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Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and self-reported physical and mental functional health measured by an anglicised short-form 36-item questionnaire (UK SF-36).

Design: Population-based cross-sectional study.

Setting: General community in Norfolk, UK.

Subjects: A total of 16 792 men and women aged 40–79 years recruited from general practice population registers as part of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC)–Norfolk study, who completed food-frequency questionnaires in 1993–1997 and Health and Life Experiences Questionnaires 18 months later, were enrolled in the study.

Results: Mean SF-36 physical component summary scores increased significantly with increasing total fruit and vegetable consumption in both men and women ($P < 0.0001$ for trend). Men and women in the top quartile of consumption compared with the bottom quartile had a significantly higher likelihood of reporting good physical health (defined as a score ≥ 55); odds ratio (OR) 1.30, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.11–1.53 for men and OR 1.28, 95% CI 1.11–1.48 for women, after controlling for age, body mass index, smoking, education, social class, prevalent illness and total energy intake. Exclusion of current smokers and people with prevalent illness did not alter the associations.

Conclusion: Higher fruit and vegetable consumption is associated with better self-reported physical functional health within a general population. Increasing daily intake by two portions of fruit and vegetables was associated with an 11% higher likelihood of good functional health. Since the current average consumption of fruit and vegetables in the UK is about three portions, the recommended ‘five a day’ strategy may have additional benefit for functional as well as other health outcomes in the population.
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There is an increasing interest in role of diet in health. A high intake of fruit and vegetables is well recognised as contributing to good health. It has been reported to be protective for many conditions, including cardiovascular disease and cancer, though not necessarily for others. We have previously reported that a high intake of plant foods, as indicated by plasma vitamin C levels, is inversely related to mortality in men and women. There is an accumulating body of evidence supporting the inverse relationship between fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality in various settings.

The relationship between high fruit and vegetable intake and objective health outcomes is well established. Yet, little is known about the relationship between fruit and vegetable consumption and an individual’s self-reported physical and mental well-being. Functional health is an important concern in an ageing population.

In this study, a widely used health-related quality of life measure, the 36-item short-form questionnaire (the SF-36), was used to investigate the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and physical and mental functional health in men and women living in the general community.
Methods

The study population comprises men and women recruited from general practices in Norfolk, UK. This includes the city of Norwich as well as surrounding small towns and rural areas. Men and women aged 40-79 years were identified from collaborating general practice registers and were invited by mail to participate in the baseline survey conducted between 1993 and 1997 as part of the Norfolk component of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC–Norfolk) study. Out of a total of 77,603 invited individuals, 30,445 men and women (∼40%) consented to participate. Detailed descriptions of the recruitment and study methodology have been previously reported18.

Predictor variables

Fruit and vegetable consumption was assessed by a food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) collected at the baseline clinic visit. The EPIC-FFQ comprised a list of 130 foods. For fruit, participants were asked to choose between nine responses ranging from never consumed or consumed less than once per month, to consumed more than six times per day based on the estimated average consumption of one item of fruit (e.g. an apple, a pear or half of a grapefruit) or a medium serving of fruit (e.g. grapes, strawberries, tinned or dried fruit) over the last year. For seasonal fruit, such as peaches and strawberries, participants were asked to estimate their average consumption when the fruit is in season. For vegetables, the same options were given for the consumption of a medium serving of fresh, frozen or tinned vegetables. Missing choices, or two or more choices per line, were omitted from the analysis. FFQs were also excluded from the analysis if the frequency of 10 or more food items was missing. An in-house computer program, CAFE (Compositional Analyses from Frequency Estimates), was developed for data entry and analysis19. The resulting data concerning the average daily consumption of fruit and vegetables in grams per day was combined to obtain the total daily average intake of fruit and vegetables.

Outcome variables

Eighteen months after this baseline survey, the surviving participants then aged 41–80 years were asked to complete the Health and Life Experiences Questionnaire (HLEQ), which included the anglicised version of the short-form 36 (UK SF-36)20, by mail. Of the total eligible EPIC–Norfolk sample, 20,921 participants (73.2%) responded21.

The SF-36 contains 36 items which measure an individual’s perceived well-being in terms of frequency and intensity of feeling states. It measures self-reported health across eight dimensions: physical functioning; social functioning; role limitation due to physical problems; role limitation due to emotional problems; mental health; energy/vitality; pain; and general health perception. For each dimension, an overall score is obtained from the scores from individual relevant responses of questions concerned with that particular dimension. For each dimension, these raw scores are then transformed into a scale from 0 to 100, using a scoring system provided, where 0 represents poor health and 100 represents good health for each dimension21. The overall result is then a ‘health profile’ across each of the eight dimensions.

The Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS) scores were derived according to algorithms specified by the original developers22,23. They were created by aggregating across the eight SF-36 subscales after transforming to Z-scores and multiplying by their respective factor score coefficients and standardised as T-scores with mean of 50 and standard deviation (SD) of 1021.

Measurements

Anthropometric measures including height and weight were determined for all subjects who attended clinic assessment at baseline using a standardised protocol24. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in metres: weight (kg)/(height (m))². Social class at baseline was classified according to the Registrar General’s occupation-based classification scheme in which people with similar levels of occupational skill are allocated into one of five groups25. Social class I consists of professionals, social class II includes managerial and technical occupations, social class III is subdivided into non-manual skilled workers and manual skilled workers, social class IV consists of partly skilled workers, and social class V comprises unskilled manual workers.

Educational status was based on the highest qualification attained and was categorised into four groups: degree or equivalent; A-level or equivalent; O-level or equivalent; and less than O-level/no qualifications. O-Level or equivalent indicates educational attainment to the usual minimal school leaving age of 15 and A-level to the educational attainment to age of 17 years.

Social class was reclassified into ‘non-manual’ (social classes I, II and III non-manual) and ‘manual’ (social classes III manual, IV and V) and educational attainment into ‘at least O-level’ (O-level, A-level and degree) and ‘no qualifications’ (lower than O-level or no qualification).

Cigarette smoking status was derived from responses to the questions ‘Have you ever smoked as much as one cigarette a day for as long as a year?’ and ‘Do you smoke cigarettes now?’ From these questions, smoking status was classified as current smoker, former smoker or those who had never smoked. On a baseline health questionnaire, the participants were asked, ‘Has the doctor ever told you that you have any of the following?’, followed by a list of various conditions. For this study, prevalent illness was defined as the presence of self-reported major chronic
illnesses, which includes cancer, stroke, myocardial infarction and diabetes mellitus.

From the FFQs, total energy intake was calculated by the CAFE program using formulae from food tables and applying portion weighting. Resulting estimates of the daily energy intake in kilocalories was used.

Participants who did not have data on fruit and vegetable consumption calculated from FFQs and SF-36 PCS and MCS scores were excluded from the study.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 12.0.1 (SPSS Inc.). The analyses were undertaken separately for men and women. Age at the time of completion of the SF-36 was included as a covariate in all regression models. Those participants with missing values for covariates were excluded from individual regression analyses.

The unadjusted means of SF-36 PCS and MCS scores were tabulated according to the quartiles of total fruit and vegetable consumption in g day$^{-1}$. The analysis of variance and general linear model test for linearity was used. A $P$-value of $<0.05$ (two sided) was regarded as statistically significant.

The relationship between daily total fruit and vegetable intake by its quartile categories and functional health measured by PCS and MCS was analysed. First age-adjusted, and secondly age-, energy intake-, BMI-, smoking-, education-, social class- and prevalent illness-adjusted models were constructed to examine the confounding effect of age compared with other confounders. We chose these covariates since they not only showed significant association with fruit and vegetable consumption but also could influence functional health. We repeated the analyses with physical functioning and mental health subscale values, which contributed mainly to PCS and MCS, respectively, to examine the validity and repeatability of the associations.\(^{26,27}\)

Multiple linear regression analyses were performed to assess the relationship between total fruit and vegetable intake as a continuous variable (increase in consumption of one portion (80 g)) and functional health after adjusting for age, smoking, social class, education, prevalent illnesses and total daily energy intake. We repeated the analyses after excluding those who currently smoke and those who reported any major long-term illnesses.

A PCS and MCS score of $\geq 55$ (0.5 SD above population mean score) was used to identify people in good physical and mental functional health to examine the clinical effect size of observed differences in functional health scores. We estimated the likelihood of being in this arbitrarily defined good health status category by quartiles of total fruit and vegetable consumption for both men and women using multivariately adjusted logistic regression models. Similar models were constructed adjusting for the same covariates as in the multiple linear regressions.

We also estimated the likelihood of being in the good functional health status category with each increase in consumption of two portions of fruit and vegetables (160 g), controlling for age, sex and the above covariates. The purpose of this analysis was to investigate how differences in the mean values are translated into potential practical impact.

Results
Of 30 445 who consented to participate, $\sim 25 000$ individuals attended the first health check and also completed FFQs. Of 20 921 participants who completed the SF-36, SF-36 component summary scores were imputable for 19 535 individuals. Not all participants who completed FFQs responded to the SF-36 questionnaire, and vice versa. Therefore, there were a total of 16 792 (7416 men and 9376 women) with complete data on total fruit and vegetable consumption, derived from FFQs at baseline, and the SF-36 completed 18 months later. Excluding current smokers left 14 986 men and women, and after excluding those with self-reported prevalent illness at baseline there were 14 976 men and women.

Table 1 shows the distribution of sample characteristics by gender-specific quartiles of total fruit and vegetable intake for the entire available sample. Category 1 represents the lowest quartile whilst category 4 represents the highest quartile of consumption. In men and women, higher total fruit and vegetable intake was positively associated with higher energy intake, higher BMI, increasing age and having a prevalent illness. It was negatively associated with smoking, manual occupational social class, and lower level of education. Higher PCS and MCS scores (i.e. better physical and mental functional health) were reported by people with higher fruit and vegetable consumption in this unadjusted model.

Table 2 shows the SF-36 PCS and MCS mean scores by quartile of total fruit and vegetable consumption controlling for age (model A), and age, energy intake, BMI, smoking, education, social class and prevalent illness (model B). In men and women, there was a significant association between higher level of total fruit and vegetable intake and physical functional health. A less consistent association between men and women was observed with regard to the relationship between higher total fruit and vegetable intake and mental functional health. There were no significant differences in results observed between model A and B for both PCS and MCS in both sexes. Repeating the analyses, using physical functioning and mental health subscales showed a significant increase in these subscale scores with higher total fruit and vegetable consumption quartile categories (not shown).

Table 3 shows multiple linear regression analyses examining the relationship between an increase in daily intake of 80 g (one portion) of fruit and vegetables and self-reported physical and mental functional health.
Table 2  Mean SF-36 PCS and MCS scores (and SE) in 7416 men and 9376 women aged 40–79 years in EPIC–Norfolk by quartiles of total fruit and vegetable consumption adjusted for age, BMI smoking, education, social class, prevalent illness and total energy intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile category</th>
<th>SF-36 PCS</th>
<th>SF-36 MCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model A</td>
<td>46.8 (0.22)</td>
<td>47.9 (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B</td>
<td>46.8 (0.22)</td>
<td>47.9 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SF-36 – 36-item short-form questionnaire; PCS – Physical Component Summary; MCS – Mental Component Summary; SE – standard error; EPIC – European Prospective Investigation into Cancer; BMI – body mass index; Model A – adjusted for age; Model B – adjusted for age, energy intake, BMI, smoking, education, social class and prevalent illness.
measured by PCS and MCS scores of the SF-36 by multivariately adjusted models first controlling for age, BMI, smoking, education, social class and total energy intake, secondly after excluding current smokers, and thirdly after excluding those who reported prevalent illness at baseline.

Stratified analyses by social class and education level also showed that an increase in consumption of one portion of fruit and vegetables was positively associated with higher PCS and MCS scores in a linear fashion. Generally, the relationships were consistent (data not shown).

Table 4 shows multivariately adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for likelihood of being in the arbitrarily defined good physical and mental health status (i.e. PCS or MCS score ≥55) using the lowest consumption category (quartile 1) as the reference category. In all three models (similar models to those in Table 3), the top quartile group compared with the bottom group was significantly associated with a higher likelihood of having a good physical functional health status in both men and women. Although the trends in this sample population suggest a similar relationship for mental functional health, relationships were less consistent between men and women. An increase in consumption of two portions of fruit and vegetable is associated with an ~11% higher likelihood of having a good functional health status (OR = 1.11; 95% CI 1.04–1.18).

Using a different cut-off point at 60 (1 SD higher than the population mean) to identify people with a good physical and mental health status did not materially alter the results (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In this population-based study, we found a positive significant association between fruit and vegetable intake and self-reported physical functional health in men and women. The findings are less consistent for mental functional health.

In agreement with other studies and our previous report, higher fruit and vegetable consumption was associated with a higher level of education and non-manual occupation in both men and women. Similar to findings from a recent study from Canada, women reported higher intakes of fruit and vegetables compared with men. This could reflect gender differences in fruit and vegetable consumption or simply differences in reporting intake by men and women. A study that examined gender differences in fruit and vegetable intake showed that fewer men than women knew the current recommendations for fruit and vegetables intake, and fewer were aware of the links between fruit and vegetable consumption and disease prevention. This, and other reasons, including food preferences, may influence the amount of fruit and vegetables consumed by men and women.

Positive trends were observed for the relationship between total fruit and vegetable consumption and mental functional health. However, this association was less consistent in men compared with women. Nevertheless, increases in one portion of fruit and vegetable consumption appeared to be related to higher PCS and MCS scores. The differential results between men and women may reflect the fact that total fruit and vegetable consumption is higher in women compared with men. It is also plausible that the composition or characteristics of men and women within the population studied may differ and, although we adjusted for possible confounders, we could not exclude the residual confounding or those unknown confounders, which were not adjusted for.

An obvious limitation in using FFQ data is measurement error. However, such random measurement error is likely to attenuate any associations found.

| Table 3 Multivariate regression models* for SF-36 PCS and MCS scores on fruit and vegetable intake (for an increase in consumption of one portion (80 g) of total fruit and vegetables per day) for men and women aged 40–79 years in EPIC–Norfolk |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                 | **Men**        | **Women**      | **Men**        | **Women**      |
|                                 |                |                |                |                |
| **SF-36 PCS**                   |                |                |                |                |
| All                             | 7349           | 0.17 (0.04)    | <0.0001        | 9271           | 0.11 (0.03)    | 0.001          |
| Excluding current smokers†      | 6605           | 0.16 (0.04)    | <0.0001        | 8381           | 0.12 (0.03)    | 0.001          |
| Excluding prevalent illness‡     | 6530           | 0.21 (0.04)    | <0.0001        | 8446           | 0.09 (0.03)    | 0.009          |
| **SF-36 MCS**                   |                |                |                |                |
| All                             | 7349           | 0.09 (0.04)    | 0.027          | 9271           | 0.17 (0.03)    | <0.0001        |
| Excluding current smokers†      | 6605           | 0.07 (0.04)    | 0.10           | 8381           | 0.16 (0.03)    | <0.0001        |
| Excluding prevalent illness‡     | 6530           | 0.09 (0.04)    | 0.035          | 8446           | 0.18 (0.03)    | <0.0001        |

SF-36 – 36-item short-form questionnaire; PCS – Physical Component Summary; MCS – Mental Component Summary; EPIC – European Prospective Investigation into Cancer; β – partial regression coefficient; SE – standard error.

*In all models, the variables were included simultaneously with every increase in consumption of one portion (80 g) of total fruit and vegetables; variables used in the models were age, body mass index, smoking, education, social class, total energy intake, prevalent illness except the variable on which the model was stratified.

† Those who answered yes to the question 'Do you smoke cigarettes now?' in the baseline survey.

‡ Prevalent illness were cancer, stroke, myocardial infarction and diabetes mellitus.
Gender, ethnicity, financial status, smoking, BMI and use of months follow-up in both groups independently of age, status with increased fruit and vegetable intake up to 12 months showed improvements in physical functional health counselling versus nutritional education counselling, reported lower fruit and vegetable intake. Numbers, was of trivial magnitude (0.5 kg between the top and bottom quartiles). We also found that smokers reported lower fruit and vegetable intake.

A recent randomised clinical trial (of behavioural counselling versus nutritional education counselling, n = 271) targeted at people in a low-income neighbourhood showed improvements in physical functional health status with increased fruit and vegetable intake up to 12 months follow-up in both groups independently of age, gender, ethnicity, financial status, smoking, BMI and use of vitamin supplements. A direct comparison could not be made with our study as it was conducted in a particularly high-risk population where the intervention would have most potential impact, our findings additionally suggest that higher consumption of fruit and vegetables is associated with better physical and mental functional health across the normal distribution of intake and functional health in a population.

People who are ill, or people who are in lower social class, and/or have a low education level, or people who smoke may eat less fruit and vegetables and this may confound the relationship between fruit and vegetable intake and functional health. Nevertheless, we adjusted for age, smoking, social class, education and prevalent illness, and repeated the analyses after excluding the current smokers and those who reported illness at baseline. Moreover, we observed that people with a prevalent illness may in fact report eating more fruit and vegetables suggesting health-related lifestyle behaviour might have changed in those who are diagnosed with a disease such as stroke, heart attack, diabetes or cancer.

Higher fruit and vegetable consumption could influence health through numerous biological mechanisms relating to myriad biologically active components. These may be both short-term through influencing bowel habits, for example, or longer term through influencing risk of chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease and mortality. To examine the clinical relevance of differences in mean PCS and MCS scores, we arbitrarily defined good physical and mental health status using PCS and MCS scores ≥55 (0.5 SD value over the population mean) and assessed the likelihood of being in good health by quartile categories of fruit and vegetable consumption. Consistent with results for the regression models using continuous variables, higher fruit and vegetable consumption was associated with a higher likelihood of reporting good functional health.

There are limitations in this study. Because we required participants who were willing to provide detailed information and participate in a long-term follow-up study, we only had a response rate of 40–45% for the baseline and follow-up survey. Nevertheless, the characteristics of this population are comparable with national samples, except for a slightly lower prevalence of smokers, and there was still a wide range of social class and educational status. Moreover, comparison between EPIC-HLEQ mean SF-36 scores and mean scores of age–sex standardised to UK population norms from the Health Survey for England, the Omnibus Survey in Great Britain and the Oxford Healthy Life Survey showed comparable results.

### Table 4 Multivariate adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) for the likelihood of having good physical or mental health status for quartiles of total fruit and vegetable consumption using the lowest quartile group as reference category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total fruit and vegetable consumption category</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health status (PCS ≥55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model A Men</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.03 (0.88–1.21)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.92–1.27)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.11–1.53)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B Men</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.09 (0.92–1.30)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.96–1.34)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.13–1.60)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model C Men</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.20 (1.04–1.40)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.05–1.42)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.16–1.57)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health status (MCS ≥55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model A Men</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.12 (0.98–1.28)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.94–1.23)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.98–1.29)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B Men</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.08 (0.96–1.21)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.09–1.38)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.17–1.49)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model C Men</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.04 (0.90–1.20)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.88–1.18)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.94–1.26)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCS – Physical Component Summary score; MCS – Mental Component Summary score.

In all models, the variables were included simultaneously with quartiles of total fruit and vegetables categories. Model A – covariates included were age, body mass index, smoking, education, social class, total energy intake and prevalent illness; Model B – analyses repeated after exclusion of current smokers; Model C – analyses repeated after exclusion of participants who reported prevalent illnesses namely cancer, stroke, myocardial infarction and diabetes mellitus.

In his report, Perez used data from the first Canadian Community Health Survey and showed that the frequency of eating fruit and vegetables was positively related to not being overweight and not smoking. In contrast to his finding, we found a positive association between BMI and total fruit and vegetable consumption in our cohort, but this association, though significant because of the large total fruit and vegetable consumption in our cohort, but finding, we found a positive association between BMI and fruit and vegetable consumption and self-reported functional health in EPIC–Norfolk.
High intake of fruit and vegetables has been associated with lower risk of chronic diseases. We found a significant association between total consumption of fruit and vegetable and an individual's subjective health in a free-living community. This may lend further support to public health advice to increase fruit and vegetable intake.
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