

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Black, N (2012) Good question, wrong answer. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed), 345. e5055. ISSN 0959-8138 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5055>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/126389/>

DOI: [10.1136/bmj.e5055](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5055)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

LETTERS

DOCTORS' PERFORMANCE

Good question, wrong answer

Nick Black *professor of health services research*

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1H 9SH, UK

Although the extent to which national clinical databases publicly disclose comparisons of the quality of providers' care is an important question to answer, Tavare does not acknowledge that most of the leading databases already do this.¹ These include, among others, adult critical care (Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre's case mix programme database), severe trauma care (Trauma Audit and Research Network), lung cancer (National Lung Cancer Audit), neonatal intensive care (National Neonatal Audit), hip fracture (National Hip Fracture Database), coronary angioplasty, hip and knee replacement (National Patient Reported Outcome Measures programme), acute stroke care (Stroke Improvement National

Audit programme), and paediatric intensive care (Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network).

Competing interests: NB chairs the Department of Health National Advisory Group for Clinical Audit and Enquiries and is a trustee of the Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre

1 Tavare A. Where are we with transparency over performance of doctors and institutions? *BMJ* 2012;345:e4464. (3 July.)

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2012;345:e5055

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2012