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Abstract. Antimalarial resistance to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) is mediated by mutations in the dihydrofolate reductase (dhfr) and dihydropteroate synthase (dhps) genes. However, the relative importance of different mutations is incompletely understood and has not been studied with combination therapy. Samples from 812 patients treated for uncomplicated malaria in Kampala, Uganda were tested for the presence of mutations commonly found in Africa. The dhps Glu-540 mutation was the strongest independent predictor of treatment failure. The dhfr Arg-59 mutation was only predictive of treatment failure in the presence of the dhps Glu-540 mutation. Comparing combination regimens with SP monotherapy, the addition of chloroquine to SP did not improve efficacy, the addition of artesunate lowered the risk of treatment failure only for infections with both the dhfr Arg-59 and dhps Glu-540 mutations, and the addition of amodiaquine lowered this risk for all dhfr/dhps mutation patterns. The dhps Glu-540 mutation played a principal role and the dhfr Arg-59 mutation a secondary role in mediating resistance to SP alone and in combination.

INTRODUCTION

Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) is currently the first-line antimalarial therapy in several African countries where chloroquine (CQ) resistance is widespread and other drugs remain too expensive for general use.1 It is generally regarded as a single antimalarial agent because its success depends on the synergistic action of its two component inhibitors of folate synthesis.2 Resistance to SP spread rapidly in southeast Asia following widespread use3 and is now spreading in Africa.4 In an attempt to improve treatment efficacy and delay the spread of drug resistance, several countries in Africa recently changed to inexpensive combinations of SP plus CQ or amodiaquine (AQ) as first-line antimalarial therapy.5 In addition, many authorities advocate combinations with artesunate (AS) or other artemisinins, including SP plus AS,5 although these regimens are expensive.

Resistance to SP is caused by point mutations that accumulate at several sites in the dihydrofolate reductase (dhfr) and dihydropteroate synthase (dhps) genes, resulting in increasing degrees of drug resistance in vitro.2,6 For dhfr, a point mutation at position 108 (Ser→Asn) increases resistance to pyrimethamine approximately 100-fold.7 The addition of mutations at positions 51 (Asn→Ile) and 59 (Cys→Arg) progressively enhances in vitro resistance to pyrimethamine.5 The relationship between dhps mutations and in vitro resistance to sulfadoxine is less clear, in part because the in vitro measurement of sulfadoxine activity is complex due to differences in assay conditions and variations in the ability of different parasite strains to use exogenous folate.2,6,8 Nevertheless, it appears that in vitro resistance to sulfadoxine is associated with accumulation of point mutations in the dhps gene.9

Assessment of molecular markers of SP resistance has been proposed as a means of monitoring in vivo drug resistance. However, many questions remain about the relationship between dhfr and dhps mutations that confer in vitro resistance and clinical treatment outcomes. The dhfr Asn-108 mutation alone does not appear to be sufficient to confer clinical drug resistance.10 The dhfr triple mutant (Asn-108 + Ile-51 + Arg-59) has been suggested as a useful predictor of treatment failure by some investigators,11,12 although others have not found useful predictive value for this genotype.13,14 Others have suggested that the most useful predictor of SP treatment failure is a combination of the dhfr triple mutant and two dhps mutations (Gly-437 + Glu-540).15,16 A better understanding of the relationship of key dhfr and dhps mutations in field isolates and of the independent roles of each mutation in mediating response to therapy will be helpful in directing the rational use of SP and SP-containing combination regimens.

In this study, we evaluated the relationship between key dhfr and dhps mutations in a large sample of patients (n = 812) with uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria from three clinical trials conducted over a five-year period in Kampala, Uganda. In addition, we evaluated combinations of dhfr and dhps alleles to identify the strongest predictors of treatment failure, and we compared the relationship between allelic risk groups and response to SP monotherapy and three SP combination therapies (SP + CQ, SP + AQ, and SP + AS).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and subjects. All studies were conducted between March 1999 and June 2003 at the outpatient department of Mulago Hospital in Kampala, the urban capital of Uganda. Malaria is mesoendemic in Kampala, with peak transmission occurring during two rainy seasons (Ugandan Ministry of Health, unpublished data). Patients included in this analysis came from three previously published clinical trials. The treatment arms and study dates are as follows: study 1; CQ versus SP, March 1999 to August 1999; study 2; SP versus SP + AQ versus SP + AS, July 2000 to August 2001; and study 3; SP + CQ versus SP + AQ versus AQ + AS, August 2002 to July 2003 (Staedke SG, unpublished data). Only patients enrolled in SP monotherapy arms or SP combination therapy arms (SP + CQ, SP + AQ, or SP + AS) fulfilling the following selection criteria were included in this study: 1) age range = 6 months to 10 years, 2) tympanic temperature ≥ 38°C or a history of fever in the previous 48
hours, 3) absence of severe malaria or danger signs (inability to stand or drink, lethargy, recent convulsions, persistent vomiting), 4) willingness to provide informed consent, 5) residence within Kampala 6) P. falciparum mono-infection, 7) parasite density ≥ 500 asexual parasites/μL, and 8) successful outcome classification after 28 days of follow-up, adjusted for genotyping.

Informed consent was obtained from all adult participants and from parents or legal guardians of minors. All protocols were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Makerere University, Kampala and the University of California, San Francisco.

Patient follow-up and outcome classification. Standardized clinical outcomes for all subjects were assessed using the 1996 World Health Organization (WHO) clinical classification system (adequate clinical response [ACR], early treatment failure [ETF], or late treatment failure [LTIF]) with follow-up extended to 28 days and the modification that after day 3, patients with parasitemia and a history of recent fever (not documented) were considered LTIF. For subjects with recurrent parasitemia after day 4, pretreatment samples and samples from the day of treatment failure were analyzed for polymorphisms in merozoite surface protein 2 to distinguish recrudescence from new infections, according to previously published methods. Genotyping was successful in 97% of the samples analyzed. For the purposes of this study, treatment failure was defined as fulfillment of the WHO criteria for ETF or LTIF in the presence of recrudescent parasites.

Mutation analysis. The presence of dhfr Asn-108, Ile-51, and Arg-59 and dhps Gly-437 and Glu-540 mutations commonly found in Africa were determined using a nested polymerase chain reaction amplification followed by restriction enzyme digestion. All five mutations were assessed in the SP monotherapy group and only the dhfr Arg-59 and dhps Gly-437 and Glu-540 mutations were assessed in the combination therapy groups. Blood was collected on filter paper on the day of diagnosis and parasite DNA isolated using the Chelex extraction method. Primers, amplification conditions, and restriction endonucleases for assays to detect all mutations were as previously described. Digestion products were visualized by gel electrophoresis and results were classified as wild-type, pure mutant, or mixed (both wild-type and mutant alleles present in the same infection) based on the migration patterns of the fragments. Investigators were blinded to clinical outcomes at the time of molecular analysis.

Statistical analysis. Associations between categorical variables were assessed using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Associations between combinations of dhfr and dhps mutations and treatment failure were assessed using multivariate logistic regression, controlling for age and parasite density, with treatment and allelic risk groups included as interaction terms. All interaction terms with P values ≤ 0.2 were retained in the final model. Graphical presentation of the change in the prevalence of mutations over calendar time was generated using the lowess locally weighted regression smoothing technique. Parasite density was normalized using natural log transformation. All data were entered and verified using Epi-Info version 6.04 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA) and SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Analysis was performed using STATA statistical software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). All confidence levels were set at 95%. Data on the association between molecular markers of SP resistance and clinical outcomes in the SP monotherapy group have been published previously, but were included in this study to improve statistical power and provide a comparison group for the SP-combination therapies.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics and treatment outcomes. A total of 812 subjects from 4 different treatment groups enrolled between March 1999 and April 2003 were included in this study. Compared with the SP monotherapy group (32%), the risk of treatment failure at 28 days was similar in the SP + CQ group (35%; P = 0.58) and significantly lower in the SP + AS (17%; P = 0.0003) and SP + AQ (7%; P < 0.0001) groups (Table 1).

Prevalence and relationship of dhfr and dhps mutations. We were interested in the prevalence of key dhfr (Asn-108, Ile-51, and Arg-59) and dhps mutations (Gly-437 and Glu-540) and the relationship between these mutations. A total of 2,832 (97%) of 2,930 molecular assays were successfully performed for dhfr Asn-108 and Ile-51 mutations in the SP monotherapy group and for dhfr Arg-59 and dhps Gly-437 and Glu-540 in all treatment groups. The dhfr Asn-108 and Ile-51 mutations were very common, with 88% and 91% of samples having the pure mutant and 97% and 94% having a mixed or pure mutant, respectively (Figure 1). The dhfr Arg-59 mutation occurred less commonly and was strongly linked to the dhfr Asn-108 and Ile-51 mutations. Of the 161 samples that were mixed or pure mutant for dhfr Arg-59, only 3 (2%) were wild-type for dhfr Asn-108, 6 (4%)

---

**TABLE 1**

Baseline characteristics and treatment outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>SP + CQ</th>
<th>SP + AS</th>
<th>SP + AQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies (n) and enrollment period†</td>
<td>Study 1 (63)</td>
<td>Study 3 (125)</td>
<td>Study 2 (173)</td>
<td>Study 2 (138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study 2 (184)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age in years (range)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.5–10)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.5–10)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.6–5.7)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.5–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean parasite density (per μL)</td>
<td>35,027</td>
<td>24,191</td>
<td>52,727</td>
<td>36,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early treatment failure‡ (% )</td>
<td>25 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late treatment failures§ (% )</td>
<td>55 (22%)</td>
<td>42 (34%)</td>
<td>29 (17%)</td>
<td>14 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SP = sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine; CQ = chloroquine; AS = artesunate; AQ = amodiaquine.
† Study 1 March 1999–August 1999; Study 2 July 2000–August 2001; Study 3 August 2002–April 2003.
‡ Treatment failure on days 1–3.
§ Treatment failure on days 4–28 adjusted by genotyping.
Molecular markers of SP resistance were not associated with treatment outcomes. Our goal was to identify patterns that were significantly different across strata based on dhfr 59 alleles (P = 0.38) (Table 2). For samples containing the dhps Glu-540 mixed or pure mutant allele, but excluding dhfr Arg-59 + dhps Glu-540 pure mutants (termed the intermediate-risk allelic group), the risk of treatment failure was significantly higher (58 of 327, 18%) compared with the low-risk group (P = 0.0001). Again, there was no significant difference in the risk of treatment failure for this group across strata based on dhfr 59 alleles (P = 0.80) (Table 2). For samples containing both the dhfr Arg-59 and dhps Glu-540 pure mutants (termed the high-risk allelic group), the risk of treatment failure was significantly higher (76 of 283, 27%) compared with the low risk (P < 0.0001) and the intermediate risk allelic groups (P = 0.007). Thus, the presence of the dhfr Arg-59 mutation had an independent effect on the risk of treatment failure only in the presence of the dhps Glu-540 pure mutant. In contrast, the presence of the dhps Glu-540 mutation had an independent effect on the risk of treatment failure even in the absence of the dhfr Arg-59 mutation.

Interestingly, the prevalence of the dhfr Arg-59 and dhps Glu-540 pure mutants increased over the four-year period during which samples were collected (Figure 2). The average prevalence of the dhfr Arg-59 pure mutant increased from 40% in 1999 to 60% in 2003 and the average prevalence of the dhps Glu-540 pure mutant increased from 40% in 1999 to 70% in 2003.

### Association between dhfr and dhps alleles and treatment outcomes stratified by treatment group

For SP monotherapy, the risk of treatment failure was 7% for the low-risk allelic group, 23% for the intermediate-risk group, and 37% for the high-risk group (Figure 3). When age and pretreatment parasite density were controlled, differences in these associations were statistically significant (intermediate- versus low-risk allelic groups, odds ratio [OR] = 6.3, P < 0.001; high- versus low-risk allelic groups, OR = 14.4, P < 0.001) (Table 3). Compared with SP monotherapy, SP + CQ combination therapy was associated with a similar relationship between the dhfr 59 and dhps 540 allelic risk groups and the risk of treatment failure (Figure 3 and Table 3). Combining AS with

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>dhps 540 Allele</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Risk of late treatment failure</th>
<th>Assigned risk group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Low-risk group†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Intermediate-risk group§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>High-risk group¶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*dhfr = dihydrofolate reductase; dhps = dihydropteroate synthetase.
† Treatment failure on days 4–28 adjusted by genotyping.
‡ Low-risk group = dhfr 59 any genotype and dhps 540 wild-type.
§ Intermediate-risk group = dhps Glu-540 mixed or pure mutant (excluding high-risk group).
¶ High-risk group = dhfr Arg-59 pure mutant and dhps Glu-540 pure mutant.
SP resulted in an overall reduction in the risk of treatment failure (Figure 3), and the association between \( dhfr \) Arg-59 and \( dhps \) Glu-540 mutations and treatment failure remained statistically significant (Table 3). However, samples from the intermediate and high-risk groups had similar risks of treatment failure (OR = 6.3, \( P < 0.001 \) and OR = 5.3, \( P = 0.002 \), respectively). Combining AQ with SP also resulted in an overall reduction in the risk of treatment failure (Figure 3); however, compared with samples from the low-risk allelic group, only samples from the high-risk allelic group were associated with treatment failure (OR = 2.8, \( P = 0.06 \)) (Table 3). The associations between \( dhfr \) and \( dhps \) allelic risk groups and treatment outcomes presented in Table 3 were not significantly different across different age groups (i.e., there was no evidence of effect modification by age).

**FIGURE 2.** Change in average prevalence of dihydrofolate reductase (\( dhfr \)) Arg-59 and dihydropteroate synthetase (\( dhps \)) Glu-540 pure mutants over the duration of the three clinical trials using the lowest locally weighted regression smoothing technique. Study 1 (sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine [SP] monotherapy), March 1999 to August 1999; study 2 (SP monotherapy, SP plus amodiaquine [AQ] and SP + artesunate [AS]), July 2000 to August 2001; study 3 (SP plus CQ and SP plus AQ), August 2002 to July 2003.

**FIGURE 3.** Treatment failure defined as a late treatment failure on days 4–28 adjusted by genotyping. SP = sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, CQ = chloroquine, AQ = amodiaquine, AS = artesunate. Low-risk group = dihydrofolate reductase (\( dhfr \)) 59 any genotype and dihydropteroate synthetase (\( dhps \)) 540 wild-type; intermediate-risk group = \( dhps \) Glu-540 mixed or pure mutant (excluding high-risk group); high-risk group = \( dhfr \) Arg-59 pure mutant and \( dhps \) Glu-540 pure mutant.

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allelic group</th>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)†</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-risk group</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate-risk group</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6.3 (2.6–15.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP + CQ</td>
<td>13.0 (4.7–35.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP + AS</td>
<td>6.3 (2.6–15.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP + AQ</td>
<td>0.63 (0.2–2.6)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-risk group</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>14.4 (5.6–36.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP + CQ</td>
<td>23.8 (8.7–65.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP + AS</td>
<td>5.3 (1.8–15.3)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP + AQ</td>
<td>2.8 (1.0–8.0)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( dhfr \) = dihydrofolate reductase; \( dhps \) = dihydropteroate synthetase; SP = sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine; CQ = chloroquine; AS = artesunate; AQ = amodiaquine.
† Multivariate logistic regression controlling for age and pretreatment parasite density including significant interaction terms based on treatment and allelic risk groups OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.
‡ Low-risk group = \( dhfr \) Arg-59 any genotype and \( dhps \) 540 wild-type.
§ Intermediate-risk group = \( dhps \) Glu-540 mixed or pure mutant (excluding high-risk group).
¶ High-risk group = \( dhfr \) Arg-59 pure mutant and \( dhps \) Glu-540 pure mutant.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we investigated the relationship between key \( dhfr \) and \( dhps \) mutations found in Africa and clinical response to SP therapy alone and in combination with other drugs. We developed a simplified predictive model of treatment response based on the presence of the \( dhfr \) Arg-59 and \( dhps \) Glu-540 mutations, largely due to the fact that the presence of these mutations was highly predictive of the \( dhfr \) triple mutant (Asn-108 + Ile-51 + Arg-59) and the \( dhps \) double mutant (Gly-437 + Glu-540), respectively. Considering all treatment groups combined, the presence of the \( dhps \) Glu-540 mutation was a much stronger predictor of clinical treatment failure than the \( dhfr \) Arg-59 mutation. Indeed, the \( dhfr \) Arg-59 mutation was only an independent predictor of treatment failure in the presence of the \( dhps \) Glu-540 pure mutant. Compared with SP monotherapy, the addition of CQ to SP did not improve the risk of treatment failure after controlling for the prevalence of the \( dhfr/dhps \) allelic risk groups. The addition of AQ to SP lowered the risk of treatment failure due to parasites containing both the \( dhfr \) Arg-59 and \( dhps \) Glu-540 pure mutants. The addition of AS to SP only lowered the risk of treatment failure after parasites containing both the \( dhfr \) Arg-59 and \( dhps \) Glu-540 pure mutants. For this combination therapy treatment failure was only associated with the \( dhfr \) Arg-59 + \( dhps \) Glu-540 pure mutant.

Several studies from Africa have examined the relationship between key \( dhfr \) and \( dhps \) mutations in field isolates. With respect to \( dhfr \) mutations, it has been proposed that the selection of mutations occurs in a stepwise fashion, first with the selection of the Asn-108 mutation, followed by the selection of \( dhps \) mutations occurs in a stepwise fashion, first with the selection of the Gly-437 mutation in the absence of the \( dhfr \) Glu-437 mutation in the absence of the \( dhfr \) Ile-51 and/or Arg-59. Data on the selection of \( dhps \) mutations is less clear. Some studies have reported the presence of the \( dhps \) Gly-437 mutation in the absence of the \( dhfr \) Glu-540 mutation only in combination with the Gly-437 mutation, suggesting that the Gly-437 mutation is selected first. However, the presence of the \( dhps \) Glu-540 mutation in the absence of the Gly-437 mutation has been reported, although it appears to be uncommon, as was the case in our study. It has been further suggested that common \( dhfr \) and \( dhps \) mutations have arisen independently on numerous occasions, with the incremental selection of increasingly resistant parasites over...
time.\textsuperscript{28} However, recent data from South Africa and Tanzania demonstrated that gene flow, rather than the spontaneous generation of new mutations, has been the most common originator of SP resistance.\textsuperscript{4} In that study, a period of rapid deterioration of SP efficacy was linked to a high background prevalence of a single \textit{dhfr} triple mutant allele (Asn-108 + Ile-51 + Arg-59), followed by the spread of a single \textit{dhps} double mutant allele (Gly-437 + Glu-540), each derived from independent ancestral lineages. The findings from our study of strong linkage between the three \textit{dhfr} mutations and, independently, between the two \textit{dhps} mutations, which were substantially increasing in prevalence over the four-year span of our studies in Uganda, are consistent with this more recent understanding of the basis of spread of SP resistance.

The relative importance of \textit{dhfr} versus \textit{dhps} mutations in mediating treatment failure to SP has not been clearly resolved. In Cameroon, the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant was associated with 7 (100%) of 7 treatment failures, but was also present in 26 (44%) of 59 treatment successes.\textsuperscript{12} In Gabon, the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant was associated with 9 (82%) of 11 treatment failures, but was also present in 51 (72%) of 71 treatment successes.\textsuperscript{14} In both of these studies, there was no clear association between \textit{dhps} mutations and treatment failure, but importantly the \textit{dhps} Glu-540 mutation was not detected at either site and despite the high prevalence of the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant (50-73%), the 28-day risk of SP treatment failure was less than 15%. In studies from east Africa, where the reported risk of SP treatment failure is higher than in west Africa, infection with parasites containing both the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant (Asn-108 + Ile-51 + Arg-59) and the \textit{dhps} double mutant (Gly-437 + Glu-540) was associated with the highest risk of treatment failure.\textsuperscript{16,27} However, due to relatively small sample sizes (n < 100) and the highly concordant nature of \textit{dhfr} and \textit{dhps} mutations in field isolates, previous studies have had limited power to evaluate independent associations between these mutations and treatment response. In our study, which benefited from a much larger sample size, we found that the \textit{dhps} Glu-540 mutation (a surrogate marker of the Gly-437 + Glu-540 double mutant) was a strong predictor of treatment failure independent of the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 mutation (a surrogate marker of the Asn-108 + Ile-51 + Arg-59 triple mutant). In addition, it was not uncommon (23% of the samples) for the \textit{dhps} Glu-540 mutation to occur in the absence of the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 mutation, contradicting previous suggestions that key \textit{dhps} mutations are rare in the absence of the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant.\textsuperscript{7} In contrast, the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 mutation was associated with treatment failure only in the presence of the \textit{dhps} Glu-540 mutation. These results are consistent with recent findings from South Africa, where five years after the establishment of the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant, an increase in the risk of SP treatment failure from 20% to 70% coincided with the emergence of the \textit{dhps} double mutant.\textsuperscript{4}

A recent detailed report summarized all known Ugandan drug efficacy studies from 1988 to 2000, many of which were previously unpublished, including studies with varied methodologies.\textsuperscript{29} Between 1988 and 1995, reported rates of parasitologic (RI-RIII) resistance in children up to 15 years of age were 2–58% for CQ and 0–4% for SP. Between 1996 and 2000, rates of parasitologic resistance in children less than five years of age were 36–96% for CQ and 6–33% for SP. In addition, these more recent studies reported rates of clinical treatment failure of 10–81% for CQ and 3–25% for SP. In 2001, the Ugandan Ministry of Health replaced CQ with CQ + SP as first-line therapy for uncomplicated malaria.

Clinical response to antimalarial therapy involves a complex interaction between the parasite, drug, and host immune response. In this study, by restricting our analysis to children recruited from the same target population and controlling for age and parasite density, we were able to minimize the contribution of differences in the host immune response. This allowed us to directly compare associations between molecular markers of SP resistance and clinical response to therapy. The use of SP combination therapy reduced the risk of ETF compared with SP monotherapy (10% versus 1%; \( P < 0.001 \), probably due to more rapid initial parasite clearance. Surprisingly, the addition of CQ to SP did not lower the risk of ETF compared with SP alone even after controlling for the prevalence of key \textit{dhfr} and \textit{dhps} mutations. Overall, the addition of CQ to SP had no impact on efficacy, and CQ is clearly a poor companion drug for SP where resistance to both drugs is high.\textsuperscript{18,30} Of note, in a previous study from Kampala, the prevalence of the \textit{pfcrt} Thr-76 mutation (which has been linked to CQ resistance) was 100%, suggesting the ubiquitous nature of resistance to this drug in our patient population.\textsuperscript{31} The addition of AS to SP reduced the risk of ETF, but only in infections with the most resistant parasites (\textit{dhfr} Arg-59 + \textit{dhps} Glu-540 pure mutants). The addition of AQ to SP lowered the risk of ETF for all \textit{dhfr/dhps} allelic risk groups, and ETF was only associated with the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 + \textit{dhps} Glu-540 pure mutant. In our patient population, the combination of SP + AQ was the most effective regimen, but also resulted in the strongest selective pressure for parasites most resistant to SP because almost all treatment failures carried the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 + \textit{dhps} Glu-540 pure mutant. Thus, concern remains about the useful therapeutic lifespan of SP +AQ in areas where pre-existing resistance to SP is high.

In summary, field studies of molecular markers of SP resistance can be useful for improving our understanding of the determinants of the clinical response to therapy. A combination of the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 mutation (a surrogate marker of the \textit{dhfr} triple mutant) and the \textit{dhps} Glu-540 mutation (a surrogate marker of the \textit{dhps} double mutant) is the strongest predictor of treatment failure in Africa. Contrary to some prior suggestions, in our study the \textit{dhps} Glu-540 mutation played a greater role in response to therapy than that of the \textit{dhfr} Arg-59 mutation. Since more expensive antimalarial regimens, such as artemisinin-based combination therapy, remain beyond the reach of most African countries, SP combination therapies have been adopted as first-line treatment in a number of African countries. A better understanding of the relationship between molecular markers of SP resistance and response to therapy should help in establishing rational combination therapy policies and in estimating the useful therapeutic life span of these regimens.
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