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COMPARATIVE EFFICACY OF AMINOQUINOLINE-ANTIFOLATE COMBINATIONS FOR THE TREATMENT OF UNCOMPLICATED FALCIPARUM MALARIA IN KAMPALA, UGANDA

Anne F. Gasasira, Grant Dorsey, Bridget Nzarubara, Sarah G. Staedke, Annette Nassali, Philip J. Rosenthal, and Moses R. Kamyà

Abstract. Resistance to chloroquine (CQ) requires its replacement as first-line therapy for uncomplicated malaria in much of Africa. Combination therapy may improve efficacy and delay the selection of resistant malaria parasites. Combinations of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) with 4-aminoquinolines offer affordable and available alternatives to CQ. We conducted a randomized, single-blinded trial to compare the efficacy of SP monotherapy with combinations of SP and either CQ or amodiaquine (AQ) for the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria in patients over 6 months of age in Kampala, Uganda. Of the 448 patients enrolled, 428 (95%) completed follow-up. Clinical treatment failure after 14 days occurred in 21/140 (15.0%, 95% CI 9.5–22.0%) SP-treated, 11/152 (7.2%, 95% CI 3.7–12.6%) SP/CQ-treated, and 0/136 (0%, 95% CI 0–2.7%) SP/AQ-treated patients. Combination therapies were safe and offered superior efficacy to SP monotherapy. SP/AQ was the most efficacious. This low-cost combination regimen may provide an optimal alternative to CQ for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Uganda.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria remains one of the most important infectious diseases worldwide. In sub-Saharan Africa, widespread resistance to chloroquine (CQ), the traditional first-line therapy for uncomplicated malaria, presents an important public health problem. Affected countries are faced with the challenge of selecting a new first-line regimen and revising antimalarial treatment policies. There is a limited choice of available and affordable antimalarials, and the optimal alternative to CQ is not clear. Several African countries have adopted sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) as a replacement for CQ. However, the therapeutic life span of SP may be limited by rapid emergence of resistance under intense drug pressure. Indeed, evidence for increasing SP resistance in East Africa has begun to emerge.

Countries faced with a high prevalence of CQ-resistant malaria are increasingly considering the use of combination therapy to treat uncomplicated malaria. Combination therapy has been advocated to improve efficacy and delay the development and spread of drug resistance. The use of SP with 4-aminoquinoline antifolates offers the only available low-cost option for antimalarial combination therapy in Africa at this time. In a systematic review, combinations of SP with either CQ or amodiaquine (AQ) provided improved fever clearance but similar treatment outcomes to SP monotherapy. However, resistance to SP was very low in these five studies. With increasing levels of SP resistance, SP-aminoquinoline combinations may offer more-significant advantages in therapeutic efficacy.

CQ resistance was first reported in Uganda in 1988, and its prevalence appears to have risen steadily since then. In 2000, clinical CQ resistance rates of 10–80% prompted the Ugandan Ministry of Health to re-evaluate its antimalarial treatment recommendations. The combination of SP/CQ was chosen as the provisional replacement for first-line therapy of uncomplicated malaria. Limited information is available regarding the efficacy of SP/CQ, but no trials with this combination have been conducted in Uganda. Recent studies of SP/AQ in Kampala indicated that the combination regimen was safe and highly efficacious for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria. Importantly, in a longitudinal comparison, SP/AQ was significantly superior to SP and artesunate (AS), an artemisinin derivative widely advocated as a potent new antimalarial. Both SP/AQ and SP/AS demonstrated excellent efficacy for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria at 14 days of follow-up, but only SP/AS was associated with frequent late-treatment failures. Thus, in combination with SP, aminoquinolines may offer improved long-term efficacy, in addition to much lower cost, when compared with AS.

To better assess the relative efficacies of aminoquinoline-SP combinations, we conducted a randomized trial of SP, SP/CQ, and SP/AQ for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Kampala, Uganda.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subject recruitment. The study was conducted in the outpatient department of Mulago Hospital in Kampala, Uganda from March 2001–January 2002. Malaria is mesoendemic in Kampala, with peak transmission occurring after the two rainy seasons.

Consecutive patients presenting with symptoms suggesting acute malaria and a positive screening blood smear were referred to the study clinic and assessed for eligibility using the following inclusion criteria: 1) age over 6 months; 2) acute uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum monoinfection with a parasite density of ≥ 2,000 asexual parasites/μL; 3) tympanic temperature of ≥ 38°C or history of fever in the previous 48 hrs; 4) absence of concomitant febrile illness; 5) absence of signs and symptoms of severe malaria or danger signs (convulsion in the previous 24 hrs, persistent vomiting, lethargy, inability to drink); 6) absence of known allergy to sulfa drugs; 7) residence in Kampala; 8) provision of informed consent; and 9) agreement to 2 weeks’ follow-up.

Recruited patients were interviewed about their symptoms and history of therapy in the previous 2 weeks. A physical examination was performed, and core temperature, height, weight, and spleen size were measured. All temperatures were measured using an electronic tympanic thermometer. A documented fever was defined as a tympanic temperature of 38.0°C (equivalent to an axillary temperature of 37.5°C).
Randomization and treatment. On the day of enrollment, patients were assigned a study number and randomized using a computer generated list to receive either a single dose of SP (Fansidar, Roche), 25 mg/kg sulfadoxine and 1.25 mg/kg pyrimethamine; SP plus CQ (Avloclor, Zeneca), 25mg/kg in 3 divided doses given on days 0, 1 and 2; or SP plus AQ (Camoquine, Parke-Davis), 25 mg/kg in 3 divided doses given on days 0, 1 and 2. Patients randomized to receive SP were given lactose placebo tablets in the same regimen as CQ. Placebo tablets were not identical to study drugs. All study personnel were blinded to treatment groups except for two nurses who administered treatment but were not involved in outcome assessment. Drugs were administered under direct observation; if a patient vomited within 30 minutes of treatment, the dose was repeated. All patients were given acetaminophen for 3 days to relieve febrile symptoms. Patients with a hemoglobin of \( \leq 10 \text{ g/dL} \) were given ferrous sulfate and mebendazole if they were older than 1 year and had not had an anti-helminthic in the previous 6 months, according to Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses (IMCI) guidelines (Management of Childhood Illnesses, Ministry Of Health, 1997). Patients who failed treatment were given quinine sulfate 10 mg/kg every 8 hours for 7 days, and those who developed severe malaria were referred for in-patient care and parenteral quinine.

On day 0, all recruited patients had phlebotomy done for confirmatory thin and thick blood smears, hemoglobin measurement, and white blood cell (WBC) and neutrophil counts. Alternate patients enrolled had serum alanine amino-transferase (ALT) levels measured. Patients were enrolled into the study if \( P. falciparum \) monoinfection was confirmed with parasite density of \( \approx 2,000 \text{ parasites/µL} \). Patients were asked to return to the study clinic for follow-up on days 1, 2, 3, 7, and 14 and any other day if they felt unwell.

Follow-up consisted of history-taking, including assessment for adverse events, and a physical examination. A standardized case record form was completed, and if an adverse event was suspected, a separate adverse event form was completed. On follow-up days 3 and 7, and any unscheduled days when the patient had febrile symptoms, a fingerprick was performed for a thick blood smear to assess parasitemia. On day 14, phlebotomy was done to repeat all tests performed on day 0. Patients who did not return to the clinic on a scheduled follow-up day were visited and assessed at home. Patients whom the home health visitor failed to locate within 24 hours of days 1 to 3, and 48 hours of days 7 and 14, were classified as lost to follow-up.

Patients were excluded from the study because of: 1) self-medication with other anti-malarial drugs, 2) development of a concomitant febrile illness that would interfere with the malaria outcome classification, 3) withdrawal of consent, 4) development of danger signs or severe malaria within 24 hours of enrollment.

Thick and thin smears were stained with 2% Giemsa stain for 30 minutes, and parasite densities and gametocyte counts were calculated based on the number of asexual parasites and gametocytes per 200 WBCs, assuming a WBC count of 8,000/µL. Hemoglobin measurement and WBC and neutrophil counts on days 0 and 14 were measured using a Coulter counter. On day 0, urine was tested for the presence of 4-aminopyrimidines and their metabolites using the Saker-Solomons test. 15

Outcome measurements. Primary outcome parameters were clinical and parasitologic outcomes at day 14. Parasitologic outcomes were expressed as sensitive (S) or resistant (RI, RII, RIII) according to the World Health Organization (WHO) parasitologic classification system. Clinical outcomes were classified as clinical success (adequate clinical response) or clinical failure (early or late treatment failure) using a slight modification of the recommended WHO clinical classification system. 16 Patient report of fever in the past 48 hours on days 4–14 in the setting of rising parasitemia was added as a criterion for late treatment failure. Secondary outcomes included: 1) fever (temperature greater than 38°C clearance; 2) parasite clearance; 3) change in hemoglobin from day 0 to day 14; and 4) appearance of gametocytes after day 0.

Tolerability of the study medication also was assessed clinically and by laboratory testing. An adverse event was defined as an unfavorable or harmful symptom or sign or an abnormal laboratory value during or after administration of study medication. For each adverse event, causal relationship with study medication was assessed. Adverse events determined by clinicians to have an unlikely causal relationship with the study medications were not included in the analysis. Adverse event severity was graded as mild, moderate, or severe using the WHO Toxicity Grading Scale and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases pediatric toxicity tables.

Statistical analysis. Sample size calculations were based on the difference in proportion of parasitologic failure between SP/CQ and SP alone. Expected success rates of 70% in SP-treated and 85% in SP/CQ-treated patients, respectively, were used. To achieve a one-sided alpha level of 5% and power of 80%, 136 patients in each group were needed. Assuming a 7% exclusion rate, our goal was to enroll at least 145 patients in each treatment group.

Data were entered and verified in EPI Info version 6.04 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta) and analyzed using Stata version 7.0 (Stata, College Station, TX). Proportions were compared using \( \chi^2 \) tests, and continuous variables were compared using Student’s \( t \) test. Parasite densities were normalized using log-transformation. All patients with known outcomes were included in the analyses. Pairwise comparisons among the treatment groups were planned \textit{a priori}. Subgroup analysis stratified for ages under 5 and 5 or older were based on previous knowledge of potential effect modification. 17 Binary outcome data were analyzed by estimating differences in proportions with corresponding exact 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). A \( P \) value of \( \leq 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. We did not make corrections for multiple comparisons.

Ethical approval. The study was approved by the Faculty Research and Ethical Committee of Makerere University, Kampala, the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, and the University of California, San Francisco, Committee on Human Research.

RESULTS

Of 838 patients referred to the study clinic for evaluation, 325 were excluded during the screening interview, primarily because of residence outside Kampala (29%), unwillingness
to agree to 2 weeks of follow-up (27%), failure to obtain informed consent (23%), and severe disease (10%). Of the 513 patients randomized to treatment, an additional 65 were excluded, 35 because of initial parasitemia under 2,000/μL, 25 for non-falciparum or mixed infections, and 5 for severe disease within 24 hours of enrollment (Figure 1).

Of the 448 patients enrolled, 428 (95%) successfully completed the study. Of the 20 patients (5%) who did not, nine were treated with additional antimalarials outside the study, five were lost to follow-up, three withdrew informed consent, and three developed a concomitant febrile illness. Patients who did not complete the study were similar to those who completed it in age, initial temperature, and parasite density. (Data not shown.) Among the three treatment groups, patients who completed the study had comparable baseline clinical and demographic characteristics (Table 1).

The risks of clinical and parasitologic failure for the three treatment groups are shown in Table 2. Combination therapies reduced the risk of treatment failure compared with SP monotherapy. SP/AQ significantly reduced the risk of treatment failure compared with both SP monotherapy and SP/CQ. SP/CQ significantly reduced the risk of treatment failure compared with SP monotherapy. However, when results were stratified by age, the difference in treatment outcome between SP/CQ and SP was significant only in children under the age of 5 (Table 3).

Fever resolution differed in the three treatment arms. Patients receiving combination therapy were more likely to be afebrile on day 2 compared with those in the SP monotherapy group (Figure 2). SP/AQ-treated patients were more likely to have no parasites in their blood by day 3 (95%) compared with patients treated with SP/CQ (84%, P = 0.005) and patients treated with SP alone (76%, P < 0.001). When comparing SP/CQ to SP alone, there was no significant difference in the proportion of patients with positive smears on day 3 (P = 0.126). Six percent of patients had circulating gametocytes on the day of enrollment, and 18% developed circulating gametocytes during follow-up. Appearance of gametocytes after commencement of therapy was more common in SP-treated patients (33%) compared with patients treated with either SP/CQ (13%, P < 0.001) or SP/AQ (7%, P < 0.0001). There was no statistical difference between SP/CQ- and SP/AQ-

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>SP n = 140</th>
<th>SP/CQ n = 152</th>
<th>SP/AQ n = 136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female [% (95% CI)]</td>
<td>56 (46–64)</td>
<td>44 (36–52)</td>
<td>51 (42–59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age [yrs (range)]</td>
<td>5.0 (0.6–50)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.5–44)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.0–50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 5 yrs [% (95% CI)]</td>
<td>47 (39–58)</td>
<td>44 (36–52)</td>
<td>43 (34–51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of antimalarial use in previous 2 weeks [% (95% CI)]*</td>
<td>53 (44–62)</td>
<td>48 (40–56)</td>
<td>61 (52–70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ≥ 38.0°C [% (95% CI)]</td>
<td>38 (30–46)</td>
<td>39 (19–33)</td>
<td>40 (31–48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hemoglobin [g/dL ± SD]</td>
<td>9.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin ≥ 10g/dL [% (95% CI)]</td>
<td>55 (46–63)</td>
<td>48 (40–56)</td>
<td>49 (41–58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean parasite count [parasites/μL (range)]</td>
<td>36,700 (2,000–710,000)</td>
<td>30,620 (2,040–572,000)</td>
<td>30,410 (2,000–1,013,760)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite density &gt; 100,000/μL [% (95% CI)]</td>
<td>23 (16–31)</td>
<td>22 (15–29)</td>
<td>21 (15–29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive urine CQ test [% (95% CI)]†</td>
<td>50 (40–60)</td>
<td>55 (46–64)</td>
<td>62 (51–72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patients in whom data were recorded
† Patients from whom urine was obtained
treated patients in the incidence of gametocytemia after therapy ($P = 0.7$).

For all treatment groups, the average hemoglobin levels were higher at the end of follow-up compared with baseline. Fifty percent, 45%, and 48% of patients randomized to receive SP, SP/CQ, and SP/AQ, respectively, were anemic (Hb ≤ 10g/dL) at baseline. The rate of hematologic recovery in the three groups by day 14 was 36%, 46%, and 50%, respectively. The differences in recovery rates were not statistically significant among the three groups ($P = 0.3$).

Forty-four percent of the patients who completed the study developed a possible adverse event during therapy or follow-up. The most frequently reported adverse event was pruritus and nausea/vomiting in the combination therapies. However, when mild symptoms were eliminated from the analysis, there was no significant difference in frequency of adverse events among the three treatments, and incidence did not exceed 10% for any symptom reported in any treatment group. (Data not shown.) One severe adverse event was reported in an SP-treated patient: an elevated ALT measurement on day 14 of 500 IU/L (normal range 0–32) in a 3-year-old girl. On further investigation, other liver enzymes (serum aspartate amino-transferase, alkaline phosphatase, and gamma glutamyl transpeptidase) also were elevated. This event was not accompanied by symptoms and resolved without medical intervention within 2 weeks.

**DISCUSSION**

In this comparative study, combinations of SP with AQ or CQ were significantly more effective than SP monotherapy for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Kampala, Uganda. The SP/AQ combination was significantly superior to the other regimens, providing excellent clinical (100%) and parasitologic (99%) efficacy. SP/CQ had intermediate efficacy. Compared with SP monotherapy, treatment with SP/CQ significantly improved clinical and parasitologic outcomes only in children younger than 5. These results show that combining SP and a 4-aminoquinoline provides more-effective treatment than SP monotherapy. In addition, they highlight the marked superiority in efficacy of SP/AQ over SP/CQ.

Uganda is revising its national antimalarial drug policy, which until recently recommended CQ for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria. SP/CQ has been adopted as the provisional first-line therapy. Although the SP/CQ combination had not been studied at the time of this policy decision, it was...
chosen because of the presumed benefits of combination therapy, lingering reluctance to abandon CQ, and the lack of available data on other antimalarial agents.\textsuperscript{18} This study offers the first comparison in Uganda of the efficacies of SP monotherapy and the SP/CQ combination.

Our study showed benefit when CQ was added to SP, with significant but modest improvement in efficacy based on either clinical or parasitologic criteria. However, considering the fairly high prevalence of SP resistance demonstrated in this and other recent studies,\textsuperscript{12,13,16} and the very high prevalence of CQ resistance, it seems unlikely that the addition of CQ to SP will protect it from further selection of resistant parasites. In contrast, as shown in other studies from Kampala, the SP/AQ regimen demonstrated outstanding efficacy against uncomplicated malaria. These data suggest that SP/AQ, rather than SP/CQ, is the optimal available combination regimen for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Kampala.

For malaria transmission to occur, gametocytes must be transmitted to anopheline mosquitoes. While highly efficacious drugs will reduce gametocytemia because of the rapid reduction of circulating parasites, it has been suggested that conscious drugs will reduce gametocytemia because of the rapid transmission to anopheline mosquitoes. While highly efficacious AQ, rather than SP/CQ, is the optimal available combination against uncomplicated malaria. These data suggest that SP/CQ to SP will protect it from further selection of resistant parasites.

The proportion of patients with potential adverse events to study medications is shown in Table 4. After repeated use of SP/AQ over 1 year,\textsuperscript{13} Careful adverse event monitoring and additional studies evaluating the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of SP/AQ after repeated use will help to more fully assess this highly effective regimen. At present, however, it appears that any potential for increased toxicity from SP/AQ compared with SP/CQ will be offset by markedly improved efficacy, and thus a decreased need for antimalarial treatment over time.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event % (95% CI)</th>
<th>SP (140)</th>
<th>SP + CQ (152)</th>
<th>SP + AQ (136)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any*</td>
<td>29 (22–38)</td>
<td>49 (41–57)</td>
<td>54 (45–62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritis*</td>
<td>13 (8–20)</td>
<td>34 (27–42)</td>
<td>24 (17–32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting†</td>
<td>10 (6–16)</td>
<td>18 (12–25)</td>
<td>24 (17–32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>7 (3–13)</td>
<td>9 (5–15)</td>
<td>11 (7–19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>10 (6–16)</td>
<td>7 (4–13)</td>
<td>6 (3–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>6 (2–11)</td>
<td>4 (1–8)</td>
<td>9 (5–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>3 (1–7)</td>
<td>4 (1–8)</td>
<td>5 (2–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>4 (2–9)</td>
<td>4 (1–8)</td>
<td>3 (1–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>4 (2–9)</td>
<td>3 (1–8)</td>
<td>3 (1–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache‡</td>
<td>6 (2–11)</td>
<td>1 (0–5)</td>
<td>2 (0–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>2 (0–6)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>1 (0–4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.01 for SP vs. SP + CQ and SP vs. SP + AQ
† P = 0.005 for SP vs. SP + AQ
‡ P = 0.01 for SP vs. SP + CQ
All other comparisons P > 0.05


