. This would correspond to a reduction in serum cholesterol of about 0.10 (0.07 to 0.13) mmol/L (a −2.0% (−1.3 to −2.6%) change) and prevention of about 291 000 (177 000 to 405 000) deaths from myocardial infarction (1.6% (1.0% to 2.2%) of myocardial infarction deaths) and 130 000 (79 000 to 181 000) deaths from stroke (0.9% (0.6% to 1.3%) of stroke deaths).

The scenarios with and without substitution with other oils provide a lower and upper bound on estimates of the tax’s potential effect on deaths, with the likely effect somewhere between these two extremes. Figure 4j] shows the relative benefits among subpopulations; benefits would accrue more among urban populations and among older age groups than among rural and younger populations. Figure 4j also shows the difference in differences analysis, in which between group differences in mortality change (bottom panels).

Potential adverse effects of palm oil tax
A 20% palm oil tax along with substitution with other oils would be expected to result in a reduction of intake of 13.1 kilocalories per person per day in India and an increase in food insecurity by about 0.59% (0.50% to 0.68%), leading to new chronic food insecurity for 73 000 (46 000 to 100 000) Indians, after changes in household expenditure due to the tax and kilocalorie differences among oils have been accounted for. This relates to at most 16 000 (12 000 to 22 000) deaths over 10 years on the basis of the highest relative risk estimates of all cause mortality attributable to food insecurity (2.06, 95% confidence interval 1.75 to 2.37, versus non-insecure).41

Sensitivity analyses
A 10% tax instead of a 20% tax reduced efficacy by 50% in terms of averted deaths from myocardial infarction and stroke, whereas a 30% tax increased efficacy by 50% in terms of averted deaths. Among the various oils that may be substituted for palm oil, an increase in soybean oil consumption conferred the greatest benefit because it contains the highest quantity of polyunsaturated fat among the oils considered. A 1% rise in the consumption of soybean oil increased the number of averted deaths from myocardial infarctions and strokes by 0.5%.

Discussion
In India, a 20% excise tax on palm oil for domestic consumption is projected to avert between 710 000 and 930 000 deaths from myocardial infarction and stroke (a 2-3% reduction) over the period 2014-23, on the basis of nationally representative data describing key cardiovascular risk factors including blood pressure, cholesterol, diabetes, and tobacco smoking. This decline in cardiovascular deaths depends in part on how Indian consumers substitute other oils for palm oil. We found that should Indian consumers respond to rises in the price of palm oil by substituting with soybean oil, soybean’s higher polyunsaturated fat content would be expected to provide further reductions in cardiovascular disease mortality. In terms of health equity, much of the decline in deaths is concentrated among men and urban dwellers, reflecting the heightened prevalence of major risk factors such as hypertension and hyperlipidemia among this group. This, combined with the worst case scenario of increased food insecurity, suggests that a palm oil tax may cause a net transfer of health from rural to urban populations and from women to men. Designing appropriate protections in existing food subsidy programs to accompany a palm oil tax therefore requires further consideration.

Strengths and weaknesses of study
Our model incorporates population representative data disaggregated by age, sex, and urban/rural residence; the different effects of a palm oil tax among groups in our results highlight the importance of a distributional aspect to fiscal policies affecting dietary choices, which have generally been projected on a whole country level rather than through disaggregated analyses.

These findings must, however, be contextualized by important limitations. Firstly, the analysis uses a mathematical model, which inherently cannot capture all aspects of reality or predict the future. Although the model matched independent disease estimates (appendix figures), its future projections rely on existing data and trends in prevalence of risk factors in the country, which may change through future health campaigns. Secondly, the model uses total cholesterol rather than a complete lipid profile to predict risk of cardiovascular disease, which has been validated in previous models.23 However, complex mechanistic understandings of low density lipoprotein profiles, high density lipoprotein profiles, and ultimate outcomes are not possible through this model, as nationally representative data on these cholesterol components are not available from India. Thirdly, we focused on palm oil taxation, but other types of fiscal policies are also increasingly being discussed in the literature, such as taxes on sugar sweetened beverages, which have not yet been modeled for India or most other low and middle income countries. Evidence on sugar sweetened beverage taxation has come mostly from Western countries to date and suggests that small taxes are likely to have an insufficient effect size to notably change outcomes when incorporating substitution patterns, but larger taxes in the order of 20-25% may be more effective.33 42 43 Further data on consumption of other goods and fiscal approaches to affecting their use could provide opportunities for direct comparison between palm oil taxes and other strategies to identify the approaches with highest comparative effectiveness.

Strengths and weaknesses in relation to other studies
These results are consistent with the natural experiment in Mauritius, where saturated fat sources were replaced through
government action by polyunsaturated fat sources. However, the effects sizes of the intervention in Mauritius were much larger, with a decline in mean cholesterol of about 0.7 mmol/L over five years at the same time that the fat replacement program was paralleled by other dietary changes; this decline is nearly 10-fold larger than the 0.08 mmol/L tax effect projected here,\textsuperscript{13} owing to the much smaller reduction in consumption achieved by taxation than by elimination of market availability of an item. This implies that how fiscal interventions in low and middle income countries affect nutrition needs place specific analyses, as dietary composition, market availability, and consumer behavior differ between countries.

Our analysis is also unique in that it incorporates direct estimates of substitution between products, whereas a recent meta-analysis found that typical food tax analyses make assumptions of either no substitution or arbitrary substitution levels.\textsuperscript{13} Some of the other potential strategies to reduce cardiovascular disease include decreasing dietary sodium intake, restricting tobacco use, and expanding drug use. Compared with these other interventions, the palm oil tax simulated here would have smaller effects on deaths from myocardial infarction and stroke than would dietary sodium reduction (which is projected to lower cardiovascular mortality by about 5\% in India over the same time period as that simulated here), tobacco use restrictions (25\% reduction), and increased use of aspirin, statins, and antihypertensives (5\% reduction in combination when considering typical rates of access and adherence in India).\textsuperscript{44, 45} Compared with these other studies, palm oil taxation may have more profound indirect negative effects on food insecurity, especially among rural populations who would need to spend more income for cooking oils, although dietary sodium reduction also poses other risks such as iodine deficiency. Mitigation of such adverse food insecurity effects could include measures such as increasing the affordability of healthier oil alternatives.

**Meaning of study**

Our study provides the first analysis of how taxes to reduce palm oil consumption may affect population health in India. India is not only expected to face the greatest burden of cardiovascular disease mortality among low and middle income countries but is also considered a policy leader in the prevention of chronic disease among these countries.\textsuperscript{3} As this study highlights, the use of fiscal strategies to alter nutrition in low and middle income countries may be a powerful tool to improve cardiovascular health, but the differential benefits among various demographic groups may require careful application of blanket fiscal policies in highly heterogeneous countries and further comparative analyses to find those food products that may be most beneficial to health among an array of food product substitutes. The major obstacles to further evaluating fiscal policies such as taxation include political opposition from the food manufacturing industry, the desire to avoid taxation as a means to increase income for cooking oils, although dietary sodium reduction also poses other risks such as iodine deficiency. Mitigation of such adverse food insecurity effects could include measures such as increasing the affordability of healthier oil alternatives.\textsuperscript{3}

What is already known on this topic

Palm oil is now the primary vegetable oil used in South Asia, as in many developing countries

Palm oil has a very high saturated fat content and has been significantly associated with increased mortality rates for myocardial infarction in low and middle income countries

This association remains even after adjustment for time trends, tobacco use, and other saturated fat sources

What this study adds

A mathematical model incorporating nationally representative data on cardiovascular disease risk factors, palm oil consumption, and substitution of palm oil with other oils was used to investigate the potential effect of an excise tax on palm oil in India

Although palm oil taxation may modestly reduce hyperlipidemia and cardiovascular mortality, men and urban populations may benefit more than women and rural populations

The rise in the price of palm oil may also reduce food security among some groups
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Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (95% CI)</th>
<th>Data sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population size and demographic trends</td>
<td>Stratified by age, sex, and urban/rural residence</td>
<td>Census of India, 2011&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population distribution of systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>Appendix tables 1 and 8</td>
<td>World Health Organization estimates, 2011&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population distribution of total cholesterol</td>
<td>Appendix tables 2 and 8</td>
<td>World Health Organization estimates, 2012&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population distribution of tobacco smoking</td>
<td>Appendix tables 3 and 8</td>
<td>Global Adult Tobacco Survey, 2009-10&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of diabetes</td>
<td>Appendix tables 4 and 8</td>
<td>Cross sectional survey, 2004, updated using estimates from Bayesian analysis of prevalence trends, 2011&lt;sup&gt;11,17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of coronary heart disease</td>
<td>Appendix table 5 and 8</td>
<td>World Health Organization estimates, 2010&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>Appendix tables 6 and 8</td>
<td>World Health Organization estimates, 2010&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation among risk factors listed above</td>
<td>Appendix table 7</td>
<td>Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2007&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative risk of coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease conferred by changes in each risk factor listed above</td>
<td>Appendix table 9</td>
<td>Previous reviews of international datasets&lt;sup&gt;20,25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rates from coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and other causes</td>
<td>Appendix tables 10 and 11</td>
<td>World Health Organization estimates, 2008&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own price elasticity of palm oil</td>
<td>−0.71 (95% CI −0.44 to −0.98)</td>
<td>Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System estimate from Indian National Sample Survey (nationally representative household survey)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross price elasticities for other oils</td>
<td>Rapeseed/mustard seed oil: 0.70 (0.27 to 1.12); groundnut: 0.67 (0.38 to 1.19); coconut oil: non-significant; soybean, cottonseed, and sunflower oils varied in simulations: in pessimistic scenario, all deferred palm oil expenditure goes to cottonseed; in optimistic scenario, all deferred expenditure goes to soybean oil</td>
<td>Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System estimate from Indian National Sample Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat content per 100 g oil</td>
<td>Palm: 49.3 g; soy: 15.7 g; cottonseed: 25.9 g; sunflowerseed: 10.8 g; rape/mustardseed: 9.5 g; groundnut: 16.9 g; coconut: 86.5 g</td>
<td>United States Department of Agriculture&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated fat content per 100 g oil</td>
<td>Palm: 9.3 g; soy: 57.7 g; cottonseed: 51.9 g; sunflowerseed: 36.5 g; rape/mustardseed: 24.6 g; groundnut: 32.0 g; coconut: 1.8 g</td>
<td>United States Department of Agriculture&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold for food insecurity</td>
<td>1780 kcal/day</td>
<td>United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current distribution of kilocalorie consumption in India</td>
<td>Mean 2323 (SD 581)</td>
<td>United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income elasticity for food, lowest income sector (&lt;75% of poverty level)</td>
<td>Mean 0.65 (SD 0.12)</td>
<td>Quadratic Almost Ideal Demand System model of data from Indian National Sample Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete data values are provided in appendix tables, as listed.
Figures

**Fig 1** Change in domestic food consumption of major vegetable oils in India, 2003-12. All other oils are of lower per capita consumption than those shown.

**Fig 2** Activity diagram for microsimulation model. 10,000 people are simulated from each of 24 cohorts defined by 10 year age groups (20-29, 30-39, ..., 70-79 years), sex, and rural versus urban location. Each simulated person is assigned a cardiovascular disease "risk factor profile" based on cohort specific data on six risk factors: systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, tobacco smoking, diabetes, coronary heart disease, and cerebrovascular disease. Cardiovascular disease mortality from myocardial infarctions and strokes (using a Framingham-like risk equation), as well as all cause mortality, are calculated. Palm oil taxation is simulated by calculating how changes in palm oil consumption (and potential substitution with other oils) affect total cholesterol.
**Fig 3** Daily saturated and polyunsaturated fat intake from vegetable oils among 20-79 year old adults in India, before and after 20% palm oil tax. "No substitution" refers to scenario in which 20% palm oil tax results only in reduction in palm oil consumption but no substitution with other oils. "Pessimistic substitution" refers to pessimistic scenario in which some palm oil consumption is substituted with major oils (rapeseed, mustard seed, and groundnut) in proportion to their cross elasticities, and proportion of oil substituted from "other oils" category in Indian National Sample Survey (in addition to major oils) goes toward cottonseed oil (least healthy "other oil" in terms of saturated fat per gram). "Optimistic substitution" refers to optimistic case in which "other oil" substitution goes toward soybean oil (most healthy product in "other oil" category). On each box, central mark is median, edges of box are 25th and 75th centiles, and whiskers extend to 1.5 times interquartile range (capturing 99.3% of distribution).

**Fig 4** Estimated future mortality averted from myocardial infarctions and strokes among 20-79 year old adults in India (top). See fig 3 for explanation of substitution scenarios. Wide confidence intervals are due more to uncertainty in baseline risk estimates than to uncertainty between simulated tax scenarios; to illustrate this, between group differences in change in mortality from myocardial infarctions and strokes relative to urban men group within each of 10 000 simulations are shown (difference in differences chart) (bottom).